		Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85			
UNITED STATES ENVIRONM Washington	ENTAL PROTEC , D.C. 20460	CTION	AGENCY		
NPDES Complianc		tior	n Report		
	Section A: Natior	nal Dat	ta System Coding		·
Transaction Code       NPDES         1       N       2       5       3       A       R       0       0       4       3       3	<b>9 7</b> <sup>11</sup>	L	yr/mo/day 0 6 1 2 1 3 <sup>17</sup>		cInspectorFac Typec19s201
A F I N 0 4 - 0 0 1	5 5	Remark			
Inspection Work Days     Facility Evaluation R       67     69     70     3	ating 1 71	BI N	QA 72 N 73 74 75	1	Reserved 80
	Section I	B: Faci	lity Data		
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users disc			Entry Time /Date		Permit Effective Date
include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Rogers Wastewater Treatment Plant			9:00 a.m./12-13-06		11-1-2006
4300 S Rainbow Road Rogers, AR 72757			Exit Time/Date		Permit Expiration Date
			4:30 p.m./12-13-06		2-28-2011
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Num Mike Lawrence/ Plant Manager/479-273-7378/479/273-7627 Louanne Diffin/Environmental Services Coordinator/479-273-7378				Eff N3	ner Facility Data luent Parshall Flume GPS outfall OO1: 6-18-13.1 194-12-51.3
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Numb McAlister/Water and Wastewater Superintendent/479-273-7378/47 City of Rogers P.O. Box 338 Rogers, AR 72757		To	m Contacted Yes No X		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			<b>d During Inspection</b> nsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)		
S Permit U Flow Measurement	nt	S	<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	S	Sampling
S Records/Reports M Self-Monitoring	Program	S	Sludge Handling/Disposal	Ν	Pollution Prevention
S Facility Site Review N Compliance Sche	edules	Ν	Pretreatment	Ν	Multimedia
S Effluent/Receiving Waters S Laboratory		Ν	Storm Water	S	Other: Effluent Limits
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) Discharge monitoring reports for September, October, and November of 2006 were reviewed for Outfall 001. Discharge monitoring report and related data for November was reviewed for Outfall 001. The facility was within their limits for discharge limitations and monitoring requirements. Calibrations checks were not being calculated correctly. Repeat violation.					
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)     Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax     Date       Alison West     Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/     January 4, 2007       Open aligned from Long     Springdale/501-682-0744     January 4, 2007					
John Fazio	Arkansas Dej Springdale/5		Environmental Quality/ 2-0744		
Signature of Reviewer	Agency/Office	/Phone	e and Fax Numbers		Date

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

	Page 2 of 5
	PERMIT NO.: AR0043397
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS S M U NA (FURTHER E DETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED No )
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	∎Y N NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	Y N ■NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	∎YN NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■Y N NA
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. SMUNA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATT, DETAILS:	ached <u>No</u> )
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■Y N NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	∎S M U NA
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	■ Y N NA
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	∎Y N NA
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	∎Y N NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	∎Y N NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	∎Y N NA
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■Y N NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. Contract Laboratory	■S□M U NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	■S M U □NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■Y N NA
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	PLANATION ATTACHED $\underline{N0}$
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	∎S M U NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	. SMUNA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. 2 Generators, 3 EQ Basins	∎S M U NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. Wonderware/SCADA Computer P	Program ∎S M U NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	■S M U NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. 2-IV'S, 4-III'S, 1-II, 4-I'S	∎S M U NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. (Non 92-500)	S M U ∎NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	■ Y N NA
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	■ Y N NA
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	Y N ■NE

#### PERMIT NO.: AR0043397 SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D) NA 9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? N IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? Ν NA Y HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? NA Ν Y 10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? $\Box Y \blacksquare N$ NA IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? Y □ N ■ NA **SECTION D - SAMPLING** $\blacksquare$ S $\Box$ M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO ). PERMITTEE Sampling MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: Outfall 001 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. NA ∎ Y N 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. ∎Y NA N 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. ∎Y Ν NA 4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. ΠY N NA 5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. ΠY Ν NA 6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE ■Y □N NA ■Y N NA a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING. b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED. ∎Y NA Ν c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136 ∎Y NA Ν 7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT? Ν NA ΠY SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT S M ∎U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO ) PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: Outfall 001. Flow calibration checks were not being calculated correctly. 1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. Y Ν NA TYPE OF DEVICE 2 Foot Parshall Flume 2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED. ΠY Ν NA 3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. ∎Y N NA 4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION ( 4-21-06 ) Ultra Sonic Transducer $\blacksquare$ Y $\Box$ N NA RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. ■Y □N NA CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. 1/Week $\Box Y \blacksquare N$ NA 5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE. Minor turbulence □Y ■N NA 6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION. ∎ Y NA Ν 7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES. ∎Y NA Ν SECTION F - LABORATORY □S M □U PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO ) DETAILS: 1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES) $\Box Y$ Ν NA

	PAGE 4 OF 5
	PERMIT NO.: AR0043397
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	□ Y N NA □ Y N NA □ Y N NA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	□YNNA Y□NNA
SECTION D - SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE Sampling MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	N ATTACHED <b>NO</b> ).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	∎Y N NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	■Y N NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	∎Y N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	∎Y N NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	■Y N NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■Y N NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	■Y N NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	■Y N NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	tion attached <u>No</u> )
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE <u>No Manual Flow Device</u>	■Y N NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	■Y N NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	■Y N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION (10-3-06) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. N/A, No Manual Flow Device	■YNNA ■YNNA □YN■NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	□Y N ■NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	□Y N ■NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	■Y N NA
SECTION F - LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	ATION ATTACHED <u>NO</u>
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	■Y N NA

r							Page 5 of 5
						PERMIT	NO.: AR0043397
SECTION F - LABOR	ATORY (CONT'D)						
2. IF ALTERNATIVE	ANALYTICAL PROCED	OURES ARE USED, PR	OPER APPROVAL HA	AS BEEN OBTAINED		∎Y M	N NA
3. SATISFACTORY C	ALIBRATION AND MA	INTENANCE OF INST	RUMENTS AND EQUI	PMENT.		∎S⊡M U	J NA
4. QUALITY CONTRO	OL PROCEDURES ADI	EQUATE.				∎S M U	NA
5. DUPLICATE SAMP	PLES ARE ANALYZED.	<u>10-100</u> % OF THE TI	ME (except for TRC	, D.O. and pH)		ΓY	N NA
6. SPIKED SAMPLES	ARE ANALYZED. <u>10-</u>	100_% OF THE TIME.				∎Y N	N NA
7. COMMERCIAL LA	BORATORY USED.					∎Y N	N NA
LAB ADDRESS 90		ervices iuite 230 Richadson, T als, PCB's, and Soil Ana			Arkansas Ar 11701 530 Build Biomonitoring		5 Little Rock, AR
SECTION G - (EFFL	UENT)/RECEIVING W/	ATERS OBSERVATION	IS.	∎S M U NA	(FURTHER EXPLANATIC	N ATTACHED	<b>No</b> ).
Based on visual	observations or	ıly.					
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER
001	None	None	None	Trace	None	Clear	
Comments: Outfa	all OO2 had no dis	charge at the time	e of inspection.				
SECTION H - SLUDO	GE DISPOSAL						
SLUDGE DISPOSAL DETAILS:	MEETS PERMIT REQ	UIREMENTS.	-	S 🗆 M U NA	(FURTHER EXPLANATIO	N ATTACHED N	<b>O</b> ).
1. SLUDGE MANAGE	EMENT ADEQUATE TO	O MAINTAIN EFFLUEN	T QUALITY.			∎S M L	J NA
2. SLUDGE RECORI	OS MAINTAINED AS R	EQUIRED BY 40 CFR 5	503.			∎S □ M U	J NA
3. FOR LAND APPLI	ED SLUDGE, TYPE OF	FLAND APPLIED TO:	Agriculture (e.g., FC	REST, AGRICULTURAL	., PUBLIC CONTACT S		
SECTION I - SAMPL	ING INSPECTION PRO	DCEDURES		(Fl	JRTHER EXPLANATION A	TTACHED NO	)
1. SAMPLES OBTAIN	NED THIS INSPECTION	N.				Y∎N	N NA
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE	OBTAINED - <b>N/A</b>						
GRAB	COMPOSITE SAMPI	_E METH	HOD F	REQUENCY			
3. SAMPLES PRESE	RVED.						N ■ NA
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. Y N ■ NA							
	5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE. Y N ■NA						
	6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND NATURE OF DISCHARGE.       Y       N       ■ NA						
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W							N ■NA
	DDY PROCEDURES E						N ■ NA
9. SAMPLES COLLE	9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. Y N NA						

## FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Field Data: Date \_\_\_\_\_\_ Time\_\_\_\_10:10 a.m.

Head in Inches\_\_\_**16.5"** = \_\_\_**1.375 ft**\_\_\_\_\_

Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device <u>2 Foot Parshall Flume</u>

Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device Ultrasonic Transducer read by an Allen Bradley Program Logic Controller with Wonder Ware Softworks

Recorded Flow at date & time listed above <u>8.75 MGD</u>

Flows are calculated from flow charts taken from the <u>ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook-5th</u> <u>Edition</u>

<u>8.75-8.422</u> = .0389 8.422

% error = <u>(recorded value - calculated value)</u> x 100 calculated value

% error = .0389 x 100

% error = 3.89

<10% error, so OK

## Attachment #2

AR0043397

## **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b> From	06	<u>    11    </u>	01	To _	<u> </u>	<u>_11</u> _	<u>31</u>
	Year	Month	Day	Yea	ar Month	a Day	

Parameter Checked: <u>Fecal Coliform</u>

	Loading Mass Mo. Avglbs/ day	Concentration Monthly Avgmg/l 7-day Avgmg/l		
Reported Value:	-		26	34.8
Calculated Value:	-	2	26	34.8
Permit Value:	-	2	200	400

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:



January 5, 2007

Tom McAlister, Water and Wastewater Superintendent City of Rogers P.O. Box 338 Rogers, AR 72757

RE: AFIN: 04-00155

NPDES Permit No.: AR0043397

Dear Mr. McAlister:

On December 13, 2006, John Fazio, District Field Inspector, and I performed a routine compliance evaluation inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated there under. This inspection revealed the following violation:

Calibration checks were being calculated incorrectly. Repeat violation. This is in violation of Part II, C, 2.

The above item requires your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to this finding to the NPDES Enforcement Section of this Department when the violation has been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible. The written response is due by **January 25, 2007.** 

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 501-682-0744.

Sincerely,

alisan West

Alison West District Field Inspector Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch

#### ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Rogers

AFIN Number: 04-00155

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0043397

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0043397

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: 8-15-06

Date of Last PCI/Audit: 4-26-06/6-21 through 23/04

Date of Last Annual Report: 2-1-06

Name of Inspector: Alison West/John Fazio

Date PCI Performed: 12-14-06

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Louanne Diffin/Environmental Services Coordinator/479-273-7378 Bob Winnes/Environmental Compliance Specialist/479-273-7378

Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A

Number of IUs Visited: 2

Name(s) of IUs Visited: Ozark Mountain Poultry and Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners.

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

### A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. <u>No SIU's have been added or deleted since</u> the last inspection.
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? NA
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Industry user waste survey forms, industry user questionnaire forms, site visits. The Chamber of Commerce sends a list of new companies. The city receives new connections from the Water Department.
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) **12**
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 5
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Inspections, site visits, BMRs, industry user survey form, Federal Register 40 CFR,telephone book, ADEQ website.
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
Bekeart	Metal Finishing	Plating, Coating
Mafco	Metal Finishing	Phosphating
Preformed Line	Aluminum Forming	Quench and rinse
Superior Industries	Metal Finishing	Phosphating
Kennametal	Metal Finishing	Phosphating

#### B. LOCAL LIMITS

-	IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? <u>NA</u>								
2. Describe NA									
sludge po requiremo	. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?								
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Requirem Permit:	ent in Program:	Comments:					
Metals: Influent:	11 times a year	Once/Quarter	NA	NA					
Effluent:	11 times a year	Once/Quarter	NA	NA					
Sludge:	6/year	Quarterly	NA	NA					
Organics: Influent:	Once/Year	Once/Year	NA	NA					
Effluent:	Once/Year	Once/Year	NA	NA					
Sludge:	NA	NA	NA	NA					

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? No

#### C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

Notification of process change:

- 1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes, Permit
- How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 13 (12 SIU's, and 1 Non SIU)
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes

4.	. Does the control document contain the following items? Permits for Ozark Mountain Poultry and Model Laundry were checked.					
	An expiration date: Yes					
	Discharge limitations: Yes					
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:					
	IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes					
	IU reporting requirements: Yes					
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:					
	Sample location: Yes					
	Type of sample: Yes					
	Monitoring frequency: Yes					
	Bypass prohibition: Yes					
	Right of entry: Yes					
	Nontransferability: Yes					
	Revocation clause: Yes					
	Penalty Provisions: Yes					
	Slug load notification: Yes					

Yes

## D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:				
	-	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:		
	Sampling: categorical IUs	1/YR	1/YR		
	other SIUs	1/YR	1/YR		
	Inspection: categorical IUs	1/YR	1/YR		
	other SIUs	1/YR	1/YR		
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPR	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE OVED PROGRAM? Yes	FREQUENCY		
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Unannounced		
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes			
5.	Does the inspection the following:	report contain an adequate	e description of		
	Date and time of ins	pection: Yes			
	Officials present:	Yes			
	Inspection of chemic	al storage areas: Yes			
		ated processes, categorica of these waste streams: Ye			
	Inspection of the pr	etreatment facilities: Ye	25		
	Review of self-monit	oring records: Yes			
	Observation of IU se	lf-monitoring procedures:	Yes		
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniqu	es are used: <b>Yes</b>		
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where req	uired): No, but		
6.	_	rvice and calibrate flow inspection documentation:	—		

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? No, duplicates are not being conducted on pH at Ozark Mountain Poultry and Model Laundry.
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? **Yes**
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? <u>pH was not being collected at the</u> final discharge to the collection system at Model Laundry.
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? <u>All reports, etc are logged in upon receipt,</u> reviewed, and filed. The data is inputted to the computer tracking system.
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes, by Louanne Diffin and Bob Winnes. Information is entered into two programs in the computer. The report is filed in the I.U. file.
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? Follows enforcement response plan.

# 18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: No new categoricals since the last inspection.

	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:					
	Name and address: N/A					
	Other environmental permits held: <u>N/A</u>					
	Description of operations: <u>N/A</u>					
	Process flow diagrams: <u>N/A</u>					
	Flow measurements: N/A					
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: <u>N/A</u>					
	Certification of compliance by the IU: <b>N/A</b>					
	Compliance schedule (if needed): <u>N/A</u>					
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: None.					

#### E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes, see attachment #1
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: See attachment #1.

Late reports: See attachment #1.

Unpermitted discharges: See attachment #1.

Slug loads or spills: See attachment #1.

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Yes.
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation: <b>Failed to meet</b>	Enforcement Action: NOV and	Compliance Deadline: <b>NA</b>
Model Laundry	permit limits.	increased self monitoring	NA 

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: None.

#### F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

- 1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? **Yes**
- 2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
- 3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? **Yes**

#### G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

- List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: No
- Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
- 3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? **N/A**
- 4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
- 5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: <u>N/A</u>

#### H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

The facility's documents were well organized. The city was not enforcing conditions of the industry user's permits at Ozark Mountain Poultry, Inc and Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners on sampling and duplicates of pH. Duplicates were not being conducted on pH. Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners were not keeping documentation on sampling and analysis as required in Part II, Section C, 6 of their permit. Also, according to Art Stout, pH was being collected continuous with no recorder where sulfuric acid is being added rather than the final treatment. According to the industry's permit, a grab sample must be collected for pH.

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners

POTW Name: City of Rogers

Industry Contacts: Steve Ash and Art Stout

Date and Time of Visit: 8:35 a.m/12-14-06

Description of Manufacturing Process: Wash and finish soiled linens, uniforms, and other apparel. Laundry and dry clean apparel.

Sources of Process Wastewater:

Detergents, bleaches, alkali, soaps, and softeners are used in liquid form in the wash area. Waste from the washers is sent to pretreatment. Dry cleaning operation has no discharge.

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: N/A

Point of Application: N/A

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Facility utilizes chemical addition, flow equalization, oil and grease separation, air floatation, and screening for pretreatment.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Facility has a slug control plan.

Sampling Location and Equipment: Samples are taken on the lower side of the final discharge weir of the pretreatment unit prior to discharge. Facility uses American Sigma 24 hour composite sampler.

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Ozark Mountain Poultry

POTW Name: City of Rogers

Industry Contacts: Jack Greenfield

Date and Time of Visit: 10:25 a.m./12-14-06

Description of Manufacturing Process: Poultry is received in ice packs. Poultry is deboned by hand, cut up, weighed, and repackaged as boneless pieces. Product is stored until shipped.

Sources of Process Wastewater: Waste consists of chicken particles, oil, grease, and detergents from cleaning.

Categorical Industry? **No** 

Basis for Limits: NA

Point of Application: NA

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Process water flows to a settling tank and pumped to a rotary screen. The water is pumped into an EQ tank before going to a DAF tank. The sludge is collected by TRS. Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Slug control plan and pollution prevention plan in place to Prevent occurrences of spills and slugs.

Sampling Location and Equipment: The sampler is located along the southwest corner of the facility. The monitoring facility consists of a parshall flume with an ISCO Model 4230 bubbler flow meter.

#### PPETS CODE SHEET

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Alison West/John Fazio	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Rogers	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0043397	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	12-14-06	DTIA

#### PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	12	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	5	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	1	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN



January 5, 2007

Tom McAlister, Water and Wastewater Superintendent City of Rogers P.O. Box 338 Rogers, AR 72757

RE: AFIN: 04-00155

NPDES Permit No.: AR0043397

Dear Mr. McAlister:

On December 14, 2006, John Fazio, District Field Inspector, and I, performed a routine pretreatment compliance evaluation inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violation:

The city is not enforcing conditions of the industrial user permits regarding sampling and duplicates for pH. At the time of inspection, it was observed duplicates were not being conducted on pH at Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners as well as Ozark Mountain Poultry, Inc. At Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners, we were informed that the pH sample was continuous with no recorder rather than a grab sample. According to Art Stout, pH is being checked where sulfuric acid is being added rather than at the final treatment. Also, Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners were not meeting the conditions of their permit in Part II, Section C, 6 where the industry is required to keep records of sampling and analysis.

The above item requires your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to this finding to the NPDES Enforcement Section of this Department when the violation has been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible. The written response is due by **January 25, 2007.** 

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 501-682-0744.

Sincerely,

alison Wes

Alison West District Field Inspector Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch

۶	EPA	<b>N</b>			NI	PD				W	ashing	MENTA ton, D.C. <b>CE</b> ]	. 20460				Re	001	rt								OMI	3 No.	pprov 2040- pires 7	0003	
<u> </u>										1																					
Section A: National Data System Coding         Transaction Code       NPDES       yr/mo/day       Inspe         1       N       2       5       3       A       R       0       0       4       3       3       9       7       11       12       0       6       1       2       1       4       17       18       14									pec. 7 P	Гуре	19	Inspe S	1	1	с Туре																
	A	F	I	N		0	4	-	0	0	1	5	5		Rema	urks															
	Іі 67	ispec	tion V	Vork	Days 69			Fa	cility 70	1	ation	Rating	5	71	BI N	72	QA N	73			7	74 7	75		Resei	rved				8	0
													Se	ectior	n B: Fa	acility	Data														
										rial us	sers di	ischar	ging t	o PO	TW, al	so	Er	try Ti	me /I	Date					Pe	rmit I	Effec	tive D	ate		
City	y of I		s Wa		<i>l NPD.</i> ter Tre				er)								7:3	0 a.m	./12-1	14-06					11-	1-200	06				
			50w 72757	,														it Tin 00 p.r			6					rmit I 28-20		ation	Date		
Lou	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)       Oth         Louanne Diffin/Environmental Services Coordinator/479-273-7378/479-273-7627       Bob Winnes/Environmental Compliance Specialist/479-273-7378/479-273-7627								her Fa	acility	Data	a																			
Mc City P.O	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number       Tom         McAlister/Water and Wastewater Superintendent/479-273-7378/479-273-7627       Tom         City of Rogers       P.O. Box 338         Rogers, AR 72757       Yes																														
									(S	= Sati		e <b>ction</b> ory, M									alua	ted)									
Ν	Pe	rmit						N	Flow	Meas	urem	ent			Ν	Ol	oerati	ons &	: Mai	ntena	ance	;	N	J	CSO/SSO						
Ν	R	ecor	ls/Re	ports			1	N	Self	-Moni	itorin	g Prog	gram		Ν	SI	udge	Hand	ling/	Dispo	osal		N	N	Pollution Prevention						
Ν	1		-	Revi			_	N		•		hedule	es		Μ	Р	retre	atmen	ıt				ľ	N	Sampling						
N	E	fflue	nt/Re	ceivir	ng Wa	ters	]	N		orator				.~	Ν		orm `								Other:						
Ci	tv w	as no	t enf	orcine	, cond	itions	ofin				-	y of Fi on san		<i>.</i>									•		nand	at M	odel	Laur	drv 9	nd D	rv
	City was not enforcing conditions of industry user's permit on sampling and duplicates for pH at Ozark Mountain Poultry, Inc and at Model Laundry and Dry Cleaners.																														
Alis	Alison West A				Ar	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/ Springdale/501- 682-0744								Date January 5, 2006																	
Joh	n Fa	zio											kansa 2-074		pt. of ]	Envir	onme	ntal (	Quali	ty/ Sj	oring	gdale	/501-		1						
Sig	gnatu	ire of	' Revi	ewer											ce/Pho	one ar	nd Fax	x Nun	nbers						Da	ate					

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

٢	EPA UNITED STATES ENVIRON Washing NPDES Complian	ton, D.C. 20460		Repor	rt						Form OMB M pproval	lo. 20		03
Î		Section A: Natio	nal Data S	System Codi	ing									
1.1	Transaction Code     NPDES     yr/mo/day     Inspe       1     N     2     5     3     A     R     0     0     4     3     3     9     7     11     12     0     6     0     4     2     6     17     18     1									c. Type	Ins 19	specto S	or 20	Fac Type
			Remarks											
	Inspection Work Days   Facility Evaluation     67   69   70	Rating 71	BI N 72	QA N 73				75	Re	eserved				80
Ī		Section	B: Facility	v Data										
<i>incl</i> Pel-	ne and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users d ide POTW name and NPDES permit number) Freeze Arkansas, LLC POTW: City of Rog		W, also	Entry Ti 4-26-06						Permit N	Effectiv /A	ve Dat	te	
	North Arkansas St. ers, AR			Exit Tim 4-26-00		e 25 PM	I			Permit N	Expirat /A	ion D	ate	
	e(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Nu rolyne Wendel/Environmental Coordinator/479-636-4361	mber(s)							Other	her Facility Data				
Da Pe 40	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number     Contacted       David Dubbell/President/479-636-4361     Contacted       Pel-Freeze Arkansas, LLC     Yes       404 North Arkansas St.     Yes       Rogers, AR 72756     Ves													
		ection C: Areas Er ory, M = Marginal,				Evalu	ated)							
S	Permit N Flow Measuren	nent	N O	perations &	z Main	ntenan	ce	N	S	Sampling				
Ν	Records/Reports N Self-Monitorin	g Program	N S	ludge Hand	ling/D	isposa	1	Ν	Р	Pollution Prevention				
Ν	Facility Site Review N Compliance Sci	hedules	Y F	Pretreatmen	t			Ν	N	Multimedia				
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory			torm Water				Ν	C	Other:				
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) None.														
Dal	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) 9 Washam 9 Mest	Agency/Office ADEQ/Mamn ADEQ/Spring	noth Sprin	ngs/(870) 625						Date	5-3-06			
Sig	nature of Management QA Reviewer	Agency/Offic	e/Phone a	nd Fax Nun	ibers					Date				

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

## **POTW Pretreatment Program**

## **Industrial Site Visit**

Name of Industry:	Pel-Freeze Arkansas, LLC
·	

Industry Contacts: Carolyne Wendel, Environmental Coordinator

Type of Industry: Meat Processing

Date of Visit: 4-26-06

1. 2. 3.	significant industrial user: pretreatment equipment or procedures? pretreatment equipment maintained and operational?	<u>X yes</u> no <u>not determined</u> <u>yes X no n/a</u> <u>yes X no n/a</u>
4.	hazardous waste generated or stored?	<u>X yes no n/a</u>
5.	proper solid waste disposal?	<u>Xyes</u> no <u>n</u> /a
6.	solvent management/tto control	<u>yes no X n/a</u>
7.	suitable sampling location?	<u>X yes no n/a</u>
8.	appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment?	<u>Xyes_no_n/a</u>
9.	adequate spill prevention?	<u>Xyes no n/a</u>
10.	industry familiar with limits and requirements?	<u>Xyes_no_n/a</u>

**Additional Comments:** 

Visit Conducted by (signature):_	Alison West and Dale Washam	Date:5-3-06
		ACI:X-2430

SEPA UNITED STATES ENVIRONME Washington NPDES Compliance	Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85								
	Section A: National Data S								
Transaction Code       NPDES         1       N       2       5       3       A       R       0       0       4       3       3	Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type 8 I 19 S 20 2								
	Remarks								
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Ra	ating BI	QA	Reserved						
67 69 70 N	71 <b>N</b> 72	N 73 74 75	80						
	Section B: Facility	Data							
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users disc include POTW name and NPDES permit number)           Superior Industries International, Inc         POTW: City		Entry Time /Date 4-26-06 1:45 PM	Permit Effective Date N/A						
1301 N. Dixieland Road Rogers, AR		Exit Time/Date 4-26-06 3:35 PM	Permit Expiration Date N/A						
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Num John Fisher/Environmental Engineer/479-631-8037	nber(s)	·	Other Facility Data						
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Numb John Fisher/Environmental Engineer/479-631-8037 1301 N. Dixieland Road Rogers, AR 72756									
	tion C: Areas Evaluated D y, M = Marginal, U = Unsat	<b>uring Inspection</b> isfactory, N = Not Evaluated)							
S Permit N Flow Measurement	ent <u>N</u> OI	perations & Maintenance	Sampling						
N Records/Reports N Self-Monitoring	Program N Sl	udge Handling/Disposal N	Pollution Prevention						
N Facility Site Review N Compliance Sche	edules Y P	retreatment N	Multimedia						
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory		orm Water N	Other:						
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) Contract lab does all sampling and analysis for the industry.									
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Dale Washam Alison West		e/Fax gs/(870) 625-7477/(870)625-7699 927-3257, Ext 12/(479) 927-3261	Date 5-3-06						
Signature of Management QA Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone an	d Fax Numbers	Date						

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

## **POTW Pretreatment Program**

## **Industrial Site Visit**

Name of Industry:	Superior Industries International, Inc

Industry Contacts: John Fisher, Environmental Engineer

Type of Industry: Metal Finishing

Date of Visit: 4-26-06

1.	significant industrial user:	<u>X</u> yes	_no _	not determined
2.	pretreatment equipment or procedures?	<u>X</u> yes	no _	n/a
3.	pretreatment equipment maintained and operational?	<u>X</u> yes	_no_	n/a
4.	hazardous waste generated or stored?	<u>X</u> yes	_no_	<u>n/a</u>
5.	proper solid waste disposal?	<u>X</u> yes	_no_	n/a
6.	solvent management/tto control	X_yes_	_no_	n/a
7.	suitable sampling location?	<u>X</u> yes	_no_	<u>n/a</u>
8.	appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment?	<u>X</u> yes	_no	_n/a
9.	adequate spill prevention?	<u>X *yes</u>	no	<u>n/a</u>
10.	industry familiar with limits and requirements?	<u>X</u> yes	_no	_n/a

Additional Comments: There were areas within the facility where there were not any spill prevention measures for chemical storage. It is strongly recommended that this be improved.

Visit Conducted by (signature):Alison West and Dale WashamDate:5-3-06ACI:X-2430