### **⊕**EPA

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

## **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

	141 DES Comphanec inspection Report													
Section A: National Data System Coding														
Transaction Code							18	spec. Type						
				Section 1	B: Fac	cility i	Data							
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) City of Monticello, East WWTP Off County Road 80 Monticello, AR  Entry Time /Date 10:40 Permit Effective Date 01 February 2007 Exit Time/Date 14:10 31 January 2012														
	e(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Ti			ber(s)							Oth	er Facility Data		
Nam Joe I City P.O.	Charles Hammock, Wastewater Operator/870-367-3415  Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Joe Rogers, Mayor/870-367-3415  City of Monticello P.O. Box 505 Monticello, AR 71655  Contacted Yes No X													
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)														
S	Permit	S	Flow Measuremen	nt	M	Ope	erations & l	Mainte	enance	s		Sampling		
S	Records/Reports	S	Self-Monitoring	Program	S	Slu	ıdge Handli	ing/Dis	posal	N		Pollution Prevention		
S	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Sche	dules	N	Pr	etreatment			N		Multimedia		
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		N Storm Water N			Other:						
	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)													
Section C, item 5: The facility's automatic bar screen rake was out of service. The facility had one screw pump out of service														
				Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/El Dorado/870862-5941/870-862-3509					Date 19 April 2007					
Signature of Reviewer Age				Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers					Date					

	PERMIT NO. AR0021831
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS DETAILS:   B S D M D U D NA (FI	URTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED <u><b>NO</b></u> )
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	■Y□N □NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	□Y□N ■NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	■Y□N □NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■Y□N □NA
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT.  DETAILS: see page 1	RTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED no_ )
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■Y□N □NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	■S □M □U □NA
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	■ Y□N □NA
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	■Y□N □N
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	■Y□N□NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	■Y□N □NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	■Y□N □NA
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■Y□N □NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE.	■S□M □U □NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	■ S □ M □ U □ NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■Y□N□NA
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. □ S ■ M □ U □ NA (FURTH DETAILS: see page 1	HER EXPLANATION ATTACHED_ <b>NO</b> _)
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	■S□M□U □NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED	■S□M□U □NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED.	■S□M□U □NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.	■S□M□U □NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	□S ■ M □ U □ NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED.	■S□M□U □NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED.	■S□M□U □NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	■Y□N□NA
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	■Y□N□NE

	PERMIT NO. AR0021831
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	□ Y ■ N □ NA □ Y □ N ■ NA □ Y □ N ■ NA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	□Y■N □NA □Y□N ■NA
SECTION D - SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	ON ATTACHED No).
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y □N □NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	■Y □N □NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	■Y □N □NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y □N □NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y □N □NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	■Y □N □NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	■Y □N □NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■Y □N □NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	■Y □N □NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	■Y □N □NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.  ■ S □ M □ U □ NA (FURT DETAILS:	HER EXPLANATION ATTACHED
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED.  TYPE OF DEVICE	■Y □N □NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	■Y □N □NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	■Y □N □NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION 10-13-06) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.	■Y □N □NA ■Y □N □NA ■Y □N □NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	□Y □N ■NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	■Y □N □NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	■Y □N □NA
SECTION F - LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.  ■ S □ M □ U □ NA (FURT DETAILS:	THER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	■Y □N □NA

							PERMIT NO. AR0021831		
SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)									
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED							■Y□N □NA		
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT.							■S □M□U □NA		
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE.									
5. DUPLICATE SAMP	5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 10 % OF THE TIME.								
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 10_% OF THE TIME.									
7. COMMERCIAL LAE	BORATORY USED.					■Y□	N 🗆 NA		
LAB NAME McClelland Consulting Engineers LAB ADDRESS 900 West Markham, Little Rock, AR  RAMETERS PERFORMED All permitted parameters but pH and dissolved oxygen									
SECTION G - EFFLU	ENT/RECEIVING WAT	ERS OBSERVATIONS	i.	■S □M □U □	NA (FURTHER EXPLA	NATION ATTACHE	D <u><b>no</b></u> ).		
Based on visual	observations or	nly.							
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER		
001	No discharge								
Comments:									
SECTION H - SLUDO	GE DISPOSAL								
SLUDGE DISPOSAL DETAILS:	MEETS PERMIT REQ	UIREMENTS.			U ■ NA (FURTHER E	EXPLANATION ATT	ACHED <u>no</u> ).		
1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY. □ S □ M □ U ■ NA									
2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503. □S □ M □ U ■NA							J <b>■</b> NA		
3. FOR LAND APPLII	ED SLUDGE, TYPE OF	F LAND APPLIED TO:_	_ (e.g., FOREST, AGR	ICULTURAL, PUBLIC C	CONTACT SITE)				
SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHEDno).									
1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION. □ Y ■ N □ NA									
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED									
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY									
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
6. SAMPLE REPRESENTATIVE OF VOLUME AND NATURE OF DISCHARGE. □ Y □ N ■ NA									
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W	7. SAMPLE SPLIT WITH PERMITTEE. □ Y □ N ■ NA								
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTO	8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. □ Y □ N ■ NA								
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. □ Y □ N ■ NA									

## **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From <u>2006</u>	<u>December</u>	01	To	<u>2006_</u>	<u>December</u>	_31
	Year	Month	Dav		Year	Month	Dav

Parameter Checked: TSS

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly				
	Monthly Avg. (lbs/day)	AvgMg/l	7-day Avg- Mg/l			
Reported Value:		25 25	5			
Calculated Value:		25 2	5			
Permit Value:		90 135	5			

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: equal

The Honorable Joe Rogers City of Monticello

Monticello, AR 71655

Hadadhladdahlallal

P.O. Box 505



#### A R K A N S A S Department of Environmental Quality

April 19, 2007

The Honorable Joe Rogers City of Monticello P.O. Box 505 Monticello, AR 71655

Re: NPDES Permit No. AR0021831

Dear Mayor Rogers:

On February 28, 2007, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the Monticello East Wastewater Treatment Facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following:

- The facility's automatic bar screen rake was out of service at the time of the inspection.
- 2. The facility had one screw pump out of service at the time of the inspection.

The aforementioned items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division of this Department when the items have been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible and the written response is due by May 03, 2007.

If you have any questions concerning this inspection, please feel free to contact me at (870) 862-0680.

Sincerely,

John W. Lamb

District Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Enforcement Section, Water Division Permits Section, Water Division



-SL to Frank

A Proud Heritage, A Promising Future . . .

May 7, 2007

Mr. John W. Lamb District Field Inspector- Water Division Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 8913 Little Rock, AR 72219-8913

RE: NPDES Permit #AR0021831 East Plant JUN 20 2007 MARKED

Dear Mr. Lamb:

The city is in receipt of your letter concerning the routine inspection that you performed on February 28, 2007 at the above mentioned facility. Two violations were noted-please find the following responses to these violations.

#### #1- Facilities Automatic Bar Screen Rake was out of service at the time of inspection.

- Description and Causeof inspection due to the fact that the Gear Box was bad. This was a new piece of equipment. The Gear Box was sent back for warranty work.
- Period of Noncompliance- The Bar Screen Rake was out of service from January 2007 until May 2007. The replacement Gear Box was wired by a local electrician and installed by city employees last week.
- 3. Steps taken to Prevent Recurrence—We felt that this was a manufacturers problem from the date of purchase. The city makes every effort to keep our equipment in working order.

## #2- Facilities One Screw Pump was out of service at the time of inspection.

 Description and Causeinspection. Actually, the Greasing System in the Screw Pump was not working, the parts were bad. The Screw Pumps worked, only the greaser was out of commission. 2. <u>Period of Noncompliance-</u> The Greasing System was out of service from January 2007 to the current date. We are waiting on the Greaser parts and installation from the electrician.

Steps taken to Prevent Recurrence— We fill that this falls under general
maintenance of our equipment. The city makes every effort to keep our equipment
in working order.

If you need any other information please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Je Rogen Je Rogen Joe Rogers, Mayor

> JUN 2 0 2001 MARKED