

From: Susan Drouilhet [mailto:susan.drouilhet@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 27, 2014 8:32 PM
To: Reg-Comment
Subject: Improved Notification Requirements for Regulation 6

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on improving notification requirements for Regulation 6. I agree with the need to open the process of notifications to a wider interested public, since the effects of placing a CAFO in a community has long term consequences for the economics and health of neighbors.

Besides the proposals below, I agree with the city of Tulsa's concerns for the drinking water drainage area of a city or town with a public water supply. Since waste storage ponds or lagoons and spreading manure and slurry on lands in a region above a water table or in a watershed drainage used for drinking water often pollute the water with excess nitrates, phosphorus and e-coli, community water departments need to be prepared to watch for evidence that can cause illness from the public water supply. This additional notification could prevent countless cases of diarrhea, blue baby syndrome (from nitrates in the drinking water of pregnant women), and inconvenient "boil orders" that often appear on banners in front of the community well and in newspaper advisories in rural Arkansas when e-coli is found in the water we drink.

In addition I agree with the following proposals:

-All public notice requirements specified in section 6.207 shall be issued *no more than 30 days prior* to submission of the Notice of Intent.

- The Arkansas Department of Health should be included on the list of entities requiring notice.

- The Buffalo National River Park / U.S. National Park Service should be included in the notifications.

Thank you for inviting comments. I hope you will consider seriously the advantages of including these.

Susan Drouilhet

Susan Drouilhet

New Paradigms Coaching

Web: <http://newparadigmscoaching.com>

Blog: <http://livingasifourlivesdependonit.com>

LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/susandrouilhet/