



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	Yr/Mo/Day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac. Type
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 1 7 3 3 11 12 0 8 1 0 2 9 17 18 P 19 S 20 1					
Remarks					
A F I N # 6 7 - 0 0 0 2 3 S E V I E R C O U N T Y					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	-----Reserved-----	
67 69	70 N	71 N	72 N	73	74 75 80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (<i>For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number</i>) City of DeQueen at the south end of South 9th Street	Entry Time/Date 0849 / 10-29-2008	Permit Effective Date March 1, 2008
	Exit Time/Date 1105 / 10-29-2008	Permit Expiration Date February 28, 2013
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231	Other Facility Data	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231 PO Box 730 DeQueen, AR 71832	Contacted Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

Permit	Flow Measurement	Operations & Maintenance	Sampling
Records/Reports	Self-Monitoring Program	Sludge Handling/Disposal	Pollution Prevention
Facility Site Review	Compliance Schedules	S Pretreatment	Multimedia
Effluent/Receiving Waters	Laboratory	Storm Water	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

* It is recommended that the facility include the exact sample location on the permits issued to it's industries.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Shan Lynch <i>Shan Lynch</i>	Agency/Office/Telephone AR Dept. of Environmental Quality / Dist. 12 / (870) 389-6970	Date November 3, 2008
Signature of Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers	Date

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality:	City of DeQueen POTW
AFIN Number:	67-00023
NPDES Permit Number(s):	AR0021733
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number:	AR0021733
Fact Sheet Preparation Date:	No Info. Fact sheet has no date.
Date of Last PCI/Audit:	PCI / September 21, 2007
Date of Last Annual Report:	August 29, 2008
Name of Inspector:	Shan Lynch
Date PCI Performed:	October 29, 2008
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:	Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231
Name and Title of Other Participants:	None
Number of IUs Visited:	1
Name(s) of IUs Visited:	Pilgrims Pride
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED	
NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.	
Form approved July 1989	

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? YES

2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits.
NONE

3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

Pollutant:	Frequency:	Requirement in		Comments:
		Permit:	Program:	
Metals:				
Influent:	<u>2/YR</u>	<u>2/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	
Effluent:	<u>2/YR</u>	<u>2/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	
Sludge:	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	
Organics:				
Influent:	<u>1/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	
Effluent:	<u>1/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	<u>1/YR</u>	
Sludge:	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective?
No upsets or inhibitions have occurred since the last PCI.

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM		
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes, as described in City Ordinance 647	
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? one	
3.	DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.	
	Yes	
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?	
	An expiration date:	Yes
	Discharge limitations:	Yes
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:	
	IU self-monitoring requirements:	All monitoring is performed by the City
	IU reporting requirements:	N/A
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:	
	Sample location:	* No sample location contained in permit
	Type of sample:	Yes
	Monitoring frequency:	Yes
	Bypass prohibition:	Yes
	Right of entry:	Yes
	Nontransferability:	Yes
	Revocation clause:	Yes
	Penalty Provisions:	Yes
	Slug load notification:	Yes
	Notification of process change:	Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW			
1. Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:			
	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:	
Sampling:			
categorical IUs	N/A	N/A	
other SIUs	7 DAYS / MONTH	1 / year	
Inspection:			
categorical IUs	N/A	N/A	
other SIUs	1/YR	1/YR	
2. HAS EACH SIU BEEN INSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE FREQUENCY REQUIRED BY THE APPROVED PROGRAM?			
			YES
3. Are inspections announced or unannounced?		UNANNOUNCED	
4. Are records kept of each inspection?		YES	
5. Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:			
Date and time of inspection:		YES	
Officials present:		NO	
Inspection of chemical storage areas:		YES	
Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and discharge location of these waste streams:		YES	
Inspection of the pretreatment facilities:		YES	
Review of self-monitoring records:		S-M performed by City	
Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures:		N/A	
Verification that approved analytical techniques are used:		N/A	
Verification of IU flow measurement (where required):		N/A	
6. Overall adequacy of inspection documentation:		SATISFACTORY	

--	--

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).	
	YES	
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)?	YES
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained?	YES
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms?	YES
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system?	YES
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records?	NO
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency?	YES - spare sampler available
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports?	All reports are directed through the WW Superintendent, who in turn reviews and files accordingly.
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS?	No self monitoring - all self monitoring performed by City
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS?	All response is conducted by the WW Superintendent

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	Appear satisfactory at this time.
F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE	
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes-New Program being developed & currently at ADEQ waiting on approval.
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? YES
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? YES
G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES	
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: None
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? NO
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: N/A

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry:	Pilgrims Pride
POTW Name:	City of DeQueen POTW
Industry Contacts:	Gary Matheson / Operator
Date and Time of Visit:	October 29, 2008 / 1031
Description of Manufacturing Process:	Chicken processing plant.
Sources of Process Wastewater:	Various washing, cleaning, and rinsing operations.
Categorical Industry?	NO
Basis for Limits:	N/A
Point of Application:	N/A
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:	Screening, chemical addition, DAF, pH adjustment, & flow measurement.
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:	Satisfactory.
Sampling Location and Equipment:	Satisfactory.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

		CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Shan Lynch	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of DeQueen	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0021733	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	October 29, 2008	DTIA
PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS		
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	1	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	0	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN