## FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPA	RTMENT A	ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
DIVIS	NODE WOL	WATER DIVISION		
DEDC	ON COMPLETING	THIS STATEMENT C	HUCK NESTRUD	10 1
TELE	PHONE NO. <u>501.372</u>	2.5800 FAX NO. 501.372.	4941 EMAIL: CNestru	id@enjlaw.com
To cor	mply with Act 1104 one questionnaire and p	of 1995, please complete the roposed rules.	ne following Financial Impact Sta	tement and file two copies
SHOR	RT TITLE OF THIS			
	Revisions to Arkan.	sas Pollution Control & Ec	ology Commission Regulation No.	2 (Establishing Water
Qualit	y Standards for Surfa	ce Waters of the State of Ar	<u>kansas)</u>	
1.		, amended, or repealed rule No	have a financial impact?	
2.	Does this proposed Yes	l, amended, or repealed rule NoX	affect small businesses?	
	If yes, please atta Economic Develop	ch a copy of the economic oment Commission under A	ic impact statement required to arkansas Code § 25-15-301 et seq.	be filed with the Arkansas
	See Attachment 1 f	for Economic Impact Staten	nent	
3.	If you believe that the development of a financial impact statement is so speculative as to be cost prohibited, please explain.			
4.	If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please give the incremental cost for implementing the rule. Please indicate if the cost provided is the cost of the program.			
	<u>Cu</u>	rrent Fiscal Year	Next F	<u>ïscal Year</u>
	General Rever	nue <u>\$0</u>	_ General Revenue_	\$0
	Federal Funds	\$0		\$0
	Cash Funds			<u>\$0</u>
		ue\$0	Special Revenue	
	Other (Identif	y)\$0	Other (Identify)	\$0
	Total	\$0		

5.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to any party subject to the proposed, amended, or repealed rule? Identify the party subject to the proposed rule and explain how they are affected.				
	<b>Current Fiscal Year</b>	Next Fiscal Year			
	\$	\$			
criter segme	*The proposed rule will revise the chlo ia in Town Branch, Holman Creek and Wo ents of Town Branch and Holman Creek.	ride, sulfate and total dissolved solids ("TDS") water quality ar Eagle Creek, and remove the drinking water use from certain			
to am reflec Hunts as a r	A"), the Arkansas Department of Environn nend Huntsville's National Pollutant Discho t historical levels in the effluent, levels tha sville will therefore be positively impacted	d by the Commission and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency mental Quality ("ADEQ") will be able to rely on the revised criteria arge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit limits for minerals to t Huntsville has demonstrated that it can meet consistently. by the proposal. No entities would suffer negative economic impact ated cost for Huntsville to implement the proposed rule through ificant.			
6.	What is the total estimated cost by fiscal year to the agency to implement this rule? Is this the cost of the program or grant? Please explain.				
	Current Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year			
	\$ \$0*	\$			
	*Huntsville anticipates that ADEQ will administer and enforce the proposed rule with the same number of staff and resources it currently relies on to implement the permitting program.				