ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Bentonville AFIN Number: 04-00154 NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022403, AR0022403C, AR00C404 Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022403 Fact Sheet Preparation Date: N/A Date of Last PCI/Audit: 11-23-04 / 06-20,21,22-06 Date of Last Annual Report: 11-21-06 Name of Inspector: John A. Fazio Date PCI Performed: 05-10-07 Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Nancy Busen, Laboratory Supervisor & Pre-Treatment Coordinator, 479-271-3160 Name and Title of Other Participants: James Eng, EPA; Dale Washam, ADEQ Number of IUs Visited: 1 Name(s) of IUs Visited: Kraft Foods North America, Inc. AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATES A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USE	KR SURVEY
-------------------	-----------

1.	List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have
	been added or deleted from the program since the last audit
	or inspection. Tyson of Bentonville was deleted; Fujicolor
	Processing, Inc. is closing (June 15, 2007).

2.	Has	ADEQ	or	EPA	been	notified	of	these	changes?	Yes

- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Although IU surveys were sent to select business/industry sectors in 2004 & 2005; the city must conduct and document a comprehensive non-domestic survey that includes all possible industrial users that might be subject to the Pretreatment Program. This requirement has not been met for many years.
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey?

 Recent efforts include an 08/06 mail-out of site-specific questionnaires for Bentonville medical facilities, including veterinarians.
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 4
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 0
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Facility personnel reviews applicable regulations, visits industrial users and determines what regulations apply.
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU: N/A	Category: N/A	Regulated Process: N/A

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.	_	OR EPA? Yes,	LOCAL LIMITS WE technically-ba	_	-
2.	Describe None	any apparent	problems with	the local lim	nits.
3.	sludge pe requireme	erformed by the ag	nt scans of POT he POTW? Does pproved program art III of the	this fulfill n (as describe	the ed in
			Requirem		
Pol	lutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Met	als:				
In	ifluent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year	
Εf	fluent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year	
	Sludge:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year	
_	anics: nfluent:	1/year	1/year	0/year	
Εf	fluent:	1/year	1/year	0/year	
	Sludge:	0/year	0/year	0/year	
4.	(since the caused by action ta	ne last PCI o rindustrial oaken by the C	nhibitions or u f Audit) which discharges? If ity to ensure t e actions effec	were believed so, describe that the incid	l to be e the
					_

1.	Is	the	POTW	using	the	type	e of	cor	ntrol	mecl	nanism	(perm	ιit,	
	agr	eeme	ent,	etc.)	requi	red	by	the	appro	oved	progra	ım?	Yes,	permit.

- 2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 4
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date: Yes

Discharge limitations: Yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:

Yes

IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes

IU reporting requirements: Yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location: Yes

Type of sample: Yes

Monitoring frequency: Yes

Bypass prohibition: Yes

Right of entry: Yes

Nontransferability: Yes

Revocation clause: Yes

Penalty Provisions: Yes

Slug load notification: Yes

Notification of process change:

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	<pre>Indicate current ins requirement below:</pre>	pection and sampling frequ	nency and program			
		Current frequency:	Program Requirement:			
	Sampling: categorical IUs	N/A	1/year			
	other SIUs Inspection:	1/month	1/year			
	categorical IUs	N/A	1/year			
	other SIUs	At least 1/year	1/year			
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPR	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE OVED PROGRAM? Yes	FREQUENCY			
3.	Are inspections anno	unced or unannounced?	Announced			
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes				
5.	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:					
	Date and time of ins	pection: Yes				
	Officials present:	Nancy Busen				
	Inspection of chemic	al storage areas: Yes				
	_	ated processes, categorica f these waste streams: Ye	al waste streams, and			
	Inspection of the pr	etreatment facilities: Ye	es			
	Review of self-monit	oring records: Yes				
	Observation of IU se	lf-monitoring procedures:	Yes			
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniqu	nes are used: Yes			
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where rec	quired): Yes			
6.	Overall adequacy of below for explanate	inspection documentation:	Fair. See #19			

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes, however, grab samples for pH and oil & grease have not been taken in accordance with the requirements of the pretreatment regulations.
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? **Yes**
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? No. Flow meters are not calibrated as required by the controlling authority issued permits.
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? **Yes**
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMRs, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Data from self-monitoring reports is entered and tracked on spreadsheets. Hard copies are kept of self-monitoring reports, lab report forms, COCs, flow charts and pH charts.
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

1/.	In accordance with their Enforcement Response Plan.
-	
-	
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: N/A. No categorical IUs permitted at this
-	time.
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: N/A
	Other environmental permits held: N/A
	Description of operations: N/A
	Process flow diagrams: N/A
	Flow measurements: N/A
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: N/A
	Certification of compliance by the IU: N/A
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: Violations not noted/not enforced on the POTW's past
	inspections include IUs permits requirement for each IU to calibrate
-	their flow meters prior to collection of all flow proportioned composite
-	samples, and the pretreatment regulation requirement of taking a minimum
-	of four grab samples for pH and oil & grease (where applicable to the
-	IU's required sample parameters). In addition, Kraft Foods a) has not
-	been performing their flow meter accuracy check (% error) properly, b) has had either periodic discharges to the POTW before final treatment or
-	direct discharges to the waters of the State, and c) has had releases
-	of product from their acid/caustic secondary containment structures to
	the waters of the State

E. Enforcement	Ε.	Enf	or	cem	ent
----------------	----	-----	----	-----	-----

1.	HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO
	ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT
	STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes. Records document that
	enforcement response procedures reflect those outlined in
•	the city's Enforcement Response Program.

2.	How does the POTW respond to the following violations?
	Effluent limitations: NOV; escalates after 3rd consecutive exceedance.
	Late reports: Phone call; or NOV if substantially late. Can escalate.
	Unpermitted discharges: NOV if no harm; AO if harm. Can escalate.

Slug loads or spills: NOV if failure to report (no harm); AO if failure to report, (harm). Can escalate.

3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? No significant violators.

4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
none	N/A	N/A	N/A
	<u> </u>	_	
			· ·
	_		
	_		

5.	The enforcement program has been implemented.
<u>F.</u>	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes.
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? Additional staff time
3.	dedicated to the program would be helpful. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved
	program? Needs additional training to better document
	and understand significant IU facilities layouts and treatment systems.
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: Northwest Arkansas Regional Airport (NWARA), City of Centerton POTW.
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? Yes.
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? N/A, none permitted - contracts only.
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? No.
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: NWARA should be surveyed and/or visited again to determine if they are now a significant and/or categorical user.
	now a significant and/or categorical user.

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Because of the issues discussed below, the Pretreatment Program has been given an unsatisfactory rating.

A comprehensive Industrial User Survey has not been conducted as required by the regulations and the city's NPDES permit.

A comprehensive Industrial User Survey must be conducted at a frequency adequate to identify and locate all possible

Industrial Users which may be subject to the POTW Pretreatment

Program and to ensure that all IUs are properly characterized at all times. These surveys should be specific to the various business/industry sectors. This will also help to facilitate identification and location of new categoricals under development by the EPA.

Grab samples for pH and oil & grease have not been taken by the CA and the IUs in accordance with the requirements of the pretreatment regulations.

Kraft Foods was not calibrating their flow meters prior to collection of all flow-composited samples as required by their permit, and were not performing their flow meter accuracy checks properly. These violations were not noted in the City's previous inspection reports. In addition, during the inspection conducted at Kraft Foods on 5/10/07, the following problems were noted:

Evidence exists of either periodic bypasses (during periods of

high flows) of effluent before final treatment or a direct discharge of effluent to the waters of the State. In addition, there was evidence that releases of acid and/or caustic product from the acid and caustic AST's secondary containment structures has occurred and has discharged to the waters of the State.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Kraft Foods North America
POTW Name: City of Bentonville
Industry Contacts: Rich Holtquist, Plant Manager / A.J. Rorie, Business Unit Leader
Date and Time of Visit: 05-10-07 / 1425
Description of Manufacturing Process: Cheese production
Sources of Process Wastewater:
Wastewater from the production of the cheese, cleanout of the
milk truck tanks, and cleaning of the cheese production
equipment.
Categorical Industry? No.
Basis for Limits: Local limits
Point of Application: At the discharge pipe
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: pH neutralization of process wastewater by the automatic feed
of caustic soda and/or sulfuric acid.
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Have an SPCC plan. Solvent used in parts washer is picked up by
Safety Clean.
Sampling Location and Equipment: Sampled at the 3" Parshall Flume flow measurement device after
treatment by use of a refrigerated Isco auto sampler.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Joh	n A. Fazio	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Ber	ntonville, Arkansas	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:		AR0022403	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	Ma	y 10, 2007	DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICA	NT IUS (SIUS):	4	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORIC	AL IUS:	0	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR POTW:	INSPECTED BY	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH STANDARDS OR R		0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT	NONCOMPLIANCE	·	
WITH SELF-MONITORIN		0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH SELF-MONITORIN	IG AND NOT	0	Chith
INSPECTED OR SAMPLE	'DI LOIM.	U	SNIN



May 30, 2007

Belva Plumlee, Wastewater Utility Manager 1901 N.E. A Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72712

RE: AFIN: 04-00154 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022403

Dear Ms. Plumlee:

On May 10, 2007, Dale Washam, Inspector Supervisor, James Eng, EPA, and I performed a routine pretreatment compliance evaluation inspection of the Bentonville waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated there under. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. A comprehensive Industrial User Survey has not been updated as required by Part III.9 of your NPDES permit and 40 CFR 403.8.f.2.i;
- 2. Monthly pH and oil & grease grab samples taken by the City for monitoring industrial users have not been taken in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 403.12.b.5.iii. This condition requires using a minimum of four (4) grab samples for pH, cyanide, total phenols, oil and grease, sulfide, and volatile organics;
- 3. According to Nancy Busen, the POTW has not been enforcing the following regulations and/or industrial user permit conditions:
 - Failure of the industrial users to take pH and oil & grease grab samples in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 403.12.b.5.iii;
 - Failure of the industrial users to calibrate their flow meters prior to collection of all flow proportioned composite samples in accordance with the requirements of their permits, where applicable;
 - Failure of Kraft Foods to properly perform their flow meter accuracy check. Rather than using the recorded and calculated flow rates in the percent error formula, recorded and measured head were used to attempt to measure flow meter accuracy. Recorded and calculated flow must be used in the percent error formula to ensure that the device is capable of measuring flows with a maximum deviation of less than +/- 10 % from true discharge rates:
 - Presence at Kraft Foods of either a bypass (during periods of high discharge rates) of effluent to the POTW before final treatment or a direct discharge of effluent to the waters of the State. A v-notch weir is present in an effluent receiving basin before the pH adjustment basins. Waste water level marks are present in this basin that document periodic discharges from this weir to a culvert that drains to either the sanitary sewer or to a storm drain. To where this discharge occurs must be investigated, and measures effective in preventing further occurrence of this discharge must be implemented immediately.

Page Two Belva Plumlee AR0022403 May 30, 2007

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Enforcement Section of the Water Division when the violations have been corrected. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. These corrective actions should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by June 21, 2007.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 479-267-0811, ext.16.

Sincerely,

John Fazio

District Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Enforcement Branch Permits Branch



Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

	Section A: National Data System Coding																											
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type I											nspec	tor	Fac	Type														
1	N 2	5 3	A	R	0	0	2	2	4	0	3	11	12	0	7	0	5	1	0	17	18	P		19	T	20	1	
1	1	1 1	Ī	1	Ī	ī	ı	Ī	ı	Ī	ı	1	Remai I	ks I	1	ı	ı	Ī	ı	ı	Ī	ı I		ı	1	Ī	ı	Ī i
L	A F I N 0 4 - 0 0 1 5 4													Pagamad .														
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA												Reserved																
	67 69 70 N 71 N 72 N 73 74 75 80																											
1	Section B: Facility Data																											
	Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Entry Time /Date Permit Effective Date																											
City		onville Wa													084	40 / C)5-10	-07				01-0	01-0)4				
		AR 72712	2												Exi	it Tim	e/Date	•				Perr	nit E	xpira	ation I	Date		
															174	15 / C)5-10	-07				12-3	31-0	8(
		n-Site Rep											24.62								Ot	ner Fac	ility l	Data	,			
Nan	cy Busen	/Lab Supe	rvisor a	ind Pre	treatr	nent (Coordi	nator/	479-2	/1-31	60/47	9-2/1	3163									tfall O						
		ss of Resp ee/Wastew									52											36.392 94.203-						
City	y of Bento	onville	ater of	iiity ivi	anage	C1/47))- <u>2</u> /1-,	3100/-	-17-21	1-310	,,,				V			acted			En	trance:						
		115 W. Central Yes																										
Dei	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																				W	-94.203	383					
Den							(S -	- Satic										Eval	uated)	W	-94.203	383					
	Permi	t				N I	(S =		factor	y, M				Jnsati I	sfacto	ory, N	= Not							g				
N N		t ·ds/Repo] N	_	-	Meas	factor suren	y, M : nent	= Mar	ginal	, U = U	Jnsati Op	sfacto erati	ory, N		inte	nanc	e	N N	Sam	plin	_	event	tion		
N	Recor		rts		_	١	Flow	Meas Moni	factor suren torin	y, M nent g Pro	= Mar ograi	ginal	, U = U N	Unsati Op Sh	sfacto erati udge	ory, N	= Not & Ma dling	inte	nanc	e	N	Sam	pling	- 1 Pr	event	tion		
N N	Recor Facili	ds/Repo	rts eview	Vater	N N	N N	Flow Self-l	Meas Moni plian	factor suren torin ce Sc	y, M nent g Pro	= Mar ograi	ginal	N N	Op Slu	sfacto erati udge retre	ory, N ons & Han	= Not & Ma dling	inte	nanc	e	N N	Sam	plin; itior	- 1 Pr	event	tion		
N N N	Recor Facili	ds/Repo ty Site R	rts eview	Vater	N N	1	Flow Self-I	Meas Moni plian orator	factor suren torin ce Sc	y, M : nent g Pro hedu	= Mar ograi	rginal. m	N N U	Unsati Op Slu Pi	erati udge retre orm	ory, Noons & Handatme Wate	= Not & Ma dling, ent	inte /Disp	nanc posal	e	N N N	Sam Pollu Mult	plin; itior	- 1 Pr	event	tion		
N N N	Recor Facili Efflue	ty Site Rent/Recei	rts eview ving V	e Indu	N s N	N Sect	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D:	Meas Moni plian prator Summ	factor suren torin ce So ry mary as no	y, Manager,	e Mar ogran ales adings	m s/Con	N N U N nment	Op Sla St St (Att	eratiudge retre orm tach a	Handatme Water ddition	= Not & Ma dling ent er onal sl	inter/Disj	nanc posal if nee	ecessar	N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe	pling itior time er:	n Pr edia			tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Efflue	rds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei	rts eview ving V	e Indu	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Sect 1 Use il & ;	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Survegrease	Meas Moni plian orator Summ vey have	torin ce Sc mary as not	y, M : nent g Pro hedu of Fir t been	e Mar ogran ales adings a con taken	s/Conducted by the state of the	N N U N nment ed as a	Op She St St requirety as	erati udge retre orm tach a	Handatme Water ddition	= Not & Ma dling ent er onal sl	inter/Disj	nanc posal if nee	ecessar	N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe	pling itior time er:	n Pr edia			tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Efflue	ty Site Rent/Received compressions sample POTV	rts eview ving V hensivoles for V had	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa	s N Instriation of the communication of the commun	Sect l Use il & g ented	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake gr	Mease Moni plian orator Summer wey have have trade trade sar	torin ce Sc mary as not che fo	y, M : nent g Pro hedu of Fir t been been llowing for j	ogranules ndings n contakenting in	s/Conducted by the dustrand oil	N N U N nment ed as 1 he Cirial us 1 & gr	Opp She Steen Stee	eratiudge retre orm tach a red b requi iolati	Handatme Water ddition y the fired b fons:	= Not & Ma dling, ent cr conal sl City' y the	heets s NF pret	if need by	e cessar perment recent	N N N N nit an egula	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the p tions;	pling utior time er:	n Pr edia	nent r	egula	tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Efflue	cds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa	s N sstria	Sect Use il & entece to to	Flow Self-J Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake gr alibra	Mease Moni plian prator Summer have have reed to take floor	torin ce So mary as not che fo	y, M : nent g Pro hedu of Fir t been been illowing for peters a	ograndings addings a contakenting in pH au at the	s/Conducted by the ductor of t	N N N N Hed as 1 he Cirial us 1 & gruency	Op Share Star Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Star Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare Stare	eratiudge retre orm tach a requi iolati in the	Handatme Wate ddition y the ired b ions: e man by the	= Not & Ma dling, ent cr conal sl City' y the	heets s NF pret	if need by	e cessar perment recent	N N N N nit an egula	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the p tions;	pling utior time er:	n Pr edia	nent r	egula	tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Effluc 1. A 2. C 3. T	compre Grab samp The POTV a. b. c. d.	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had r Permit Fermit Kraft F	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods	s Nustria and o ocum-ailure failure failu	Sect Use il & gented e to ta e to care to ass to	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	nent g Pro of Fir t been been lllowings for y own makes	medings and ing in taken and the the term are the term a	m ducte 1 by t dusti nd oi frequaccur f was	N N U N N He Cirial us 1 & gruuency casy c ste to	Opposition of the state of the	sfactorers state of the state o	Handatme Handatme Water ddition y the greed before a market by the error by the error by the error of the	= Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not	heets s NF pret	if need by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the p tions; eatmer	pling ution time er:	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Effluc 1. A 2. C 3. T	cds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had r Permit Fermit Kraft F	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods	s Nustria and o ocum-ailure failure failu	Sect Use il & gented e to ta e to care to ass to	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	nent g Pro of Fir t been been lllowings for y over the state of the st	medings and ing in taken and the the term are the term a	m ducte 1 by t dusti nd oi frequaccur f was	N N U N N He Cirial us 1 & gruuency casy c ste to	Opposition of the state of the	sfactorers state of the state o	Handatme Handatme Water ddition y the greed before a market by the error by the error by the error of the	= Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not	heets s NF pret	if need by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the p tions; eatmer	pling ution time er:	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;
N N N	Recor Facili Effluci 1. A 2. C 3. T	compre Grab samp The POTV a. b. c. d.	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had i Permit Permit Kraft I Kraft I ds has	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods Foods allow	n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s	Sect 1 Use entece to take to corre to ass to asschar	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	yy, Manaent g Prohedu of Fir t beer t beer t beer t beer t beer t beer a s for ischa austi	= Mar ogran ogran dies n con taken ing in pH an at the eter a	mm ducte a by t adusti nd oi frequaccur f was a to t	N N U N N He Cirial us 1 & gruuency casy c ste to	Unsati Opp Sh St St St St St St St St St St St St St	oration description of the	Handatme Handatme Water ddition y the greed before a market by the error by the error by the error of the	= Not Not Not Not Not Not Not Not	heets s NF pret	if need by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the p tions; eatmer	pling ution time er: pretre	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Recor Facili Effluci 1. A 2. C 3. T	cds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei compre Grab samp The POTV a. b. c. d. Kraft Foo	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had i Permit Permit Kraft I Kraft I ds has	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods Foods allow	n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s	Sect 1 Use entece to take to corre to constant to sechal	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	of Fir t been been tllowings for the states a cow m ischa austi	= Mar ogran	m s/Con ducte n by t adusti nd oi frequaccur f was a to t	N N U N N N U N N N V N N N N N N N N N	Jnsati Op Shi Pr St S (Att	peratiudge retre orm tach a red b requi iolati in the ired b prope vaters of the	Handatme Wate dditio when the construction of	= Not We Ma dling er onal sl City' yy the nner r eir pe ae Sta e.	heets s NF pret equin	if nee PDES reatm red by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the ptions; eatmentable; restigat	pling ution time er: pretre	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Recor Facili Effluct 1. A 2. C 3. T	cds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei compre Grab samp The POTV a. b. c. d. Kraft Foo	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had i Permit Permit Kraft I Kraft I ds has	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods Foods allow	n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s	Sect 1 Use entece to take to corre to constant to sechal	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	of Fir t been been tllowings for the states a cow m ischa austi	= Mar ogran	m s/Con ducte n by t adusti nd oi frequaccur f was a to t	N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Jnsati Op Shi Pr St S (Att	peratiudge retre orm tach a red b requi iolati in the ired b prope vaters of the	Handatme Wate dditio when the construction of	= Not We Ma dling er onal sl City' yy the nner r eir pe ae Sta e.	heets s NF pret equin	if nee PDES reatm red by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the ptions; eatmentable; restigat	pling ution time er: pretre	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;
N N N N	Recor Facili Efflue 1. A 2. C 3. T 4. K me(s) and n Fazio	cds/Repo ty Site R ent/Recei compre Grab samp The POTV a. b. c. d. Kraft Foo	rts eview ving V hensive bles for V had r Permit Permit Kraft I Kraft I ds has e(s) of	e Indu r pH a not do tees fa tees fa Foods Foods allow	n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s n s	Sect 1 Use entece to take to corre to constant to sechal	Flow Self-l Com Labo ion D: er Surv grease d/enfo ake grealibra perfo the P	Mease Moni Summer have have te floorm the OTW	suren torin ce Se ry mary as no e not he fo mples w me eir fle ' or d	y, M: g Pro hedu of Fir t been been fllowings for posters a own mischa austi Age Arl Fay	= Mar ogran	m ducto h by t hdusti nd oi frequaccur f was a to t Office	N N U N N N U N N N V N N N N N N N N N	State of the waters of the wat	peratiudge retre orm tach a red b requiiolatii in the ired proportaters of the	Handatme Wate dditio y the ired b ions: e mar by the erly; of the EStat	= Not We Ma dling. nt er City' yy the nner reir pe ee Sta e.	heets s NF pret equin	if nee PDES reatm red by	perm perm rule to the transfer of the transfer	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Samp Pollu Mult Othe d the ptions; eatmentable; restigat	pling time er: pretre tted a	edia	nent r	egula ;	tions	;



-SL to Frank
POST
JUN 20 2007
MARKED

June 4, 2007

Mr. John Fazio District Field Inspector Water Division

RE: AFIN: 04-00154

NPDES Permit number: AR0022403

Dear Mr. Fazio:

The following is a response to the deficiencies noted in the pretreatment inspection on May 10, 2007.

In response to:

I. A comprehensive Industrial User Survey has not been updated as required by Part III. 9 of NPDES permit # 0022403 and CFR 403.8.f.2.i;

and EPA CIR form Section A. # 3. Page 2

15 survey forms were sent to all Wal-Mart facilities and Consumer Testing Laboratories in January 2007. Site specific survey forms are being prepared for vehicle maintenance and pest control facilities. These will be completed and mailed by mid-August 2007.

2. Monthly pH and oil & grease samples taken by the City for monitoring industrial users have not been taken in accordance with the requirements of 40CFR 403.12.b.5.iii. This condition requires using a minimum of four (4) grab samples for pH, cyanide, total phenols, oil & grease, sulfide and volatile organics.

and EPA CIR form Section D # 7. Page 6

As of June 1, 2007 all pH, oil & grease, cyanide, total phenols, sulfide and volatile organic samples will be taken in accordance with 40 CFR 403.12.b.5.iii using a minimum of 4 grab samples, except when pH is monitored continuously.

EPA CIR form Section D. # 9 Page 6

Kraft was checking the flow meter calibration to determine accuracy within 10% without converting the measurement to gallons. This practice was discontinued and depth measurements are now converted to gallons prior to calculating the 10% error - accuracy range.

Language will be change in permits to clarify the difference between calculation of a meter and checking the accuracy of the calibration.

3.

- As of June 1, 2007 all pH, oil & grease, cyanide, total phenols, sulfide and volatile organic samples will be taken in accordance with 40 CFR 403.12.b.5.iii using a minimum of 4 grab samples, except when pH is monitored continuously.
- Henceforth from the audit date of May 10, 2007 Kraft personnel will check calibration of their flow meter before each sampling event by using recorded and calculated flow data to assure accuracy of the meter within +/-10%.
- Investigation by Mr. Holtquist, Kraft manager with their maintenance department manager revealed that the 'V' notch weir at the receiving area of the treatment basin is connected to the sanitary sewer. It is for maintenance use when/if the basins have to be emptied for repair. It has been used in the distant past but not without prior notification to, and written permission from the POTW. Any use in the future will also be with prior approval from the POTW.

For future clarification, we will ask that Kraft label the weir;

Direct Sewer Discharge! For Maintenance Use Only! Contact 479-271-3160 prior to discharge from this weir.

I sincerely hope that these corrections will satisfy the concerns of this audit. As always, please feel free to contact me at any time at 479-271-3160.

Nancy Busen

Lab & Pretreatment Supervisor City of Bentonville, WWTF

POT IJUN 20 III