

May 19, 2008

Mr. Greg Withrow, General Manager El Dorado Chemical Corporation 4500 Northwest Ave. El Dorado, AR 71730

**RE:** Compliance Inspection

AFIN: 70-00040

NPDES Permit No.: AR0000752

Dear Mr. Withrow:

On April 22, 2008 I performed a routine compliance inspection of the El Dorado Chemical Corporation facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following:

- 1. The facility reported incorrect sample frequency on the January Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) for Outfall 006 and 007. The facility reported one sample/month when the facility actually sampled twice.
- 2. The facility reported an incorrect Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) monthly average on January DMR for Outfall 006. The facility used an arithmetic average to calculate this average, when the permit requires a flow weighted average be used.
- 3. The facility reported an incorrect Ammonia Nitrogen monthly average and daily maximum on the January DMR for Outfall 007. This was due to placing the numbers in the wrong locations on the DMR.
- 4. The facility reported TDS excursions Outfall 006 and 007 on the January 2008 DMR and submitted a Non Compliance Report (NCR) as required. However, the NCR had the incorrect concentrations listed for monthly average and daily maximum for both outfalls.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Enforcement Branch of this Department at the following address:

Water Division Enforcement Branch Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317 Page 2

This response should contain detailed documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by June 9, 2008.

For additional information you may contact the enforcement branch by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-862-0680.

Sincerely,

John W. Land

John W. Lamb District 8 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch

€EPA								Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003								
	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY															
	NDDE			Wa	shingto	on, D.C	20460	D				Donort				
	NPDES Compliance Inspection Report															
	Section A: National Data System Coding															
1	N 2 5 3 A R	0	0 0	NPDI 0	ES 7	5	2	1	1	12	0		Insp 18	ec. TypeInspectorFac. TypeC19S202		
	A F I N 7	0	- 0	0	0	4	0		R	emar U	ks N		U	N T Y		
	Inspection Work Days	]	Facility	Evalua	tion R	₹ating	g		E	BI		QA	R	leserved		
	67 69		70	) 3	ļ			7	71	N	72	<b>N</b> 73 74 75		80		
							S	ectio	on B	8: Fac	cility	Data				
inclı	e and Location of Facility Inspected <i>ide POTW name and NPDES permit</i> <b>orado Chemical Corporation</b>			rial user	rs disc	charg	ging t	o PC	ртw	, also	)	Entry Time/Date 13:35/04/22/08		Permit Effective Date 01 July 2002		
	) Northwest Ave. orado, AR 71730											Exit Time/Date 16:35/04/22/08		Permit Expiration Date <b>30 June 2007</b>		
	e(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Tant Parker/Environmental Coordin				x Nun	nber(	(s)						Othe	er Facility Data		
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax NumberContactedGreg Withrow, General Manager/870-862-1400ContactedEl Dorado Chemical CorporationYes4500 Northwest Ave.No El Dorado, AR 71730No																
			(S	= Satis								<b>uring Inspection</b> isfactory, N = Not Evaluated)				
S	Permit	S	Flow	Measu	reme	ent				S	Op	erations & Maintenance S	5	Sampling		
U	Records/Reports	S	Self-	Monito	ring l	Prog	ram			S	Slu	ndge Handling/Disposal	I	Pollution Prevention		
S	Facility Site Review	Ν	Com	pliance	Sche	dule	s			Ν	Pro	etreatment N	N Multimedia			
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Labo	oratory						S Storm Water				Other:		
		Se	ction I	): Sumr	nary	of Fi	indin	gs/C	om	ment	s (At	tach additional sheets if necessary)				
Section B, item 1: The facility records were not consistent to the data submitted on the DMRs. The facility reported incorrect sampling frequency on the January DMR for Outfall 006 and 007. The facility reported a sample frequency of 1/month when the facility actually sampled 2/month (except for Zn). The facility reported incorrect number for Monthly Average for Outfall 006. The facility used an arithmetic mean in calculation of the monthly average and not a flow weighted average. The facility reported an incorrect Ammonia Nitrogen monthly average and daily maximum on the January 2008 DMR for Outfall 007. The numbers were																
transposed in the wrong spots on the DMR. The facility reported TDS excursion in January 2008 for Outfall 006 and Outfall 007 for both daily max and monthly average. The facility also reported a Zinc excursion for Outfall 007. The facility submitted a Non-Compliance Report (NCR) for these excursions. However, the NCR had incorrect concentrations for TDS for monthly average and daily maximum for TDS.																
	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s	)				C .	gency					/Fax nvironmental Quality		Date 19 May 2008		
	nW.fauf					34	00 W	'est. l	Hills	sboro	, El I	Dorado, AR 71730		19 May 2008		
John	W. Lamb					87	<b>U-86</b> 2	2-068	su/ F	ax 8	/0-86	52-3509	+			
						+							$\neg$	Date		
Signature of Reviewer						A	gency	y/Off	ïce/	Phon	e and	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers				

Permit #: AR00000752

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	□S □M ∅U □NA □NE
DETAILS: <u>see page 1</u>	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	Dy Dn Øna Dne
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	Dy On Øna One

Permit #: AR00000752

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	
DETAILS:	
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗇 NE
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	⊠y ⊡n ⊡na ⊡ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE: parhsall flume	
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	ØS OM OU ONA ONE
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :	
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🖾 ne
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:	Øy 🛛 n 🗆 na 🖾 ne
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED <u>&gt;</u> 10% OF THE TIME:	
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	
a. LAB NAME: Arkansas Analytical-BioAnalytical	
b. LAB ADDRESS: 110701 I-30, Little Rock, AR, Doyline La	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED:	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 70-00040

Permit #: AR00000752

SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS												
BASED ON VISUAL OBSERVATIONS ONLY												
DETAILS:												
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER					
001	None	None	Slight	None	None	Lt green						
002,006 007	No discharge											
003	None	None	None	None	None	colorless						
SECTION H: SLUDGE DISPOSAL												
SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS 🛛 S 🗆 S 🗠 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S												
DETAILS:												
1. SLUDGE N	ANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			⊠s ⊏	M 🗆 U 🗆 NA 🗆 NE					
2. SLUDGE F	RECORDS MAINTAINED	D AS REQUIRED BY 40	) CFR 503:			🗹 s 🗆						
3. FOR LANE	APPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST,	AGRICULTURAL, PUE	BLIC CONTACT SITE):							
SECTION	II: SAMPLIN	G INSPECTIO	ON PROCEDU	JRES								
SAMPLE I	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	S		□s □m I	∃U ØNA □NE					
DETAILS:												
	OBTAINED THIS INSP					Ľ	IY 🗹 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE					
2. TYPE OF	SAMPLE: GRAB:		IETHOD: FREQUE	NCY:								
3. SAMPLES	PRESERVED:						IY 🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne					
4. FLOW PR	OPORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:					IY 🗆 N 🗹 NA 🗆 NE					
5. SAMPLE C	BTAINED FROM FACI	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	/ICE:				IY 🗆 N 🗹 NA 🗆 NE					
6. SAMPLE F	REPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:				IY 🗆 N 🗹 NA 🗆 NE					
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTE	E:					IY 🗆 N 🗹 NA 🗆 NE					
8. CHAIN-OF	-CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:					IY 🗆 n 🗹 na 🗆 ne					
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IT:				IY 🗆 N 🗹 NA 🗆 NE					
	IJ: STORM W											
	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS		⊠s ⊡m I						
DETAILS:												
1. SWPPP U	PDATED AS NEEDED:	DATE OF LAST UP	DATE: <u>May 23, 2007</u>				IY 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE					
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:				ÍY □N □NA □NE					
3. POLLUTIC	3. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM IDENTIFIED:											
4. POLLUTIC	4. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM PROPERLY TRAINED:											
5. LIST OF P	5. LIST OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES:											
6. LIST OF P	OTENTIAL SOURCES A	AND PAST SPILLS ANI	D LEAKS:				IY 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE					
7. ALL NON-	STORM WATER DISCH	IARGES ARE AUTHOR	IZED:				ÍY □N □NA □NE					
8. LIST OF S	TRUCTURAL BMPS:						ÍY □N □NA □NE					
9. LIST OF N	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:										
10. BMPS PRO	OPERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:					IY 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE					
11. INSPECTI	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:					íy ⊡n ⊡na ⊡ne					

AFIN: 70-00040

Permit #: AR00000752

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET										
Date: 22 April 2008 Time: 14:12										
	-									
Head in Inc	Head in Inches: 8.3 Feet: 0.69									
Tupo & Siz	o of Drin	mony Flow	Magguran	ont Dovi						
Type & Siz			Measuren		ice.					
Name & Mo			Flow Mea	suremen	t Devi	ce:				
Isco 4210	Ultra So	onic								
Doto of loot	Colibro	tion of Soc	ondon / El			aambar	2007			
Date of last	Calibra		Condary FI		Je. De	cember	2007			
Recorded F	-low at I	Date & Tim	e Listed A	bove:	1.48 N	IGD		(Facility Flow Meter)		
								-		
Calculated							nonk E <sup>th</sup>			
(Flow is calcula	lea using n	iow charts in. <u>1</u>	SCO Open Cr		weasure	ment nanoi	<u> 000K-0</u>	Edition)		
% Error =	Recor	rded Value	- Calc	ulated V	alue	X 100				
/0 LIIUI =		Calcu	lated Valu	le		X 100				
	<u> </u>	4 40		4 47						
% Error =		1.48	1.47	1.47		X 100				
			1.77							
% Error =			X 100							
% Error – X 100										
% Error =			X 100							
% Error = <b>0.68</b> %										
Comments	Comments:									

ADEQ Water NPDES	Inspection	AFIN	l: 70-00040	Permit #: AR00000752					
DMR Calculation Check									
<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From _	2008 Year	Jan Month	01 Day	_ To	2008 Year	Jan Month	<u>31</u> Day	
Parameter Checked		5 Outfall 006	_						
	]	Loading Mass					ntration nthly		
	Mo. A	vg lbs/	/day	Mo. A	vg	mg/l	7-day Avg	g mg/l	
<b>Reported Value:</b>					700		740	)	
Calculated Value:					714			740	
Permit Value:					291		436	.5	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Not equal.</u>

The facility was using a arithmetic average not a Flow weighted average.

	Conc x Flow		= Weighted factor
Sample 1	660 mg/L x 0.073		= 48.18
Sample 2	740 mg/L x 0.1512		= 111.89
	<b>Sum of flow = 0.2242</b>	Sum of factor	= 160.07

160.07/0.2242 = 713.96 rounded to 714 mg/L



**CHEMICAL COMPANY** 

May 27, 2008

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality NPDES Enforcement Section 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

RE: NPDES Permit AR0000752 Discharge Monitoring Report for period ending January 31, 2008

Enclosed you will find the corrected Discharge Monitoring Report forms for period ending January 31, 2008. On April 22, 2008, John Lamb with the ADEQ performed a routine inspection at the El Dorado Chemical company facility. His inspection revealed the following:

1. The facility reported incorrect sample frequency on the January 08 DMR for outfalls 006 and 007.

**Corrective Action Taken:** The January 2008 DMR has been corrected to demonstrate compliance with the accurate sampling frequency.

2. The facility reported an incorrect Total Dissolved Solid monthly average on the January DMR for outfall 006. The facility used an arithmetic average to calculate the average, when the permit requires a flow weighted average be used.

**Corrective Action Taken:** The Total Dissolved Solid averages were recalculated using a flow weighted average calculation and corrected the January 08 DMR. EDCC has also put a policy in place to insure that these averages are calculated according to flow in the future.

3. The facility reported an incorrect Ammonia Nitrogen monthly average and daily maximum on the January 2008 DMR for Outfall 007. This was due to placing the numbers in the wrong locations on the DMR.

**Corrective Action Taken:** The January 08 DMR has been corrected and is being submitted with this correspondence.



## **CHEMICAL COMPANY**

4. The facility reported TDS excursions on Outfall 006 and 007 in the January 2008 DMR and submitted a Non Compliance Report (NCR) as required. However, the NCR had the incorrect concentrations listed for monthly average and daily maximum for both outfalls.

**Corrective Action Taken:** The January 08 DMR has been corrected and is being submitted with this correspondence.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact David Sartain at (870) 863-1484.

Sincerely,

David Sartain Environmental Technician

Enclosures

## NON-COMPLIANCE REPORT

Facility Name:	El Dorado Chemical Company			
Permit Number:	AR0000752		AFIN:	70-00040
Month / Year:	Jan-08			
Type of Violation	Permit Limit	Date of Violation	Cause of Violation	Corrective Action or Other Narrative
Outfall 006/ TDS Monthly Average and Daily Max Limit (714 mg/L avg. and 740 mg/L max)	291 mg/L Monthly Average and 436.5 mg/L Daily Max	01/01/08 - 01/31/08	EDCC has determined that the cause of the high levels was the old limestone that was in	
Outfall 007/ Zinc Monthly Average (230 ug/L)	115.62 ug/L Monthly Average	01/01/08 - 01/31/08	the ditch lines leading to outfalls 006 and 007. This limestone was put into place several years ago.	EDCC started August 20, 2007, removing the old limestone from the ditch lines and placing it in another area of the plant so the stormwater outfalls will not be affected by it. We are re-lining both outfalls 006 and 007 ditches with a granite based rock. We will continue to monitor this outfalls very close.
Outfall 007/ TDS Monthly Average and Daily Max Limit (910 mg/L max. and 779 mg/L avg.)	291 mg/L Monthly Average and 436.5 mg/L Daily Max	01/01/08 - 01/31/08	We had the limestone tested by an independent lab and it tested positive for metals.	Λ
INFORMATION SUBMIT RESPONSIBLE FOR OB ACCURATE AND COMPLETI INFORMATION, INCLUDING	ER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSON/ TED HEREIN; AND BASED ON MY INQUIRY O TAINING THE INFORMATION, I BELIEVE THE I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFIC/ 3 THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISON se statutes may include fines up to \$10,000 and months and 5 years.)	Signature / Date 5-27-08		