Exhibit D:

Financial Impact Statement

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS COMPLETELY

DEPARTMENT	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) by and through Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission (APC&EC)								
DIVISION Office of Land Resources (OLR)									
PERSON COMPLETING THIS STATEMENT Kevin White, Associate Director, OLR									
TELEPHONE (501) 682-0962 FAX (501) 682-0565 EMAIL: white@adeq.state.ar.us									
To comply with Ark. Code Ann. § 25-15-204(e), please complete the following Financial Impact Statement and file two copies with the questionnaire and proposed rules.									
SHORT TITLE OF THIS RULE APC&EC Regulation No. 14: Regulation and Administrative Procedures for the Waste Tire Program									
1. Does this propos	sed, amended, or repealed ru	ale have a financial impact?	Yes 🗌	No 🔀					
2. Is the rule based on the best reasonably obtainable scientific, technical, economic, or other evidence and information available concerning the need for, consequences of, and alternatives to the rule? Yes No □									
3. In consideration of the alternatives to this rule, was this rule determined by the agency to be the least costly rule considered? Yes ☑ No									
If an agency is proposing a more costly rule, please state the following:									
(a) How the additional benefits of the more costly rule justify its additional cost; N/A									
(b) The reason for adoption of the more costly rule; N/A									
(c) Whether the more costly rule is based on the interests of public health, safety, or welfare, and if so, please explain; and; N/A									
(d) Whether the reason is within the scope of the agency's statutory authority; and if so, please explain.N/A									
4. If the purpose of this rule is to implement a federal rule or regulation, please state the following:(a) What is the cost to implement the federal rule or regulation?									
Current Fiscal Yea	<u>ır</u>	Next Fiscal Year	Next Fiscal Year						
General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00	General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify)	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00						

Total	\$0	.00		Total	\$0.00
(b)	What is the	additional cost of	of the state rule?		
Current Fiscal Year			Next Fiscal Year		
Federal Cash Fu Special	Funds _ unds _ Revenue _ Identify) _	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00 \$ 0.00		General Revenue Federal Funds Cash Funds Special Revenue Other (Identify) Total	\$ 0.00 \$ 0.00
the propo	osed, amend	led, or repealed 1	rule? Identify the	rivate individual, ententity(ies) subject to	tity and business subject to the proposed rule and .
<u>Current Fi</u> \$ <u>0.00</u>	iscal Year			<u>Next Fiscal Ye</u> \$ <u>0.00</u>	<u>ar</u>
	ent this rule			, county, and munici or grant? Please expl	pal government to ain how the government is
Current Fi	iscal Year			Next Fiscal Ye	ar
\$ \$ 0.00 This rul because 401 et s collecte	le has been 1 e of Act 317 seq. The newed under Act	of 2017, the Use Tire Accountal 317 of 2017, the	ed Tire Recycling oility Program is f	\$ \$0.00 No. 36: Tire Accour and Accountability funded by tire fees th	ntability Program (TAP) Act, Ark. Code Ann. § 8-9-
increase private i municip	ed cost or ob individual, p	oligation of at lea	ast one hundred the ivate business, state or more	and #6 above, is the abousand dollars (\$100 ate government, courses \bigcup No \bigcup	0,000) per year to a
at the ti	me of filing neously with	the financial im	pact statement. T	25-15-204(e)(4) to fil the written findings s and shall include, with	shall be filed
(1) a sta	atement of th	ne rule's basis ar	nd purpose;		
	•	agency seeks to s required by sta		proposed rule, includ	ling a statement of

- (3) a description of the factual evidence that:
 - (a) justifies the agency's need for the proposed rule; and
 - (b) describes how the benefits of the rule meet the relevant statutory objectives and justify the rule's costs;
- (4) a list of less costly alternatives to the proposed rule and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (5) a list of alternatives to the proposed rule that were suggested as a result of public comment and the reasons why the alternatives do not adequately address the problem to be solved by the proposed rule;
- (6) a statement of whether existing rules have created or contributed to the problem the agency seeks to address with the proposed rule and, if existing rules have created or contributed to the problem, an explanation of why amendment or repeal of the rule creating or contributing to the problem is not a sufficient response; and
- (7) an agency plan for review of the rule no less than every ten (10) years to determine whether, based upon the evidence, there remains a need for the rule including, without limitation, whether:
 - (a) the rule is achieving the statutory objectives;
 - (b) the benefits of the rule continue to justify its costs; and
 - (c) the rule can be amended or repealed to reduce costs while continuing to achieve the statutory objectives.