

January 14, 2008 William G. Daniel, Manager Water and Sewer City of Pocahontas 207 Highway #67 South Pocahontas, AR 72455

RE: Compliance Inspection

AFIN: 61-00055 NPDES Permit No.: AR0034835

Dear Mr. Daniel:

On October 25, 2007, Brent Walker and I performed a routine compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. Extensive erosion was observed on the inside of the levees of Cell #1, the Rock Filter was partially clogged and water was observed flowing under the Underflow Weir between Cell #1 and Cell #2. These items are a violation of Part II, Section B, 1, a, of the permit.
- 2. Flow was not being documented each time a sample was taken, thus not demonstrating samples were flow proportioned. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit.
- 3. 3-hour Composite samples were being taken before 10:00 A.M. This is a violation by definition of Part IV, Item 23, of the permit.
- 4. Daily flow readings were not being performed as required in the permit. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 1, of the permit.
- 5. The pH samples were not being analyzed within 15 minutes of the samples being taken. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit and 40 CFR, Part 136.
- 6. The flow meter was not being calibrated on a timely basis. The last calibration was performed in 2005. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 2, of the permit.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Enforcement Section of this Department at the following address:

Water Division Enforcement Section Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

This response should contain detailed documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by February 12, 2008.

William G. Daniel City of Pocahontas January 14, 2008 Page 2

For additional information you may contact the enforcement section by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-793-5819.

Sincerely,
Mile Kennedy

Mike Kennedy

District 11 Field Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

9	© EPA						Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003						
	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY												
	Washington, D.C. 20460												
	NPDE	5 (Complianc	e Inspec	ction	1 ł	Report						
	Section A: National Data System Coding												
	Transaction Code		NPDES				Yr/Mo.	/Day		Ins	pec. Type Inspector Fac. Type		
1	N 2 5 3 A R	0	0 3 4 8	3 5 11	L	0	7 1 0	2	5 17	18	C 19 S 20 2		
	A F I N 6	1	- 0 0 0	5 5	Remarks	S							
	Inspection Work Days		Facility Evaluation R	ating	BI	(QA		·		Reserved		
	67 69		70 1	71	N	72	N 73		74 75		80		
				Section	B: Facil	lity l	Data						
incl	ne and Location of Facility Inspected and POTW name and NPDES permit ahontas Wastewater Treatment Pl	num		charging to POT	W, also		Entry Time/D 1230 / 10-25-0				Permit Effective Date February 1, 2004		
	iles down Swan Cove Road off of I ahontas, Arkansas (Randolph Co	0	•				Exit Time/Dat 1600 / 10-25-0				Permit Expiration Date January 31, 2009		
	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/T liam G. Daniel / Manager Water a			nber(s)						N3	ner Facility Data		
Nan	ne, Address of Responsible Official/	Title/	Phone and Fax Numb	per						- w	00°58′17″		
Wil	liam G. Daniel / Manager Water a						Co	ntacted					
	Highway #67 South ahontas, AR 72455						Yes	г	٦ .				
							Yes	No					
			Sec	tion C: Areas E	valuate	d Dı	uring Inspectio	n					
		r		y, M = Marginal					uated)				
S	Permit	U	Flow Measuremen	nt	U	Ope	erations & Mai	intenan	ice	U	Sampling		
S	Records/Reports	U	Self-Monitoring F	Program	S	Slu	dge Handling/l	Disposa	ıl	N	Pollution Prevention		
U	Facility Site Review	S	Compliance Sche	dules	S	Pre	etreatment			N	Multimedia		
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		N	Sto	rm Water			N	Other:		
			ection D: Summary			•			if necessa	ry)			
DN	IR's reviewed for July, August, ar	id Sej	otember 2007, data	appeared to be	in comp	olian	ice with permit						
	1. Extensive erosion was obse Underflow Weir between										r was observed flowing under the		
2. Flow was not being documented each time a sample was taken, thus not demonstrating samples were flow proportioned. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit.						ned. This is a violation of Part II,							
3. 3-hour Composite samples were being taken before 10:00 A.M. This is a violation by definition of Part IV, Item 23, of the permit.						-							
	 4. Daily flow readings were not being performed as required in the permit. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 1, of the permit. 5. The pH samples were not being analyzed within 15 minutes of the samples being taken. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit and 40 CFR, Part 136. 												
6. The flow meter was not being calibrated on a timely basis. Last calibration performed in 2005. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 2, of the permi						f Part II, Section C, 2, of the permit.							
	Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telep AR Dept. of Environ							esville			Date December 11, 2007		
49					/ 870-79								
	U Mike K	enne	dy										
<i>c</i> .													
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers						Date							

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: None	Permit #: ARG640022

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE: (Contract Laboratory)	□S □M □U □NA ☑NE
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	□S □M □U □NA ☑NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED: (Cell #1.too much erosion on insides of levees) (Rock Filter partially clogged (Water flowing under Underflow Weir between Cell #1 and Cell #2)	DS □M ☑U □NA □NE
STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED: Backup Generator	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE: No alarms	□S ☑M □U □NA □NE
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED: (3-Class III, 1-Class I)	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	Øy □n □na □ne

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: None	Permit #: ARG640022

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	□S □M ☑U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	✓Y □N □NA □NE
LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT: Not documenting flow each time sample w	ven telren
not demonstrating samples were flow proportioned, 3-hour Composite Samples being taken before 10:00 A.M.	
SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT: SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT: Not performing daily flow readings as	MY ON ONA ONE
in permit	Y ØN □NA □NE
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	□Y □N □NA □NE
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	Øy □n Øna □ne
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	Øy □n □na □ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136: (pH's were not taken within 15 min. of sampling)	ng) □Y ☑N □NA □NE
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	□y ☑n □na □ne
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	│ □S □M ☑U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: 9 inch Parshall Flume TYPE OF DEVI	VICE:
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE: Last calibration 2005 (Not calibrating flow meter on a timely basis)	□y ☑n □na □ne
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	□y □n ☑na □ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION F: LABORATORY	,
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES):	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy □n □na □ne
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	Øy □n □na □ne
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	ØY □N □NA □NE
a. LAB NAME: Arkansas Testing Laboratories	
b. LAB ADDRESS: 204 East Lincoln Street, Searcy, AR 72143	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: BOD, TSS, FCB	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	OY ON MA ONE
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	OY ON MA ONE
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	OY ON MA ONE
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: None	Permit #: ARG640022

SI	ECTION	G. EEEI IIEI	NT/DECEIVIN	IC WATERS	ORSEDVATION	ONS				
	SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS BASED ON VISUAL OBSERVATIONS ONLY ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐I									
	DETAILS: Receiving waters not observed at time of inspection									
OUTFALL#: OIL SHEEN GREASE TURBIDITY VISIBLE FOAM FLOATING SOLIDS								,	OTHER	
U	101	0				+	COLO	+	OTHER	
	101	None	None	Slight	None	None	Green	'		
Q	ECTION	H: SLUDGE	DISPOSAL							
	м Пі	J □NA □NE								
		DISPOSAL MEE No sludge dispo			10	L			O LINA LINE	
1.	_	IANAGEMENT ADEQU		-			Пя	: Пм І	□u □na ☑ne	
2.		ECORDS MAINTAINED							DU □NA ☑NE	
3.	FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE. TY	PE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST	, AGRICULTURAL, PUI	BLIC CONTACT SITE):				
			-		, , -	,				
SI	ECTION	I: SAMPLIN	G INSPECTION	ON PROCED	URES					
		RESULTS WITH					□s □i	м Пи	J ⊠NA □NE	
	ETAILS:					I				
1.		OBTAINED THIS INSPE	ECTION:					□Y I	Øn □na □ne	
2.	TYPE OF S	SAMPLE: GRAB:	COMPOSITE:_ N	METHOD: FREQUE	ENCY:					
3.		PRESERVED:	-	-				□y∣	□n Øna □ne	
4.	FLOW PRO	PORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:					□Y I	□n Øna □ne	
5.	SAMPLE O	BTAINED FROM FACIL	ITY'S SAMPLING DE\	/ICE:				□Y I	□n Øna □ne	
6.	SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	OLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:				□Y∣	□n Øna □ne	
7.	SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTER	≣:					□y∣	□n ☑na □ne	
8.	CHAIN-OF-	CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:					□Y I	□n Øna □ne	
9.	SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IIT:				□Y I	□n ☑na □ne	
S	ECTION	J: STORM V	VATER POLI	LUTION PRE	VENTION PLA	AN				
S	TORM W	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS	3		M Du	J ⊠NA □NE	
DI	ETAILS:									
1.	SWPPP UP	PDATED AS NEEDED:	_ DATE OF LAST UP	PDATE:				□Y∣	□n ☑na □ne	
2.	SITE MAP I	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:				□Y∣	□N ☑NA □NE	
3. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM IDENTIFIED:								□Y∣	□n ☑na □ne	
4.										
								□n ☑na □ne		
6.	6. LIST OF POTENTIAL SOURCES AND PAST SPILLS AND LEAKS:									
7.										
8.										
9.	LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:						□n ☑na □ne	
	10. BMPS PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:									
11.	11. INSPECTIONS CONDUCTED AS REQUIRED: □Y □N ☑NA □NE									

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET							
Date: Oct	ober 25, 2007 Ti	me: 1:	522				
Head in Inc	hes: 5.5	Feet:	.458				
Type & Size	e of Primary Flow N	/leasurem	nent Devi	ce: F	Float Met	er	
Name & Mo	odel of Secondary F	Flow Mea	suremen	t Devi	ice: N	/A	
Date of last	Calibration of Sec	ondary Fl	ow Devic	ce: 20	005		
		•					
Recorded F	low at Date & Time	E Listed A	Above:	.54	MGD		(Facility Flow Meter)
			,			<u>'</u>	
Calculated	Flow at Date & Tim	e Listed	Above:	.584	7 MGD fi	rom	
				Tabl	le 13.5		
(Flow is calculat	ed using flow charts in: IS	CO Open Ch	nannel Flow	Measure	ement Handl	oook-5 th E	dition)
					1		
% Error =	Recorded Value - Calculated V			X 100			
70 E1101 =	Calcul	Calculated Value			X 100		
% Error =	.54	-	.58		X 100	-6.89)
70 LIIOI =		.58			X 100		
% Error =		X 100	-6.89%				
76 EIIOI =		A 100					
% Error =		X 100					
% Error =		%					
Comments:	OK less that	+ or – 10	0% marq	jin			

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period: From 2007 July 1 To 2007 July 31

Year Month Day Year Month Day

Parameter Checked: TSS

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly			
	Mo. Avg lbs/day	Mo. Avg mg/l	7 Day Avg mg/l		
Reported Value:	33.1	7	10		
Calculated Value:	33.1	7	10		
Permit Value:	514	90	135		

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: Equal

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Further Explanation

Section C, Item 2: Too much erosion on insides of levees of Cell #1, Rock Filter partially clogged, Water flowing under Underflow Weir between Cell #1 and Cell #2. This is a violation of Part II, Section B, 1, a, of the permit.

Section D, Item 3: Not documenting flow each time sample was taken thus not demonstrating samples were flow proportioned. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit. 3-hour Composite samples were being taken before 10:00 A.M. This is a violation by definition of Part IV, Item 23, of the permit.

Section D, Item 5: Not performing daily flow readings as required in permit. This is a violation of Part I, Section A, of the permit. Facility was not taking samples during backwash, not representative sampling. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 1, of the permit.

Section D, Item 6 c: The pH samples were not being analyzed within 15 minutes of the samples being taken. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit

Section E, Item 4: Not calibrating flow meter on a timely basis. This is a violation of Part II, Section C, 2, of the permit.

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: None	Permit #: ARG640022

POCAHONTAS WATER & SEWER SYSTEMS 207 HIWAY 67 SOUTH POCAHONTAS, AR. 72455

870-892-3222 FAX. 870-892-3068

AUG 0 4 2008

POST
AUG: 0 1 2008

APRIL 23, 2008

WATER DIVISION ENFORCEMENT SECTION ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY 5301 NORTHSHORE DRIVE NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72118-5317

RE: AR0034835 INSPECTION

- 1.A EXTENSIVE EROSION WAS OBSEVED ON THE INSIDE OF LEVEES OF CELL #1.

 1-A PLANNING IS BEING DONE TO COMPLETE REDO THE LEVEE
 BANKS ON CELL #1.
 - B THE ROCK FILTER WAS PARTIALLY COGGED AND WATER WAS OBSERVED FLOWING UNDER THE UNDERFLOW WEIR BETWEEN CELL #1 AND CELL #2.

 1-B FILTER HAS BEEN CLEANED AND WEIR WORKING OK.
- FLOW WAS NOT BEING DOCUMENTED EACH TIME A SAMPLE WAS TAKEN, THUS NOT DEMONSTRATING SAMPLES WERE FLOW PROPORTIONED.
 2-A FLOW DOES NOT CHANGE DURING SAMPLE PERIOD.
- 3. 3-HOUR COMPOSITE SAMPLES WERE BEING TAKEN BEFORE 10:00 A.M.

 3-A THE 3-HOUR COMPOSITE SAMPLE IS BEING TAKEN AT

 8:00A.M.9:00 A.M., AND AT 10:00 A.M.

 A NEW AUTOSAMPLE IS BEING PURCHASE AS SOON AS

 POSSIBLE.
- DAILY FLOW READING WERE NOT BEING PERFORMED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT.
 - **4-A** DAILY FLOW IS NOW BEING TAKEN DAILY ON THE DAY THE MEN WORK. A NEW AUTO-FLOW METER HAS BEEN ORDERED
- THE pH WAS NOT BEING ANALYZED WITHIN 15 MINUTES.
 5-A SAMPLES NOW BEING TESTED.
- FLOW METER NOT CALIBRATED ON TIMELY BASIS.
 6-A CALLED OSI WILL BE HERE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE

WILLIAM G. DANIEL

William &

MANAGER

POCAHONTAS WATER & SEWER SYSTEMS





August 8, 2008

William G. Daniel City of Pocahontas 207 Highway 67 South Pocahontas, AR 72455

RE: NPDES Permit AR0034835, AFIN 61-00055

Inadequate Response to Inspection

Dear Mr. Daniel:

The Department has received your April 23, 2008 letter in response to the recent inspection of your facility by our District Field Inspector Mike Kennedy. The response has been deemed deficient for the following reasons:

- 1. Cell #1 showed extensive erosion on the inside of the levees. This violates Part II, Section B, 1, a, of the permit. Documentation, such as photographs, should be submitted to ADEQ showing that work has been performed on the levees of Cell #1 and that the erosion problem has been corrected.
- 2. Under Part 4, Definitions, #23, of the permit defines a 3-hour composite sample. A 3-hour composite sample consist of three effluent portions collected no closer together than one hour (with the first portion collected no earlier than 10:00a.m.) and composited according to flow. Your response states that you are still taking samples before 10:00 a.m. This continues to violate Part II, Section C, 3, of the permit. Please submit written documentation stating how this violation will be resolved.

A copy of the inspection report and your initial response is enclosed with this letter for your review. The Department will keep the inspection and your response(s) on file and will consider them in determining the amount of a civil penalty, if required, that may be necessary for your violations. Please note that Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation No. 7: Civil Penalties requires the Department to consider several factors when determining a civil penalty. Enclosed for your information with this letter is a list of the Regulation 7 factors, among which are the past compliance history of your company and how expeditiously violations are addressed.

Please submit an adequate response **no later than August 29, 2008**. Failure to fully respond by this date will be considered in determining any civil penalty for this facility.

If we need further information, we will contact you.

Page 2 of 2

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me by phone at 501-682-0635 or e-mail at flowers@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely,

Matt Flowers
Enforcement Administrator
NPDES Enforcement Section

Enclosures

cc: John Bailey, Technical Assistance Manager, Permit Branch, Water Division Eric Fleming, Technical Assistance Manager, Field Services Section, Water Division



ARKANSAS POLLUTION CONTROL AND ECOLOGY COMMISSION REGULATION NO. 7: CIVIL PENALTIES, SECTION 9

SECTION 9. Determination of Amount

In determining the amount of a civil penalty to be assessed hereunder, the Department shall consider the following factors which may increase or decrease the amount of the assessed fine:

- (a) The seriousness of the noncompliance and its effect upon the environment, including the degree of potential or actual risk or harm to the public health caused by the violation.
- (b) Whether the cause of the noncompliance was an unavoidable accident.
- (c) The violator's cooperativeness and expeditious efforts to correct the violation.
- (d) The history of a violator in taking all reasonable steps or procedures necessary or appropriate to correct any noncompliance.
- (e) The violator's history of previous documented violations regardless of whether or not any administrative, civil, or criminal proceeding was commenced therefore.
- (f) Whether the cause of the violation was an intentional act or omission on the part of the violator.
- (g) Whether the noncompliance has resulted in economic benefit or pecuniary gain to the violator, including but not limited to cost avoidance.
- (h) Whether the pursuit and the execution of the enforcement action has resulted in unusual or extraordinary costs to the Department or the public.
- (I) Whether any part of the noncompliance is attributable to the action or inaction of the state government.
- (j) Whether the violator has delayed corrective action.

POCAHONTAS WATER & SEWER SYSTEMS 207 HIWAY 67 SOUTH POCAHONTAS, AR 72455

OFFICE 870/892/3222

FAX 870/892/3068



Matt flowers
Enforcement Administrator
NPDES Enforcement Section

RE: NPDES Permit AR0034834

Dear Mr. Flowers,

In response to your letter as of August 8, 2008.

Items #1: POND LEVEE EROSION

I have contacted Crist Engineers about the problem, to see what we will have to do to fix it. This is our primary pond.

Items #2: SAMPLING

I have called Instrument & Supply, Inc. in Hot Spring about getting a automatic sampler. As soon as I can I'll get one on line. Also trying to get an automatic flow for the effluent

Sincerely,

William G. Daniel

William Men

Manager

Pocahontas Water & Sewer Systems