

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

## **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

	Section A: National Data System Coding																																
Transaction Code NPDES										yr/mo/day				Ins	Inspec. Type Inspector Fac					Fac T	Гуре												
1	N	2	5	3	$\mathbf{A}$	$ _{\mathbf{R}}$	0		0	2	2	4	1	0	3	11	1	2	0	7	ا را	4	٥	5	17	18	Ìс	1	19	$\hat{\mathbf{s}}$	20	1	
L	11				11	1	U		U .	_	_			•	5	_		L		,	U		U	-	J		C	ļ.		b	l		] ! 
ı	. 1	_			I	L	L	I	ļ		١	١.	L	_	L	ı	Kei	mark 	ks 		ĺ	ı	I	I	I	1	I	I		I	1	I	l
L	A	F	Ι	N		0	4	丄		U	0	1		5	4	<u> </u>					<u> </u>						_						] [ 
	ì	pecti	on Wo	rk I	ı				Faci	•	Evalua I	tion :	Rat	ing			BI I	1	1	QA	l		 I	 L		<u>`</u> I	Reserv I	ved	 	 I	 I	 I	<u> </u> 
	67				69					70	3	]				71	N	V	72	N	73			74	75							80	
								_							Se	ection	B:	Fac	ility l	Data													
Nan	Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also Entry Time /Date Permit Effective Date																																
					NPD tewate															002	5/0	1 05	07				01	Λ1 (	14				
		A Str		v as	ewate	1 1102	unei	IL F	iani													1-05-						01-(					
Ben	tonvi	lle, A	R 72	712																		e/Date <b>)4-05</b>						mit E <b>-31-</b>	xpirat 08	ion D	ate		
					esenta																					Oth	ner Fac	cility	Data				
					er Util visor a											79-27	1-31	163								Ou	tfall O	01:					
				1																						N	36.39	234					
					nsible										2											W.	-94.20	1352					
City	of B	entor	ville	wai	er Uti	nty ivi	anag	,e1/4	179-2	2/1-3	100/4	19-2	/1	310	3						Г	Cont	acted	<u> </u>	l		rance: 36.39						
		entra	ıl .R 72	712																Yes	L		No	X			-94.20						
Den		110, 71	72	, 12				_				C-	-4.	(	٦. ٨	T	7 L	4-	4 D		T	4:											
										(S =	Satis											ection = No	Eva	luated	)								
S	Per	mit						U	F	low N	Measu	rem	ent				3	S	Operations & Maintenance					$\mathbf{S}$	Sampling								
S	Rec	cords	/Repo	rts				M	s	elf-N	Ionito	oring	g Pr	rogr	am		S	5	Slu	Sludge Handling/Disposal				S	Pollution Prevention								
S	Fac	cility	Site I	evi	ew			S	(	Comp	liance	e Scł	ıedı	ules	<b>;</b>		ľ	1	Pr	etrea	reatment			N	Multimedia								
M	Eff	luent	/Rece	ivin	g Wat	ers		$\mathbf{S}$	I	aboı	ratory	7					N	N	Storm Water					S	Other: 2006 Annual Report for Land Application of Biosolids								
								S	ectic	n D:	Sumi	marv	v of	`Fir	nding	s/Co	mm	ents	(Att	ach a	dditi	onal s	heets	if ne	ressar	v)	Land	Арр	псапо	on or	B10S0	ıas	
Pα	corc	le ro	wiew	ha	incli	ıda I	м																				106 1	lah s	naly	cic o	and c	hain	
																																	1-
	of-custody reports for August 2006, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2006 Biomonitoring results, Table II and Table III toxic pollutant monitoring results for 2006, and the 2006 Land Application of Biosolids Report.																																
Νa	No final officer things are more noted for those months																																
110	No final effluent limit excursions were noted for these months.																																
																											1						
Nan	ne(s)	and S	Signa	ure	(s) of	Inspe	ctor(	(s)						_		Offic		•			mow	al O	1:4	/			Dat		7				
Arkansas Dept. of Env Fayetteville/479-267-08							- •						04/09/07																				
Joh	n Fa	zio	Li	,	<u>//</u>								$\bot$																				
Aliso	n W	est																															
Signature of Reviewer Agen					Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers									Date																			

	PERMIT NO.: <b>AR0022403</b>
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS  DETAILS:  S M U NA (FURTHER EX	(PLANATION ATTACHED $\overline{ extbf{No}}$ )
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	■Y N NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	Y N ■ NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	■Y N NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■Y N NA
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. $\blacksquare$ S $\Box$ M U NA (FURTHER EXDETAILS:	(PLANATION ATTACHED $\underline{ extbf{No}}$ )
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■Y N NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	■S M U NA
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	■ Y N NA
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	■ Y N NA
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	■ Y □ N NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	■ Y N NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	■ Y N NA
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■Y N NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. Contract Laboratory	□S M U ■NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	■S M U NA
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■Y N NA
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.  DETAILS:  S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLICATION)	LANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u> )
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	■S M U NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	. ■S M U NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. City has provided dual feed for power.	■S M U NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. SCADA-battery backup	■S M U NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	■S M U NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. 32 Operators: 4-Class IV, 2-Class III, remaining are Class	II&I ■S M U NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. (Non 92-500)	■S M U NA
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	■ Y N NA
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	■ Y N NA
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	Y N ■NA

	PERMIT NO.: <b>AR0022403</b>
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? In C IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	collection system   Y N NA Y N NA Y N NA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	Y ■ N NA □ N ■ NA
SECTION D - SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE Sampling MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.  DETAILS:	XPLANATION ATTACHED <b>NO</b> ).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	■Y N NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. 24 hour composite/flow weighted	■ Y N NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	■Y N NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	■Y N NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■Y N NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	■Y N NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE	
THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	■Y N NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. ☐ S ☐ M ■ U NA (FURTHI DETAILS:	ER EXPLANATION ATTACHED <b>Yes</b> )
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED.  TYPE OF DEVICE 24" Parshall Flume	■Y N NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	■ Y N NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	■Y N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE (for secondary flow measurement device). (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION (10-11-04 RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES.  CALIBRATION CHECKS (% error) DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. Twice/month, but method to assure compliance.	■Y N NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	■Y N NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	■ Y N NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	■Y N NA
SECTION F - LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. $\blacksquare$ S M $\Box$ U NA (FURTHE DETAILS:	ER EXPLANATION ATTACHED $\underline{f No}$
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	■ Y □ N NA

						PERMIT	NO.: AR0022403			
SECTION F - LABOR	ATORY (CONT'D)									
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED  Y □ N ■ NA										
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT.										
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE.										
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 100 % OF THE TIME										
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 100 % OF THE TIME.										
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED.										
LAB NAME Ame	rican Interplex			Wilkens Envi	ronmental	_				
	O Kanis Road, Little Ro ORMED Table II Org		TCLP, PCB	P.O. Box 2317, Stillwar Biomonitoring	ter, OK 74076		_			
PARAMETERS PERFORMED _Table II Organics, Table III metals, TCLP, PCB										
Based on visual	observations or	nly								
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER			
001	None	None	None	Trace	None	Clear	Algae			
					110110					
Comments: Algae	e arowth observed	d at and downstrea	am from Outfall 00	1.						
SECTION H - SLUDG										
	MEETS PERMIT REQ			S□M U NA (	FURTHER EXPLANATION A	TTACHED No	).			
	.,	ural sites and composte				<b>-</b> 0 M I				
		O MAINTAIN EFFLUEN				S M U				
		EQUIRED BY 40 CFR		DECT. ACDICULTUDAL		■S M U	⊔NE			
3. FOR LAND AFFLIR	ED SLODGE, TIPE OF	F LAND APPLIED TO	Agriculture (e.g., FOR	REST, AGRICULTURAL,	, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE	=)				
SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED No										
1. SAMPLES OBTAIN	NED THIS INSPECTIO	N.				Y <b>■</b> N	NA NA			
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE	OBTAINED - N/A									
GRAB	GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY									
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED Y N ■ NA										
4. FLOW PROPORTI	4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED.  Y N ■ NA									
5. SAMPLE OBTAINE	5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE.  Y N ■NA									
6. SAMPLE REPRES	6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND NATURE OF DISCHARGE.  Y N ■ NA									
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W	ITH PERMITTEE.					ΥN	J ■NA			
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTO	DDY PROCEDURES E	MPLOYED.				YN	I ■ NA			
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT.  Y N ■ NA										

## FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Field Data:	Date _ <u>04/05/07</u>	Time11:09 a.m.			
Неа	ad in Inches <b>9.25</b> "	= <u>0.77 ft</u>			
Type & Siz	ze of Primary Flow Mo	easurement Device			
Name & M		ow Measurement Device Leter			
Recorded I	Flow at date & time lis	ted above 2381 GPM		-	
Flows are o	calculated from flow c	harts taken from the <b>ISCO</b>	Open Channel Flow	Measurement Handl	ook-5th

**Edition** 

% error = 
$$(2381 - 2394)$$
 x 100  $2394$ 

## **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From	06		<u>01</u>	to <u>06</u>	_ <u>08</u>	31
		Year	Month	Day	Year	Month	Day

Parameter Checked: <u>CBOD5</u>

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly				
	Mo. Avglbs/ day	Mo. Avgmg/l	7-day Avgmg/l			
Reported Value:	91	2.7	2.9			
Calculated Value:	91	2.7	2.9			
Permit Value:	334	10	15			

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

## NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Further Explanation

Page  $\underline{3}$  of  $\underline{4}$ 

Detail 4

Section E
Detail 2
Percent error calculation improperly applied. Rather than using the <b>flow rate</b> for comparing primary and secondary flow measurement device results recorded and measured <b>head</b> was used in the percent error formula.
For a 2 ft. Parshall Flume, $GPM = 3590 \text{ H}^{1.550}$ , where $H = \text{head in feet}$ .
As such, recorded and calculated flow must be used in the % error formula:
$\frac{R-C}{C}$ x 100,
where $R = \text{recorded}$ flow from flow meter, and $C = \text{calculated}$ flow (converted from head in feet using formula above or Isco Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook).
Please note that head to flow rate conversion formulas vary, depending on whether flow rate is measured in CFS, GPM or MGD, and whether head is measured in feet or meters.
Page <u>3</u> of <u>4</u>
Section E

Secondary flow measurement device has not been calibrated since 10/11/04. It is recommended that this device be calibrated annually.



April 13, 2007

Belva Plumlee, Wastewater Utility Manager 1901 N.E. A Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72712

RE: AFIN: 04-00154 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022403

Dear Ms. Plumlee:

On April 5, 2007, Alison West, District Field Inspector, and I performed a routine compliance inspection of the Bentonville waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated there under. This inspection revealed the following violation:

• Flow meter accuracy check improperly calculated. Rather than using the recorded and calculated flow rates in the percent error formula, recorded and measured head were used to attempt to measure flow meter accuracy. This is in violation of Part II.C.2 of your permit. For a 2 ft. Parshall Flume, GPM = 3590 H <sup>1.550</sup>, where H = head in feet (note that the conversion formula varies depending on the units chosen for measurement). As such, recorded and calculated flow must be used in the percent error formula to ensure that the device is capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10 % from true discharge rates.

The above item requires your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to this finding to the Enforcement Branch of the Water Division when the violation has been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by May 2, 2007.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 479-267-0816.

Sincerely

John Fazio

District Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Enforcement Branch Permit Branch