ARKANSAS POLLUTION CONTROL & ECOLOGY COMMISSION ECONOMIC IMPACT/ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Rule Number & Title: Regulation Number 19, Regulations of the Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Pollution Control

Petitioner: Division of Environmental Quality

Contact Person: William Montgomery, Office of Air Quality

Contact Phone: 501-682-0885

Contact Email: montgomery@adeq.state.ar.us

Analysis Prepared by: Tricia Treece, Office of Air Quality

Date Analysis Prepared: 12/12/2019

2A. ECONOMIC IMPACT

1. Who will be affected economically by this proposed rule?

State: a) the specific public and/or private entities affected by this rulemaking, indicating for each category if it is a positive or negative economic effect; and b) provide the estimated number of entities affected by this proposed rule.

- a) N/A
- b) N/A

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to current fees or programmatic requirements.

2. What are the economic effects of the proposed rule?

State: a) the estimated increased or decreased cost for an average facility to implement the proposed rule; and b) the estimated total cost to implement the rule.

- a) N/A
- b) N/A

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to current fees or programmatic requirements.

3. List any fee changes imposed by this proposal and justification for each.

There are no fee changes associated with this proposed rulemaking.

4. What is the probable cost to ADEQ in manpower and associated resources to implement and enforce this proposed change, and what is the source of revenue supporting this proposed rule?

The agency cost to implement and enforce the revised rule is the same as to implement and enforce the current regulation.

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to current fees or programmatic requirements.

5. Is there a known beneficial or adverse impact to any other relevant state agency to implement or enforce this proposed rule? Is there any other relevant state agency's rule that could adequately address this issue, or is this proposed rulemaking in conflict with or have any nexus to any other relevant state agency's rule? Identify state agency and/or rule.

There is no known impact to another state agency nor is there another state agency's rule that could address any of the proposed changes. This rulemaking is not in conflict with, nor has any nexus to, any other relevant state agency's rule.

Sources and Assumptions: Not Applicable.

6. Are there any less costly, non-regulatory, or less intrusive methods that would achieve the same purpose of this proposed rule?

This proposed revision does not alter current regulatory requirements.

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to fees or programmatic requirements.

2B. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT

1. What issues affecting the environment are addressed by this proposal?

The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to programmatic requirements affecting the environment.

2. How does this proposed rule protect, enhance, or restore the natural environment for the well-being of all Arkansans?

N/A

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to fees or programmatic requirements.

3. What detrimental effect will there be to the environment or to the public health and safety if this proposed rule is not implemented?

N/A

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to fees or programmatic requirements.

4. What risks are addressed by the proposal and to what extent are the risks anticipated to be reduced?

N/A

Sources and Assumptions: The proposed revisions to Regulation No. 19 are non-substantive, and make no changes to fees or programmatic requirements.