ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Springdale AFIN Number: **72-00003** NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022063, AR0022063C Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022063 Fact Sheet Preparation Date: None / Has summary sheets on some IUs. Date of Last PCI/Audit: May 9, 2007 / June 11,12, 2003 Date of Last Annual Report: **January 26, 2007 (12/1/05-11/30/06)** Name of Inspector: John Fazio Date PCI Performed: December 20, 2007 Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Jennifer Enos, Pretreatment Manager, 479-756-3657 Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A Number of IUs Visited: 2 Name(s) of IUs Visited: Kawneer Co., Inc; J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU as Metal Finishing), and the regulate zinc plating, etc.) Additional list: comments section if necessary. The of IU: Category: The Co., Inc. Aluminum Forming	under the approved (such , the regulatory category ed process (phosphating,				
Nam	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU as Metal Finishing), and the regulate zinc plating, etc.) Additional list comments section if necessary. The of IU: Category:	under the approved (such , the regulatory category ed process (phosphating, ings can be made in the Regulated Process: Anodizing and				
	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU as Metal Finishing), and the regulate zinc plating, etc.) Additional lists comments section if necessary.	under the approved (such , the regulatory category ed process (phosphating, ings can be made in the				
8.	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU as Metal Finishing), and the regulate zinc plating, etc.) Additional lists	under the approved (such , the regulatory category ed process (phosphating,				
8.	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU as Metal Finishing), and the regulate	under the approved (such , the regulatory category ed process (phosphating,				
8.	List all categorical IUs discharging program. Include the name of the IU	under the approved (such , the regulatory category				
8.	List all categorical IUs discharging	under the approved (such				
8.		CFR)				
	standards in the Federal Register (40					
7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Requesting official categorization by the ADEQ and reviewing the categorical						
6.	Number of Categorical Industrial Use	rs: 2				
5.	Total number of Significant Industriathe definition used by the POTW. (The greater than or equal to the answer to	nis number must be				
	<u> </u>					
	survey new commercial water deposits					
4.	What procedures are being used to upon Survey water users, telephone listing	——————————————————————————————————————				
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes					
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of thes	se changes? N/A				
	or inspection. No changes					
	. List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. No changes					

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.	BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes; technical-based local limits are not needed						
to meet water quality standards since current discharge levels of							
analytes in question are far below those required to meet the standards							
2.	Describe None	any apparent	problems with	the local lim	its.		
	_						
3.	. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?						
			Requirer	ment in			
Pol	lutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:		
	als: fluent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	Not required			
Ef	fluent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	Not required			
	Sludge:	1/quarter	1/quarter	Not required			
0.20.00	oniaa:						
_	anics: fluent:	1/year	1/year	Not required			
Ef	fluent:	1/year	1/year	Not required			
	Sludge:	1/year	PCBs only	Not required			
4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? None							

1.	Is the POTW	using t	he type of	control med	hanism (perm	it,
	agreement,	etc.) re	quired by	the approved	program?	Permit

- 2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 15
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date: Yes

Discharge limitations: Yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:

IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes

IU reporting requirements: Yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location: Yes

Type of sample: Yes

Monitoring frequency: Yes

Bypass prohibition: Yes

Right of entry: Yes

Nontransferability: Yes

Revocation clause: Yes

Penalty Provisions: Yes

Slug load notification: Yes

Notification of process change:

Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

- •	<pre>Indicate current ins requirement below:</pre>	spection and sampling freq	uency and program				
	Sampling:	Current frequency: Danaher Tool 2/month	Program Requirement:				
	categorical IUs	Kawneer 2/quarter	1/year				
	other SIUs Inspection:	7/month to quarterly	1/year				
	categorical IUs	At least 1/year	1/year				
	other SIUs	At least 1 year	1/year				
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPR	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE COVED PROGRAM? Yes	E FREQUENCY				
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Unannounced				
ŀ.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes					
5.	Does the inspection the following:	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:					
	Date and time of inspection: Yes						
	Officials present: Yes						
	Inspection of chemical storage areas: Yes						
	Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and discharge location of these waste streams: Yes						
	Inspection of the pr	retreatment facilities: Y	es				
	Review of self-monitoring records: Yes						
	Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures: Yes						
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniq	ues are used: Yes				
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where re	quired): Yes				
·	Overall adequacy of #19 below.	inspection documentation:	Satisfactory. See				

	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes
	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? Yes
	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custod forms? Yes
	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge the collection system? Yes
	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
	Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? All reports are marked date received. Ms. Enos
-	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? All reports are marked date received. Ms. Enos reviews and verifies the reports and data. Compliance
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? All reports are marked date received. Ms. Enos
-	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? All reports are marked date received. Ms. Enos reviews and verifies the reports and data. Compliance status no longer tracked by software, but by hand due to high level of compliance (per 4/27/07 correspondence w/ ADEQ). ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND

17.	What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? All violations are responded to in accordance with the
•	Control Authority's Enforcement Response Plan. Action
•	depends on violation type and frequency.
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: N/A; no new Categorical IUs since early 1980s.
•	
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: N/A
	Other environmental permits held: N/A
	Description of operations: N/A
	Process flow diagrams: N/A
	Flow measurements: N/A
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: N/A
	Certification of compliance by the IU: N/A
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: Danaher Tool Group and Kawneer certify
	monthly that they are TTO free rather than sampling for TTO
	(sample for oil & grease in lieu of TTO sampling).
,	
•	
•	
•	
•	

E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes. Review of files document that enforcement response procedures reflect those outlined in the City's Enforcement Response Guide.
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: Informal notice, Notice of Violation(NOV)/Recurring

exceedance-NOV, administrative order, cease and desist order, cost recovery, adm. fine, publication, revocation of

permit and/or termination of service.

Late reports: Informal notice, NOV, publication, adm. order, show cause order.

Unpermitted discharges: Informal notice, Notice of Violation(NOV)/Recurring exceedance-NOV, administrative order, cease and desist

order, cost recovery, adm. fine, publication, revocation

of permit and/or termination of service.

Slug loads or spills: Informal notice, Notice of Violation(NOV)/Recurring

exceedance-NOV, administrative order, cease and desist order, cost recovery, adm. fine, publication, revocation of

permit and/or termination of service.

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Will publish in Morning News of Northwest Arkansas in January 2008.
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name: Violation Danaher Tool T. Nickel Group, Inc. limit recurring exceedance (last quar last year; first quar this year)	n: Action: Compliance schedule (per administrative order) cer	Compliance Deadline: 10/22/07 - came into compliance
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------

Response Guide that is in their Pretreatment Program.
POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes
MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: One; J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.
Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? Yes; by City Contract and by City Ordinance (Lowell).
Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? Yes, City of Springdale issues permit.
Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators?

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS					
City of Springdale:					
Inadequate preservation of Table III metals. Composite sample					
begins at 0000 hours and ends at 2400 hours. Nitric acid					
preservative not added to sample until ~0800 hours the following					
morning when chemist staff arrives.					
<pre>Kawneer Co., Inc.:</pre>					
Not performing flow-meter accuracy checks properly. IU simply					
subtracting difference in head between primary and secondary					
flow measurement devices.					
J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc:					
pH buffers were expired at both outfall locations.					
The Annual Industrial Pretreatment Report (12/01/06 -					
11/30/07) is to list Danaher Tool Group in Significant					
Noncompliance.					
The City's WWTP has a design flow of 24 MGD. The last average					
monthly flow for the calendar year was 11.3 MGD. In November,					
2007, the contribution of wastewater from the SIUs was 36.8 %.					
The pretreatment staff appeared to be very knowledgeable of the					
program and requirements. Ms. Enos appeared to thoroughly					
understand SIU facility layouts and treatment processes.					

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Kawneer Co., Inc.

POTW Name: City of Springdale

Industry Contacts: Gregory Smith, Env. Health & Safety Mgr.

Date and Time of Visit: December 20, 2007 1330 hours

Description of Manufacturing Process:

Aluminum Former - produce aluminum window frames from billets.

Aluminum is extruded, shaped, stretched, hardened, and anodized or painted, if required. Frames assembled or shipped in pieces.

Sources of Process Wastewater:

1) Anodizing and 2) Chromium conversion (plating/surfacing).

Categorical Industry? Yes

Basis for Limits: Regulation (40 CFR)

Point of Application: At discharge point

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:

- 1. Anodizing: wastewater & die cleaning rinse overflow > pit #1 (pH adjust.) > pit#2 (mix) > pit#3 (mix & further pH adjust.). Wastewater > clarifier w/ polymer > sewer. Solids & sludge batch processed. Cooling tower water discharged w/out pre-treatment.

 2. Chromium conversion (Cr (VI) to Cr (III)): wastewater > mixing pit (conversion) > caustic added to pH greater than 8. Solids flocculate in clarifier > pressed. Supernatant > pit > sanitary sewer.
- Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
 - Slug/spill evaluation checklist & certification.
- TTO oil & grease monitoring in lieu of TTO monitoring.

 IU certifies w/ monthly reports that there has been no dumping/
 discharge to plant.
- Curbing/drains/cover prevents discharge to Waters of State. Sampling Location and Equipment:

West side of building. ISCO 3710 FR Composite Sampler; ISCO 4230 Bubble Flow Meter. IU not conducting flow meter accuracy check properly: IU simply subtracting recorded head from calculated head.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc.

POTW Name: City of Springdale

Industry Contacts: Ron Weaver, Project Mgr.

Date and Time of Visit: December 20, 2007 1520 hours

Description of Manufacturing Process:

N/A (clean trucks, rebuild engines, repair & service trucks, paint).

Sources of Process Wastewater:

Outfall #001 (north terminal): truck wash, parts wash, trailer rebuild.

Outfall #002 (south terminal): parts wash, paint booth.

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Regulation (40 CFR)

Point of Application: At discharge points

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Settling pits > oil/water separators (coalescing plate
separators) > pH adjustment.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:

Slug/spill evaluation checklist & certification.

Pits are pumped and contents disposed of by Bub's, Inc.

Parts washer solvents and spent paint disposed by Safety Kleen.

Used oil and filters disposed by Shell Oil.

Floor/surface drains transport any spills to pits.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Grab samples taken at each outfall. pH buffers were expired.

Flow not measured: oil & grease concentrations very low; POTW not concerned with loading at these concentrations.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE		
INSPECTOR'S NAME: John Fazio					
NAME OF FACILITY: City of Springdale					
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	А	R0022063	NPID		
DATE OF PCI:	Decem	ber 20, 2007	DTIA		
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS			
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):15					
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS: 2					
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR	INSPECTED BY				
POTW:		0	NOIN		
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM		
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT					
WITH STANDARDS OR R	EPORTING:	1 (standards)	PSNC		
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: 0					
WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS: 0 MSN SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE					
WITH SELF-MONITORIN					
INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW: 0					



January 8, 2008

Rene Langston, Executive Director City of Springdale P.O. Box 769 Springdale, Arkansas 72765-0769

AFIN: 72-00003 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022063

Dear Mr. Langston:

On December 20, 2007, I performed a routine compliance evaluation inspection of the pretreatment program in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violation:

• The City has not been preserving quarterly Table III metals samples for influent and effluent in accordance with test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. Twenty-four hour composite sample collection begins at 0000 hours and ends at 2400 hours. However, nitric acid preservative is not added to the samples until approximately 0800 hours the following morning when the lab operations staff arrives. This is in violation of Part III.7.C of your permit.

In addition, the following issues were noted at the Industrial Users visited on this date:

- Kawneer Co., Inc. has not been properly conducting their flow meter accuracy check. Kawneer simply calculates the difference in recorded and measured head in an attempt to measure flow meter accuracy. Recorded and calculated flow must be used in a percent error formula to ensure that the flow measurement device is capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10 % from true discharge rates.
- The pH buffers at J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. recently expired at both outfall locations.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Enforcement Branch of this Department at the following address:

Water Division Enforcement Branch Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317 Rene Langston, City of Springdale January 8, 2008 Page Two

This response should contain detailed documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by January 31, 2008.

For additional information you may contact the Enforcement Branch by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 479-267-0811, ext. 16.

Sincerely,

John Fazio

District 1 Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

⊕EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report							
Section A: National Data System Coding							
Transaction Code							
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating B1 QA Reserved Reserved							
67 N 70 N	71		2 N 73 74 75	Ш	80		
	Section 1				D 1 700 1 D		
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users dis include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Springdale POTW 2910 Silent Grove Rd. Springdale, Arkansas	charging to POTV	V, also	0845 / 12-20-07 Exit Time/Date		April 1, 2004 Permit Expiration Date		
Springuate, Arkansas			1620 / 12-20-07		March 31, 2009		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Thone and Fax Num Jennifer Enos, Pretreatment Manager, 479-756-3657 Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Num		Rene		Oth	er Facility Data		
Langston, Executive Director, 479-751-5751 P.O. Box 769 Springdale, Arkansas 72765-0769			Yes No				
(S = Satisfactor	ry, M = Marginal,	U = Uns	eatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)				
S Permit N Flow Measureme			Shadaa Haadkaa/Diaaaad	ĬŇ	CSO/SSO		
N Records/Reports N Self-Monitoring N Facility Site Review N Compliance Sch			Sludge Handling/Disposal Pretreatment	N	Pollution Prevention Sampling		
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory		N S	Storm Water		Other:		
			Attach additional sheets if necessar		1 1 10 OTT D 110 C		
The City has not been preserving quarterly Table III in Twenty-four hour composite sample collection begin approximately 0800 hours the next morning when the	s at 0000 hours an chemist staff arriv	d ends at es. This	t 2400 hours. Nitric acid preservatives is in violation of Part III.7.C of your	e is not permi	added to the samples until t.		
 Kawneer Co., Inc. has not been properly conducting the in an attempt to measure flow meter accuracy. Record is capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviate. 	led and calculated	flow mu	ist be used in a percent error formula				
• The pH buffers at J.B. Hunt Transport, Inc. recently expired at both outfall locations.							
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/ Fayetteville/ 479- 267-0811/479-267-0819 Date 12/28/07							
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date							



Springdale Water Utilities

526 Oak Avenue P.O. Box 769 Springdale, Arkansas 72765-0769 (479) 751-5751

January 31, 2008

Mr. John Fazio Water Division Enforcement Branch Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Dr. North Little Rock, AR 72218-5317

> Re: City of Springdale, Arkansas NPDES Permit No. AR0022063 AFIN No. 72-00003

Dear Mr. Fazio:

Please accept this written response to your letter dated January 8, concerning the pretreatment compliance inspection performed on December 20, 2007.

Item No. 1 "The City has not been preserving quarterly Table III metals samples for influent and effluent in accordance with test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136. Twenty-four hour composite sample collection begins at 0000 hour and ends at 2400 hours. However, nitric acid preservative is not added to the samples until approximately 0800 hours the following morning when the lab operations staff arrives. This is in violation of Part III.7.C of your permit."

Response: Procedural changes now insure the preservation of all samples within 15 minutes of collection by Operations or Laboratory staff. Please note that only effluent samples were affected, since influent samples were collected from 1100-0900, and immediately preserved by laboratory staff. Also, effluent samples were collected from 0800-0800 until 2007, so delayed preservation only occurred during that year.

Page 2 Mr. John Fazio January 31, 2008

Item No. 2 "Kawneer Co., Inc. has not been properly conducting their flow meter accuracy check. Kawneer simply calculates the difference in recorded and measured head in an attempt to measure flow meter accuracy. Recorded and calculated flow must be used in a percent error formula to ensure that the flow measurement device is capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10 % from true discharge rates."

Response: Kawneer Co., Inc has a long history of complete compliance with all pretreatment program requirements. Their representatives were unaware of the need to convert flow from feet of head to gallons per minute when calculating % error. They have, since the inspection, modified their forms to include that calculation, and have further back-calculated previous calibrations to insure that they deviate by less than 10% from actual discharge rates.

Item No. 3 "The pH buffers at J. B. Hunt Transport, Inc. recently expired at both outfall locations."

Response: J. B. Hunt Transport, Inc. immediately discarded all expired buffers and replaced them with new buffers. New forms provided by Springdale Water Utilities to all permitted discharging Industrial Users for reporting pH monitoring include a space for recording the expiration dates of buffers. This will help insure that new buffers are purchased before old ones expire, not just at this industry, but at all industries permitted by Springdale Water Utilities.

We trust the corrective measures taken will adequately address any concerns identified in your compliance reports.

Rene Langston

Executive Director

Cc: ADEQ, NPDES Enforcement Section

Harold Hull Jennifer Enos