



ARKANSAS
Department of Environmental Quality

WATER DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT

AFIN: 66-01652	PERMIT #: AR0021750	DATE: 6/6/2017
COUNTY: 66 Sebastian	PDS #: 097826	MEDIA: WN
GPS LAT: 35.340459 LONG: -94.305533 LOCATION: Entrance		

FACILITY INFORMATION

NAME:
Fort Smith, City of - Massard

LOCATION:

CITY:
Fort Smith

INSPECTION INFORMATION

FACILITY TYPE: 1 - Municipal	INSPECTOR ID#: 71330 S - State		
FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: 4 - Satisfactory	INSPECTION TYPE: Pretreatment Compliance		
DATE(S): 6/6/2017	ENTRY TIME: 09:30	EXIT TIME: 15:30	PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE: 2/1/2015
6/7/2017	08:15	12:00	PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE: 1/31/2020

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL

NAME / TITLE:
Carl Geffken / City Administrator

COMPANY:
Fort Smith, City of

MAILING ADDRESS:
P.O. Box 1908

CITY, STATE, ZIP:
Fort Smith AR 72902

PHONE & EXT. / FAX:
479-494-3908 /

EMAIL:
Imcavoy@fortsmithar.gov

CONTACTED DURING INSPECTION: **Yes**

FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: **N**

FAYETTEVILLE SHALE VIOLATIONS: **N**

INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS

NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL/ETC.:

Lance McAvoy/Deputy Director of Operations/479.494.3908/Imcavoy@fortsmith

John Hancock/Supervisor Environmental Monitoring/479.784.2335/jhancock@fortsmithar.gov

Don Clover/Program Manager Environmental Quality/479.784.2337/dclover@fortsmithar.gov

Chris Cooper/Pretreatment Coordinator/479.784.1019/ccoooper@fortsmithar.gov

Kerri McCabe/ADEQ Inspector Supervisor/501.682.0642/mccabe@adeq.state.ar.us

AREA EVALUATIONS

(S=Satisfactory, M=Marginal, U=Unsatisfactory, N=Not Applicable/Evaluated)

**	PERMIT	**	FLOW MEASUREMENT	**	STORMWATER
**	RECORDS/REPORTS	**	LABORATORY	**	FACILITY SITE REVIEW
**	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	**	EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATER	**	SELF-MONITORING PROGRAM
**	SAMPLING	**	SLUDGE HANDLING/DISPOSAL	S	PRETREATMENT
**	OTHER:				

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

No violations were noted during inspection.

GENERAL COMMENTS

I inspected this pretreatment program with the above-referenced inspection participants on June 6th and June 7th, 2017. Inspection consisted of a program overview, a records audit, and seven Industrial User (IU) field assessments.

The City has developed a quality pretreatment program and implements it in a very structured and thorough manner. Facilities that may not meet categorical standards or flow requirements are still tracked and documented to protect the POTW's influent waste stream. Staff involved with the pretreatment program was knowledgeable about all aspects of the program, and inspections are completed in a timely manner and are thoroughly documented. Records were well-maintained and easily accessible.

INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: Dannielle Gray	DATE: 6/16/2017
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: Kerri McCabe	DATE: 6/29/2017

**ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT**

Name of Municipality: City of Fort Smith

AFIN Number: 66-01652

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0021750 (Massard POTW) & AR0033278 (P-Street POTW, AFIN 66-00226)

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0021750

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: March 13, 2008

Pretreatment Program Approval Date: July 15, 2016
(original 8/31/1985)

Date of Last PCI/Audit: 12/2/2010 (PCI) / 9/15-17/2015 (Audit)

Date of Last Annual Report: October 13, 2016

Name of Inspector: Dannielle Gray

Date PCI Performed: June 6-7, 2017

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:
John Hancock, Pretreatment Coordinator, 479-784-2335

Name and Title of Other Participants: Lance McAvoy/Deputy
Director of Operations, Chris Cooper/Pretreatment Coordinator,
Don Glover/Environmental Quality Program Manager, Kerri
McCabe/ADEQ Inspector Supervisor

Number of IUs Visited: 7

Name(s) of IUs Visited: Hiram Walker Pernod Ricard USA, Kraft
Foods Global, Qualserv Corp, Hiland
Dairy, Twin Rivers Foods, Sparks
Regional Medical Center, Mars Petcare

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

GNB Industrial Power	Storage Batteries	Zn & nickel plating
Fort Smith Plating Co.	Electroplating	Zn & nickel plating
Gerdau MacSteel	Iron & Steel mfg.	Casting, hot forming
Hickory Springs Mfg.	Metal Finishing	Phosphating
QualServe Corp - Fort Smith Division	Metal finishing	phosphating
Trane	Metal finishing	phosphating

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes

Describe any apparent problems with the local limits.

2. None

3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? 1X/2years Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part II of the NPDES permit? Yes

Pollutant:	Frequency:	Requirement in Permit:	Requirement in Program:	Comments:
Metals:				
Influent:	<u>4/year</u>	<u>4/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table III</u>
Effluent:	<u>4/year</u>	<u>4/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table III</u>
Sludge:	<u>4/year</u>	<u>4/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table III</u>
Organics:				
Influent:	<u>1/year</u>	<u>1/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table II</u>
Effluent:	<u>1/year</u>	<u>1/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table II</u>
Sludge:	<u>1/year</u>	<u>1/year</u>	<u>Not reqd.</u>	<u>Table II</u>

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI or Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? **None**
-
-

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes(permits)

2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 16
-

3. **DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.**

No - the following facility permits are under administrative extension pending new permit issuance: City of Arkoma (exp. 2/1/2013), GNB Industrial Power - Exide Technologies (exp.12/14/14), and Hiland Dairy (exp 12/31/13), QualServ Corporation (exp. 7/31/2013), and Gerdau MacSteel (exp. 1/1/15). Rheem Manufacturing (exp. 7/1/15) was under administrative extension as well, but has since been removed from the program.

4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date: Yes

Discharge limitations: Yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:

IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes

IU reporting requirements: Yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location: Yes
 Type of sample: Yes
 Monitoring frequency: Yes
 Bypass prohibition: _____
 Right of entry: Yes
 Non-transferability: Yes
 Revocation clause: Yes
 Penalty Provisions: Yes
 Slug load notification: Yes
 Notification of process change: Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1. Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:

	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:
Sampling:		
categorical IUs	<u>12x/year</u>	<u>1x/year</u>
other SIUs	<u>12x/year</u>	<u>1x/year</u>
Inspection:		
categorical IUs	<u>1x/year</u>	<u>1x/year</u>
other SIUs	<u>1x/year</u>	<u>1x/year</u>

2. HAS EACH SIU BEEN INSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE FREQUENCY REQUIRED BY THE APPROVED PROGRAM? Yes

3. Are inspections announced or unannounced? Announced

4. Are records kept of each inspection? Yes

5. Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:

Date and time of inspection: Yes

Officials present: Yes

Inspection of chemical storage areas: Yes

Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and discharge location of these waste streams: Yes

Inspection of the pretreatment facilities: Yes

Review of self-monitoring records: Yes

Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures: Yes

Verification that approved analytical techniques are used: Yes

Verification of IU flow measurement (where required): Yes

6. Overall adequacy of inspection documentation: Satisfactory

7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).
Yes

8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes

9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? Yes

10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes

11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes

12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes

13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes

14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? System checklist and NOV for failure to report as necessary

15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS?
Yes

16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS?

Yes

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?

The City follows their enforcement plan. Response will include in order of escalation: phone calls, Notice of Violation, Administrative Order, show-cause hearings, judicial actions including penalties/fines as needed (rare), and termination of service.

18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?

Yes

Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:

Name and address: Yes

Other environmental permits held: Yes

Description of operations: Yes

Process flow diagrams: Yes

Flow measurements: Yes

Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes

Certification of compliance by the IU: Yes

Compliance schedule (if needed): Yes

19. Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: The City's pretreatment program is exceptional and stands as a quality example for others. Administration and enforcement of the procedures is satisfactory.

E. Enforcement

1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes

2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: NOV, resample, additional NOV as necessary, show-cause hearing, and fines as appropriate

Late reports: Same as above

Unpermitted discharges: Automatic serious non-compliance status; may result in termination of water service

Slug loads or spills: Report within 24 hours of becoming aware of issue. Severity of slug load or spill informs enforcement response

3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Yes

4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
<u>Twin Rivers Foods</u>	<u>BOD, TSS, pH</u>	<u>AO & compliance schedule in permit</u>	<u>Jan 11, 2017 - Jan 11, 2020</u>
<u>Hiland Dairy</u>	<u>pH, BOD, TSS</u>	<u>AO & compliance schedule in permit</u>	<u>Jan 11, 2017 - Jan 11, 2020</u>

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
Enforcement procedures follow the approved enforcement plan.
Procedures have been effective to date, and are satisfactory.
-
-
-
-

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
-
2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
-
3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes
-

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

1. List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW:
City of Arkoma
-
2. Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? Yes (permit issued)
-
3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? No
-
4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? No If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
-
5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: None
-
-

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Complete list of IUs (Note: "WWTP Basin" indicates which POTW the IU discharges to. "P" refers to P-Street POTW and "M" refers to Massard POTW)

		Industrial Monitoring		
Industrial User:	WWTP Basin:	Flow (GPD)	Classes	
Gerdau MacSteel	M	72,215	CIU	
GNB Industrial Power - Exide Technologies (Outfall #001)	M	7,264	CIU	
GNB Industrial Power - Exide Technologies (Outfall #002)	M	2,152	CIU	
Hiram Walker Pernod Ricard USA	M	25,845	SIU	
Jarden Plastic Solutions Fort Smith	M			Flow Monitor
Kraft Foods Global, Inc.	M	34,921	SIU	
Mars Petcare	M	49,697	SIU	
Owens Corning Composite Materials LLC	M	32,152	SIU	
QualServ Corp.	M	NO DISCHARGE	CIU	Flow Monitor
Rheem Manufacturing Company	M	3,862	CIU	
Simmons Prepared Foods, Inc.	M	60,001	SIU	
St. Edward Mercy Medical Center	M	41,255	SIU	
Trane (Outfall #001)	M	7,329	CIU	
Trane (Outfall #002)	M	3,586	CIU	
International Paper	M	3,323	IU	
Whirlpool Corp.	M		SIU	
Total Gallons		343,602		
Total (MGD)		0.34		
		Industrial Monitoring		
Industrial User:	WWTP Basin:	Flow (GPD)	Classes	
City of Arkoma (Outfall #001)	P	495,925	SIU	
City of Arkoma (Outfall #002)	P	16,508	SIU	
City of Arkoma (Outfall #003)	P	107,825	SIU	
Dixie Consumer Products LLC	P	63,779	IU	
Fort Smith Plating Co., Inc.	P	24,711	CIU	
Hickory Springs Mfg. Company	P	6,219	CIU	
Hiland Dairy Co.	P	59,805	SIU	
Sparks Regional Medical Center (Outfall #001)	P	96,000	SIU	
Sparks Regional Medical Center (Outfall #002)	P	9,962	SIU	
Temple-Inland (dba International Paper)	P	3,500	IU	
Twin River Foods (Navy Road)	P	64,656	SIU	
Tyson Foods, Inc.	P	8,310	IU	
Weldon, Williams & Lick, Inc.	P	6,907	IU	
Total Gallons		964,107		
Total (MGD)		0.96		

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Hiland Dairy Foods

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - P-Street POTW

Industry Contacts: Jeff Ventimiglia

Date and Time of Visit: June 6, 2017; 1330 - 1400

Description of Manufacturing Process:
Hiland Dairy processes and bottles fluid milk at this location.

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Processing/bottling room floor drains, sanitary sewer, breakroom

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average daily flow >25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Equalization tank, sludge tank, strainer, chemical mixing tank, and DAF. Chemical treatment includes coagulants, sulfuric acid, and caustic added as needed to meet discharge parameters.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:
Outfall/sampling location coordinates: 35.380593, -94.422989
Facility retains contract lab for sample collection and analysis

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Sparks Regional Medical Center

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - P-Street POTW

Industry Contacts: Karl Potts & Brian Ramsey

Date and Time of Visit: June 6, 2017; 1400-1450

Description of Manufacturing Process:
None - this is a medical facility

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Hospital floor drains, sanitary sewer, cafeteria, kitchen, and breakrooms

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average daily flow >25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
This facility does not conduct any pretreatment. The facility is regulated under the City's pretreatment program due to average daily flow. Flow is monitored at two manhole locations. Outfall 001 flow is monitored using a flowmeter and Outfall 002 flow is calculated based upon the buildings city water usage.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Outfall coordinates:	Outfall 001	35.376394,	-94.419602
	Outfall 002	35.374339,	-94.420189

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Twin Rivers Foods, Inc.

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - P-Street POTW

Industry Contacts: Jesus Sanchez & Ernesto Mendoza

Date and Time of Visit: June 6, 2017; 1500 - 1545

Description of Manufacturing Process:
Poultry slaughtering and processing

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Processing room floor drains, equipment wash down, sanitary sewer; breakroom

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average daily flow > 25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Influent screening, combined equalization tank and aeration tank (lime added), ionic and cationic mixing tank, DAF, sludge holding tank, flowmeter; effluent manhole

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:
Outfall/sampling location coordinates: 35.367265, -94.429335
Facility retains contract lab for sample collection and analysis

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: QualServ Corporation

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - Massard POTW

Industry Contacts: Bobbie Edgington

Date and Time of Visit: June 7, 2017; 0830 - 0910

Description of Manufacturing Process:

This facility processes raw materials into commercial restaurant and retail equipment, which includes metal fabrication, custom wooden furniture, seating, and décor. The process includes powder coating and metal finishing.

Sources of Process Wastewater:

Equipment condensate; processing room floor drains

Categorical Industry? Yes - metal finishing

Basis for Limits: N/A

Point of Application: None - no discharge

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:

This facility collects all of its process wastewater in 55 gallon drums and has a contractor dispose of the wastewater in conjunction with its hazardous waste disposal procedures. The facility remains regulated by the City's pretreatment program based on its categorical status. The facility continues to conduct inspections to verify the no-discharge designation, but sampling is not conducted at this location.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:

Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

None

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Kraft - Heinz Foods Global

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - Massard POTW

Industry Contacts: Royce Hall & Gary Brown

Date and Time of Visit: June 7, 2017; 0915 - 0945

Description of Manufacturing Process:

Manufacturing of oil cooked and dry roasted peanuts/tree nuts. Peanut process includes cleaning, blanching, oil cooking or dry roasting, packaging, palletizing, and shipping. Tree nut process includes steam sterilization, oil cooking or dry roasting, packaging, palletizing, and shipping.

Sources of Process Wastewater:

Process room floor drains, equipment condensate, sanitary sewer; break room

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average flow >25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:

Surge tank with screening, two aerated equalization tanks with pH and temperature adjustment, a DAF system; which includes chemical treatment, sludge tank, activated sludge track, flowmeter, Parshall flume, and outfall manhole

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:

Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Outfall/sampling location coordinates: 35.303690, -94.390530

Facility retains contract lab for sample collection and analysis

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Hiram Walker Pernod Richard USA

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - Massard POTW

Industry Contacts: Scott Horton & Randy Williams

Date and Time of Visit: June 7, 2017; 1000 - 1040

Description of Manufacturing Process:
Blending, bottling, and distribution of alcoholic beverages.

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Floor drains from process rooms including fermentation rooms, mixing/blending rooms, bottling rooms, and packaging rooms, sanitary sewer, break room; tasting room

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average flow > 25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Three lift stations onsite lift process wastewater to pretreatment plant. Pretreatment consists of three equalization tanks, two bio-tower sumps, pH and nutrient adjustment, bio-tower, two clarifiers, activated sludge aerations, flowmeter, Parshall flume, and outfall. Re-circulation is possible throughout the system, and water from the bio-tower sump is sent to extended aeration before overflowing back into clarifiers.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:
Outfall/sampling location coordinates: 35.310702, -94.385286
Facility retains contract lab for sample collection and analysis

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Mars Petcare US, Inc.

POTW Name: Fort Smith, City of - Massard POTW

Industry Contacts: John Lairamoare

Date and Time of Visit: June 7, 2017; 1045 - 1130

Description of Manufacturing Process:
Manufacturing of wet pet food products for dogs and cats. Both flex tub and small can formats are used for packaging.

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Receiving areas to include liquids, dries, frozen, and packaging
Storage areas to include liquid dries, frozen, and packaging
Process areas to include hand adds, color holding tanks, grinding, slicer/dicer, gravy mixer, meat mixer, steam, water, thaw bath, mixing areas, holding hoppers, metal detectors, surge hopper, filler cube handling, dewatering, loading, unloading, and packaging

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Average flow >25,000 gpd

Point of Application: End of pretreatment process

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Bar screen & grinder, rotary screen, holding tank, flocculation mixing tank, DAF, Sequencing Batch Reactor, Parshall flume; flowmeter

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Permittee has an SPCC plan, which has been submitted to and approved by the control authority.

Sampling Location and Equipment:
Outfall/sampling location coordinates: 35.306556, -94.322485
Facility retains contract lab for sample collection and analysis

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

		CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	<u>Dannielle Gray</u>	
NAME OF FACILITY:	<u>City of Fort Smith - Massard POTW</u>	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	<u>AR0021750</u>	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	<u>June 6 -7, 2017</u>	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	<u>16</u>	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	<u>6</u>	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	<u>0</u>	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	<u>0</u>	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	<u>1 in 2016 (Simmons) 0 in 2017</u>	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	<u>1</u>	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	<u>0</u>	SNIN