اھ	EPA	U	NITED STATES ENVIRO Washin	NMENTAL PROTECT	TION A	GENC	Y		Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85
	NPD	ES	Complian	nce Inspe	ecti	ion	n Report		
				Section A: Nat	tional	Data	a System Coding		
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 1 7 7 6 11 12 0 7 1 1 0 7 17 18 A F I N 3 1 - 0 0 3 6 It 12 0 7 1 1 0 7 17 18 Remarks							pec. Type Inspector Fac Type P 19 S 20 1 C O U N T Y Reserved 80		
				Sectio	n B:	Facili	ity Data		
also City	ne and Location of Facility Inspe include POTW name and NPDE. of Nashville 7 27 ~ ¹ / ₂ mile south of town			discharging to P(DTW,		Entry Time /Date 0854 / 11-7-2007 Exit Time/Date 1207 / 11-7-2007		Permit Effective Date November 1, 2003 Permit Expiration Date October 30, 2008
Nor	ag(a) of On Site Popresentative(a))/T;+1/	(a)/Phone and Eax N	lumbor(a)			1207/11-7-2007	Oth	her Facility Data
Nan Greg 426	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Other Ed Carlyle, Jr. / Pretreatment Coordinator / (870) 845-7402 (870) 557-0812 cell # Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Contacted Greg Strawn / Water a& Sewer Superintendent / (870) 845-7400 Yes 426 North Main Street No Nashville, AR 71852 No						PCI		
							I During Inspection satisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)		
Ν	Permit	Ν	Flow Measuremen	nt	N	Op	erations & Maintenance	Ν	CSO/SSO
Ν	Records/Reports	Ν	Self-Monitoring	Program	Ν	Sh	udge Handling/Disposal	Ν	Pollution Prevention
Ν	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Sche	edules	М	Р	retreatment	Ν	Sampling
Ν	Effluent/Receiving Waters	Ν	Laboratory		Ν	Ste	orm Water	Ν	Other:
			Section D: Summa	ry of Findings/C	omm	ents (Attach additional sheets if nece	ssary)	
See Sec. H Evaluation and Comments									
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telepho			phone	e/Fax		Date			
Shan Lynch Shan Lynch			ADEQ / Dist. 1	12 / 87	70-38	9-6970		November 16, 2007	
Sig	nature of Reviewer			Agency/Office	/Phoi	ne an	d Fax Numbers		Date

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Nashville

AFIN Number: **31-00036**

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0021776

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0021776

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: NA

Date of Last PCI/Audit: December 22, 2006

Date of Last Annual Report: NA

Name of Inspector: Shan Lynch

Date PCI Performed: November 7, 2007

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:

Ed Carlyle, Jr. / Pretreatment Coordinator / (870) 845-7402

Name and Title of Other Participants: None

Number of IUs Visited: 0

Name(s) of IUs Visited:

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. City has deleted Aero - Incorporated from their program. Will recommend be issued a no-discharge permit
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? No
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Water dept. notifies of new connections; newspaper; city council meetings; manhole inspections; phone book listings; city personnel; city plumbing inspector
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) **2**
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 2
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Federal regulations
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
Jan-Eze Plating	Metal finisher	Polishing, plating
Husqvarna Outdoor	Metal finisher	Electrochemical
Products		deburring
Aero-Incorporated	Metal finisher	Electroplating
*no discharge		

B. LOCAL LIMITS

- 1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? No, facility using categorical limits. A TRE has been required. Sample results from TRE will be used for Local Limit determination.
- 2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. **none**
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

		Requirement in					
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:			
Metals: Influent:	4 / year	4 / year	none				
Effluent:	4 / year	4 / year	none				
Sludge:	none	none	none				
Organics: Influent:	1 / year	1 / year	none				
Effluent:	1 / year	1 / year	none				
Sludge:	none	none	none				

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? none

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

- 1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? **yes, permit**
- How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued?
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. yes
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An	expiration	date:	yes
----	------------	-------	-----

Discharge limitations: **yes**

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:

IU self-monitoring requirements: yes _____

IU reporting requirements: yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location:	yes	
Type of sample:	yes	
Monitoring frequency:	yes	
Bypass prohibition:	yes	
Right of entry:	yes	
Nontransferability:	yes	
Revocation clause:	yes	
Penalty Provisions:	yes	
Slug load notification:	yes	
Notification of process change:	yes	

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:					
	_	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:			
	Sampling:					
	categorical IUs	2 / year	to be determined			
		based on reported	by Coordinator			
	other SIUs	problems	NA			
	Inspection:					
	categorical IUs	2 / year	annually			
		based on reported				
	other SIUs	problems	NA			
2.	REQUIRED BY THE APPR					
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	1 unannounced and			
4	And meaning hant of	aach increation?	1 scheduled			
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? yes				
5.	Does the inspection the following:	report contain an adequate	e description of			
	Date and time of ins	pection: yes				
	Officials present:	yes	_			
	Inspection of chemic	al storage areas: yes				
		ated processes, categoricant of these waste streams: <u>y</u> e				
	Inspection of the pr	etreatment facilities: ye	28			
	Review of self-monit	oring records: S-M recor				
	<u>office rather than during inspection</u> Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures: yes					
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniqu				
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where rec	rerified at office nuired): NA			
6.	Overall adequacy of	inspection documentation:	satisfactory			

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). yes
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? **yes**
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? **yes**
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? **NE**
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? **yes**
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? <u>each IU has a separate three ring binder containing all facility information and is arranged by separate sections</u>
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? yes,
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? yes

- 17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? Point out problems for minor paperwork errors. Issue NOV's and fines for major permit violations.
- 18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: yes

	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: yes
	Other environmental permits held: yes
	Description of operations: yes
	Process flow diagrams: yes
	Flow measurements: yes
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: yes
	Certification of compliance by the IU: yes
	Compliance schedule (if needed): NA
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures:

E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? yes
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: NOV and fines

Late reports: NOV and fines

Unpermitted discharges: NOV and fines

Slug loads or spills: NOV and fines

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? NA
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
NA			

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: satisfactory

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

- Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? <u>no, facility</u> is in the process of updating their program
- 2. Are staffing levels adequate? **yes**
- 3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? **yes**

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

- List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: none
- Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? <u>NA</u>
- 3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? NA
- 4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? **NA**
- 5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: <u>NA</u>

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

* Aero - Incorporated has ceased discharging into the City's treatment system as noted in the last two PCI's. The discharge line from Aero to the City has supposedly been disconnected. Once again, ADEQ suggests that the City issue Aero-Inc. a "no discharge" permit with a provision that "no process wastewater will be discharged into the City's collection system" along with reporting requirements to certify monthly that no process waste water has been discharged to the City's collection system along with the standard certification statement in 403.6 (a) (2) (ii). This was recommended after the last pretreatment inspection.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Shan Lynch	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Nashville	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0021776	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	November 7, 2007	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	3	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	3	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN