Page 1 of 14



Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

											S	ectio	n A: I	Natio	nal D	ata S	ystem	Codi	ng					<u> </u>						
	Section A: National Data System Coding Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspector Fac Type								ype																					
1	N	2	5	3	A	R	0	0	3	4	3	2	1	11	12	0	7	0	5	0	8	17	18	P		19	$ _{\mathbf{T}} $	20	1	
J		ı		J					1		<u>. </u>			l	Rema	ks						J								J
	A	F	I	N		0	5	۱.	0	0	0	5	4																	
	In	specti	on W	ork D	ays			Fac	cility E	valuat	tion Ra	nting			BI		QA							Reserv	ed					
	67				69				70	N				71	N	72	N	73			74	75							80	
													Sec	ction	B: Fa	cility	Data													
						Inspe				al use	rs disc	harg					Ent	ry Tir 50 / N			,			Per	mit E	ffectiv	e Dat	e		
City	of F	Iarris	on W	astev		Treat											00	30 / IV	1ау о,	2007				Oct	tobe	r 1, 2	2003			
			alley l ansas			S	Sectio	n 2, T	Γ 18N , Ι	R20W	in Bo	one (Count	ty				it Tim 7 / M a								xpirat n ber		ate 2007		
Nar	ne(s)	of Or	-Site	Repre	esenta	ntive(s))/Title	(s)/Pl	hone a	nd Fax	x Numb	oer(s))										Oth	ner Fac						
Mr	Ricl	k Maj	oles /]	Pretr	eatm	ent Co	ordii	ator	/ Pho	ne 870)-741-4	1426											Dis	scharge	e Poir	nt N36	5-14-1	6.8		
Nar	ne, A	ddres	s of R	espor	sible	Offici	al/Tit	le/Pho	one an	d Fax	Numbe	er			N	⁄Ir.											3-04-2			
		Roger Iarris		astew	ater	Super	inten	dent /	/ Phon	e: 870	0-741-5	5527							Cont	acted			Sar	nple P	oint		5-14-1			
P.O	. Box	171	5	12													Yes	L	X	No						W9	3-04-	31.3		
1141	Harrison, AR 72602																													
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																													
S	Per	mit					1	N I	Flow N	Aeasu	remen	ıt	t N Operations & Maintenance N							N	Sampling									
N	Re	cord	/Rep	orts			N	1	Self-N	Ionito	oring P	Program N Sludge Handling/Disposal N						N	Pollution Prevention											
N	Fa	cility	Site 1	Revie	w		N	1	Comp	liance	e Scheo	edules S Pre				retreatment N				N	Multimedia									
N	Ef	fluen	t/Rece	eiving	, Wa	ters	N	1	Labor	atory	r				N	St	orm V	Vater				Ī	N	Other:						
								Secti	ion D:	Sumr	mary o	f Fin	ndings	s/Con	nment	s (At	tach a	dditio	onal s	heets	if nec	essar	y)							
Tl	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) The facility was operating the pretreatment program satisfactorily at the time of the inspection. The records were well organized																													
an	d m	aint									pecti																			
Vis	sited	l.																												
																								1						
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector					Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality / Jasper /								Date Jun	e e 26,	2007															
Ouc Repaired								•	70 / 8			-		. 540	A /				,											
	kpatı									Bruce	;																			
e.	mc4	mo - 6 3	Da'								\rightarrow	A -	on /	Ofe.	o/Di		a re	NI	h					Tr.						
Sig	natu	re of	Revie	wer								Age	епсу/(OHIC	e/Pho	ne an	u Fax	num	pers					Dat	e					

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: Harrison
AFIN Number: 05-00054
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0034321
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0034321
Fort Chook December Date: Burnet 15, 2005
Fact Sheet Preparation Date: August 15, 2005
Date of Last PCI/Audit: April 11, 2006
Pace of hase refraudic. April 11, 2000
Date of Last Annual Report: May 13, 2006
Name of Inspector: Bruce Kirkpatrick
Date PCI Performed: May 8, 2007
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:
Mr. Rick Maples, Pretreatment Coordinator, 870-741-4426
Name and Mittle of Other Deutiningsta: No. Towns Town IIIID
Name and Title of Other Participants: Mr. James Eng, USEPA
Number of IUs Visited: 2
Number of top visited.
Name(s) of IUs Visited: Claridge Extrusions, Anchor Die
Cast(ADC)
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED
NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED
A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT
TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD
RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.
Tarm annual Tarles 1000
Form approved July 1989

Page 3 of 14

_											
Α.	INDUSTRIAL USER SU	RVEY									
1.	List any Significa	ant Industrial Users (S	SIUs) which have								
	been added or deleted from the program since the last audit										
		one	since the last addre								
	or mapeceron. none										
2.	Has ADEO or EPA be	een notified of these of	changes? n/a								
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIAL	L USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT	UPDATED? yes								
4.	. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Visits to industries. New industries would need to contact Harrison Public Works to obtain water service. Mr. Eng suggested reviewing the yellow pages of phone directory to identify new industries.										
5.	Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to										
	the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be										
	greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 5										
6.	Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 5										
0.	Number of Categorical industrial Users: 5										
	How does the DOTW	determine the appropri	iste categorical								
	How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical Standards to apply to an IU?										
	Once/year sampling by POTW along with once/month sampling										
	by facility. Permits developed by NRS Consulting										
	L L										
	Using priority pollutant scans, categorical standards and The POTW's NPDES permit.										
8.	List all categoric	cal IUs discharging und	der the approved (such								
	program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category										
	as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating,										
		.) Additional listings	s can be made in the								
	comments section :	_									
	e of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:								
	ridge Extrusions	Aluminum Forming	Ext./anod./phosphate								
	ridge Products	Porcelain enameling	Porcelain enameling								
	hor Die Cast	Aluminum die casting	Zinc plating								
	e Industries	Metal Finishing	Die casting								
Dun	can Industries	Metal Finishing	Zinc plating								

B. LOCAL LIMITS

2. Describe	e any apparent	problems with	the local lin	nits
None obs		probrems wren		
sludge p requirer	en are pollutar performed by the ments of the ap t sheet) and pa	ne POTW? Does oproved progra	this fulfill m (as describe	the ed in
		Require	ment in	
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Metals: Influent:	Annual	same	same	
Effluent:	Annual	same	same	
Sludge:	Quarterly	same	same	
Organics: Influent:	Annual	same	same	
Effluent:	Annual	same	same	
Sludge:	quarterly	same	same	
(since t caused b action t	ere been any in the last PCI of by industrial of taken by the Ci ur. Were these	E Audit) which discharges? I ty to ensure	were believed f so, describe that the incid	d to be e the

С.	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit,
	agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? yes
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been
	issued? 5
3.	DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL
	DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF
	EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND
	THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.
	yes
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?
	An expiration date: yes
	Discharge limitations: yes
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the
	Permits contain:
	TI colf monitoring requirements.
	IU self-monitoring requirements: yes
	IU reporting requirements: yes
	10 reporting requirements. Yes
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard
٥.	conditions are contained in the control documents:
	conditions are contained in the control documents.
	Sample location: yes
	Type of sample: yes
	Monitoring frequency: yes
	Bypass prohibition: no
	Right of entry: Yes for state, no for EPA
	Nontransferability: yes
	Revocation clause: no
	Penalty Provisions: yes
	Slug load notification: yes
	Notification of process change: yes

D.	MONITORING OF IUS	В	Y POTW				
-	T 32			C			
1.	requirement below:	ıs,	pection and sampling f	requ	ency and program		
	requirement below.		Current frequency:		Program Requirement:		
	G 1		Current frequency:		Program Requirement.		
	Sampling: categorical IUs						
	Categorical lus		annually		annually		
	.1 0777						
	other SIUs		n/a		n/a		
	Inspection:						
	categorical IUs		annually		annually		
	other SIUs		n/a		n/a		
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN I	N	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT	r the	FREQUENCY		
	REQUIRED BY THE APP	PR	OVED PROGRAM? yes				
3.	Are inspections ann	10	unced or unannounced?		unannounced		
4.	Are records kept of each inspection? yes						
5.	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of						
	the following:						
	Date and time of in	ıs	pection: yes				
			·				
	Officials present:		yes				
	Inspection of chemi	C	al storage areas: ye	28			
			·				
	Description of regu	ıl	ated processes, catego	orica	l waste streams, and		
	discharge location	0	f these waste streams:	: уе	s		
	Inspection of the p	r	etreatment facilities:	: уе	s		
	Review of self-moni	t	oring records: yes				
	Observation of IU s	se	lf-monitoring procedur	res:	yes		
	Verification that a	p	proved analytical tech	nniqu	es are used:		
					,		
	Verification of IU	f	low measurement (where	e req	uired): n/a		
					1		
6.	Overall adequacy of	:	inspection documentati	ion:	satisfactory		
					, 		

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN						
	THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL						
	POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).						
	yes						
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved						
	methods (40 CFR 136)? yes						
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly						
	maintained? yes						
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody						
	forms? yes						
11							
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to						
	the collection system? yes						
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? yes						
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? yes						
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? yes						
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? yes						
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and						
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports,						
	progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring						
	reports? Tracking done on dry-erase board in Pretreatment						
	Coordinators office at the POTW.						
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT						
	ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND						
	TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? yes						
	1-						
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND						
	TO ALL VIOLATIONS? yes						
	•						

17.	What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?
	Letter to IU citing violations
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR
	403.12(b)?: YES. BMR review not performed as part of this
	Inspection.
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file,
	and indicate which of the following items can be identified
	in the BMR: n/a
	Name and address: n/a
	Other environmental permits held: n/a
	Description of operations: n/a
	Process flow diagrams: n/a
	Flow measurements: n/a
	riow measurements. In/a
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: n/a
	Measurements of regulated pollutants. II/a
	Certification of compliance by the IU: n/a
	cereffication of compilance by the 10. II/a
	Compliance schedule (if needed): n/a
	compliance bolleadie (II needed).
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling
	procedures: The POTW appears to be performing s good job
	Of sampling and inspection.

E.	Enforcement	-					
_					A		
1.			IMPLEMENTED ENFO				
			DDRESS EVERY IU V	7 T	OLATION OF PRETR	ΕZ	ATMENT
	STANDARDS .	AN	D REQUIREMENTS?	У	es		
2.	How does t	he	POTW respond to	t.	he following vio	la	ations?
	Effluent l	im	itations: Phone	Ca	all followed by a	a	letter.
	Late repor	ts	: same				
	Unpermitte	d	discharges: same				
	0112021112000						
	Slua loada		r spills: Writte	n	enforgement reg		nge nlan
	brug roads		T SPITIS! WIICCE	11	enitorcement resp	ը	iise piaii.
3.	TO THE TTO	m	OF SIGNIFICANT VI		I AMODE DUDI TEUED		OX MILE DOMN
٥.							
	DEVELOPED		N ACCORDANCE WITH				
	.		VIOLATING INDUST	Ł.	AL USER (DATED A	UC	3UST 22,
	1985)? yes	3					
4.			s which have met				
	Violator w	it	hin the last 12 m	10	nths, and descri	be	e the
	enforcemen	t	action which has	b	een taken by the	· I	POTW. If
	constructi	on	is required, ple	ea	se indicate whet	he	er the IU
	has been p	la	ced on an enforce	ea.	ble compliance s	cl	nedule.
			Type of		Enforcement		Compliance
	Name:		Violation:		Action:		Deadline:
nor	ne						
		-					
		_					
		1	1				

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	Enforcement procedures appear to be effective.
F.	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that
	presented in the approved pretreatment program? yes
_	
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? yes
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved
	program? yes
С	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
G.	MODITOURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the
	jurisdictional area of the POTW: n/a
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs
	located outside its jurisdictional area? n/a
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other
	cities? n/a
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant
	Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in
	its annual list of Significant Violators? n/a
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: none

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS
The facility appeared to be operating an effective pretreatment
program. It was noted that the control documents (permits)
do not contain revocation clauses, conditions prohibiting
bypasses or right of entry for EPA Inspectors. When determining
whether an IU is a Significant Violator, the POTW is not
performing/documenting the application of the Technical Review
Criteria as required by the City's Sewer Ordinance 10.08.13.
Also, violators of numeric pollutant limits are not re-sampling
in order to document permit compliance after problems are
corrected. The facility is technically out of compliance until
sampling and analysis shows that compliance has been
re-attained.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Claridge Extrusions
POTW Name: City of Harrison
Industry Contacts: Harry Wagoner
Date and Time of Visit: 05/08/07 @ 1223
Description of Manufacturing Process: Extruding/finishing
Aluminum products
Sources of Process Wastewater: Aluminum extrusion, anodizing and
Phosphating plus collected rainwater from pretreatment system.
Categorical Industry? yes
Basis for Limits: Harrison Sewer Ordinance
•
Point of Application: Outfall 001
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
pH adjustment / settling / filter press
Filter cake disposed at Nabors Landfill in Baxter County, AR.
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Solvent waste goes RineCo in Benton, Arkansas
Solvent waste is stored in paint house which has no floor drains.
It was noted that the paint house secondary containment could be
improved.
<u>-</u>
Sampling Location and Equipment:
Outfall 001 located in manhole on north side of building.
Grab samples are obtained.
-

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry ADC				
POTW Name: Harrison				
Industry Contacts: Steve Klein				
Gerald Henry				
-				
Date and Time of Visit: May 8, 2007 @ 1323				
Description of Manufacturing Process: manufactures				
bescription of nanaractaring frocess. manaractares				
chain link fence parts				
ondin 11m1 10m00 par 05				
Courses of Drogons Westernators:				
Sources of Process Wastewater:				
Mark 15mg				
Wash line				
Categorical Industry? yes				
Basis for Limits: Sewer ordinance				
Point of Application: Outfall 001				
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:				
pH adjustment				
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:				
Facility has no floor drains, Secondary containment provided				
Sampling Location and Equipment:				
Outfall 001 - A grab sample is obtained from the v-notch weir				
located on the West side of the pretreatment building.				

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Bruce K James En	irkpatrick,ADEQ g, EPA	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City	of Harrison	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:		AR0034321	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	May 8, 2007		DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):		5	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:		5	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:		0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:		0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:		0	PSNC
CTUC IN CICNIFICANIE	MONGOMDI TANGE	0	
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:		0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH SELF-MONITORIN	G AND NOT		
INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:		0	SNIN



June 28, 2007

Mr. Arnold Rogers, Plant Superintendent City of Harrison Wastewater Treatment Facility P.O. Box 1715 Harrison, AR 72602

Re: AFIN: 05-00054; NPDES Permit No. AR0034321

Dear Mr. Rogers:

On May 8, 2007, I performed a pretreatment compliance inspection of your facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and the regulations promulgated there under. I was accompanied by Mr. James Eng of US EPA Region VI who was there to provide oversight. This inspection revealed that you are in compliance with the terms of your permit.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-446-6170.

Sincerely,

Bruce Kirkpatrick District Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch