		Form Approved										
<u>ا</u> چ	PA	OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85										
	UNITED STATES											
	NPDES Comp											
Section A: National Data System Coding												
Transaction Code NPDES Yr/Mo/Day Inspec. Type Inspector Fac. Type 1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 1 6 6 1 11 12 1 1 0 5 0 9 17 18 R 19 S 20 1												
		R	emarks									
	Inspection Work Days Facility Ev 67 69 70	valuation Rating E N 71	BI N 72	QA	H	Reserved 80						
Section B: Facility Data												
	e and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial de POTW name and NPDES permit number)	l users discharging to POTW	, also	Entry Time/Date 10:54 AM ON 05/09/11		Permit Effective Date 11/1/2007						
67/1 appi	ot Water & Wastewater Comm. – From NLI 57 North to Cabot. Take the first Cabot exit and oximately 2.75 miles to CO road 449 (turn left) a 1 left on Marshall Road. Plant is a end of Road.	l go east (right) on Highway	Exit Time/Date 11:20 AM ON 05/09/11		Permit Expiration Date 10/31/2012							
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Facility Data Jana Kohlmann, Operator; Bill Hawk, Superintendent; Tim Joyner, General Manager Other Facility Data												
Tim	e, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Joyner of Water & Wastewater Comm.	Fax Number	Contacted									
PO Cab	of water & waterwater Comm. 30x 1287 ot, AR 72023) 843-3566		Yes 🗹 No									
(2 0 -		Section C: Areas Eva		During Inspection tisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)								
Ν		easurement		erations & Maintenance		Sampling						
Ν	Records/Reports N Self-Mo	onitoring Program		ıdge Handling/Disposal		Pollution Prevention						
М	Facility Site Review N Complia	ance Schedules	N Pr	Pretreatment		Multimedia						
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laborat	•		orm Water		Other:						
	Section D: S	Summary of Findings/Com	ments (At	ttach additional sheets if necessary	7)							
See next page for details.												
Nan	e(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)	Agency/Office/T AR Dept. of En	vironmer	ntal Quality-		Date 05/09/11						
Den	nis Benson	(501) 683-0827/	(501) 682	2-0910 (Fax)								
Sigi	ature of Reviewer	Agency/Office/	Phone and	d Fax Numbers	Date							

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Further Explanation

Recon level inspection conducted at the request of the Enforcement Branch in response to the POTWs report of a hydraulic overload and loss of biomass during significant rain event on May 1, 2011. During the event, the facility received over 7 inches of rain (documented in their operational logs) and the facility's flow peaked at 11.335 mgd. This is the daily flow and not the instantaneous peak flow during the event. The operator speculated it could have been as high as 16 mgd. The design flow of this facility is 6.0 mgd. In response to the hydraulic load, the permittee removed the uv lights and shut off the brush rotors. However, because of lack of capacity in the sludge storage lagoon the facility was operating with a significant blanket in the secondary clarifiers and a significant MLSS in the activated sludge basin. Cabot has been running aerators in the sludge storage lagoon to 'hopefully' further degrade the sludge in the lagoon to try to free up space, however, they have not determined the MLVSS of the sludge so they don't know if they will get further reduction and/or if the effort is worth the cost of energy. It should be noted that although this facility peaked at almost 2 times the design, within 48 hours of the end of the rainfall, the flow was almost back to normal. This is a good indicator that l&l efforts are paying off.

This facility needs to do something about the solids inventory in the sludge storage lagoons. The lagoon is at the freeboard limit and will not tolerate much additional wasting without additional capacity. Without additional mixed liquor wasting space, maintaining effluent quality may become an issue in the near future. Additionally, this facility needs to develop very specific operational procedures to deal with these types of events. There was sufficient advanced notice of this rain event that the facility could have implemented operational changes such as lowering sludge blankets which would have minimized the quantity of solids lost during the event. Additionally, the procedures should spell out at what flow rate should they stop the brush aerators and report the bypass to ADEQ.

It should be noted that sludge management was one of the issues pointed out by this inspector during the February 16, 2011 inspection of this facility.

Location:	Ca	bot Wa	ter & Was	tewater Comm.								
Photographer:		Dennis Benson			Witness: None							
Photo #	1	Of	2		Date:	05/09/11	Time:	11:00 am				
Description:		Staining on outfall wall reveals height of water when solids were lost.										
Photographe	r:	Denni	s Benson	/*	Witness:	None						
Photo #	2	Of	2		Date:	2/15/11	Time:	11:02 am				
Description:		Staini	ng on wall	shows height of water in and stream. Stream back	this area of	the outfall. The		ir landing				
		100.000										