

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

December 16, 2019

Gregory Dell, Chief Operating Officer Conway Corporation P.O. Box 99 Conway, AR 72033

RE: Tupelo Bayou WWTP Inspections (Faulkner Co) AFIN: 23-01095 NPDES Permit No.: AR0051951

Dear Mr. Dell:

On November 5, 2019, I performed a Compliance Evaluation Inspection and an SSO/Collection System Inspection of the above-referenced site in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of each of the inspection reports is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspections. Please refer to each of the attached inspection reports for any comments.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at <u>beck@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or (479) 968-7339 ext. 16.

Sincerely,

-Amy Beck

Amy Beck District 5 Field Inspector Water Division

			OFFICE O		R QUALITY INSPECTION REPORT					
ARKANSAS ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT		AF	FIN: 23-01095 PI	ERMIT #: AR0051	#: AR0051951			0	DATE:	11/5/2019
		CC	DUNTY: 23 Faulki	ner	F	PDS #	t: 110 3	382		MEDIA: WN
		GF	S LAT: 35.05166	0 LONG: -92.535	53	65 L	CAT	ION: EI	ntranc	e
	FACILITY INFORMAT	ION	1		IS			NFORM	OITAN	N
NAME: Tupelo Bayou WWTP				FACILITY TYPE: 1 - Municipal		INSPECTO 3653	or id#: 7 S - S			
14	05 Lollie Road			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING	,			•		e Evaluation
-	nway					RY TIME:	EXIT 1 14:		PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE: 1/1/2017	
	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAI					14.			U17 EXPIRATION DATE:
	egory Dell / Chief Operating Offi								12/31	/2021
COM	PANY:	cer		FAYETTEVILLE	5	SHALE	E REL	ATED:	N	
				FAYETTEVILLE	5	SHALE			NS: N	
	D. Box 99			INS	S	PECT	ION P	ARTIC		ſS
	STATE, ZIP:			NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAI			nmon	tal Coo	rdinat	or 501_450_
	nway AR 72033 NE & EXT: / FAX:			Trey Lieblong, Environmental Coordinator, 501-450- 6080, trey.lieblong@conwaycorp.com;						
	1-450-6063 / 501-450-6093			,						
EMAI	் eg.dell@conwaycorp.com			Kenny Beaty, Lab Supervisor, 501-548-3074,						
	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION	·No		kenny.beaty@conwaycorp.com;						
•				isfactory, N=Not Applicable/	e/Ev					
S S	PERMIT RECORDS/REPORTS	S S	FLOW MEASUF			S S		RMWA LITY S		
S	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	S		CEIVING WATER		S				
S	SAMPLING	S		LING/DISPOSAL		S		TREAT		
**	OTHER:									
			SUMMARY C	OF FINDINGS						
Th	e inspection reveals the facility is	; in (compliance with	the permit.						
			GENERAL (COMMENTS						
Mr	On November 5, 2019, I conducted a compliance inspection at Conway Corporation's Tupelo Bayou WWTF with Mr. Trey Lieblong (License #008343) and Mr. Kenny Beaty (License #004278). The inspection consisted of record review and facility assessment.									
<u>Re</u>	Record review:									
	DMR review from January 2017 – November 2019 reveals the facility has not had effluent limit violation during this period. A detailed record check was performed for August 2019. Records are complete and well-organized.									
Site assessment:										

The treatment system consists of bar screens, grit removal, primary clarifiers, multi-zone aeration basins, final clarifiers, and UV disinfection. Removed sludge is processed through gravity thickening, and primary and secondary digesters. The treatment plant began operating in 2014. The treatment system is well-maintained and operated.

-Amy Beck	
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: C Amy Beck	DATE: 12/12/2019
Kenri MS Cale	
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:Kerri McCabe	DATE: 12/13/2019

Page 3 of 13

Inspection Report: Tupelo Bayou WWTP, AFIN: 23-01095, Permit #: AR0051951

Inspection Report: Tupelo Bayou WWTP , AFIN: 23-01095 , Permit #: <i>I</i>	AR0051951
SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	ØS OM OU ONA ONE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	Øy 🗆n 🗆na 🗇ne
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	DY DN ØNA DNE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	Øy On Ona One
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	
DETAILS:	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	
 PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR: 	
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	Øs 🗆 m 🗇 u 🗆 na 🗆 ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🗇na 🗇ne
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🗇na 🗇ne
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🗇na 🗇ne
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🗇na 🗇ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	<u> </u>

SECTION D: SAMPLING									
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS									
DETAILS:									
SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:									
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:									
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:									
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:									
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:									
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:									
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:									
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:									
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:									
 IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR: 									
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT									
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS									
DETAILS:									
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: Yes TYPE OF DEVICE: 5 ft. Par Flume									
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:									
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:									
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:									
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:									
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:									
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:									
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:									
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:									
SECTION F: LABORATORY									
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS									
DETAILS:									
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :									
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:									
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:									
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:									
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:									
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:									
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:									
a. LAB NAME:									
b. LAB ADDRESS:									
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED:									
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:									
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:									
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:									
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:									
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:									

Inspection Report: Tupelo Bayou WWTP, AFIN: 23-01095, Permit #: AR0051951

SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS										
BASED ON VISUAL OBSERVATIONS ONLY										
DETAILS:	LS: Observations taken at flume.									
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER			
001	No	No	No	No	No	Clear				
				•						
SECTION H	I: SLUDGE DIS	POSAL								
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS										
DETAILS:										
1. SLUDGE M	IANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			⊠s ⊡m				
2. SLUDGE R	ECORDS MAINTAINE	O AS REQUIRED BY 40) CFR 503:			⊡s ⊡m	DU DNA ØNE			
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST,	AGRICULTURAL, PU	BLIC CONTACT SITE): P	ermit 4853-WR-5 appli	cation sites.			
SECTION I:	SAMPLING IN	SPECTION PRO	CEDURES							
SAMPLE F	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	S			U ØNA □NE			
DETAILS:										
1. SAMPLES	OBTAINED THIS INSP	ECTION:				Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
2. TYPE OF S	AMPLE: GRAB:		IETHOD: FREQUE	NCY:						
	PRESERVED:					Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
4. FLOW PRC	PORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:				Πı	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
5. SAMPLE O	BTAINED FROM FACI	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	/ICE:			Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
6. SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:			Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTE	E:				Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
8. CHAIN-OF-	CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:				Πr	□n Øna □ne			
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IT:			Πı	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
SECTION J	: STORM WAT	ER POLLUTION	PREVENTION	PLAN						
STORM W	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS	6		U ØNA ⊡NE			
DETAILS:					·					
1. SWPPP UP	DATED AS NEEDED:	DATE OF LAST UP	DATE:			ΠY	□n Øna □ne			
2. SITE MAP I	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:			Πı	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
3. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	IDENTIFIED:				Πı	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
4. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	PROPERLY TRAINED):			Πr	🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne			
5. LIST OF PC	DTENTIAL POLLUTAN	T SOURCES:				ΠY	□n Øna □ne			
6. LIST OF PC	DTENTIAL SOURCES	AND PAST SPILLS ANI	D LEAKS:			ΠY	□n Øna □ne			
7. ALL NON-S	TORM WATER DISCH	ARGES ARE AUTHOR	IZED:			ΠY	□n Øna □ne			
8. LIST OF ST	RUCTURAL BMPS:					Πr	□n Øna □ne			
9. LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:				Πr	□n Øna □ne			
10. BMPS PRC	PERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:				ΠY	□n Øna □ne			
11. INSPECTIO	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:				ΠY	□n Øna □ne			

Inspection Report: Tupelo Bayou WWTP, AFIN: 23-01095, Permit #: AR0051951

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Date: 11	/05/2019	Time: 11:	:27								
Head in Ind	ches:	Feet:	0.62								
Type & Siz	e of Primary F	-low Measurer	<u>ment Device</u>	<u>: 5 ft. P</u>	arshall	flume					
					I _						
Name & M	Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device: Siemens Hydro Ranger 200										
				<u> </u>							
Date of las	t Calibration o	of Secondary F	low Device:								
Deserded	Flow at Data (& Time Listed		3 MGD		/ _					
Recorded	Flow at Date d		Above. 0.3			(Facility	/ Flow Meter)				
	Flow at Date	& Time Listed	Above: 6	.055 MG	20						
		ts in: ISCO Open C				(-5 th Edition)					
0/	Recorded V	/alue - Cal	culated Valu	e v							
% Error =		Calculated Val		00							
0/	6.33	-	6.055								
% Error =		6.33			00						
	0.275	X 400									
% Error =	6.33	— X 100									
% Error =	0.043	X 100									
% Error =	4.3	%									
Comments:											
-											

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	2019 Year	08 Month	01 Day	_ To _	2019 Year	08 Month	<u>31</u> Day		
Parameter Checked:		BOD5	-							
		Loading Mass			Concentration Monthly					
	Mo.	Mo. Avg Ibs/day			vg r	ng/l	7-day Avg	mg/l		
Reported Value:	141.1			4			4.7			
Calculated Value:	e: 141.1			4			4.7			
Permit Value:		4000			30		45			

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	<u>2019</u> Year	08 Month	01 Day	_ To _	2019 Year	08 Month	<u>31</u> Day	
Parameter Checked:		FCB	-						
		Loading Mass					ntration nthly		
	Mo.	Mo. Avg Ibs/day			Mo. Avg. – col/100 ml			vg. –) ml	
Reported Value:		N/A			7			30	
Calculated Value:	N/A			7			30		
Permit Value:		N/A			200		400)	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:







Figure 1. Google Earth image dated October 2018 showing components of the facility's treatment system.

