

December 28, 2007

Alma Clark, Director of Water Waste Water City of Mountain Home 720 South Hickory Mountain Home, AR 72653

RE: Waste Water Treatment Plant Permit Compliance Inspection

AFIN: 03-00039

NPDES Permit No.: AR0021211

Dear Ms. Clark:

On December 13, 2007, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed that the facility is in compliance with the terms of the permit.

The quality of the discharge to Hicks Creek is consistently well below the permitted discharge limits which attest to the professionalism diligence of the waste water utility staff. I appreciate the courtesy extended to me during this site visit and if I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-446-2770 or by e-mail at morris@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely,

Vory L morris

Tony L. Morris District 2 Field Inspector ADEQ Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch

\$	EPA																	Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003						
		UNIT	ED STA	TES ENVI				CTIO	N AGEI	NCY														
	NPDE	5 (	'om		shington, 1			ec	rtio	<b>n</b> ]	R	enort												
				Pit								em Coding												
	Transaction Code			NPDE		.cuon			nui Di	utu b	0,50	Yr/M	,	ıv			Ins	pec. T	vpe	In	specto	or	Fac.	Туре
1	1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 1 2 1 1 11 12 0 7 1 Remarks							1 1	2	1	3	17	18	С		19	S	20	1					
	0 3 - 0 0 0 3 9																							
	Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA							]	Reserv	ed		 I	 I	 I	-									
	67     69     70     5     71     N     72     N     73     74     75							75							80									
	Section B: Facility Data																							
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)Entry Time/Date08:45 December 13, 2007											Pern	nit Eff	ectiv	e Dat	e									
City of Mountain Home Waste Water Treatment Plant 537 Hicks Road, <sup>1</sup> / <sub>4</sub> mile east of Hwy 201 South															1,20									
Mountain Home, Baxter County       Exit Time/Date         11:45 December 13, 2007									Pern	nit Exp	pirati	on Da	ate											
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)       O         Terry Sanders / Plant Supervisor / 870-425-6510       N								N 3	Apr ler Fac 36.301 92.381	2														
			(S	= Satis								<b>ing Inspecti</b> actory, N = 1		Evalu	ated)									
S	Permit	S		Measu				,	S	T T		isfactory, N = Not Evaluated) perations & Maintenance				S	S Sampling							
S	Records/Reports	S	Self-	Monito	ring Pr	ogra	m		S	Sh	ludg	ge Handling	g/Disj	posal	l		Ν	Pollu	ition I	Preve	ntion	1		
S	Facility Site Review	S	Com	pliance	Schedu	ules			Ν	Pr	retr					N Multimedia								
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S		oratory					Ν			n Water					S	Othe	er: Ef	fluen	t Lin	nits		
Dis	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) The plant was found to be clean, orderly and well operated. The treated discharge to Hicks Creek was clear with no detectable foam or odor. Discharge monitoring reports for September and October of 2007 were reviewed during this inspection. All water quality parameters were below permit limits. The plant has a design flow of 12 mgd and was running at approximately 4 mgd at the time of this site visit which was following a 3 inch rain event.																							
Nai	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s					0	ncy/Of					ıx I <b>Quality-Ja</b>	acnor	· Fiol	y Uti	ïce		Date	•					
Ton	y L. Morris Vory L7	revi	ю				•					l (Fax)	ashel	- rel	u UII			Dece	ember	: 14, 2	2007			
						,		oo:	(D)									_						
Sig	nature of Reviewer					Age	ncy/O	ffice	/Phon	e and	nd Fa	ax Numbers	5					Date	e					

I

Т

Permit #: AR0021211

1

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	🗹 S 🗆 M 🗇 U 🗆 NA 🗇 NE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	Øs □m □u □na □ne
DETAILS:	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🗆 ne
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🗇ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	ØS □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS: A lift station was struck by lightning causing pumps to fail and sump to overflo	w on 3/16/07
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	🗹 s 🗆 m 🗇 u 🗆 na 🗆 ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED: 60 KW Generator	
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	🗹 s 🗆 m 🗇 u 🗆 na 🗆 ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED: <u>11 Licensed Operators</u>	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🗆 ne
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	ØS OM OU ONA ONE
DETAILS:	•
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🗆 ne
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	Øy 🗅n 🗇na 🗇ne
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE: 2 Foot Parsha	
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	Øy 🛛n 🖾na 🖾ne
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	ØS OM OU ONA ONE
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :	
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗆ne
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:	Øy 🛛n 🖓na 🖓ne
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:	
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED: For Biominitoring and Sludge Analysis	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🗠 ne
a. LAB NAME: ETC of Memphis	
b. LAB ADDRESS: 2790 Whitter Rd. Memphis, Tn 38133	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: Biomonitoring, Sludge analysis for TCLP, Paint Filter LiquidTest	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS												
BASED O	N VISUAL OBS	ERVATIONS C	DNLY			⊠s ⊏	JM DI	J □NA □NE				
DETAILS:	The discharge	looked very go	<u>bd</u>									
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLO	OR	OTHER				
	None	None	None	None	None	Clea	ar					
SECTION	H: SLUDGE	DISPOSAL										
SLUDGE	DISPOSAL MEI			TS		⊠s ⊏	л П					
DETAILS:												
1. SLUDGE	MANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			Z	Ís ⊡m					
2. SLUDGE	RECORDS MAINTAINE	D AS REQUIRED BY 40	) CFR 503:				ls □m					
3. FOR LANE	DAPPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIEI	D TO: (E.G., FOREST,	AGRICULTURAL, PUE	BLIC CONTACT SITE):	ludge is land	filled at N	Neighbors LF				
SECTION	II: SAMPLIN	<b>G INSPECTIO</b>	ON PROCEDU	JRES								
SAMPLE	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	'S				J ⊠NA □NE				
DETAILS:												
1. SAMPLES	OBTAINED THIS INSP	ECTION:					ΠY	Øn Ona One				
2. TYPE OF	SAMPLE: GRAB:		IETHOD: FREQUE	NCY:								
	PRESERVED:						ΠY	□n □na Øne				
4. FLOW PR	OPORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:										
5. SAMPLE (	DBTAINED FROM FACI	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	/ICE:									
6. SAMPLE F	REPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:									
7. SAMPLE S		E:										
8. CHAIN-OF	-CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:										
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IT:									
SECTION	J: STORM	<b>WATER POLL</b>	UTION PREV	VENTION PL	AN							
	ATER MANAG							J ⊠NA □NE				
DETAILS:												
1. SWPPP U	PDATED AS NEEDED:	DATE OF LAST UP	DATE:				ΠY	□n □na Øne				
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:				ΠY	□n □na Øne				
3. POLLUTIC	N PREVENTION TEAM	I IDENTIFIED:					ΠY	□n □na Øne				
4. POLLUTIC	N PREVENTION TEAM	PROPERLY TRAINED	):				ΠY	□n □na Øne				
5. LIST OF P	OTENTIAL POLLUTAN	T SOURCES:					ΠY	□n □na Øne				
6. LIST OF P	OTENTIAL SOURCES	AND PAST SPILLS ANI	D LEAKS:									
7. ALL NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES ARE AUTHORIZED:												
8. LIST OF S	TRUCTURAL BMPS:											
9. LIST OF N	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:										
10. BMPS PR	OPERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:										
11. INSPECTI	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:										

	ADEQ	Water NPDES	S Inspection
--	------	-------------	--------------

			FLOW CA	LCULA	ATION \$	SHEET					
				. –							
Date: 12	2/13/07		Time: 09:	15							
Head in Ir	ches:	10.5	Feet:	0.87							
Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device:											
2 Foot Parshall Flume											
Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device:											
Isco Bub	ble Flo	w meter									
Date of la	st Calib	ration of S	Secondary Fl		vice:						
3/20/07					100.						
Recorded	Flow a	t Date & T	ime Listed A	bove:	4.53 r	ngd		(Facility Flow Meter)			
Coloulator		ot Doto 9	Time Listed	Abovor	4 00	mad					
			Time Listed			mgd ement Hand	book-5 <sup>th</sup> E	dition)			
						1	[				
% Error =	Reco		ue   -   Calo Iculated Valu		Value	X 100					
		Ca									
% Error =		4.5	-	4.1		X 100	-				
70 Enor =			4.09			X 100					
		0.4									
% Error =		4.1	— X 100								
% Error =		.09	X 100								
% Error =		9	%								
Comment	s:   <u>Dif</u>	ference is	s acceptable	2							

ADEQ Water NPDES	Inspection	AFIN	l: 03-00039		Pe	ermit #: <b>AR0021</b>	211	
		DN	AR Calculat	tion Che	ck			
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From	2007	09	01	To	2007	09	30
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day
Parameter Checked	:(	CBOD	_					
	]	Loading					itration	
	Mo A	Mass Avg lbs/	/dav	Mo. A	<b>νσ</b> -	nthly 7-day Avg	σ . mσ/l	
	1110.1	1,2, 109	uuy	10100 11	8.		7 uuy 11 ve	, mg/i
<b>Reported Value:</b>		28.05			1.73		1.9	5
Calculated Value:		28.05			1.7		1.9	)
Permit Value:		417			10		15	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Values are equal</u>

			FE	ermit #: AR0021	211		
DN	AR Calculat	tion Che	ck				
2007 Year	09 Month	01 Day	_ To	2007 Year	12 Month	<u>30</u> Day	
TSS	_						
Loading Mass		Concentration					
	/day	Mo. Avg mg/l			7-day Avg mg/l		
43.8			2.7		3		
43.8			2.7		3		
625.5			15		23		
	2007 Year TSS Loading Mass Avg lbs/ 43.8 43.8	200709YearMonthTSSLoading Mass Avg lbs/day43.8	20070901YearMonthDayTSS	2007       09       01       To         Year       Month       Day       To         TSS	20070901To2007YearMonthDayYearTSSConcer MassLoading MassConcer MorAvg lbs/dayMo. Avg mg/l43.82.7	20070901To200712YearMonthDayYearMonthTSSLoading MassConcentration MonthlyAvg lbs/dayMo. Avg mg/l7-day Avg43.82.73	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Values are equal</u>

Location:				er Division NPDES		lachee Sheet		
		ountain	Home PC	DTW				
Photographe		Tony L	. Morris		Witness:	Terry Sande	ers	
Photo #	1	Of	4		Date:	12/13/07	Time:	09:48
Description:		Measu	ring flow	through the primary	flow measuring d	levice (2 Foot	Parshall Flui	ne).
			Į.					
Photographer		Tony L	. Morris		Witness:	Terry Sande	ers	
	<b>::</b> 2	Tony L Of	. Morris		Witness: Date:	Terry Sande 12/13/07	ers Time:	10:05
Photographer Photo # Description:	2	Of	4	utfall on Hicks Creel	Date:			10:05

			DES Photographic Ev	idence Sheet		
Location:	Mountain Ho	me POTW				
Photographer:	Tony L. M	lorris	Witness:	Terry Sande	ers	
Photo #	3 <b>Of</b>	4	Date:	12/13/07	Time:	10:15
Description:	A bar scre	en in the head works o	of the POTW.			
Photographer:	Tony L. M	lorris	Witness:	Terry Sande	ers	
Photo #	4 Of	4	Date:	12/13/07	Time:	11:18
Description:	The Hwy	52 East Lift Station.	· · ·			