ADEO	WATER DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT						
ADLQ		IN: 70-00341 PI	ERMIT #: AR0033723		[DATE: 8/20/2019	
A R K A N S A S	CC	UNTY: 70 Union		PDS	#: 109	384	MEDIA: WN
Department of Environmental Quality	GF	S LAT: 33.17691	6 LONG: -92.574	4 92 L	OCAT	ION: EI	ntrance
FACILITY INFORMAT	ION		IN	SPEC	TION I	NFORM	MATION
NAME: City of El Dorado - South Plant			FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: 1 - Municipal 101531 S - State				
LOCATION: 325 Quail Crossing CITY:			FACILITY EVALUATION RATIN 5 - Satisfactory				eatment Compliance
El Dorado, AR 71730			(-)			TIME: 15	PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE:
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC			8/20/2019 0	9:02	13	15	01/01/2015 PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE:
NAME: / TITLE		•					12/31/2019
Veronica Smith-Creer / Mayor							
COMPANY: City of El Dorado			FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: N				
MAILING ADDRESS:			FAYETTEVILLE SHALE VIOLATIONS: N				
P.O. Box 2170		INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS					
CITY, STATE, ZIP:	NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL/ETC.:						
El Dorado AR 71730 PHONE & EXT: / FAX:			Jay Honeycutt/Pretreatment Coordinator/870-814-1764				
870-862-7911 /							
EMAIL:							
mayorsmith-creer@eldoradoar.org							
CONTACTED DURING INSPECTION	No						
(S=S	atisfac	AREA EVA tory, M=Marginal, U=Unsati	LUATIONS sfactory, N=Not Applicable	/Evaluated	d)		
S PERMIT	**	FLOW MEASUF	REMENT	**	STO	RMWA	TER
S RECORDS/REPORTS	**	LABORATORY		**	FAC	ILITY S	ITE REVIEW
** OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	**	EFFLUENT/REC	CEIVING WATER	**	SELI	F-MON	ITORING PROGRAM
** SAMPLING	**	SLUDGE HAND	LING/DISPOSAL	**	PRE	TREAT	MENT
** OTHER:							
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS							
No violations were noted at the time of the inspection.							

GENERAL COMMENTS

On August 20, 2019, I performed a Pretreatment Compliance Inspection (PCI) on the City of El Dorado with the above participants in attendance. This inspection consisted of a program and records review as well as a site visit to two Industrial Users (IU).

Records Review:

City of El Dorado has submitted the pretreatment annual report for the past three years and they were deemed complete. During the records review, I viewed a copy of the pretreatment ordinance and program overview. City of El Dorado administers a pretreatment program that is compliant with 40 CFR 433 and all pretreatment requirements in their permit. City of El Dorado conducts industrial surveys and maintains copies of the surveys. All sampling is conducted by the City of El Dorado, and I reviewed the sampling information as well as the analysis and Chains of Custody (COC) and the records were deemed complete. Batch sampling and discharging is conducted by most of the pretreatment facilities, in which City of El Dorado conducts sampling up to 20 times per year for the batch discharges. Sampling is conducted; and when the analysis is received and the effluent is below the limits set by the pretreatment program, City of El Dorado allows the discharge to the POTW. Only one sampling event conducted in 2018 had exceedances that needed to be addressed. The pretreatment program has six significant users of which four are categorical industries. All records were deemed complete.

Site Visits:

Site visits were conducted at Miller Transport and Prescolite – Reflector Plant. Miller Transport has not discharged effluent that would meet any conditions for the pretreatment as they have discontinued the practice of cleaning tank interiors. Prescolite – Reflector Plant has an anodizing line for aluminum reflectors and does not have batch sampling. See complete IU site visit reports for details.

Milles	
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: Michael Young	DATE: 9/6/2019
Kenri MS Cale	
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:Kerri McCabe	DATE: 9/18/2019

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality:	_	City of El Dorado				
AFIN Number:	70-00341					
NPDES Permit Number	er(s):	AR0033723 (South Plan	t); AR0033936 (North Plant)			
Program Tracked und	er NPDES Pern	nit Number:	AR0033723 (South Plant)			
Fact Sheet Preparatio	n Date:					
Date of Last PCI/Audi	t:	June 12, 2014				
Date of Last Annual R	eport:	March 1, 2019				
Name of Inspector:	Mich	ael Young				
Date PCI Performed:	Au	gust 20, 2019				
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Jay Honeycutt, Pretreatment Coordinator, 870-862-6451						
Name and Title of Other Participants:						
Number of IUs Visited	:	2				
Name(s) of IUs Visited	d:	Miller Transports and P	rescolite			
AN IU SITE VISIT FO	RM SHOULD B	E COMPLETED FOR EACH	I IU VISITED			

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

pretreatment program (see IU visit). 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? N/A 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Distribute surveys; pay attention to new business and chamber of commerce. of commerce. 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 6 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 4 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	1.	List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. No change. Miller Transport is in the process of discontinuing								
3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Distribute surveys; pay attention to new business and chamber of commerce.		pretreatment program (see IU visit).								
 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Distribute surveys; pay attention to new business and chamber of commerce. 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 4 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing 	2.	Has ADEQ or EPA beer	notified of these changes?	<u>N/A</u>						
Distribute surveys; pay attention to new business and chamber of commerce. 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 6 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 4 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIAL	USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDA	TED? Yes						
 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) <u>6</u> 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: <u>4</u> 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? <u>40 CFR 433 and SIC Code</u> 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transport Transportation Cleaning 	4.	Distribute surveys; pag								
the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 6 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 4 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning		of commerce.								
7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	5.	the definition used by th	e POTW. (This number must be	_6						
standards to apply to an IU? 40 CFR 433 and SIC Code 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	6.	Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 4								
program.Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.)Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.Name of IU:Category:Regulated Process: AnodizingPrescolite ReflectorMetal FinishingAnodizingMilbank Mfg.Metal FinishingPhosphatizingMiller TransportTransportation CleaningEquipment Cleaning	7.									
zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary. Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: Prescolite Reflector Metal Finishing Anodizing Milbank Mfg. Metal Finishing Phosphatizing Miller Transport Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	8.	•								
comments section if necessary.Name of IU:Category:Regulated Process:Prescolite ReflectorMetal FinishingAnodizingMilbank Mfg.Metal FinishingPhosphatizingMiller TransportTransportation CleaningEquipment Cleaning		as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating,								
Name of IU:Category:Regulated Process:Prescolite ReflectorMetal FinishingAnodizingMilbank Mfg.Metal FinishingPhosphatizingMiller TransportTransportation CleaningEquipment Cleaning		zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the								
Prescolite ReflectorMetal FinishingAnodizingMilbank Mfg.Metal FinishingPhosphatizingMiller TransportTransportation CleaningEquipment Cleaning		comments section if nec	essary.							
Milbank Mfg.Metal FinishingPhosphatizingMiller TransportTransportation CleaningEquipment Cleaning										
Duckwater Services Transportation Cleaning Equipment Cleaning	Duc	kwater Services	Transportation Cleaning	Equipment Cleaning						

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? <u>Yes</u>

- 2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits.
 None
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

Pollutant:	Frequency:	Requirement in Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Metals:				
Influent:	4/year	4/year	Quarterly	
Effluent:	4/year	4/year	Quarterly	
Sludge:	Annually	Annually	Annually	
Organics: Influent:	Annually	Annually	<u> </u>	
Effluent:	Annually	Annually		
Sludge:	N/A	N/A		Sludge returned to ponds
		or upsets at the POTW		

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? There have been no upsets or inhibitions.

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, 1. agreement, etc.) required by the approved program?

Yes; permit

- 2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 5 Significant Users; 3 Non-significant Users
- 3. DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. All Significant IUs have current permits.
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

5.

An expiration date:	Yes
Discharge limitations:	Yes
If the program requires self-moning Permits contain:	coring by the IUs, do the
IU self-monitoring requirements:	Yes
IU reporting requirements:	Yes
Indicate which of the following reconditions are contained in the co	
.	

Sample location:	Yes				
Type of sample:	Yes				
Monitoring frequency:	Y	es			
Bypass prohibition:	Yes	;			
Right of entry:	Yes				
Nontransferability:	Yes	;			
Revocation clause:	Yes				
Penalty Provisions:	Yes				
Slug load notification:		Yes			
Notification of process char	nge:		Yes		

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1. Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:

	Sampling: categorical IUs other SIUs Inspection: categorical IUs other SIUs	Current frequency: Batch loads are tes N/A Annually N/A	ted	Program Requirement: Twice/Year N/A Annually N/A
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN INSPE REQUIRED BY THE APPRO		D AT THE FRE Yes	QUENCY
3.	Are inspections announced or	unannounced?		Unannounced
4.	Are records kept of each inspe	ection?	Yes	
5.	Does the inspection report con the following:			
	Date and time of inspection:	Yes		
	Officials present:	Yes		_
	Inspection of chemical storage	e areas:	Yes	
	Description of regulated proce discharge location of these wa		e streams, and	es
	Inspection of the pretreatment	facilities:	Ye	es
	Review of self-monitoring reco	ords:	Yes	
	Observation of IU self-monitor	ing procedures:		Yes
	Verification that approved ana	lytical techniques are	used:	Yes
	Verification of IU flow measure):	Yes	
6. _	Overall adequacy of inspectio ADEQ inspection templates			This facility uses

7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes

8.	Are analyses performed in	accordance with EPA-approved
	methods (40 CFR 136)?	Yes

9.	Are sampling	and flo	ow monitorir	ig equipment properly
	maintained?	_	Yes	

10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes				
11.		oling location re on system?	presentative of the discharge to Yes)	

12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
--

Yes

13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency?

14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring John Peppers, Superintendent, reviews reports. reports?

ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT 15. ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes

16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? Phone call informs of issue, letter is sent; NOV issued if problem persists.

18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)? Yes

Other environmental perm	its held:	Yes	
Description of operations:	_	Yes	
Process flow diagrams:	Yes		
Flow measurements:	Yes		
Measurements of regulate	d pollutants:		Yes
Certification of compliance	by the IU:		Yes
Compliance schedule (if no	eeded):	Yes	
			er and Miller complete pl

1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Amercable had lead issue; increased sampling.

2.	How does the POTW respond to the following violations?					
	Effluent limitation	S:	Phone call and letter			
	Late reports:	Phone call				
	Unpermitted discl	harges:	Send out survey			
	Slug loads or spil	ls:	Monitor plant influent			
3.	IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? No significant					
4.	List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.					
Name: Type of Viola		Type of Violati	on: Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:		

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: Batch testing is an effective way to manage if there are no slug loads.	
<u>F. P(</u>	DTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE	
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program?	Yes
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? Yes	
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes	
<u>G. M</u>	ULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES	
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: Yes	
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area?	Yes
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? Yes	
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators?	None
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues:	None

Mr. Honeycutt completes all required sampling and necessarypaperwork for the pretreatment program and has a very goodworking relationship with the industrial users in the program.Mr. Peppers has done thorough reviews of the program andsubmits required reports in a very timely fashion.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry:	Miller Transport				
POTW Name: City of El Dorado					
Industry Contacts:	Richard Freeman, Terminal Manager				
Date and Time of Visit:	August 20, 2019 ; 11:12				
Description of Manufacturing F Interior tank washing; exteri					
	Sources of Process Wastewater: Tank interiors and equipment washing				
Categorical Industry?	Yes				
Basis for Limits:	Categorical Pretreatment				
Point of Application:	Discharge to POTW				
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Initial Tank > oil/water separator > chemical pH adjustment > batch sampling > POTW after sampling					
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Spill kits, ER wagon, and absorbent pads/booms					
	ucted in two years because Miller Transport has discontinued the				
	d only does minimal equipment cleaning. Miller Transport is in the pretreatment program. This inspection verified no interior tank				
washing is taking place curr					

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU	SIT	E VIS	IT F	ORM
----	-----	-------	------	-----

Name of Industry:	Prescolite - Reflector Plant				
POTW Name: City of El	Dorado				
Industry Contacts:	Michael L. Phillips, Engineering Manager				
Date and Time of Visit:	August 20, 2019 ; 11:33				
Description of Manufacturing Process: <u>Aluminum disk spun > aluminum disk buffed > aluminum disk cleaned in process baths</u> <u>> bright dip process > anodizing</u>					
	Sources of Process Wastewater: Cleaning baths, bright dip; anodizing				
Categorical Industry?	Yes				
Basis for Limits:	Categorical Pretreatment				
Point of Application:	Discharge to POTW				
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: pH adjustment in equalization tank > chemical addition (floc) > POTW					
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Spill kits; absorbent pads/booms					
Sampling Location and Equipment: Sampling takes place at an outfall prior to discharging to POTW and after final pretreatment.					

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Michael Young	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of El Dorado	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0033723	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	August 20, 2019	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	5	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	4	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	_ PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	_ MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	_ SNIN