QUESTIONNAIRE FOR FILING PROPOSED RULES AND REGULATIONS WITH THE ARKANSAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL AND JOINT INTERIM COMMITTEE

DEPARTMENT/AGENC	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ")			
DIVISION	Air Division			
DIVISION DIRECTOR	Mike Bates			
CONTACT PERSON	Mike Bates			
ADDRESS	5301 Northshore Drive, North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317			
PHONE NO. 501-682-0750	FAX NO. 501-682-0753 E-MAIL bates@adeq.state.ar.us			
NAME OF PRESENTER	AT COMMITTEE MEETING Mike Bates or Karen Bassett			
PRESENTER E-MAIL	bates@adeq.state.ar.us or bassett@adeq.state.ar.us INSTRUCTIONS			
 A. Please make copies of this form for future use. B. Please answer each question completely using layman terms. You may use additional sheets, if necessary. C. If you have a method of indexing your rules, please give the proposed citation after "Short Title of this Rule" below. D. Submit two (2) copies of this questionnaire and financial impact statement attached to the front of two (2) copies of the proposed rule and required documents. Mail or deliver to: Donna K. Davis Administrative Rules Review Section Arkansas Legislative Council Bureau of Legislative Research Room 315, State Capitol Little Rock, AR 72201 				
1. What is the short title of	Ethis rule? Regulation No. 26			
2. What is the subject of the	ne proposed rule? Arkansas Operating Air Permit Program			
If yes, please provide th "National Ambient Air Qua 61233 (October 17, 2006);	omply with a federal statute, rule, or regulation? Yes No le federal rule, regulation, and/or statute citation. lity Standards for Particulate Matter, Final Rule," 71 Federal Register 61144- 'Interpretation of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for PM2.5—Amendment," 73 Federal Register 1497-1503 (January 9, 2008).			
which requires that each sta	ulemaking will satisfy requirements under Section 110 of the Clean Air Act te provide for implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of National ards (NAAQS) within the State.			
Procedure Act?	r the emergency provisions of the Administrative Yes No ive date of the emergency rule? Not applicable			

1 of 3 EXHIBIT B

	When does the emergency rule expire? $\underline{\underline{N}}$	Not applicable		-
	Will this emergency rule be promulgated under the permanen the Administrative Procedure Act?	t provisions of	Yes 🗌	No 🖂
5.	Is this a new rule?		Yes 🗌	No 🖂
	If yes, please provide a brief summary explaining the regulati	on.		
No	t applicable			
	Does this repeal an existing rule? If yes, a copy of the repealed rule is to be included with your replaced with a new rule, please provide a summary of the rule does.			
No	t applicable			
	Is this an amendment to an existing rule? If yes, please attach a mark-up showing the changes in the existing substantive changes. Note: The summary should explain we mark-up copy should be clearly labeled "mark-up."			
6.	Cite the state law that grants the authority for this proposed rule of codified, please give Arkansas Code citation.	ıle?		
Arl	x. Code Ann. §§8-4-201, 8-4-202, 8-4-304, and 8-4-311.			
The lev typ Est No am	What is the purpose of this proposed rule? Why is it necessare proposed rule updates Regulation No. 26 in order to establishel for permitting actions involving small emission changes relaographical errors. ablishing a minor permit modification threshold for fine partice. 26 will lower the potential cost impacts for those facilities incounts and will allow changes to a facility to be implemented in gulation No. 26 were made as updates, for clarification, and to	n a minor perminative to PM2.5 culate matter (Pereasing PM2.5 nore quickly.	and corrects M2.5) in Reg emissions by	gulation / small
8.	Please provide the address where this rule is publicly accessible required by Arkansas Code § 25-19-108(b).	ole in electronic	form via the	Internet as
http	o://www.adeq.state.ar.us/regs/drafts/draft_regs.htm			
9.	Will a public hearing be held on this proposed rule? If yes, please complete the following:		Yes 🖂	No 🗌
	November 13, Date: 2012			
	Time: 2:00 p.m.			
	Place: ADEQ Commission Room, 5301 Northshore Drive	e, North Little	Rock, AR, 72	118
10.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4:30 p.m., Nov 2012	ember 29,	-
11.	What is the proposed effective date of this proposed rule? (Must provide a date.)	June, 2013		_

12. Do you expect this rule to be controversial?		No 🖂				
If yes, please explain.						
13. Please give the names of persons, groups, or organizations that you expect to comment on these rules? Please provide their position (for or against) if known. In general, it is thought that the facilities that will be receiving permits for particulate matter emissions will not object to this rulemaking. However, the overall consensus towards this proposed rule is unknown at this time.						

3 of 3 EXHIBIT B