

November 7, 2022

Darrell Phillips, General Manager & CEO Paragould Light, Water & Cable P.O. Box 9 Paragould, AR 72451 Via email to: <u>dphillips@paragould.com</u> & <u>dromine@paragould.com</u>

RE: Paragould Light, Water & Cable Pretreatment Inspection AFIN: 28-00047 Permit No.: AR0033766

Dear Mr. Phillips:

On December 8, 2021, I performed a Pretreatment Compliance Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to the inspection report for any comments.

If I can be of any assistance please contact me at <u>frasher@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or 870-935-7221 ext. -15.

Sincerely,

Sarah Frasher Inspector, Office of Water Quality 5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR, 72118

(July	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	OFFICE OF WATER QUALITY INSPECTION REPORT						
(EN		AFIN: 28-00047 PERMIT #: AR0033766				DATE: 12/8/2021		
ERGY		CC	UNTY: 28- Gree	ne	PDS	#: 12334	1	MEDIA: WN
		GP	S LAT: 36.03147	1 LONG: -90.491	622 L	OCATIO	N: Er	ntrance
	FACILITY INFORMAT	ION		IN	SPEC	TION INF	ORN	MATION
	ः ragould Light, Water & Cable गठगः			FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: Municipal 112347 S - State				
	1 Grant Lane			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING	JATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE: Pretreatment Compliance			
	ragould			(-)	TRY TIME:	EXIT TIME 15:30		
	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC			12/0/2021 3.	UL.	10.00	,	3/1/2021 PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE: 2/28/2026
	rrell Phillips / General Manager &	& CE	EO	FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: N				
Ра	ragould Light, Water & Cable			FAYETTEVILLE	-			
	ng address: D. Box 9							
CITY, STATE, ZIP: Paragould AR 72451 PHONE & EXT: / FAX:				NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL/ETC: Lisa Ellington, PhD/ Environmental Services Manager David Romine, P.E./ WWTP Operations Manager				
EMAI	, L:							
СС	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION:	No						
	(5.5				Fuelwater	n		
S	PERMIT	N	FLOW MEASUF	isfactory, N=Not Applicable/ REMENT	N	STORN	/WA	TER
S	RECORDS/REPORTS	Ν	LABORATORY		Ν			ITE REVIEW
S	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE				ITORING PROGRAM			
N	SAMPLING	N SLUDGE HANDLING/DISPOSAL S PRETREATMENT			MENI			
N OTHER: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS								
No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. The PLWC Pretreatment staff members are very knowledgeable of the program requirements. Records are well organized and PLWC maintains a good working relationship with all of its industries.								
			GENERAL (COMMENTS				
Industrial User inspections for Nidec and DRiV Automotive were also performed in conjunction with this inspection.								
Wes Klasky, Jonesboro Area Inspector, and Brent Walker, Inspector Supervisor, also participated in this inspection.								
God Jul								
INS	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		Sarah F	rasher				DATE: 2/4/2022
SU	SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: Brent L. Walker DATE: 11/4/2022					DATE: 11/4/2022		

DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: Paragould Light, Water & Cable

AFIN Number: **28-000470**

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0033766

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: Yes

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: 2/6/2012

Date of Last PCI/Audit: 6/21/2017

Date of Last Annual Report: 3/22/2021

Name of Inspector: Sarah Frasher

Date PCI Performed: 12/08/2021

Name and Title of Facility Representative: Lisa Ellington, PhD./ Environmental Services Manager

Name and Title of Other Participants: David Romine, P.E./ WWTP Operations Manager Wes Klasky/ Jonesboro Area Inspector Brent Walker/ Inspector Supervisor

Number of IUs Visited: 2

Name(s) of IUs Visited: DRiV Automotive and Nidec

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

1. List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. $N/{\rm A}$

2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? N/A

3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes

4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? PLWC is in the process of updating the IU survey. Updates are performed on any new electrical hook-ups every month. Collection system employees are trained on any possible unusual discharges.

5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6): 9

6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 6

7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? $40\ CFR\ 403$

8. List all of the Categorical IUs discharging under the approved program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category (i.e. Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (i.e. phosphating, zinc plating, etc.). Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Category:	Regulated Process:
SIU	Metal Finisher
SIU	Healthcare
SIU	Rubber Extruder
SIU	Metal Finisher
SIU	Aluminum Die Caster
	(No discharge)
SIU	Metal Finisher
NSIU	Metal Finisher
	(No discharge)
NSIU	Metal Finisher
	(No discharge)
NSIU	Non-Categorical
	SIU SIU SIU SIU SIU SIU SIU NSIU NSIU

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? N/A

2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. N/A

3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

Pollutant:	Sampling	Permit	Program			
POITULAILE:	Frequency	Requirement	Requirement			
Metals:						
Influent:	4/year	4/year	Not req.			
Effluent:	4/year	4/year	Not req.			
Sludge:	4/year	N/A	Not req.			
Organics:						
Influent:	1/year	1/year	Not req.			
Effluent:	1/year	1/year	Not req.			
Sludge:	1/year	N/A	Not req.			
Comments:						
4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since						
the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by						
industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the						

City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these

actions effective? None

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? **Yes**

2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? ${\bf 9}$

3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes

4. Does the control document contain the following items? List the section of the permit each item is listed under.

An expiration date: Yes

Discharge limitations: Yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the permits contain the following information? List the section of the permit each requirement is listed under.

IU self-monitoring requirements: N/A

IU reporting requirements: N/A

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents. List the section of the permit each requirement is listed under.

Sample location: Yes

Type of sample: Yes

Monitoring frequency: Yes

Bypass prohibition: Yes

Right of entry: Yes

Non-transferability: Yes

Revocation clause: Yes

Penalty Provisions: Yes

Slug load notification: Yes

Notification of process change: Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW					
1. Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below.					
		Current frequency:		Program Requirements:	
Sampling:					
Categorical IUs		4/year		2/year	
Other SIUs		1-2/month		2/year	
Non-SIUs		As determined as necessary		N/A	
Inspection:					
Categorical IUs		1/year		1/year	
Other SIUs		1/year		1/year	
Non-SIUs		As determined as necessary		N/A	
Comments:		· · · ·			
2. HAS EACH SIU BEEN I REQUIRED BY THE APPROV		SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT T PROGRAM? Yes	'H	E FREQUENCY	
~ -					
3. Are inspections ann	.01	nced or unannounced? An	n	ounced	
	-				
4. Are records kept of	e	each inspection? Yes			
5. Does the inspection the following:	. 1	report contain an adequa	t	e description of	
Date and time of inspec	ct	ion: Yes			
Officials present: Yes					
Inspection of chemical					
		processes, categorical	V	waste streams, and	
		ese waste streams: Yes			
		atment facilities: Yes			
Review of self-monitor		-		-	
		conitoring procedures: Ye			
Verification that approved analytical techniques are used: N/A Verification of IU flow measurement (where required): N/A					
VELITICATION OF TO TIOW MEASUREMENT (WHELE LEGUITED). N/A					
6. Please describe the overall adequacy of inspection documentation:					
Adequate					
7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN					
		NOT NECESSARY TO SAM			
POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes					
8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved					

methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes

9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? **Yes**

10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes (maintained by PLWC)

11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? **Yes**

12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes

13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes

14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Calendar reminder and a sent by email with a read receipt notification.

15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? N/A

16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? PLWC Laboratory notifies Lab Services Manager of a permit limit violation. The facility with the violation is contacted via email or phone call within 24 hours. The facility is resampled within a week. A Notice of Violation is written at the end of the month with 15 days to respond. If a response has not been received then an email is sent with a warning. Another Notice of Violation is written for the lack of response and the GM/CEO is notified.

18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)? No new users to require BMR

Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR.

Name and address: N/E

Other environmental permits held: N/A

Description of operations: N/A

Process flow diagrams: Yes

Flow measurements: N/A

Measurements of regulated pollutants: N/A

Certification of compliance by the IU: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N/A}}$

Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A

19. Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: $N/{\rm A}$

E. Enforcement

1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes

2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations? Effluent limitations: Email followed up by Notice of Violation Late reports: Email followed up by Notice of Violation Unpermitted discharges: Email followed up by Notice of Violation Slug loads or spills: Email followed up by Notice of Violation

3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Yes

4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of	Enforcemen	t Compliance
	Violation:	Action:	Deadline:
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Comments o	on the POTW's enf	orcement procedur	es: Adequate

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? **Yes**

2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes

3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? **Yes**

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

1. List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: N/A

2. Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A

3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? N/A

4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A

5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: None

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

PLWC maintains a good working relationship with all its industries. Lisa Ellington is very knowledgeable about the program and monitors the pretreatment program closely. David Romine will be the successor when she retires soon.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Nidec Motor Corporation

POTW Name: PLWC

Industry Contacts: David Snodgrass, Plant Manager; Tim Bishop, Environmental Operator

Date and Time of Visit: 12/8/2021 13:46-14:20

Description of Manufacturing Process: Die caster processes and shaft machine stamping; mold cooling; casting quench

Sources of Process Wastewater: Aluminum die caster processes; rainwater from scrap storage, drum storage and tank farms, noncontact cooling water; part washer; tumbler wastestreams, domestic sanitary wastestream

Categorical Industry? Yes

Basis for Limits: 40 CFR 464.15

Point of Application: No discharge

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Equalization tank to a small holding tank to a DAF unit thence another holding tank to be recirculated in the factory

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Containment area around tank farms; all drains lead to pretreatment system

Sampling Location and Equipment: No discharge to pretreatment except for sanitary sewer

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: DRiV Automotive

POTW Name: PLWC

Industry Contacts: Andy Stickler, Environmental Supervisor; Nick Evans, Operator

Date and Time of Visit: 12/8/2021, 14:31-15:25

Description of Manufacturing Process: Motor vehicle manufacture of shock and struts

Sources of Process Wastewater: Cool down water of the metal finishing process

Categorical Industry? Yes

Basis for Limits: 40 CFR 464.15

Point of Application: Prior to discharge to PLWC

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: **Oil and** water skimmers remove oil and a clarifiers let water settle

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Large EQ Basin and clarifier to hold water and area inside slopes to containment area.

Sampling Location and Equipment: Outfall 001- just prior to final discharge. Facility rents composite samplers with PLWC performing all sampling collections.

Page 15 of 15

Inspection Report: Paragould Light, Water & Cable, AFIN: 28-00470, Permit : AR0033766

PPETS CODE SHEET PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Sarah Frasher	
NAME OF FACILITY:	PLWC	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0033766	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	12/8/2021	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	9	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	6	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	3 (shutdown)	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	N/A	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	N/A	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	N/A	SNIN