							Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85		
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460									
NPDES Compliance Inspection Report									
		S	Section A: Nation	nal Da	ta Sy	ystem Coding			
Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type 1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 0 0 3 6 11 12 0 7 0 8 1 7 17 18 C 19 S 20 1									
A F I N 3 3 - 0 0 2 6 I I I									
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA						Reserved 80			
Section B: Facility Data									
Name and Location of Facility Inspected include POTW name and NPDES permit	l (For numb	industrial users disc per)	charging to POT	V, also		Entry Time /Date		Permit Effective Date	
Melbourne Wastewater Treatm	nent	Plant				1300 / August 17, 2007		September 1, 2005	
End of Highway #9 SpurExit Time/DateMelbourne, AR 72556 (Izard County)1500 / August 17 2007					Permit Expiration Date August 31, 2010				
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Oth							her Facility Data		
Mr. Coy Dale / Superintendent	: of \	Water & Waster	water / 870-3	<u>68-42</u> M	15 (Cell 870-291-7773	W9	6 03 33.347 91° 55′34 .137″	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mr. Coy Dale / Superintendent of Water & Wastewater / 870-368-4215 Mr. P.O. Box 278 Yes Melbourne, AR 72556 Yes									
Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)									
S Permit	S	Flow Measuremen	ent S Ope		Оре	erations & Maintenance	S	Sampling	
S Records/Reports	S	Self-Monitoring	Program	S Sludge Handling/Disposal		N	Pollution Prevention		
S Facility Site Review	S	Compliance Sche	edules	Ν	Pretreatment N			Multimedia	
S Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory	N Storm Water S				Other: Effluent Limits		
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) DMR's for May June and July 2007 were reviewed during the inspection									
The effluent appeared to be within permit limits. The plant overall appeared to be clean and well maintained									
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector Mike Kunnedy Mike K	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/Batesville/870-793-5819/870-793-5814				Date August 22, 2007				
Signature of Reviewer			Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers			Date			

	PERMIT NO. AR0020036
SECTION A - PERMIT VERIFICATION	
$\blacksquare S \Box M \Box U \Box NA ($ Details:	FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE	■Y□N □NA
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES	■Y□N □NA
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT	■Y□N □NA
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED	■Y□N □NA
SECTION B - RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT. $\blacksquare S \Box M \Box U \Box NA (FOR A CONTRACT A CONTRACTA A CONTRACT A CONTRACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTACTA$	URTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO_)
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRs.	■ Y □ N □ NA
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE.	■S□M□U □NA
a) DATES, TIME(S) AND LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING	■ Y □ N □ NA
b) NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING	
c) ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES.	■Y□N □NA
d) RESULTS OF ANALYSES AND CALIBRATIONS.	■Y□N □NA
e) DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES.	■Y□N □NA
f) NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES.	■Y□N □NA
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE. (CBOD & NH3-N)	■S□M □U □NA
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR.	■S□M□U □NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA.	■Y □N □NA
SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED. $\blacksquare S \Box M \Box U \Box NA$ (Furded examples)	RTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED.	■S□M□U □NA
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED.	S M U NA
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED. (Generator)	S D M D U D NA
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE. (High water level alarms)	S M U NA
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE.(One clarifier down because of low flow, but the unit was operational)	■S□M□U □NA
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED. (1-Class III & 1-Class II)	S D M D U D NA
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED. (Some parts on hand)	■S□M□U □NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE.	■Y□N □NA
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED.	
PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED.	
	PAGE 2 OF 4

SECTION C - OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE (CONT'D) 9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? Y N NA IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? Y N NA HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? Y N NA 10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? Y N NA 10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? Y N NA SECTION D - SAMPLING Y N NA PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S M U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO.). 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Y N NA 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. Y N NA	
9. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR? • Y □ N □ NA IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED? • Y □ N □ NA HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS? • Y □ N □ NA 10.HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? □ Y □ N □ NA IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? □ Y □ N □ NA SECTION D - SAMPLING □ Y □ N □ NA PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. • S □ M □ U □ NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO). DETAILS: □ Y □ N □ NA 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. ■ Y □ N □ NA 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. ■ Y □ N □ NA 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. ■ Y □ N □ NA	
10. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT? I Y N N A IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT? Y N N NA SECTION D - SAMPLING PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S N U NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO). DETAILS: 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y N NA 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Y N NA 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. Y N NA	
SECTION D - SAMPLING PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS: 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Y NA 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Y N NA 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	
PERMITTEE SELF-MONITORING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. Image: Simple S	
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT. Image: Y model in the system of t	
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES. Image: Y mining in the samples obtained when required by permit. 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT. Image: Y mining in the samples in	
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT.	
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT.	
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE	
a) SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING.	
b) PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED.	
c) CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136	
7. IF MONITORING AND ANALYSES ARE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED BY PERMIT, ARE THE RESULTS REPORTED IN PERMITTEE'S SELF-MONITORING REPORT? □ Y □ N ■ NA	
SECTION E - FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. $\blacksquare S \Box M \Box U \Box NA$ (Further explanation attached <u>No</u>) Details:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED. ■ Y □ N □ NA TYPE OF DEVICE 3 inch Parshall Flume	
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED.	
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED.	
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE. (DATE OF LAST CALIBRATION (July, 2007) ■ Y □ N □ NA RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES. ■ Y □ N □ NA CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE. (once each month) ■ Y □ N □ NA	
5. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE.	
6. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION.	
7. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES.	
SECTION F - LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS.	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(b) FOR SLUDGES - Not following exact procedures for TSS 🛛 Y 🗆 N	

						PERMIT	NO. AR0020036	
SECTION F - LABORATORY (CONT'D)								
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED						□Y □N ■NA		
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT.						∎S□M□	■S□M□U□NA	
4. QUALITY CONTRO	DL PROCEDURES ADE	EQUATE. (EPA Outsid	e Quality Control sam	bles 1 time per year)		■S□M□	■S□M□U□NA	
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. <u>10 to 100% %</u> OF THE TIME.						■Y□N □NA		
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED. <u>10</u> % OF THE TIME.						■Y□	N 🗆 NA	
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED. (State Certified)						■Y□	■Y□N □NA	
LAB NAME Arkansas Testing Laboratories LAB ADDRESS P.O. Box 729 Searcy, AR 72143 PARAMETERS PERFORMED CBOD, Ammonia Nitrogen, TSS, Fecal Coliform, Total Residual Chlorine, DO, & Flow								
SECTION G – (EFFLUENT)/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS. \blacksquare S \Box M \Box U \Box NA (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO).								
Based on visual	observations or	ıly.						
OUTFALL NO.	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOAT SOL.	COLOR	OTHER	
001	NONE	NONE	Slight	NONE	TRACE	Clear		
Comments:								
SECTION H - SLUDG	E DISPOSAL							
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. $\blacksquare S \square M \square U \square NA$ (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED <u>No</u>). DETAILS: (Bar Screen to Class I Landfill, Drying bed solids and sludge is disposed by land application on grass land. Lime stabilization used taking sludge to a pH of 12+ for 2 hours. Last year 4.46 dry tons of sludge was applied during Fiscal Year 2006. The records were submitted in their annual report.								
1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY.						J 🗆 NA		
2. SLUDGE RECORDS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY 40 CFR 503.					■ S □ M □ U □ NE			
3. FOR LAND APPLIED SLUDGE, TYPE OF LAND APPLIED TO: Grass land (e.g., FOREST, AGRICULTURAL, PUBLIC CONTACT SITE)								
SECTION I - SAMPLING INSPECTION PROCEDURES (FURTHER EXPLANATION ATTACHED NO).								
1. SAMPLES OBTAIN	1. SAMPLES OBTAINED THIS INSPECTION.						N 🗆 NA	
2. TYPE OF SAMPLE OBTAINED – N/A								
GRAB COMPOSITE SAMPLE METHOD FREQUENCY								
3. SAMPLES PRESERVED. □ Y □ N ■ NA								
4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED. □ Y □ N ■ NA								
5. SAMPLE OBTAINE	ED FROM FACILITY'S	SAMPLING DEVICE.				Π Υ Π	N ■NA	
6. SAMPLE REPRES	ENTATIVE OF VOLUN	IE AND NATURE OF D	DISCHARGE.			Π Υ Π	N ■ NA	
7. SAMPLE SPLIT W	ITH PERMITTEE.					Π Υ Π	N ■ NA	
8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED. □ Y □ N ■ NA							N ■NA	
9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT. □ Y □ N ■ NA								

AR0020036 Attachment # 1 August 17, 2007

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Field Data: Date <u>August 17, 2007</u> Time <u>1350</u> Head in Inches <u>8.5 = .708 Feet</u>

Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device <u>3 inch Parshall Flume</u> Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device <u>Badger Meter Model 3000</u>

Recorded Flow at date & time listed above _.41 MGD

Flows are calculated from flow charts taken from the <u>ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook-5th</u> 1.547 From (Table 13.3) MGD = 0.6411 H(.708) = .376

.41 - .376 % error = (<u>recorded value - calculated value</u>) <u>x (100)</u> = calculated value

% error = $(.41 - .376) \times (100) = 9.04\%$.376

% error = 9.04% Less than <u>+</u> 10% error OK

AR0020036

Attachment # 2

August 22, 2007

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From <u>2007</u> Year	<u>July 01</u> Month Day	To <u>2007</u> <u>J</u> Year Month	uly <u>31</u> Day
Parameter Checke	d: <u>TSS</u>			
	N Monthly A	Tass .vg. (lbs/ day)	Conce Mo. AvgMg/l	entration 7 day/Max Mg/l
Reported Value:		9.1	8	5 11
Calculated Value:		9.1	8	11
Permit Value:		51	1	5 23

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: Values equal OK



August 27, 2007

Mr. Coy Dale, Water & Sewer Superintendent City of Melbourne P.O. Box 278 Melbourne, AR 72556

Re: AFIN: 33-00026 NPDES Permit No. AR0020036

Dear Mr. Dale:

On August 17, 2007, I performed a routine inspection of your facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed that you are in compliance with terms of your permit.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-793-5819.

Sincerely,

Wike Kennedy

Mike Kennedy District Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permit Branch