

March 17, 2008

Gary Mills, Utility Manager North Little Rock Wastewater P.O. Box 17898 North Little Rock, AR 72117

AFIN: 60-00274

NPDES Permit No.: AR0020303

Dear Mr. Mills:

On March 11, 2008, and March 12, 2008, I performed a routine Pretreatment Compliance Inspection of the North Little Rock Wastewater Utility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. The IU self monitoring violations should be addressed the same way as an IU Permit violation found by the POTW. A July 11, 2007, pH of 4.2 S.U. was found during self-monitoring at Truck-O-Mat. The ERP was not followed as required.
- 2. I found that during my site visit to Truck-O-Mat that rain water is entering the pretreatment system though a grated drain located out side of the main building. This is diluting the facility waststream and introducing a considerable volume of water into the POTW collection system (inflow).

The above item requires your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to this finding to the Water Division Enforcement Section of this Department. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by April 6, 2008.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 501-682-0659.

Sincerely,

Eric M. Fleming

Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

# **⊕**EPA

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460

# **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

	Section A: National Data System Coding																																			
Г	Transaction Code NPDES yr/mo/day Inspec. Type Inspector Fac Type								ype																											
1	N		2	5	3	3	A	R	0	(	0	2	0	3	0	3		11	12	0	8	0	3	1	1	1	7	18	P		1	9	s	20	1	
						_													Rema	rks						_				•		_		•		
	0		0	2																				$\perp$		$\perp$										
1		Ins	pecti	on \	Vorl	c Day	ys				Facili	ity E	Evalua	tion	Ratin	g			ΒĬ		QA								Reser	ved						
	67	, [					69					70	N					71	N	] 7:	2 N	73	L	L	_ 74	7.	5								80	
		_	_			_			_		_	_	_	_	_		Sect	tion	B: Fa	cili	ty Data		_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_		_
Na	me	and	Loc	atio	n of	Facil	lity I	nspec	ted (	For	indu	stria	ıl use	rs dis	char	_	_	_	W, also		<del>-</del>	try Ti	ne /I	Date	_	_	_		Per	rmit	Effe	ctive	Dat	te		_
								S per				,,,,,,,				56		•••	,		1												Du			
		Litt	le R	<u>ock</u>	W <u>a</u>	stew	ater	<u>Utili</u>	<u>ty</u> – ]	loca	ted at	740	00 Ba	ucun	Pike	in N	lorth	n Lit	ttle Ro	ck,		00 on 3					_		Der	mit	1-1- Expi		n D	ate		
AR																					- 1								1 101	,,,,,,,	•			ate		
NI:		۵) -	60	C!	. n				/T:41	(5)	(DI- :::	_	d E	. N1:	- la - 1		_	_			100	00 on 3	5-12-	08			ī	Cit				31-0	8			
Bet	h C	aipe	en –	Pret	reati	nent	Cle	rk / 50	1-94	5-7	186		id Fax	Nun	nber(	s)											1	Oth	er Fa	CIIII	y Dat	a				
								alist / ial Te					5-718	6													١									
												and	Fax	Num	ber																					
			s - U cum			nage	er / (	501)-	945-7	7186	5											г	Co	ntacte	d	7										
No	rth	Litt	le R	ock,	AR	721	17														Ye	s		No	X											
⊢																																				
L	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)																																			
S Permit N Flow Measurement N Operations & Maintenance S Sampling																																				
s	S Records/Reports Self-Monitoring P			g Pro	Program N Sludge Handling/Disposal N				Pollution Prevention																											
N	N Facility Site Review N Compliance Scho			edul	edules Y Pretreatment N					<u>v</u>	Multimedia																									
N Effluent/Receiving Waters S Laboratory				N Storm Water N						N	Other:																									
_	Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)																																			
	See attached PCI form dated 3-11-08 for further details.																																			
	_				-			_		_					1	_	_	_		_				_				_	L	_		_				
Na	me(	s) a	nd S	Sign	atui	re(s)	of I	nspec	tor(s	<b>s</b> )					1	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax					Date															
Eric	M	. Fl	emi	ıg/						_		_			1	ADE	<b>Q</b> / !	Litt	le Roc	k / :	501-68	2 <u>-0659</u>			_	_			3-11-08							
																														_						
Sig	nat	ure	of F	levi	ewe	r									A	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers						Date														
			_		_												_																			

#### ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTA

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: North Little Rock Wastewater Utility
AFIN Number: 60-00274
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0020303, AR0020320, AR0038288
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0020303
Fact Sheet Preparation Date:
Date of Last PCI/Audit: November 30, 2006 and December 1, 2006
Date of Last Annual Report: March 8, 2007
Name of Inspector: Eric M. Fleming
Date PCI Performed: March 11, 2008 and March 12, 2008
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Rick Roll, Superintendent of Operations - (501)-945-7186
Name and Title of Other Participants:
Ed Toland, Pretreatment Specialist, Mitch Foreman Senior
Industrial Technician, Beth Caipen, Pretreatment Clerk
Number of IUs Visited: 2
Name(s) of IUs Visited:
Deluxe Media Services, Truck-O-Mat
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

# A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

1.	List any Significant Industrial Users (SI been added or deleted from the program si or inspection.	nce the last audit
	Deluxe Media Services closed on February	28, 2007.
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these ch	nanges? yes
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT	UPDATED? yes
4.	What procedures are being used to update Water usage records, and new sewer connec	<b>-</b>
5.	Total number of Significant Industrial Us the definition used by the POTW. (This r greater than or equal to the answer to qu	number must be
6.	Number of Categorical Industrial Users:	1
7.	How does the POTW determine the appropria	te categorical
	SIC codes, Federal Register, 40 CFR Regul	ations
8.	List all categorical IUs discharging under program. Include the name of the IU, the as Metal Finishing), and the regulated program plating, etc.) Additional listings comments section if necessary.	regulatory category cocess (phosphating,
Name	ne of IU: Category:	Regulated Process:
Kopp	pers Timber Products	Wood preservation
	SIC 2491 (a)(b)	Pressure treatment of raw wood products

# B. LOCAL LIMITS

	e any apparent re noted at thi	_	the local li	mits.
sludge require	en are pollutar performed by th ments of the ap t sheet) and pa	ne POTW? Does pproved progra	this fulfill m (as describe	the ed in
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Require	ment in Program:	Comments:
Metals: Influent:	1 gtr	1 qtr	n/a	
Effluent:	1 qtr	1 qtr	n/a	
Sludge:	1 qtr	1 qtr	n/a	
Organics: Influent:	1 yr	1 yr	n/a	
Effluent:	1 yr	1 yr	n/a	
Sludge:	1 yr	1_yr	n/a	
(since caused action not rec	ere been any in the last PCI of by industrial of taken by the Ci ur. Were these	f Audit) which discharges? I ity to ensure e actions effe	were believed f so, describe that the inci-	d to be e the dent would

<u>C.</u>	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit,
	agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? yes
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 15
3.	DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.
	yes
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?
	An expiration date: yes
	Discharge limitations: yes
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:
	IU self-monitoring requirements: _yes
	IU reporting requirements: _yes
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:
	Sample location: Yes
	Type of sample: Yes
	Monitoring frequency: yes
	Bypass prohibition: yes
	Right of entry: _yes
	Nontransferability: yes
	Revocation clause: yes
	Penalty Provisions: yes
	Slug load notification: yes
	Notification of process change: yes

# D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current ins requirement below:	spection and sampling freq	uency and program			
	-	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:			
	Sampling: categorical IUs	twice/month	Once/month_			
	other SIUs Inspection:	twice/month	Once/year			
	categorical IUs	Once/year	Once/year			
	other SIUs	Once/year	Once/year			
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPR	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT TH	E FREQUENCY			
3.		ounced or unannounced?				
4.	Mostly unannounced ~ 1 hour  . Are records kept of each inspection? yes					
••						
5.	. Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:					
	Date and time of ins	spection: yes				
	Officials present:	yes				
	Inspection of chemic	cal storage areas: <u>yes</u>				
	_	ated processes, categoric of these waste streams: <u>y</u>				
	Inspection of the pr	retreatment facilities: y	es			
	Review of self-monit	coring records: yes				
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedures:	yes			
	Verification that ap	proved analytical techniq	ues are used: <u>yes</u>			
	Verification of IU f	flow measurement (where re-	quired): yes			
б.	Overall adequacy of	inspection documentation:	yes			

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).  yes
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? yes
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? yes
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? yes
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? yes
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? yes
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? yes
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports?  Dates for all reports are listed and tracked on computer
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS?  Yes
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS?  No, all but pH. If pH violations are found on the self-monitoring reports, they are not addressed.

17.	What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?
	The ERP is currently being followed. ERP is on file.
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: yes
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: _yes
	Other environmental permits held: yes
	Description of operations: yes
	Process flow diagrams: yes
	Flow measurements: yes
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: yes
	Certification of compliance by the IU: yes
	Compliance schedule (if needed): yes
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures:
	If pH violations are found on the self- monitoring reports,
	they are not addressed. This will need to be better addressed, since a permit limit violation did occur.
	addressed, since a permit rimite violation and order

# E. Enforcement 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? No 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations? See the POTW ERP for details Effluent limitations: Late reports: Unpermitted discharges: Slug loads or spills: 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? yes 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule. No significant violators noted in the past year. Type of Enforcement Compliance Deadline: Violation: Action: Name:

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	See below.
<u>F.</u>	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? yes
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? _yes
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program?yes
<u>G.</u>	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: none
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? yes
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? no
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators?
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: none

# H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

The following IU files were reviewed and inspected:
Truck-o-mat, and Deluxe Media Services.
I noted 3 pH violations that the city found during their site
visits. These 3 violations were addressed as per their ERP.
During my file review I found that the self-monitoring pH
violations are NOT addressed. A pH sample collected on 11/12/07
was 4.2 S.U. The POTW will need to address these violations.
was 4.2 5.0. The form will need to address these violations.
I found no violations during these 2 file reviews. The POTW
continues to properly implement their Pretreatment Program.
I did find during the site visit to Truck-O-mat that an outside
grated floor drain drains into the treatment system. This inflow
causes dilution of process water and creates a inflow into the
POTW.
POIW.
Other files that were reviewed were: Koppers Industries.

# PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

# IU SITE VISIT FORM

POTW Name: Faulkner Lake POTW  Industry Contacts: Gary Cozad, Manager  Date and Time of Visit: 3-12-08 @ 0800  Description of Manufacturing Process:
Date and Time of Visit: 3-12-08 @ 0800
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Commercial Truckwash
Sources of Process Wastewater: Washing and rinsing operations of truck exteriors and cabs.
Categorical Industry? no
Basis for Limits: n/a
Point of Application: n/a
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Sedimentation traps, and pH adjustment.
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Chemicals are located in a chemical storage building.
Sampling Location and Equipment: A sampling port and a refrigerated composite sampler.

# PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

# IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Deluxe Media Services (No longer in Operation)
POTW Name: Faulkner Lake POTW
Industry Contacts: John Landry / (501) 955-3031
Date and Time of Visit: 3-11-08 @ 1540
Description of Manufacturing Process: Multi Media manufacturing
Sources of Process Wastewater: n/a
Categorical Industry? n/a
Basis for Limits: n/a
Point of Application: n/a
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Sampling Location and Equipment:
n/a

# PPETS CODE SHEET

#### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME: Eric M. Fleming		_	
NAME OF FACILITY:	North Little Ro	ock Wastewater Utility	_
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM: AR0020303		_ NPID	
DATE OF PCI:	3-11-08 to 3-12-08		DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):		15	sius
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:		1	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:		0	_ NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:		0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE 0 WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:		PSNC	
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:		0	_ MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE 0 WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:		0	SNIN
I. OHALTTY			



# NORTH LITTLE ROCK WASTE WATER UTILITY

March 31, 2008

Certified Mail # 7003 3110 0004 6966 6673

Enforcement Division Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR. 72118

RE: (NPDES Permit # AR0020303) Response Report for Pretreatment Compliance Inspection conducted by Mr. Eric Fleming on March 11, 2008 and March 12, 2008.

The following corrective actions have been taken on the violations noted during this inspection.

 The IU self monitoring violations should be addressed the same way as an IU Permit violation found by the POTW. A July 11, 2007, pH of 4.2 S.U. was found during self-monitoring at Truck-O-Mat. The ERP was not followed as required.

Corrective action: The Utility will now call to inform IU's of all pH violations including self-monitoring pH violations reported to the Utility on the IU's DMR's.

2. I found that during my site visit to Truck-O-Mat that rain water is entering the pretreatment system though a grated drain located out side of the main building. This is diluting the facility wastestream and introducing a considerable volume of water into the POTW collection system (inflow). Corrective action: The Utility has met with Mr. Gary Cozad the Manager of Truck-O-Mat and he is in agreement to install a valve which meets the Utility's requirements to prevent any inflow from a rain event to enter the sanitary sewer system from this drain.

If you have any questions concerning the above responses, please contact me at (501) 945-7186 ext.117.

NORTH LITTLE ROCK WASTE WATER-UTILITY

Emric F. Roll

Superintendent of Operations, NLRWWU



October 24, 2008

Ric Roll North Little Rock WW Utility Faulkner Lake Plant North Little Rock, AR 72117-0898

RE: NPDES Permit AR0020303, AFIN 60-00274

Response to Inspection

Dear Mr. Roll:

ADEQ has received your response to this year's routine Pretreatment Compliance Inspection of your facility by our District Field Inspector, Eric Fleming. Your letter appears to adequately address the discrepancies identified during the visit.

The Department will keep the inspection and response on file and will consider them as required by the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation No. 7, Civil Penalties. This regulation requires ADEQ to consider the past history of your company and how expeditiously the violations were addressed in determining any civil penalty that may be necessary for any violations.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If we need further information, we will contact you. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me by phone at 501-682-0632 or e-mail at <a href="mailto:robertsa@adeq.state.ar.us">robertsa@adeq.state.ar.us</a>. In any written correspondence to this Department, please refer to NPDES Permit AR0020303.

Sincerely.

Anne Roberts

**Enforcement Administrator** 

**Enforcement Branch** 

Water Division