≎EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report																		
Section A: National Data System Coding																		
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type																		
1 1	N 2 5 3 A R	0 0	2 0 3	0 3 11	12	0	6 1	2	2	8	17	18	C	19		S 2	20 1	
				1	Remar	ks												
	A F I N 6	0 -	0 2 7	4 P	u	l	a s	k	i		C	0	u I	n t	3	y		
	Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA																	
	67 69		70 2	71	N	72	N 7	/3		74	75						8	0
				Section	B: Fac	cility l	Data											
	ne and Location of Facility Inspe			discharging to F	OTW,		-	7 Time					Permit Effective Date					
	include POTW name and NPDES th Little Rock Wastewater U	•		POTW			1030	on 12-2	28-06				3-1-04					
loca	ted at 7400 Baucum Pike orth Little Rock, AR						Exit T	Γime/D	Date				Permit Expiration Date					
111 11	ordi Little Rock, AR				1515 on 12-28-06						12-31-07							
Nan	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)	/Title(s)/Phone and Fax N	Jumber(s)								Oth	er Fac	ility Da	ta			
	unny English - Chief Operator / (50 le Leubner - Operator	1)-945	-7186															
	annon Wayson – Chemist / (501)-94	15-718	6 ext. 104															
	ne, Address of Responsible Offici Mills - Utility Manager / (501)-94			umber														
740	0 Baucum Pike	<i>3</i> -7100	,					Co	ntacted	d	-							
Nor	th Little Rock, AR 72117						Yes		No	X								
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																		
s	Permit	S	Flow Measureme		s		erations					M	Samp	ling				
s	Records/Reports	M	Self-Monitoring		$ \mathbf{s} $	_					Г	\neg	Pollution Prevention					
S	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Scho		N		Sludge Handling/Disposal N Pretreatment N						Multimedia					
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		N	Sto	rm Wat	ter			5	S	Other	:				
	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)																	
2																		
Section D – Sampling																		
The relinquishing of the Bioassy samples is unsatisfactory. The Chain of Custody record shows lapses of custody between the																		
sampler, the courier, and contract lab.																		
Storm Water – Based upon my inspection, it was determined that the "No Exposure" Certification is not applicable at this POTW,																		
due to untreated facility outfalls leaving the property. An outfall was noted on the south side of the property, just east of the old																		
outfall structure.																		
Nar	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspecto	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax								Date								
Eric M. Fleming /				ADEQ / Little Rock / (501)-682-0659							12-28-06							
Sign	Signature of Management QA Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date																	
~-8*																		
				I														

	Permit No. AR0020303					
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION						
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS DETAILS: S M U U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED no)						
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	■ Y □ N □ NA					
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	□ Y □ N ■ NA					
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	\blacksquare Y \square N \square NA					
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■ Y □ N □ NA					
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION						
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. DETAILS: S M U U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION AT A DETAILS)	TACHED <u>no</u>)					
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■ y □ n □ na					
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.						
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	■ Y □ N □ NA					
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	■ Y □ N □ NA					
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE.						
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.						
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE						
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. \blacksquare S \square M \square U \square NA (Further explanation at details:	FACHED <u>no</u>)					
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.						
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.						
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. AP&L contract	■ M □ U □ NA					
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.						
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE						
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED.						
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED.						
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	■ Y □ N □ NA					
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	■ Y □ N □ NA					

		PERMIT NO. AR0020303					
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)							
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?		□ NA					
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?							
SECTION D - SAMPLING							
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	TACHED <u>yes</u>).						
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\blacksquare Y \square N	□NA					
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	\blacksquare Y \square N [□ NA					
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	\blacksquare Y \square N	□ NA					
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\blacksquare Y \square N [□ NA					
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	\blacksquare Y \square N	□ NA					
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	\blacksquare Y \square N	□ NA					
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	\blacksquare Y \square N	□ NA					
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■ y □ n [□ NA					
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136.3.	■ y □ n [□ NA					
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?		l NA					
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT							
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. \blacksquare S \square M \square U \square NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED \underline{no}) DETAILS:							
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. TYPE OF DEVICE 4 foot Parshall Flume	■ y □ n [□ NA					
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	\blacksquare Y \square N	□ na					
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	\blacksquare Y \square N [□ na					
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION 11-13-06) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.		□ NA					
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	■ y □ n [□ na					
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	\blacksquare Y \square N [
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	\blacksquare Y \square N [
SECTION F - LABORATORY							
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	NATION ATTACHE	D <u>no)</u>					
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES) (all but TRC)	■ Y □ N	□ NA					

						Permit No	o. AR0020303		
SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)									
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYT	TICAL PROCEDURES A	ARE USED, PROPER A	PPROVAL HAS BEEN	OBTAINED	□Y■N	□ NA			
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRA									
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT. S M U NA 4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE.									
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE	□ NA								
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANA	□ NA								
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATO	ORY USED.				\blacksquare Y \square N	□ NA			
LAB NAME <u>American Inter</u>	plex Corporation		LAB NA	ME <u>Huther and Asso</u>	ciates, Inc.				
LAB ADDRESS 8600 Kanis	Road, Little Rock, AR		LAB A	DDRESS 1156 North	Bonnie Brae St., Dentor	n, TX			
PARAMETERS PERFORME	D <u>Table II, & Table I</u>	II for Influent, effluent,	and sludge , PARA	AMETERS PERFORME	D Bioassay				
SECTION G - EFFLUENT	[/RECEIVING WAT]	ERS OBSERVATION	. Т ѕ □ м □ и □	NA (FURTHER EXPLAN	ATION ATTACHED <u>no</u>).				
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER		
001	none	none	none	none	none	clear	-		
RECEIVING WATER OBSER	RVATIONS Receive	ring stream was not obser	rved.						
SECTION H - SLUDGE D	DISPOSAL								
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS DETAILS:	-			NA (FURTHER EXPLAN	NATION ATTACHED <u>no</u>)).			
1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT	SADEOUATE TO MAIN				∎s □ м □ u	 т П N A			
2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. 3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO: N/a (e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)									
SECTION I - SAMPLING			ER EXPLANATION ATTACK	,					
1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION. □ Y ■ N □ NA									
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED									
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE									
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND MATURE OF DISCHARGE. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
7. SAMPLE SPLIT WITH PE	RMITTEE.				□ Y □] n ■ na			
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED.									
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED I	□ n ■ na								

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period: from 06 10 01 to 06 10 31

year month day year month day

Parameter Checked: pH, S.U.

Quantity

	Min.	Max.
Reported Value:	6.34	7.10
Calculated Value:	6.34	7.10
Permit Value:	6	9

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

SAME

Flow Calculation Sheet

Field Data: Date <u>12-28-06</u> Time <u>1025</u> hrs.

Head <u>0.75</u> **feet**

Type & Size of Flow Monitoring Device 4 foot Parshall flume

Name & Model of Flow Monitoring Device <u>Milltronics Hydro Ranger</u>

Recorded Flow at date & time listed above 6.53 MGD

Reference for Flow Calculations <u>Isco Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook</u>

Calculations:

0.750 feet = 6.57 MGD

% error = $\underline{\text{Recorded value - calculated value}}$ (100) calculated value

% error = $\frac{6.53 - 6.57}{6.57}$ x 100

% error = -0.6 % error



January 3, 2007

Gary Mills, Utility Manager North Little Rock Wastewater Utility P.O. Box 17898 North Little Rock, AR 72117-0898

Re: AFIN: No. 60-00274 NPDES Permit Nos. AR0020303, AR0020320, and AR0038288

Dear Mr. Mills

On December 27 and December 28, 2006, I performed routine permit compliance inspections at all 3 of the above referenced facilities. These inspections were performed in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. These inspections revealed the following violations:

AR0020320 - Five Mile Creek POTW

- 1. The east curtain and the center curtain in the polishing pond are sagging and in need of repair.
- 2. Flow Measurement calibration checks are being performed, but a % error is not being calculated to see if the equipment is within 10%.
- 3. The 1.5 foot rectangular weir has end contractions, and is not partially contracted as stated. By using the wrong calibration calculation, the flow measurement percent error is 29.3%.

AR0020303 - Faulkner Lake POTW

1. Based upon my inspection, it was determined that the "No Exposure" Certification is not applicable at this POTW, due to untreated facility outfalls leaving the property. An outfall was noted on the south side of the property, just east of the old outfall structure.

AR0038288 - White Oak Bayou POTW

1. Not all discharges are permitted. It appears that the manhole next to the clarifier periodically overflows. The area downslope from the manhole is washed out causing the concrete sidewalk to collapse. A few small grease balls were noted in the grass next to the manhole.

North Little Rock POTW January 3, 2007 Page 2

- 2. The influent samples are not flow proportional as required by the Permit.
- 3. A flow calculation check revealed an error of 14.7%.

It was also found that the relinquishing of the Bioassy samples, for all 3 POTW's, is unsatisfactory. The Chain of Custody records show lapses of custody between the sampler, the courier, and contract lab.

The aforementioned violations require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the NPDES Enforcement Section of this Department when the violations have been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible and the written response is due by January 24, 2007.

If you have any questions concerning this inspection, please feel free to contact me at (501) 682-0659.

Sincerely,

Eric M. Fleming Inspector Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch