⊕EPA

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding																															
Transaction Code NPDES																	yr/mo/day						nspec. Type Inspector F					Fac '	Туре		
1	N	2	5	3	A	R	0	0)	0	1	2	1	0	11	12	0	6	0	7	0	6	17	18	R		19	S	20	2	
					_		_	_			_	_	_	_		Rem	arks		_	_		_		_				_	_	i.	
	0	2	-	0	0	0	1	3	3		A	s	h	1	e	y															
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Ra										ating BI (QA						Reserved									
67 69 70 3												71	N	7	2 N	73			74	75							80				
Section B: Facility Data																															
incli Geo	Include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Georgia Pacific Corporation															Entry Time /Date 13:51/ 06 July 2006							Permit Effective Date 01 September 2004								
100	100 I aperiiiii Roau														Exit Time/Date 14:45/ 06 July 2006							Permit Expiration Date 31 August 2009									
	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) James Cutbirth, Technical Service Manager/870567-8144 Fax 870-364-9076															ther Facility Data															
Nan Kare Geo P.O.	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number															Con	ntacte No	d X													
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																														
N	Per	Permit N Flow Measureme									•	1 1					erations & Maintenance N							Sampling							
N	Records/Reports N							S	elf-N	Monit	oring	Prog	Program N				Sludge Handling/Disposal N						N	Pollution Prevention							
N N	Facility Site Review N							C	omp	liance	Sch	edules	dules N			Pretre	Pretreatment N						Multimedia								
1	Ef	Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory									N Sto						orm Water							Other:							
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) This inspection was performed in response to a complaint which alleged that the facility had plant effluent flowed through a residential neighborhood located on Pistol Street in Crossett. The complainant state that is had been sometime since this condition had been observed. However, the complainant also stated that the area smelled terrible and that the fumes off the plant effluent had corroded metal in the neighborhood. On the date of the inspection, I visited Pistol Street located in Crossett. The main storm drain in this area was located around 500 Pistol Street. No evidence of plant effluent was observed in this storm drain and the appearance of said storm drain appeared normal with no flow, only standing water from the rain a few days earlier. The odor of the paper mill was detected in the neighborhood. I then proceeded to the bar screen area of the paper mill. The paper mill effluent is conveyed to the bar screen area by two sets of enclosed pipe sewers which end at the bar screen area. The end of the pipeline was 0.5 miles from Pistol Street. I traveled up the pipeline and no leaks in the pipeline were observed. It appeared that the elevation of the storm drain at Pistol Street was higher than the elevation of the plant effluent.																															
	() 8 () 1													Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/El Doraod/870-862-5941/870-862-3509										Date 01 August 2006							
Patricia Willis												EP	EPA Region 6/Dallas/214-665-8356/214-665-7446																		
Signature of Reviewer											Ag	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers											Date								