	€/EPA									
UNITE	D ST	ATES ENVIRONMENT		CTION	AGENCY		Approval Expires 7-31-85			
NPDES	5 C	Washington, D. Compliance		tior	n Report					
		-			a System Coding					
Transaction Code 1 N 2 5 3 A R 0										
A F I N 4 7 -	A F I N 4 7 - 0 0 1 4 5 I I I I									
Inspection Work Days										
67 69		70 2	71	Ν	72 N 73 74 75		80			
			Section 1	B: Faci	lity Data					
Name and Location of Facility Inspected include POTW name and NPDES permit City of Blytheville Waste Water Treat	numl	per)	ging to POTW	V, also	Entry Time /Date 0815 10/23/2006 0800 10/24/2 0800 10/30/2006	2006	Permit Effective Date December 1, 2005			
Blytheville, AR Mississippi County					Exit Time/Date 1600 10/23/2006 1000 10/24/2 1600 10/30/2006	2006	Permit Expiration Date November 30, 2010			
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Ti James Yankee/Pretreatment Coordina Kenneth Ellis/Waste Water Superinter	tor/8	70-763-4961	(s)				er Facility Data 934850°N			
Name, Address of Responsible Official/ Barrett Harrison/Mayor/870-763-3602 City of Blytheville P.O. Box 1784 Blytheville, AR 72315		hone and Fax Number			Contacted Yes X No	89.9	942647°W			
					d During Inspection nsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)					
S Permit	U	Flow Measurement		М	Operations & Maintenance	S	Sampling			
M Records/Reports	M	Self-Monitoring Prog	gram	Μ	Sludge Handling/Disposal	Ν	Pollution Prevention			
M Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedul	es	N	Pretreatment	Ν	Multimedia			
S Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		S	Storm Water	Ν	Other:			
	Se	ction D: Summary of F	indings/Com	ments	(Attach additional sheets if necessar	y)				
A review of the January – September 2006 DMRs revealed the monthly average and 7-day maximum for NH ₃ -N were both April and May due to repair work at the facility requiring blowers to be shutdown; it appeared that all SSOs wer properly reported. Three pump stations were visited during the course of the inspection and appeared to be well maintained.										
See attachment 3 for a Sur	***See attachment 3 for a Summary of Findings/Comments									
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector Brent L. Walker				pt. of]	oone/Fax Environmental Quality/Jonesbor -12/(870) 935-4715	ro/	Date December 6, 2006			
Signature of Reviewer										
ddw										

EPA	Form	3560-3	(Rev.	9-94)	Previous	editions	are	obsolete
-----	------	--------	-------	-------	----------	----------	-----	----------

PERMIT NO.: AR0022560
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO) DETAILS:
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES Y N ■ NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. $S \blacksquare M U NA$ (further explanation attached <u>No</u>) details:
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs. Improper reporting of the coefficient of variation. Y
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES. ■ Y N NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS. ■ Y N NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES. ■ Y N NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE.
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. $S \blacksquare M U NA$ (further explanation attached <u>Yes</u>) DETAILS: Airlines & diffusers in need of repair/replacement, sludge storage lagoons not maintained
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED S■M U NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. Limited backup power – first priority with electrical provider. S IM U NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED.
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. Most parts are readily available in Memphis.
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. Y N IN
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED. Y N ■ NE
PAGE 2 OF 4

	PERMIT NO.: AR0022560
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	■YNNA ■YNNA ■YNNA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	Y■N NA Y N■NA
SECTION D - SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE Sampling MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	XPLANATION ATTACHED NO).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	■ Y N NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	■Y N NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■ Y N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■ Y N NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	■ Y N NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	■ Y N NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■Y N NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	■ Y N NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	∎ Y N NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M ■ U NA (FURTHEF DETAILS:	R EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE <u>28" rectangular weir w/o end contractions</u>	■ Y N NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	■Y N NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	■ Y N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.	Y ■ N NA ■ Y N NA Y ■ N NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	■ Y N NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	■Y N NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	■Y N NA
SECTION F – LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	R EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes)
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	■ Y N NA PAGE 3 OF 4

						PERMIT NO.: AR0022560							
SECTION F - LABOR	ATORY (CONT'D)												
2. IF ALTERNATIVE A	ANALYTICAL PROCED	OURES ARE USED, PR	OPER APPROVAL HA	S BEEN OBTAINED		Y N	■ NA						
3. SATISFACTORY C	ALIBRATION AND MA	INTENANCE OF INST	RUMENTS AND EQUI	PMENT.		∎S M U	NA						
4. QUALITY CONTRO	DL PROCEDURES ADE	EQUATE.				∎S M U NA							
5. DUPLICATE SAMP	∎Y N	I NA											
6. SPIKED SAMPLES	∎Y N	I NA											
7. COMMERCIAL LAB	BORATORY USED.					∎Y N	I NA						
LAB NAME <u>Environ</u> LAB ADDRESS <u>2790</u> PARAMETERS PERF													
SECTION G – (EFFLUENT)/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS.													
Based on visual observations only.													
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER						
001	None	None	Slight	None	None	Lt. Green							
Comments:													
SECTION H - SLUDG	SE DISPOSAL												
	MEETS PERMIT REQ stored in old lagoon;	UIREMENTS. lagoon is not proper		S∎M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION	ATTACHED NO)).						
1. SLUDGE MANAGE	EMENT ADEQUATE TO	O MAINTAIN EFFLUEN	IT QUALITY.			∎S M U	NA						
2. SLUDGE RECORD	OS MAINTAINED AS R	EQUIRED BY 40 CFR	503.			S M U	■ NA						
3. FOR LAND APPLIE	ED SLUDGE, TYPE OF	LAND APPLIED TO:	_ (e.g., FOREST, AGR	ICULTURAL, PUBLIC C	ONTACT SITE)								
SECTION I - SAMPLI	NG INSPECTION PRO	OCEDURES		(FL	JRTHER EXPLANATION AT	TTACHED NO)						
1. SAMPLES OBTAIN	IED THIS INSPECTIO	N.				Y ■ N	I NA						
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE	OBTAINED - N/A												
GRAB	COMPOSITE SAMPL	_EMETI	HODF	REQUENCY									
3. SAMPLES PRESE	RVED.					Y N	I ■ NA						
4. FLOW PROPORTI	ONED SAMPLES OBT	AINED.				Y N	■ NA						
5. SAMPLE OBTAINE	ED FROM FACILITY'S	SAMPLING DEVICE.				Y N	■NA						
6. SAMPLE REPRES	ENTATIVE OF VOLUM	IE AND NATURE OF D	DISCHARGE.			Y N	■ NA						
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W	ITH PERMITTEE.					Y N	∎NA						
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTC	DY PROCEDURES EI	MPLOYED.				Y N	■ NA						
9. SAMPLES COLLE	CTED IN ACCORDANC	CE WITH PERMIT.				Y N							

Attachment #1 AR0022560

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Multiple flow calibration checks performed during the course of the inspection indicated the flow meter was not properly calibrated. The flow meter was calibrated by the operator at the end of the inspection and a subsequent flow calibration check revealed an error of -0.9% which is well within the permit requirement of +/-10%.

DMR Calculation Check

				Т			
Reporting Period:	From <u>06</u>	09	01	0	06	09	30
	Year	Month	Day	-	Year	Month	Day
Parameter Checked:	рН						
	Loading Mass Mo. Avg lbs/	/dav	л	Min	Concen Mon		ζ.
Reported Value:	g			7.1		7.8	
Calculated Value:			7	7.10		7.83	
Permit Value:				6.0		9.0	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	06 Year	05 Month	01 Day	Т 0	06 Year	05 Month	<u>31</u> Day
Parameter Checked:	NH	<u>I3-N</u>						
]	Loading Mass				Concen Mor	itration ithly	
	Mo. A	Avg lbs	/day	Mo. A	vg		7-day Avg	mg/l
Reported Value:		23.3			4.9*		14*	:
Calculated Value:		23.3			4.9*		14*	:
Permit Value:		38			3		5	
<u>* Exceeds permit lin</u>	<u>mit.</u>							

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Summary of Findings/Comments

The following violations were noted:

- 1. Improper operation and maintenance; this violates Part II Section B: 1. of the permit. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. The following items were noted:
 - a. Several diffusers and air lines were in need of repair. Replacement equipment had been ordered prior to the inspection but had not been received.
 - b. The sludge storage lagoons were not being properly maintained. If the lagoons are used for the storage of sludge the levees must be properly maintained including mowing and erosion control. Additionally, the old valves and/or outfall structures should be permanently removed or disabled to prevent an unpermitted discharge to the Waters of the State.
- 2. Potential unpermitted discharge; the pipe and valve connecting the polishing pond to the sludge storage lagoon should be removed or permanently obstructed so as to remove the potential for an unpermitted discharge from this structure to occur. It is my understanding that a cap has been ordered to disable the potential unpermitted discharge, please advise the current status of this item.
- **3.** Exceeded effluent limits for ammonia (Both monthly and 7-day averages) in April and May 2006 due to equipment failure; this violates Part I Section A. of the permit. Blowers were shut down to ensure the safety of workers removing and replacing a failed blower. A non-compliance report was submitted and no further action is required at this time.
- 4. Improper reporting of monitoring results; this violates Part III Item 8.4.c. of the permit. The highest (either critical dilution or control) coefficient of variation should be reported for each test species. The coefficient of variation was improperly reported for both the 2nd and 3rd quarters of 2006 for both species. Please submit corrected DMRs for these two monitoring periods.
- 5. At the time of inspection, the flow meter was not properly calibrated to within +/-10% of the true discharge; this violates Part II Section C.2. of the permit. During the course of the inspection, the flow meter was recalibrated. Calibration checks should be performed at a frequency adequate to maintain flow readings within +/-10% of the true discharge at all times.

÷	GEPA UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460 NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Section A: National Data System Coding														А	O	MB	No. 2	oprov 2040- oires '	-000																
										_					_					-																-
1	67 69 70 N 71 N 72 N 73 74 75 Section B: Facility Data Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also nclude POTW name and NPDES permit number) Dumnium MOTErra Rd. How The test of tes													Rese	Type	Eff	19 	ve D	20		ac Type 2 30	:														
4007 Blyt IU o		e, AF of B	lythe	ville																t Tin 0 10			5					No	ermit vem l	ber	30,					
Paul Omi 400	ne, Add l Vicko nium Terra hevillo	erson Rd.	n/Pla	nt M					2				tion	C: Ar = Ma						Insp	X ectio		0	lated)											
	Pern	nit										reme			0				eratio	•					/			CS	0/SS	50						
	Reco	ords/	Repo	rts					Self	-Mo	onito	ring F	Progr	am				Slu	dge H	Iand	ling/	Disp	posa	I				Pol	lutio	on P	Prev	entio	n			
	Facil	lity S	ite R	evie	w				Con	npli	ance	Sche	dules			_	Y	Pre	treat	ment								Mu	ltime	edia	a					
	Efflu	ient/	Recei	iving	g Wate	ers			Lab	ora	tory							Sto	rm W	ater								Otl	ner:							_
<u> </u>								Sec	ction	D: 5	Sumr	nary	of Fi	nding	s/Co	mm	ents	s (Att	ach a	dditi	onal	she	ets i	f ne	cessa	ary)										_
***	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) ***See attached IU Site Visit form for Comments***																																			
	ne(s) a nt L. V			-) of In			Å	er				Ar	ency/ kansa 0) 93	s De	pt. o	of E	nvira	nmei			ity/J	lone	sbor	0/			Da De	te ceml	ber	6, 2	2006				
Sig	ignature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers											Date																								

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

POTW Pretreatment Program

Industrial User Site Visit

Nam	e of Industry: <u>Omnium</u>				
Indu	stry Contacts: John Strohkirch/Quality-	Lab Lea	der	Paul Vickerson/Plant Mana	ger
Туре	e of Industry: <u>Pesticide Formulation</u>				
Date	of Visit: October 31, 2006				
1.	Significant industrial user?	X	Yes	No	N/A
2.	Pretreatment equipment or procedures?	X	Yes	No	N/A
3.	Pretreatment equipment maintained and operational?	X	Yes	No	N/A
4.	Hazardous waste generated or stored?	X	Yes	No	N/A
5.	Proper solid waste disposal?	X	Yes	No	N/A
6.	Solvent management/TTO control?	X	Yes	No	N/A
7.	Suitable sampling location?	X	Yes	No	N/A
8.	Appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment?	X	Yes	No	N/A
9.	Adequate spill prevention?	X	Yes	No	N/A
10.	Industry familiar with limits and requirements?	X	_ Yes	No	N/A

Additional Comments:

Facility representative appeared familiar with the pretreatment program. Facility self monitors once/year and is sampled by the city at least once per year.

Visit Conducted by:

Brent L. Walker Brest & Walker

Date: December 6, 2006

≎e	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460 NPDES Compliance Inspection Report														OME	B No.	pproved 2040-0 pires 7-	003			
			прпап		-			-													
	Fransaction Code		NPDES	Section	A: Natio	nai Da	ita Sys	stem (yr/m	o/day				In	spec. T	wno		Inspec	otor	Fac 7	Funo
	0 0 3 C Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA												î		19	- LÊ	1	2			
	67 69		70 N		71	N	72	Ν	73			74	75							80	
					Section 1	B: Fac	cility D	Data													
inclu Steel	Section B: Facility Data Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Entry Time/Date Steel Related Technology (SRT) 1035 10/31/2006														tive D , 2005	ate					
Blytl	Ferra Rd. neville, AR 72315 f City of Blytheville Waste Water	Treatment	t Plant AR0(022560					Time/D 10/31/									ration (0, 201			
Tom Nam Mike Steel	e(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/T my Gilbert/EnvMaint. Lendall e, Address of Responsible Official/ e Bruce/Plant Manager/870-762-1 Related Technology (SRT) State Hwy 18 E.	Yeager/Su	ipervisor M	/like Bruc	e/Plant M	/Ianage	er	Yes		ontac				Ot	her Fa	cility	Data	a			
	heville, AR 72315								<u></u>												
		(S S = Satisfact)	Section C: tory, M = 1							valua	ted)									
	Permit	Flo	w Measuren	nent			Ope	ration	s & Ma	ainter	nance	e			CSC)/SSC)				
	Records/Reports	Self	f-Monitorinș	g Progran	n		Slud	lge Ha	ndling	/Disp	osal				Poll	ution	n Pre	eventio	on		
	Facility Site Review	Cor	mpliance Scl	hedules		Y	Pret	reatm	ent						Mul	timeo	dia				
	Effluent/Receiving Waters	Lal	boratory				Stor	m Wa	ter						Oth	er:					
┣—		Section	D: Summar	ry of Find	lings/Com	iments	s (Atta	ich ad	ditiona	l she	ets if	nece	essar	y)							
***	***See attached IU Site Visit form for Comments***																				
	t L. Walker			Arka	cy/Office/ nsas Dept 935-7221	t. of Ēi	nviror	nment		lity/Jo	onesl	boro	/		Dat Dec		er 6,	, 2006			
ddw																					
1	ature of Reviewer		Agen	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers								Date									

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

POTW Pretreatment Program

Industrial User Site Visit

Name of Industry:	Steel Related Technology (SRT)
Industry Contacts:	Tommy Gilbert – Env./Maintenance, Lendall Yeater - Supervisor, Mike Bruce - Manager

Type of Industry: Mold/castor repair for steel mills

Date of Visit: October 31, 2006

1.	Significant industrial user?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
2.	Pretreatment equipment or procedures?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
3.	Pretreatment equipment maintained and operational?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
4.	Hazardous waste generated or stored?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
5.	Proper solid waste disposal?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
6.	Solvent management/TTO control?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
7.	Suitable sampling location?	X	_ Yes	No	N/A	
8.	Appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment?		_ Yes	No	<u>X</u> N/A	
9.	Adequate spill prevention?	X	Yes	No	N/A	
10.	Industry familiar with limits and requirements?	X	_ Yes	No	N/A	

Additional Comments:

Steel Related Technologies recently purchased Sumitech; the two buildings are connected and a new permit will be issued to Steel Related Technology for two outfalls and listing them as a categorical industry

Visit Conducted by:

Brent L. Walker Brest & Walter

Date: December 6, 2006

÷	PA NPDE				shington,	D.C. 2	0460				Rej	201	rt								OM	B No.	Approv 2040 Apires	-000	
					S	ectior	n A: 1	Natio	onal D	ata S	ystem	Cod	ing												
1	Image: Constraint Code Image: Constraint Code N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 3 C Image: Constraint Code Image: Constrais Image: Constraint Code	0	0 2 Facility 70	Evaluat	5	6 ting	0	71	12 Rema BI N	rks	6 QA N	y 1 73	r/mo/ 0			1 74	17		I I Reserv		1	Inspe 9	ector 2 2 2	0	ac Type 2 80
							Sec	ction	B: Fa	cility	Data														
incli Sum 101	te and Location of Facility Inspecte <i>de POTW name and NPDES permi</i> itech (Now owne Ferra Rd. heville, AR 72315	t num	ber)			-	-				103 Ex	try Ti 5 10, it Tim	/31/2	006 te					Dece	e mbe nit E	er 1 Expi		5 Date		
IU o	f City of Blytheville Waste Water	Trea	tment I	Plant Al	R0022	560					110	0 10	/31/2	006					Nove	emb	er 3	0, 20	10		
Ton Nam Mik Stee 4313	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Tommy Gilbert/EnvMaint. Lendall Yeager/Supervisor Mike Bruce/Plant Manager Other Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mike Bruce/Plant Manager/870-762-1905 Other Steel Related Technology (SRT) 4313 State Hwy 18 E. Blytheville, AR 72315 Yes X No							ther Fac	ility	Dat	a														
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection																								
┢	D 11	<u> </u>	T	= Satisf			- Mar	ginal	l, U =	Т						-			GGO	1000					
	Permit Records/Reports	<u> </u>		Measu Monitor							eratio Idge H							<u> </u>	CSO/SSO Ballution Provention						
	Facility Site Review			pliance	-				Y		etreat			nspe	sai				Pollution Prevention						
	Effluent/Receiving Waters			oratory	Scheu	uics					orm V	_							Multimedia Other:						
┢─┘	Linden/Accessing Waters	l S): Sumn	narv o	f Fin	dings	s/Cor	nmen				onal	shee	ts if	nec	essai	•v)							
***	***See attached IU Site Visit form for Comments***																								
	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(tt. Walker		ker			Arka	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/Jonesboro/ (870) 935-7221 ext. 12/(870) 935-4715					Date Dece		er 6	, 2000	6									
1																									
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers						Date	e																		

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

POTW Pretreatment Program

Industrial User Site Visit

Name of Industry: Sumitech									
Indu	Industry Contacts: <u>Tommy Gilbert – Env./Maintenance, Lendall Yeater - Supervisor, Mike Bruce - Manager</u>								
Тур	Type of Industry:								
Date of Visit: October 31, 2006									
1.	Significant industrial user?	X	Yes	No	N/A				
2.	Pretreatment equipment or procedures?	<u> </u>	Yes	No	N/A				
3.	Pretreatment equipment maintained and operational?	X	_ Yes	No	N/A				
4.	Hazardous waste generated or stored?		Yes	No	N/A				
5.	Proper solid waste disposal?		Yes	No	N/A				
6.	Solvent management/TTO control?		Yes	No	N/A				
7.	Suitable sampling location?		Yes	No	N/A				
8.	Appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment?		Yes	No	<u>X</u> N/A				
9.	Adequate spill prevention?	X	Yes	No	N/A				
10.	Industry familiar with limits and requirements?	X	Yes	No	N/A				

Additional Comments:

Sumitech was recently purchased by Steel Related Technologies; the two buildings are connected and a new permit will be issued to Steel Related Technology for two outfalls and listing them as a categorical industry

Visit Conducted by:

Brent L. Walker But L Walker

Date: December 6, 2006

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: Blytheville, AR

AFIN Number: **47-00145**

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022560, AR0022578, AR0022586

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022560

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: Revised as IU permits are renewed

Date of Last PCI/Audit: May 24, 2006

Date of Last Annual Report: August 31, 2006

Name of Inspector: Brent L. Walker

Date PCI Performed: October 30 and 31, 2006

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: James L. Yankee, Pretreatment Coordinator, 870-763-4961

Number of IUs Visited: 3

Name(s) of IUs Visited: Sumitech, Steel Related Technology, Omnium

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. <u>None - Sumitech has been purchased by Steel</u> Related Technologies; the two permits will be combined
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? Yes
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Review of Water and Sewer Connections Chamber of Commerce
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) **7**
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: **4**
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? **SIC Code**
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
Motor Appliance	Metal Finishing	Parts cleaning
Motor Technologies	Metal Finishing	Parts cleaning
Omnium	Pesticide Formulation	Clean-up
Sumitech	Metal Finishing	Anodizing

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.	IS THE PO BY ADEQ (LOCAL LIMITS WH	IICH HAVE BEEN	I APPROVED				
2.	2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. None								
3.	3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?								
	Requirement in								
Pol	lutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:				
	als: fluent:	4/yr	4/yr	N/A					
Ef	fluent:	4/yr	4/yr	N/A					
Sludge:		N/A	N/A	N/A	No disposal				
Organics: Influent:		1/yr	1/yr	N/A					
Ef	fluent:	1/yr	1/yr	N/A					
	Sludge:	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Disposal				

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? No inhibitions or upsets.

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

Slug load notification: Yes Notification of process change:

- 1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? **Yes-Permit**
- How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 7
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes - Sumitech and Steel Related Technologies will be combined Into a single permit
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

	An expiration date: Yes								
	Discharge limitations: Yes								
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:								
	IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes								
	IU reporting requirements: Yes								
5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:									
	Sample location: Yes - clarified further as new permits issued. Type of sample: Yes Monitoring frequency: Yes Bypass prohibition: City ordinance Right of entry: Yes Nontransferability: Yes Revocation clause: Yes								
	Penalty Provisions: Yes								

Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current in: requirement below:	spection and sampling freq	uency and program			
	1	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:			
	Sampling:					
	categorical IUs	2/yr	N/A			
	other SIUs	2/yr	N/A			
	Inspection: categorical IUs	2/yr	N/A			
	other SIUs	2/yr	N/A			
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN I REQUIRED BY THE APP	NSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT TH ROVED PROGRAM? Yes	E FREQUENCY			
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Both			
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes				
5.	Does the inspection the following:	report contain an adequat	e description of			
	Date and time of in	spection: Yes				
	Officials present:	Yes				
	Inspection of chemic	cal storage areas: Yes				
	Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and discharge location of these waste streams: Yes					
	Inspection of the p	retreatment facilities: <u>Y</u>	es			
	Review of self-moni	toring records: Yes				
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedures:	Yes			
	Verification that a	pproved analytical techniq	ues are used: Yes			
	Verification of IU :	flow measurement (where re	quired): Yes			
6.		inspection documentation:				
	it is suggested th	at future inspection rep	ports include more			

detail about the facility and inspection.

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? **Yes**
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? Yes
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? **Yes**
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? The pretreatment coordinator receives the reports and reviews for errors and non-compliances. Tracking is done manually since there is a small number is SIUs.
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

- 17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? Notice of Violation followed by surcharges or fines if required or appropriate.
- 18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: Yes

	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:						
	Name and address: Yes						
	Other environmental permits held: Yes						
	Description of operations: Yes						
	Process flow diagrams: Yes						
	Flow measurements: Yes						
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes						
	Certification of compliance by the IU: Yes						
	Compliance schedule (if needed): Yes						
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: Overall adequate, inspections could be more detailed.						

E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: According to a written enforcement plan

Late reports: According to a written enforcement plan

Unpermitted discharges: Written enforcement plan

Slug loads or spills: According to a written enforcement plan

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Yes
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
None	N/A	N/A	N/A

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: None, no enforcement required in the last few years.

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

- 1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? **Yes**
- 2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
- 3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? **Yes**

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

- List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: N/A
- Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
- 3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? **N/A**
- 4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? <u>N/A</u>
- 5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: <u>N/A</u>

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Overall satisfactory, see IU inspection reports for comments regarding IU site visits.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Sumitech

POTW Name: City of Blytheville

Industry Contacts: Tommy Gilbert, Lendall Yeater, Mike Bruce

Date and Time of Visit: 1035 October 31, 2006

Description of Manufacturing Process: Nickel plating for steel mills

Sources of Process Wastewater: Parts cleaning

Categorical Industry? Yes - Metal (Nickel) Plating

Basis for Limits: City ordinance and pretreatment program

Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: pH adjustment/metal precipitation, filter press

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: All process and storage areas drain to pit with sump pump to treatment/storage tank

Sampling Location and Equipment: Concrete manhole at northeast corner of building PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Steel Related Technology (SRT)

POTW Name: City of Blytheville

Industry Contacts: Tommy Gilbert, Lendall Yeater, Mike Bruce

Date and Time of Visit: 1035 October 31, 2006

Description of Manufacturing Process: Rebuilding/refurbishing molds and castors for steel mills

Sources of Process Wastewater: Parts washing

Categorical Industry? **No**

Basis for Limits: City ordinance and pretreatment program

Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Ozone clarifier, oil/grease removal, sedimentation

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Floor drain trough from parts washing area

Sampling Location and Equipment: Concrete manhole at northeast corner of building

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Omium

POTW Name: City of Blytheville

Industry Contacts: John Strohkirch and Paul Vickerson

Date and Time of Visit: 1010 October 31, 2006

Description of Manufacturing Process: **Pesticide Formulation**

Sources of Process Wastewater: Water from cleanup

Categorical Industry? Yes - Pesticide Formulation

Basis for Limits: City ordinance and pretreatment program

Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Batch tank treatment, sand and carbon filters, Sample tested before discharge

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: No floor drains, curbs around storage areas, no solvents

Sampling Location and Equipment: Storage tank in lower level of building or manhole by entrance gate

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Brent L. Walker	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Blytheville	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0022560	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	October 30 & 31, 2006	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	7	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	4	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN

						Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85		
UNITED S	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460							
NPDES (Complianc		tion	Report				
	5	Section A: Nation	nal Data	System Coding		•		
Transaction Code 1 \mathbf{N} 2 5 3 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} 0	NPDES 0 2 2 5	6 0 11	12 0		Insj 18	pec. TypeInspectorFac TypeP19S201		
	0 0 1 4	5	Remarks					
Inspection Work Days 67 69	Facility Evaluation R 70 N	ating 71	ы N 7	QA 72 N 73 74 75]	Reserved 80		
		Section 1	B: Facili	ity Data		1		
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (Foundation of Facility Inspected (Foundation)) Name and NPDES permit numerical permit numerical permit numerical permit numerical permits and the second permits of the second perm	iber)	harging to POTV	V, also	Entry Time /Date 1000 10/30/2006 0800 10/31/2006		Permit Effective Date December 1, 2005		
City of Blytheville Waste Water Treatmen Blytheville, AR Mississippi County	t Plant			Exit Time/Date 1600 10/30/2006 1200 13/31/2006		Permit Expiration Date November 30, 2010		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s James Yankee/Pretreatment Coordinator/ Kenneth Ellis/Waste Water Superintender	870-763-4961	uber(s)			Oth	er Facility Data		
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/ Barrett Harrison/Mayor/870-763-3602 P.O. Box 1784 Blytheville, AR 72316	P.O. Box 1784							
				During Inspection satisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)				
S Permit N	Flow Measureme	nt	Ν	Operations & Maintenance	Ν	Sampling		
N Records/Reports N	Self-Monitoring	Program	Ν	Sludge Handling/Disposal	Ν	Pollution Prevention		
N Facility Site Review N	Compliance Sche	edules	S	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia		
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N	Laboratory		Ν	Storm Water	Ν	N Other:		
s	ection D: Summary	of Findings/Com	ments (Attach additional sheets if necessary	y)			
***See attached PCI Report for a Summary of Findings/Comments. ***								
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)Agency/Office/TelephonBrent L. WalkerBrent L. Walker(870) 935-7221 ext12				Environmental Quality/Jonesbor	:o/	Date December 6, 2006		
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone a ddw				and Fax Numbers		Date		

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.



December 8, 2006

Barrett E. Harrison, Mayor City of Blytheville P.O. Box 1784 Blytheville, AR 72315

RE: AFIN: 47-00145

NPDES Permit No.: AR0022560

Pretreatment Inspection

Dear Mr. Harrison:

On October 30 and 31, 2006, I performed a routine pretreatment compliance inspection of your facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed that you are in compliance with the terms of your permit. Please see the Pretreatment Compliance Inspection Report for comments.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-935-7221 ext.-12.

Sincerely,

Bred & Walter

Brent L. Walker District 3 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch



December 8, 2006

Barrett E. Harrison, Mayor City of Blytheville P.O. Box 1784 Blytheville, AR 72315

RE: AFIN: 47-00145

NPDES Permit No.: AR0022560

West Waste Water Treatment Plant

Dear Mr. Harrison:

On October 23, 24 and 30, 2006, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the West Waste Water Treatment Facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. Improper operation and maintenance; this violates Part II Section B: 1. of the permit. The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. The following items were noted:
 - a. Several diffusers and air lines were in need of repair. Replacement equipment had been ordered prior to the inspection but had not been received.
 - b. The sludge storage lagoons were not being properly maintained. If the lagoons are used for the storage of sludge the levees must be properly maintained including mowing and erosion control. Additionally, the old valves and/or outfall structures should be permanently removed or disabled to prevent an unpermitted discharge to the Waters of the State.
- 2. Potential unpermitted discharge; the pipe and valve connecting the polishing pond to the sludge storage lagoon should be removed or permanently obstructed so as to remove the potential for an unpermitted discharge from this structure to occur. It is my understanding that a cap has been ordered to disable the potential unpermitted discharge, please advise the current status of this item.
- 3. Exceeded effluent limits for ammonia (Both monthly and 7-day averages) in April and May 2006 due to equipment failure; this violates Part I Section A. of the permit. Blowers were shut down to ensure the safety of workers removing and replacing a failed blower. A non-compliance report was submitted and no further action is required at this time.

Barrett Harrison – West Plant December 8, 2006 Page 2

- 4. Improper reporting of monitoring results; this violates Part III Item 8.4.c. of the permit. The highest (either critical dilution or control) coefficient of variation should be reported for each test species. The coefficient of variation was improperly reported for both the 2nd and 3rd quarters of 2006 for both species. Please submit corrected DMRs for these two monitoring periods.
- 5. At the time of inspection, the flow meter was not properly calibrated to within +/-10% of the true discharge; this violates Part II Section C.2. of the permit. During the course of the inspection, the flow meter was recalibrated. Calibration checks should be performed at a frequency adequate to maintain flow readings within +/-10% of the true discharge at all times.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the NPDES Enforcement Section of this Department. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by **January 5, 2007**.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 870-935-7221 ext.-12.

Sincerely. Bred & Walter

Brent L. Walker District 3 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: NPDES Enforcement Branch NPDES Permit Branch