

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

## **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

Section A: National Data System Coding																								
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type							Iı	nspec	tor	Fac	Туре													
1	$\mathbf{N} \mid 2 \mid 5 \mid 3 \mid \mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{R} \mid \mathbf{C}$	) (	0 3	3	9	8	7	11	12	0	7	0	5	2	2	17	18	C		19	S	20	1	
-	Remarks																							
	A F I N 2 1	L -	. 0	0	0	4	5													<u> </u>				
	Inspection Work Days	I	Facility	Evalua	tion R	Rating	g		BI	. (	QA							-Reserved			-			
	67 <b>0 0 1</b> 69		70	2				71	N	72	N	73			74	75		Ш		<u>_</u>	上	<u></u>	80	
	Section B: Facility Data																							
	me and Location of Facility Inspecte			rial use	rs dis	char	ging t	to PO	TW, als	o o	En	try Ti	me /D	ate				Permit Effective Date						
	ude POTW name and NPDES permit v <b>of Dumas WWTP</b>	t numl	ber)								~ 8	8:30	a.m.	5/.	22/07	7		November 1, 2006						
	roximately ¼ mile North of Hw										Ex	it Tim	e/Date	e				Permit Expiration Date						
	tion 25, Township 9 South, Ran Tha County, Arkansas	ige 4	west								_ 1	11.57	) a m	5/	22/07	7		October 31, 2011						
Nan	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/T	itle(s).	/Phone	and Far	v Nun	nher(	e)					11.50	u.m	. 3/2	22/07		Oth				201			
	rick Fitzgerald, Operator, (870)																	ner Facility Data scharge Location:						
	ne, Address of Responsible Official/ rion Gill, Mayor (870) 382-21		Phone as	nd Fax	Numl	ber														atton	1:			
	y of Dumas	21										Γ	Con	tacted				33 53' 33" 91 27' 42"						
	). Box 157 mas, Arkansas 71639										Yes	S		No	X		Tri	imble GPS Location recorded.						
	,				Sec	rtion	C: A	reas I	Evalua	ted D	urino	Insn	ection				1							
			(S	= Satis											uated)									
S	Permit	U	Flow	Measu	ireme	nt			U	Op	eratio	ons &	Main	tenan	ice		S	Samp	ling					
U	Records/Reports	U	Self-	Monito	oring	Prog	çram		N	Sh	udge Handling/Disposal N			<b>Pollution Prevention</b>										
S	Facility Site Review	N	Com	plianc	e Sch	edule	es		N	Pı	Pretreatment N			N	Multi	imedi	ia							
U	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Labo	Laboratory N St			Sto	torm Water S			S	Othe	r: Eff	luer	ıt Lin	nits								
	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)																							
At	the time of inspection, the fe		_	_							<b></b>			_	<b>.</b> .									
	<ol> <li>No documentation of</li> <li>No documentation that</li> </ol>					_							-								_			ral
	Oxygen Demand is at								iorai	joi L	iocn	icmi	iui O	aygu	n D	mu	iu oi	Curt	onu	cco	us D	wen	cmic	·ui
	3. No documentation of				_				_				_		_									
	4. Inadequate operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment system. Specifically, the chorine contact chamber was								S															
	full of algae growth and the effluent was very turbid and brown in color. 5. Inadequate analytical data available for review for the month of March 2007.																							
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Steven L. Henderson					Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/Stuttgart/870-673-8846/870-673-7236					Date May 22, 2007														
						11012()Statigat (1010-015-0040)(010-015-1250				1914y 22, 2007														
S	our L. Hadran																							
Sig	Signature of Reviewer					Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers				Date														
						1																		

	PERMIT NO.: <b>AR0033987</b>
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS  DETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED No.)
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	■Y N NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	Y N ■ NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	■ Y N NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■Y N NA
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT.   S M  U NA (FURTHER E DETAILS: March 2007 analytical data unavailable for review. No documentation of date, time, and person conducting 6-	EXPLANATION ATTACHED $\underline{\mathbf{No}}$ ) -hour composite sample.
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■Y N NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	□S M ■U NA
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	□ Y ■ N NA
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	□Y ■N NA
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	■Y N NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	■Y N NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	■Y N NA
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■Y N NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. Contract Laboratory	■S M U NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	■S M U NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■Y N NA
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.  S M U NA (FURTHER EXPEDITALES: Heavy algae growth in chlorine contact chamber and effluent very turbid.	PLANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u> )
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	S M∎U NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	. S M ■ U NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED.	■S M U NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE.	■S M U NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.	■S M U NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. 1 Class II	S M ■U NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED.	■S M U NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	■Y N NA
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	■Y N NA
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	Y N ■ NE

	PERMIT NO.: <b>AR0033987</b>
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D)	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS?	Y ■ N NA Y N ■ NA Y N ■ NA
10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT?	Y ■ N NA Y N ■NA
SECTION D - SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE Sampling MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.  DETAILS:	EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO.
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES.	■Y N NA
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	■Y □N NA
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	■Y N NA
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	■Y N NA
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	■ Y □N NA
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	■Y □N NA
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	■Y N NA
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT?	Y N ■ NA
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. □ S □ M ■ U NA (FURTH DETAILS: No documentation of monthly calibration checks.	ER EXPLANATION ATTACHED <b>No</b> )
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED.  TYPE OF DEVICE <u>9" Parshall Flume</u>	■Y N NA
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	■Y N NA
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	■Y □N NA
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION (February 6, 2007) RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE.	■Y□N NA Y■N NA Y■N NA
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	Y ■ N NA
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	■ Y N NA
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	■Y N NA
SECTION F - LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.  ■ S M U NA (FURTHEI DETAILS:	R EXPLANATION ATTACHED $oxed{No}$
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES)	■Y N NA

						PERMIT	NO.: <b>AR0033987</b>			
SECTION F - LABOR	ATORY (CONT'D)									
2. IF ALTERNATIVE A	ΥN	■NA								
3. SATISFACTORY C	S M U	■ NA								
4. QUALITY CONTRO	3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT.  S M U ■ NA  4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE.  ■ S M U NA									
5. DUPLICATE SAMP	5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. 10 % OF THE TIME									
6. SPIKED SAMPLES	6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. <u>10</u> % OF THE TIME.									
7. COMMERCIAL LAE	7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED.									
LAB NAME McClelland Consulting Engineers Arkansas Analytical, Inc.  LAB ADDRESS 900 West Markham, Little Rock, Ark. 72201 11701 I-30, Bldg. 1, Suite 115  PARAMETERS PERFORMED CBOD, BOD, TSS, NH3-N, DO, pH Chronic Biomonitoring										
SECTION G - (EFFLU	JENT)/RECEIVING W	ATERS OBSERVATION	ıs.	□S M∎U NA	(FURTHER EXPLANATIO	ON ATTACHED	).			
Based on visual	observations or	nly.								
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER			
001	None	None	Heavy	Slight	Moderate	Brown				
Comments:										
SECTION H - SLUDG	GE DISPOSAL									
SLUDGE DISPOSAL DETAILS:	MEETS PERMIT REQ	UIREMENTS.		S M U∎NA	(FURTHER EXPLANATION	ATTACHED NO	).			
1. SLUDGE MANAGE	EMENT ADEQUATE TO	O MAINTAIN EFFLUEN	T QUALITY.			S M U	■ NA			
2. SLUDGE RECORE	OS MAINTAINED AS R	EQUIRED BY 40 CFR	503.			S M U	■NA			
3. FOR LAND APPLIE	ED SLUDGE, TYPE OI	F LAND APPLIED TO:_	_ (e.g., FOREST, AGR	ICULTURAL, PUBLIC C	CONTACT SITE)					
SECTION I - SAMPLI	ING INSPECTION PRO	OCEDURES		(FU	URTHER EXPLANATION A	TTACHED No	)			
1. SAMPLES OBTAIN	NED THIS INSPECTIO	N.				Y■N	NA			
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE	OBTAINED - <b>N/A</b>									
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY										
3. SAMPLES PRESE	3. SAMPLES PRESERVED Y N ■ NA									
4. FLOW PROPORTI	ONED SAMPLES OBT	ΓAINED.				Y N	■ NA			
5. SAMPLE OBTAINE	ED FROM FACILITY'S	SAMPLING DEVICE.				Y N	■NA			
6. SAMPLE REPRES	ENTATIVE OF VOLUM	ME AND NATURE OF D	ISCHARGE.			Y N	■ NA			
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W	ITH PERMITTEE.					Y N	■NA			
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTO	DDY PROCEDURES E	MPLOYED.				Y N	■ NA			
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT.  Y N ■ NA										

## FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Field Data: Date <u>May 22, 2007</u> Time\_10:35 a.m.

Head in Inches  $\underline{6.0} = \underline{.55} = \underline{.687 \, MGD}$ 

Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device

9" Parshall Flume

Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device

**BFI Strip Chart Recorder** 

Recorded Flow at date & time listed above: .740 MGD

Flows are calculated from flow charts taken from the <u>ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook-5th</u> <u>Edition</u>

% error =  $\underline{\text{(recorded value - calculated value)}} \times 100$   $\underline{\text{calculated value}}$ 

% error = **7.71%** 

## **DMR Calculation Check**

Reporting Period: From  $\underline{07}$   $\underline{01}$   $\underline{01}$  To  $\underline{07}$   $\underline{01}$  31

Year Month Day Year Month Day

Parameter Checked: **BOD 5-Day** 

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly			
	Mo. Avglbs/ day	Mo. Avgmg/l	7-day Avgmg/l		
Reported Value:	212	29.5	38.5		
Calculated Value:	212	29.5	38.5		
Permit Value:	343	30	45		

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: EQUAL



A R K A N S A S Department of Environmental Quality

May 23, 2007

The Honorable Marion Gill Mayor, City of Dumas P.O. Box 157 Dumas, Arkansas 71639

RE: AFIN: 21-00045 NPDES Permit No.: AR0033987

Dear Mayor Gill:

On May 22, 2007, I conducted a routine compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. No documentation of calibration checks being conducted on the Totalizing Flow Meter to assure continued compliance.
- 2. No documentation that the 30-day average percent removal for Biochemical Oxygen Demand or Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand is at least eighty-five percent (85%).
- 3. No documentation of the time, date, and person collecting the six-hour composite samples.
- 4. Inadequate operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment system. Specifically, the chorine contact chamber was full of algae growth and the effluent was very turbid and brown in color.
- 5. Inadequate analytical data available for review for the month of March 2007.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to the Enforcement Section of this Department when the violations have been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by **June 22, 2007**.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 870-673-8846.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Henderson District 6 Inspector Water Division

cc: Enforcement Branch

Marion S. Gill Mayor

Johnny Brigham City Clerk

## City of Dumas

P. O. Box 157 155 East Waterman Dumas, AR 71639 Telephone (870)-382-2121 Fax (870) 382-6846 Email address dumas@centurytel.net City Council
T. C. Pickett
Roy Dalton
James Jackson
Christopher Hays
Aaron White
Franklin Healey
Diane Fisher
Dwayne Snyder

- SL to Frank

June 20, 2007

Arkansas Department Of Environmental Quality NPDES Enforcement Branch P.O. Box 8913 Little Rock, AR 72219 UUN 20 2007 MARKED

Re: City Of Dumas

AFIN: 21-00045

NPDES Permit # AR0033987

To Whom It may Concern:

This letter is to address violations that were revealed during an inspection of our facility on May 22, 2007.

Item#1: There will be documented checks of the Flow Meter periodically, as required by the permit.

Item#2: The average percent removal for BOD and cBOD will be calculated by the lab and reported at the end of each month.

Item#3: A copy of this sheet will be kept on file and the lab will also receive a copy.

Item#4: Aeration adjustments will be made to help with the effluent quality.

Item#5: Data will be kept in bound folders that will be separated by month. This will include test results and the corresponding Chain of Custody. There will also be a copy of the percent removal calculation for the month and the composite sampling data.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions

Maride S. All

Marion S. Gill, Mayor City of Dumas