

February 7, 2013

Nicholas Renner, Director of Operations Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC 2816 South O Street Fort Smith, AR 72901

Re: Compliance Inspection in response to a complaint. AFIN: 66-01656, NPDES Permit No.: ARR000851

Dear Mr. Renner:

On January 17, 2013, Angela Coats, Oil & Gas Field Inspector and I performed an Industrial Storm Water inspection in response to a complaint. The complaint alleged the facility had numerous discharges from the permitted outfalls during the 2012 calendar year, but submitted a Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) that stated "No Discharges Occurred." This inspection was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. Facility manager was unable to provide documentation confirming that routine facility inspections were conducted during the 2012 calendar year. Permit requires that these inspections must be performed not less than four times per year. This is violation of Part 4.6.10 of the permit.
- 2. During inspection a suspected third outfall was identified located east of the driveway. Currently the facility is not authorized to discharge from this location. This is violation of Part 1.6 of the permit.
- 3. The site map located within the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan does not indicate the frac tank used to store fluids which are initially unloaded by the trucks. This is a violation of Part 4.6.4.1 of the permit.

In regards to the complaint, the 2012 DMRs indicated "No Discharge" for Outfalls 001 and 002. According to the Plant Manager, all industrial processes are located within secondary containment. Since no stormwater that came in contact with industrial processes was discharged, DMRs were submitted with "No Discharge" indicated.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Inspection Branch. This response should be mailed to the address

Renner, Fort Smith Petro Environmental February 5, 2013 Page - 2 -

at the bottom of page 1, or e-mailed to <u>Water-Inspection-Report@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentations is due by **February 21, 2013**.

Sincerely,

Jeff Tyler

District 4 Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Permits Branch

9	EPA													Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003	
		UNIT	ED STATES ENV				ECTIO	N AGEN	NCY						
NPDES Compliance Inspection Report															
	Section A: National Data System Coding														
1	N 2 5 3 A R 1	R	0 0 0	ES 8	5	1		12		Yr/Mo/D 3 0 1		17	Ins _j	pec. Type Inspector Fac. Type W 19 S 20 2	
Remarks Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA										Reserved					
	67 69		70 N	J			71	N	72	N 73	74	75		80	
						Sect	tion 1	B: Fac	cility	Data					
incli Fo	Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC Section B: Facility Data Entry Time/Date 1040 / January 17, 2013 July 1, 2009														
11802 Roberts Blvd. Fort Smith, AR 72916 Exit Time/Date 1215 / January 17, 2013											Permit Expiration Date June 30, 2014				
	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Rich Bumgarner, Plant Manager / 479-242-9645 / cell / 501-499-1424 Other Facility Data										ner Facility Data				
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Nicholas Renner, Director of Operations / 479-262-9645 Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC 2816 South O Street Fort Smith, AR 72901							S# 069931								
			(C – Soti							uring Inspection sfactory, N = Not	Evaluated	`			
S	Permit	N	Flow Meas			· Marg	gmai,	\mathbf{s}		erations & Main		T	U	Sampling	
U	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monite		-	m		N	_	dge Handling/Di		-	M		
N	Facility Site Review	N	Complianc		Ü			N		etreatment	Брози	-	N	Multimedia	
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory					S		rm Water			N	Other:	
	0	Se			f Fin	dings/	/Con	ments	s (Atı	ach additional sl	neets if ne	cessary	7)		
	 During inspection a suspected third outfall was observed east of the driveway which is currently not permitted. Facility did not have any documentation to confirm that any facility inspections were conducted during in 2012. Facility failed to monitor and sample stormwater outfalls 001 and 002 during 2012. Site map within the SWPPP does not indicate the frac tank which receives the fluids which are initially unloaded. 														
	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)			Ark	ansas	Dep		ent o	Fax f Environmental 4-0330	l Quality	/ 479-		Date January 30, 2013	
Sig	nature of Reviewer				Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers					Date					

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: 66-01656	Permit #: «Permit_»

S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatis	nspection Form Legend: sfactory, Y = Yes, N = No, NI = Not Implemented NE = Not Evaluated – in the SWPPP but not implemented in the field v	•
SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION		
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBS	ERVATIONS	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
1.CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMIT	TEE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2.NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFER	RENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
3.NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS	DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4.ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:		□Y ØN □NA □NE
Comments: During inspection a suspected th permitted. Coordinates are 35 18 17.5 and 94	nird stormwater outfall was identified east of driv	eway which is not
SECTION B: STORM WATER POLLUTION	N PREVENTION PLAN EVALUATION	
PERMITTEE SWPPP MEETS PERMIT REQUIR	RMENTS	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
1. Is the SWPPP available for review by ADEQ? (Part 4.2	2)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
2. Does the SWPPP contain facility name, general permi NAICS codes? (Part 4.6.1)	it tracking number, facility physical address, and SIC and	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
3. Pollution Prevention Team		
A. Does the SWPPP identify specific individuals or p	positions?(Part 4.6.2)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
B. Does the SWPPP outline the responsibilities of ea	ach member of the Pollution Prevention Team? (Part 4.6.2)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
4. Does the SWPPP contain a facility description (procestlow of goods and materials through the facility and seasons)		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
5. Does the facility site map contain the following items:	?	
A) The size of the property in acres? (Part 4.6.4.a)		□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
B) The location and extent of significant structures	and impervious surfaces? (Part 4.6.4.b)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
C) The direction of stormwater flow using arrows?	(Part 4.6.4.c)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
D) The locations of all existing structural control m	neasures? (Part 4.6.4.d)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
E) The locations of all receiving wasters in the imme	ediate vicinity of the facility? (Part 4.6.4.e)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
F) The locations of all stormwater conveyances incl	luding ditches, pipes, and swales? (Part 4.6.4.f)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
G) The locations of potential pollutant sources? (P	art 4.6.4.g)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
H) The locations of all stormwater monitoring point	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
 The locations of stormwater inlets and outfalls w indications if one or more outfall is being treated as the areas draining to each outfall? (Part 4.6.4.i) 	vith unique identification code for each outfall with s "substantially identical" and an approximate outline of	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
	eparate storm sewer system (MS4), if applicable? (Part	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
K) The locations and descriptions of all non-stormy	vater discharges identified in the SWPPP? (Part 4.6.4.k)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
L) The locations of the following activities if they are	e exposed to precipitation? (Part 4.6.4.I)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Fueling Stations		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cle	aning areas	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Loading and unloading areas		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Locations used for the treatment, storage, or d	isposal of waste	□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
Liquid storage tanks		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Processing and storage areas		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
products, waste material, or by-byproducts use	traveled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured ed or created by the facility	□Y □N □NI □NA ☑NE
Transfer areas for substances in bulk		□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
Machinery		□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
 M) The locations and sources of run-on to the site f of pollutants? (Part 4.6.4.m) 	rom adjacent property that contains significant quantities	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection AFIN: 66-01656 Permit #: «Permit	t_»
A description of potential pollutant sources A) An inventory of industrial activities which have been or may potentially be sources of significant	
amounts of pollutants? (Part 4.6.5.1)	ØY □N □NI □NA □NE
B) An inventory of all types of materials handled at the site that might potentially be exposed to precipitation? (Part 4.6.5.2)	⊠y □n □ni □na □ne
C) A list of significant spills and significant leaks of toxic or hazardous pollutants that have occurred in areas exposed to precipitation or drained to a stormwater conveyance for three years prior to the effective date of the permit. (Part 4.6.5.3)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
D) A summary of existing discharge sampling data (Part 4.6.5.4)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
E) Risk Identification and Summary of Potential Pollutant Sources (Part 4.6.5.5)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
7. Measures and Controls –SWPPP must describe how these are used.	
A) Best Management Practices (BMPs) (Part 4.6.6.1)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
B) Exposure Minimization (Part 4.6.6.2)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
C) Good Housekeeping (Part 4.6.6.3)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
D) Preventative Maintenance (Part 4.6.6.4)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
E) Spill Prevention and Response Procedures (Part 4.6.6.5)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
F) Employee Training Procedures (Part 4.6.6.6)	□Y □N □NI □NA ☑NE
G) Erosion and Sediment Control (Part 4.6.6.7)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
H) Management of Run-on and Runoff (Part 4.6.6.8)	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
I) Additional Requirements for Salt Storage (Part 4.6.6.9)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
8. Authorized Non-stormwater Discharges (Part 4.6.7) – list must be in SWPPP	□Y □N ☑NI □NA □NE
9. Evaluations and Inspections (Part 4.6.10)	
A) Visual Site Inspections (minimum 4/year) (Part 4.6.10.1)	□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
At least one visual inspection conducted during a rain event	□Y ØN □NI □NA □NE
Inspections recorded and include: date of inspection, person doing inspection; major observations, and corrective actions required.	
B) Comprehensive Site Compliance Evaluation (Annual) (Part 4.6.10.2)	□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
Comments:	
SECTION C: MONITORING	
PERMITTEE MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIRMENTS	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
1. Is the facility one of the four Effluent Guideline Facilities in the Permit? (Cement MFG, Fertilizer MFG, Steam Electric coal pile, or Paving and Roofing Materials)(Part 3.1.1)	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
A) Are all outfalls from the regulated process being sampled? (Part 3.1.3)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
B) If coal pile run off is monitored, are all other stormwater flows excluded? (Part 3.1.1)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
2 Which of the monitoring categories is this facility subject to: (Part 3.3)	
A) Are samples being collected for each semi-annual monitoring period (Part 3.5)	□Y □N ☑NI □NA □NE
B) Are samples being collected from the location specified in the NOI and SWPPP (Part 3.6)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
C) Has the permittee determined that some of the outfalls are similar? (Part 3.7.1)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
Are the conditions on the ground still the same as documented for the similar outfalls (Part 3.7.1)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
D) Are all parameters for the monitoring category being sampled and analyzed? (Part 3.7.2)	□Y □N ☑NI □NA □NE
E) Were the samples collected during a measureable storm event? (Part 3.7.2.b)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
F) Were the samples properly preserved and analyzed? (Part 3.7.2)	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
G) Are the sample locations suitable for the collection of a representative sample? (Part 3.3)	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
3. Has any of the monitoring revealed an exceedance of the benchmark values for this facility?(Part 3.11.2)	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
A) Has a process to develop a corrective action plan been started within 30 days of exceedances? (Part 3.11.2)	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
B) If four monitoring periods have passed without an exceedance of a benchmark value, has the permittee requested a reduction in monitoring? (Part 3.11.1)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
Comments: No samples were collected during 2012.	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: 66-01656	Permit #: «Permit_	_»
SECTION D: RECORD KEEPING AND	REPORTING		
PERMITTEE RECORD KEEPING AND REP	ORTING MEETS PER	MIT REQUIRMENTS	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
1. Have DMRs for the previous year of monitoring be 3.12.3.a)	een submitted to ADEQ ar	d is a copy in the file? (Part	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
Are the DMRs properly completed?			□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
Does the permittee have copies of lab reports a	and chain of custody reco	rds?	□Y □N □NI ØNA □NE
Are the appropriate records of the measureable	e storm event and samplin	g being kept? (Part 3.7.2.e)	□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
2. Has a copy of the annual comprehensive evaluati (Part 3.12.3.b)	agency and is a copy on file?	☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE	
3. Is permittee keeping copies of inspections and co	orrective actions on file? (Part 4.6.10.1)	□Y ØN □NI □NA □NE
4. Are copies of training records being kept on file?	(Part 4.6.6.6)		□Y □N □NI □NA ☑NE
5. Is there a list of significant spills and leaks being	maintained? (Part 4.6.5.3)		□Y □N □NI ☑NA □NE
Comments: Facility had no records of insp			
SECTION E: FACILITY TOUR	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PERMITTEE FACILITY TOUR MEETS PERM	MIT REQUIRMENTS		⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
Any evidence of spills or leaks that have not beer		equired by the SWPPP?	
2. Any evidence of erosion or un-stabilized ground?		squired by the over 11:	
Any controls, structures, or storage areas that are		MDDD2	
4. Any non-stormwater discharges not identified in			
non-stormwater discharges) 5. Any non-stormwater discharges that are not allow	ved under this permit? (se	e Part 1.7 of permit for list of	□Y ☑N □NI □NA □NE
allowable non-stormwater discharges)	10 (5)		
6. Are BMPs being properly operated and maintaine			MY ON ONI ONA ONE
7. Are housekeeping procedures being implemented Comments:	d and are they sufficient?		☑Y □N □NI □NA □NE
The 2012 DMR was reviewed during the Inspection confirmed that all above gradicated within a concrete berm. The period and would most likely discharge during the state of the	ound storage tanks ermitted stormwate	s as well as the unloading er outfalls are located on t	areas for trucks are

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: 66-01656	Permit #: «Permit_»

WAT	ER DIVISION C	OMPLAINT REPO	RT						
GPS LOCATION:		AFIN: 66-01656							
N 35 18' 17.5" W -94 18 13.0"		PERMIT#: ARR000851							
DATE RECEIVED: January 15, 2013		COUNTY: Sebastian							
COMPLAINANT NAME: Anonymous ADDRESS: NA		COMPLAINT AGAINST: Ft. Smith Petro Environmental ADDRESS: 11802 Roberts Blvd-Fort Smith							
PHONE: NA				iitri					
	DIVING & DEFE	PHONE: 479-242-9645 RRAL INFORMATION							
		1		DATE:					
PERSON RECEIVING REPORT: Jason Bolenbaugh	DATE: 01-16-13								
INSPECTOR REFERRAL:	DATE:	MEDIA SUPERV	ISOR REFERRAL:	DATE:					
PHONE REFERRAL CONTACT:	DATE:	RECEIVING INSI Jeff Tyler	PECTOR:	DATE: 01-16-13					
COMPLAINT RECEIVED BY: ☐PHONE [LETTER DVI	ERBAL Ø INTERN	ET □EMAIL						
	DETAILED D	ESCRIPTION							
Complainant stated that facility had num Discharge" on the 2012 Discharge Monit		es from permitted	l outfalls in 2012, but re	ported "No					
	LOCA	ATION							
11802 Roberts Blvd. in Fort Smith.	11802 Roberts Blvd. in Fort Smith.								
PREVIOUS COMPLAINT: ☐YES ☑NO	DATES:								
DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF THE STATE	E: 🛘 YES 🗹 NO	NAME OF WAT	ERBODY: No discharge	observed.					
	INVEST	IGATION							
INSPECTOR: Jeff Tyler		DATE: January 17, 201 ;	3						
ACTION TAKEN: On-site inspection			PHOTOS TAKEN: ☑YI	ES □NO					
Refer to inspection report for findings.									
FURTHER ACTION:									
Letter will be sent to the facility.									
SIGNATURE: Loff Tulor									
SIGNATURE: Jeff Tyler			DATE: January	29, 2013					

	Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet										
Location: Fort Smith Petro Environmental located at 11802 Roberts Blvd. in Fort Smith.											
Photograph	er:	Angela	Coats		Witness:	Jeff Tyler					
Photo #	1	Of	6	Date: 01-17-13 Time: 1043							
Description:		Sign lo	cated at t	the entrance to the facility	•						



 Photographer:
 Angela Coats
 Witness:
 Jeff Tyler

 Photo #
 2
 Of
 6
 Date:
 01-17-13
 Time:
 1050

Description: Frac tank and above ground storage tanks utilized in the recovery process.



Location: Fort Smith Petro Environmental located at 11802 Roberts Blvd. in Fort Smith.										
Photographer:		Angela	Coats		Witness:	Jeff Tyler	Jeff Tyler			
Photo #	Of	6		Date:	01-17-13	Time:	1050			
Description:		Suspect	Suspected third outfall which is currently not authorized by the permit or listed by the facility.							



Photographer	r:	Angela	Coats		Witness:	Jeff Tyler			
Photo #	4	Of	6		Date:	01-17-13	Time:	1051	
Description:		South si	de of site v	where outfalls 001 and 002 are	located.				



Location: Fort Smith Petro Environmental located at 11802 Roberts Blvd. in Fort Smith.								
Photograph	er:	: Angela Coats			Witness:	Jeff Tyler		
Photo # 5		Of	6		Date:	01-17-13	Time:	1115
Description	:	Above	ground s	torage tanks located withi	n a concrete	berm.		



Photograph	ner:	Angela	Coats		Witness:	Jeff Tyler		
Photo #	6	Of	6		Date:	01-17-13	01-17-13 Time: 1052	
Description:		Location	on where	trucks pull in to unload, c	oncrete apro	on /containmen	t surrounds	this area.





February 21, 2013

Nicholas Renner, Director of Operations Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC 11802 Roberts Blvd. Fort Smith, AR 72916

Re: Compliance Inspection in response to a complaint.

AFIN: 66-01656 NPDES Permit No.: ARR000851

Dear Mr. Renner:

On January 17, 2013, Angela Coats, Oil & Gas Field Inspector and I performed an Industrial Storm Water inspection in response to a complaint. The complaint alleged that the facility had numerous discharges from the permitted outfalls during the 2012 calendar year, but submitted a Discharge Monitoring Report that stated "No Discharges occurred". This inspection was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. Facility manager was unable to provide documentation confirming that routine facility inspections were conducted during the 2012 calendar year. Permit requires that these inspections must be performed not less than four times per year. This is violation of Part 4.6.10 of the permit.
- 2. During inspection a suspected third outfall was identified located east of the driveway, currently the facility is not authorized to discharge from this location. This is violation of Part 1.6 of the permit.
- 3. The site map located within the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan does not indicate the frac tank used to store fluids which are initially unloaded by the trucks. This is a violation of Part 4.6.4 l of the permit.

In regards to the complaint, the 2012 DMRs indicated "No Discharge" for Outfalls 001 and 002. According to the Plant Manager, all industrial processes are located within secondary containment. Since no stormwater in contact with industrial processes was discharged, DMRs were submitted with "No Discharge" indicated.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Inspection Branch. This response should be mailed to the address

Renner, Fort Smith Petro Environmental February 21, 2013 Page - 2 -

at the bottom of page 1, or e-mailed to <u>Water-Inspection-Report@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentations is due by **March 8, 2013**.

Sincerely,

Jeff Tyler

District 4 Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Permits Branch

McCabe, Kerri

From: Nick Renner < nrenner@fspetro.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 2:26 PM

To: McCabe, Kerri

Subject: Re: Inspection in response to a complaint at Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC (Permit# ARR000851; Sebastian Co)

Perfect. Thank you for your help.

On Thu, Feb 21, 2013 at 3:10 PM, McCabe, Kerri < MCCABE@adeq.state.ar.us> wrote:

Mr. Renner,

Due to receiving the letter back in the mail, I have already adjusted the date to March 8, 2013. Let me know if this is an adequate amount of time. Thank you!

Kerri McCabe

ADEQ-North Little Rock Office

Water Division

Field Services Branch

Inspector Supervisor

mccabe@adeq.state.ar.us

Office Location: 5th Floor (5W37)

Office: 501.682.0642

Cell: <u>501.352.5641</u>

Fax: 501.682.0880 (address to Kerri McCabe)

From: Nicholas Renner [mailto:<u>nrenner@fspetro.com</u>]

Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 1:53 PM

To: McCabe, Kerri

Subject: Re: Inspection in response to a complaint at Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC (Permit# ARR000851; Sebastian Co)

Kerri,

This is obviously the first day I have seen this since it was sent to the wrong address. I thought I had changed that address to our physical plant address, the one you had listed was the home address of a former manager who was removed over a year ago.

The due date for response is dated today, which I will not be able to make because I just received it today, and am on a plane about to leave on vacation for the weekend. Can this be extended? I only would need about a week to complete this.

Thanks for your understanding,

Nick Renner

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 21, 2013, at 1:31 PM, "McCabe, Kerri" < MCCABE@adeq.state.ar.us > wrote:

Please find attached the original inspection/complaint report submitted by Inspector Tyler. The original letter was returned and could not be forwarded, and an alternate address was used. Thank you!

Kerri McCabe

ADEQ-North Little Rock Office

Water Division

Oil & Gas Field Inspector Supervisor

mccabe@adeq.state.ar.us

Office Location: 5th Floor (5W37)

Office: <u>501.682.0642</u>

Cell: <u>501.352.5641</u>

Fax: 501.682.0880 (address to Kerri McCabe)

<069931-insp.pdf>

--

Nicholas Renner

Director of Operations

Fort Smith Petro Environmental

Phone: (479) 242-9645

Cell: (267) 446-5776

Fax: (479) 242-4504

nrenner@fspetro.com

Bolenbaugh, Jason

From: Nick Renner < nrenner@fspetro.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 28, 2013 10:54 AM

To: Water-Inspection-Report **Subject:** Fort Smith Petro Response

Attachments: ADEQ response.pdf

Mr. Tyler,

Here is our response to your inspection. I hope it finds you well.

Best, Nick

--



Nicholas Renner Director of Operations Fort Smith Petro Environmental

Phone: (479) 242-9645 Cell: (267) 446-5776 Fax: (479) 242-4504 nrenner@fspetro.com

THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ANY ATTACHMENTS MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, OR PROPRIETARY INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON(S) NAMED. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION, COPYING, OR DISCLOSURE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



February 28, 2013

Jeff Tyler, District 4 Field Inspector, Water Division
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 North Shore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Re: Compliance Inspection in response to a complaint. AFIN: 66-01656, NPDES Permit No.: ARR000851

Dear Mr. Tyler:

This is my response to the three complaints detailed in your letter previously this month.

- 1. Our plant manager has discussed with you how to properly inspect the facility. These inspections will be done quarterly and reports will be submitted as necessary.
- 2. The Professional Engineer who created our original SWPPP has been contracted to make the necessary adjustments, including adding the newly installed frac tank and containment area, as well as the third suspected outfall.
- 3. See 2.

I hope this information meets your needs. If there are any problems please feel free to call me at (267)-446-5776 or e-mail me at nicholassrenner@gmail.com. I will be ready and willing to make any adjustments as necessary.

Thank you,

Nicholas Renner

Director of Operations

Fort Smith Petro Environmental

11802 Roberts Blvd

Fort Smith, AR 72913



February 28, 2013

Nicholas Renner, Director of Operations Fort Smith Petro Environmental, LLC 11802 Roberts Blvd. Fort Smith, AR 72916

RE: Response Letter (Sebastian Co)

AFIN: 66-01656 NPDES Permit No.: ARR000851

Dear Mr. Renner:

I have reviewed the response pertaining to my January 17, 2013, inspection of your facility. The information provided sufficiently addresses the violations referenced in my inspection report. At this time the Department has no further comment concerning this particular inspection. Acceptance of this response by the Department does not preclude any future enforcement action deemed necessary at this site or any other site.

If we need further information concerning this matter, we will contact you. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me at 479-424-0325 or you may e-mail me at tyler@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely.

Jeff Tyler

District 4 Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Permits Branch