

December 10, 2008

Gary Mills, General Manager NLR Wastewater Utility PO Box 17898 North Little Rock, AR 72117

AFIN: 60-00274, NPDES Permit No: AR0020303, AR0020320, and AR0038288 Routine Compliance Inspection No Exposure Certifications ARR000067, ARR000175, and ARR000299

Dear Mr. Mills:

On December 3 and 4 2008, Lindsay Stoker and I performed a routine compliance inspection of the above referenced facilities in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. The inspections revealed the following:

**AR0020303 (Faulkner Lake Plant):** - No violations of this permit were noted at the time of the inspection. However, it appears based upon the spread sheet used by your laboratory, that monthly average is being calculated improperly. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective April 1, 2008, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. The DMR I checked for this inspection revealed no difference between a flow-weighted and normal monthly average. However, you should double check all the DMRs submitted by NLR since this permit became effective to ensure that monthly average has been properly reported. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet.

<u>ARR000067 (No Exposure) Faulkner Lake Plant</u> – During the inspection, a waste oil pan and empty containers were noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

AR0020320 (Five Mile Creek): - The following violations were noted:

- 1. Vegetation was growing in the floating baffle curtain wall and there was some erosion on the exterior levee. This is a violation of Part II, Section B, Paragraph b of the permit.
- 2. The monthly average concentration for BOD and TSS is not being properly calculated. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective February 1, 2007, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. In order to correct this violation, it will be necessary to recheck all DMRs submitted since February 1, 2007 and submit corrected DMRs where necessary. The April 2008 DMR that was checked for this inspection erroneously reported the monthly average for BOD and TSS. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet.

<u>ARR000175 (No Exposure) Five Mile Creek Plant</u> – During the inspection, old equipment was noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

AR0038288 (White Oak Bayou Plant): - The following violations were noted:

Mr. Gary Mills, NLR Wastewater Utility December 5, 2008 Page 2

- 1. The monthly average concentration for BOD and TSS is not being properly calculated. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective February 1, 2005, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. In order to correct this violation, it will be necessary to recheck all DMRs submitted since February 1, 2005 and submit corrected DMRs where necessary. The April 2008 DMR that was checked for this inspection erroneously report the monthly average for BOD and TSS. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet.
- 2. The plant continues to receive significant hydraulic loads. The design capacity of this facility is 4.25 mgd. The April 2008 DMR that was reviewed for this inspection revealed the average flow for the month was 6.557 mgd or 150% of design. The peak flow during the month was 17.734 mgd or over 400% of design. Inflow and infiltration is a significant problem for this facility.

**ARR000299 (No Exposure) White Oak Bayou Plant** – During the inspection, old equipment and wastewater solids were noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Enforcement Section of this Department. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct the items noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by **December 29, 2008**.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at <u>benson@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or 501-683-0827.

Sincerely,

Dennis Benn

Dennis Benson District 9 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch

															Form Approved							
۲	EPA																A	OMI	3 No.	2040-0 pires 7-	003	5
		UNIT	ED STA	TES ENVI				TECTIO	N AGEI	NCY												
	NPDE	<b>5 (</b>	Com		shingtor ANC			pec	ctio	n l	Repor	rt										
				1							stem Cod											
	Transaction Code			NPDI	ES						Y	r/Mo/l	Day		•	Ins	рес. Тур	e	Inspe	ctor	Fac.	Туре
1	<b>N</b> 2 <b>5</b> 3 <b>A R</b>	0	0 2	0	3	0	3	11	12	0	8 1	2	0	4	17	18	С	19	9 <b>S</b>	20	1	
	P U L A S K	I	0	:   o	U	N	Т	Y	Remar	`ks		1			1 1			I	T	I	1	1
	Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI QA														Reserved					-		
	67 69 70 4 71 N 72 N 73 74 75																	80				
	Section B: Facility Data																					
incl	Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)       Entry Time/Date       Permit Effective Date         NL R Wastewater Utility – Faulkner Lake Plant, 7400 Bauscum Pike NL R       09:25 am on 12/04/08       4/1/2008																					
NLI	NLR Wastewater Utility – Faulkner Lake Plant- 7400 Bauscum Pike, NLR       Exit Time/Date         11:20 am on 12/04/08												Permit 3/31/20		ation I	Date						
	Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)     C												Oth	Other Facility Data								
	Emric Roll, Superintendent 501-945-7186																					
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Gary Mills Contacted																						
	R Wastewater Utility Box 17898												No									
	th Little Rock, AR 72117 -945-7186										Yes		NoL	<u>v</u>								
			(S	= Satis							uring Insp sfactory, N			uated	)							
S	Permit	S	Flow	Measu	ireme	nt		-	S	Op	Operations & Maintenance N			Ν	N Sampling							
Μ	Records/Reports	S	Self-	Monito	ring I	Prog	ram		S	Slu	dge Handl	ling/D	ispos	al		Ν	Pollutio	on Pre	venti	on		
S	Facility Site Review	S	Con	pliance	Sche	dules	8		Ν	Pre	etreatment					Ν	Multim	edia				
Ν	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S		oratory					U		rm Water					Ν	Other:					
	1. The permittee has filed a "				•		0				tach additi O. During					/	otentially	expo	sed to	rainfa	ll wei	re
	noted.		_								-		_			_		_				
	2. The DMR for April 2008 v concentration for this facil	ity is	being	calculat	ted as	a flo	w weig															
	the permit when the new p	ermi	t becar	ne effec	tive 0	4/01/	/08															
Nai	me(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s						ency/C										Date	10				
Dennis Benson Dennis Benson AR Dept. of Environmental ( (501) 683-0827/(501) 682-0910														12/04/0								
Sig	Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone a									hone and Fax Numbers					Date							

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	🗹 s 🗆 m 🗇 u 🗆 na 🗠 ne
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	🗹 y 🗆 n 🗆 na 🗇 ne
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	
DETAILS:	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🖾ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	ØS OM OU ONA ONE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED: Grit removal was not being operated, this is normal mode of operations	
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	Øs 🗆m 🗇u 🖾na 🗇ne
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	Øs □m □u □na □ne
DETAILS:	
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	MY 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	🗹 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗇 NE
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	🗹 S 🗆 M 🗇 U 🗆 NA 🗆 NE
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE:	
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	Øs 🗆 m 🗇 u 🗆 na 🗠 ne
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :	
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy 🛛 n 🖾 na 🖾 ne
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	Øy 🛛 n 🖾 na 🖾 ne
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED >10% OF THE TIME:	
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	
a. LAB NAME:	
b. LAB ADDRESS:	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED:	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	DY DN DNA ØNE
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	Dy On Ona Øne
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	DY DN DNA ØNE

SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS													
BASED ON	VISUAL OBS	ERVATIONS C	DNLY				U 🗆 NA 🗹 NE						
DETAILS:	No flow at the t	time of inspection	on, permittee w	as bringing cla	rifier on line								
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER						
SECTION	SECTION H: SLUDGE DISPOSAL												
SLUDGE D	SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS												
DETAILS:	DETAILS:												
1. SLUDGE M	1. SLUDGE MANAGEMENT ADEQUATE TO MAINTAIN EFFLUENT QUALITY:												
2. SLUDGE R													
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST,	AGRICULTURAL, PUE	BLIC CONTACT SITE):								
	I: SAMPLIN				1								
	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	S			U 🗆 NA 🗹 NE						
DETAILS:													
	OBTAINED THIS INSP					ΠY	ON ONA ONE						
2. TYPE OF S	AMPLE: GRAB:		IETHOD: FREQUE	NCY:									
3. SAMPLES	PRESERVED:												
4. FLOW PRC	PORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:											
	BTAINED FROM FACI												
	EPRESENTATIVE OF		E OF DISCHARGE:										
	PLIT WITH PERMITTE												
	CUSTODY PROCEDU												
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IT:			LIY							
	J: STORM V	-		-									
	ATER MANAG		-										
-	No exposure A			posed to rainfal	<u> </u>								
	PDATED AS NEEDED:												
	INCLUDING ALL DISCH		JE WATERS:										
			<b>.</b>										
	N PREVENTION TEAM		).										
	<ul> <li>5. LIST OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES:</li> <li>6. LIST OF POTENTIAL SOURCES AND PAST SPILLS AND LEAKS:</li> </ul>												
	STORM WATER DISCH												
	RUCTURAL BMPS:	IANGES ARE AUTHUR											
		00.											
	10. BMPS PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:       Image: Constraint of the second												
II. INSPECTIC	NIG CONDUCTED AS												

### FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

No flow at the time of the inspection, permittee was bringing clarifier on line.

Date: 12/04/08 Tir	me: <b>09:50 am</b>		
Head in Inches:	Feet:		
Type & Size of Primary Flow M	leasurement Device:		
Name & Model of Secondary F Milltronics OCMII27828		ce:	
Recorded Flow at Date & Time	Listed Above:		(Facility Flow Meter)
Calculated Flow at Date & Time (Flow is calculated using flow charts in: ISC		ement Handbook-5 <sup>th</sup>	Edition)
% Error = Recorded Value Calcula	- Calculated Value ated Value	X 100	
% Error =	-	X 100	
% Error =	X 100		
% Error =	X 100		
% Error =	%		
Comments:			

### **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From	08	04	01	То	08	04	30		
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day		
Parameter Checked:		BOD	_							
		Loading				Concer	ntration			
		Mass				Mor	nthly			
	Mo.	Avg lbs/	'day	Mo. A	vg	mg/l	7-day Avg	mg/l		
<b>Reported Value:</b>		556.4			5.6		6.8			
Calculated Value:		556.4			5.6		6.8			
Permit Value:		3002.0		30			45			

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

Although, monthly average value is equal above, it does appear from the permittee's spread sheet that the monthly average is flow weighted. It did not make any difference this month. However, this method is no longer appropriate.

### **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From	08	04	01	То	08	04	30			
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day			
Parameter Checked:		TSS	_								
		Loading Mass		<b>Concentration</b> <b>Monthly</b>							
	Mo.	Avg lbs/	/day	Mo. A	vg		7-day Avg mg/l				
<b>Reported Value:</b>		637.1			6.4		7.2				
Calculated Value:			6.4		7.2						
Permit Value:		3002.0			30		45				

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

Although, monthly average value is equal above, it does appear from the permittee's spread sheet that the monthly average is flow weighted. It did not make any difference this month. However, this method is no longer appropriate.

### NPDES Compliance Inspection Report Further Explanation

### 042998



### NORTH LITTLE ROCK WASTE WATER UTILITY

December 22, 2008

Water Division Arkansas Department of Environment Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317 ATTN: Mr. Dennis Benson

RE: AFIN: 60-00274, NPDES Permit Numbers AR0020303, AR0020320, AR0038288 No Exposure Certifications ARR000067, ARR000175, ARR000299

The following replies and/or corrective actions pertain to the "violations" noted during the annual inspection by Dennis Benson:

### AR0020303 - Faulkner Lake Plant

**Finding:** No violations of this permit were noted at the time of the inspection. However, it appears based upon the spread sheet used by your laboratory, that monthly average is being calculated improperly. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective April 1, 2008, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. The DMR I checked for this inspection revealed no difference between a flow-weighted and normal monthly average. However, you should double check all the DMRs submitted by NLR since this permit became effective to ensure that monthly average has been properly reported. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet.

**Reply:** We have recalculated the BOD and TSS values for April, 2008 to date (see attached sheet). We will resubmit the corrected DMRs for this time frame. The laboratory has revised the formula to reflect the changes made for monthly averages.

### ARR000067 (No Exposure) Faulkner Lake Plant

**Finding:** During the inspection, a waste oil pan and empty containers were noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

**Reply:** The maintenance department will clean the area and relocate the waste oil collection area to an area inside a shed that is protected from the rain. Additionally, a

7400 BAUCUM PIKE P.O. BOX 17898 NORTH LITTLE ROCK, AR 72117-0898

PHONE (501) 945-7186 FAX (501) 945-2367

### AR0038288 White Oak Bayou Plant

**Finding:** The monthly average concentration for BOD and TSS is not being properly calculated. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective February 1, 2005, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. In order to correct this violation, it will be necessary to recheck all DMRs submitted since February 1, 2005, and submit corrected DMRs where necessary. The April, 2008 DMR that was checked for this inspection erroneously report the monthly average for BOD and TSS. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet. **Reply:** We have recalculated the BOD and TSS values from February, 2005, to present (see attached sheets). We will resubmit corrected DMRs for this time frame.

**Finding:** The plant continues to receive significant hydraulic loads. The design capacity of this facility is 4.25 mgd. The April 2008 DMR that was reviewed for this inspection revealed the average flow for the month was 6.557 mgd or 150% of design. The peak flow during the month was 17.734 mgd or over 400% of design. Inflow and infiltration is a significant problem for this facility.

**Reply:** We have contracted Marlar Engineering to design the plant modifications which would double the capacity to 8.50 mgd. Plans and specifications were submitted to ADEQ on August 4, 2008, and approvals have been received from the Arkansas Department of Health and the Arkansas Natural Resources Commission. The project is ready to advertise for construction. However, Mr. Mo Shaffi with ADEQ at a meeting on November 19, 2008, changed the previously agreed upon design requirements. The Utility has retained legal counsel and is seeking resolution.

Inflow and Infiltration will be addressed in the scope of our Master Plan which is being conducted by CDM Engineering. The scope of the Master Plan includes flow monitoring, hydraulic system modeling, CMOM self assessment, and a capital improvements plan which are all scheduled to be complete by January, 2010.

### AR000299 (No Exposure) White Oak Bayou Plant

**Finding:** During the inspection, old equipment and wastewater solids were noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a stormwater pollution prevention plan.

**Reply:** The rags that were lying on the wooden pallets by the fence line were immediately removed and discarded. The wooden pallets were staged for disposal after installation of new aerators. The pallets have been removed and the old equipment will be removed by January 9, 2008.

Due to the holidays and vacations, the January timeframes were necessary for completion of some corrective actions.

container tank will be constructed for the oil collection tank to sit inside to contain any spills that might occur. This project will be completed by January 30, 2009.

### AR0020320 Five Mile Creek Plant

**Finding:** Vegetation was growing in the floating baffle curtain wall and there was some erosion on the exterior levee. This is a violation of Part II, Section B, Paragraph b of the permit.

**Reply:** The vegetation was sprayed with herbicide several weeks ago and the dead vegetation had not been removed prior to the plant inspection. We will remove the vegetation from the floating baffle curtain by January 16, 2009.

**Finding:** The monthly average concentration for BOD and TSS is not being properly calculated. The previous permit for this facility required monthly average to be calculated as a flow weighted average. When the permit was renewed, effective February 1, 2007, the definition in Part IV, 7 of the permit dropped the flow weighting requirement for the calculation of monthly average. In order to correct this violation, it will be necessary to recheck all DMRs submitted since February 1, 2007, and submit corrected DMRs where necessary. The April 2008 DMR that was checked for this inspection erroneously reported the monthly average for BOD and TSS. Your laboratory should take the flow weighting for monthly average out of the formula in their spread sheet. **Reply:** We have recalculated the BOD and TSS values for February, 2007, and 2008 to date (see attached sheets). We will resubmit the corrected DMRs for this time frame. The laboratory has revised the formula to reflect the changes made for monthly averaging.

### AR000175 (No Exposure) Five Mile Creek Plant

**Finding:** During the inspection, old equipment was noted to be potentially exposed to rainfall. It will be necessary to submit a corrective action plan to remove any waste product from exposure to rainfall or you must develop and implement a storm water pollution prevention plan.

**Reply:** All old equipment will be discarded or removed from the area and stored properly by January 9, 2009.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at <u>RRoll@northlittlerock.ar.gov</u> or (501) 945-7186.

Sincerely,

. . A

Emie J. Roll

Emric F. Roll Superintendent of Operations

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch Marc Wilkins, Asst. Director NLRWWU

# FAULKNER LAKE DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2008

NOVEMBER	OCTOBER	SEPTEMBER	AUGUST	JULY	JUNE	MAY	APRIL	BOD FL(
11.7	9.9	7.1	9.8	6.3	4.7	6.0	5.6	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED
11.6	9.1	7.3	9.7	6.2	4.6	5.7	5.6	BOD STRAIGHT AVG
7.9	10.2	6.5	8.0	4.0	4.0	3.4	6.4	TSS FLOW WEIGHTED
7.6	9.0	7.2	0. СЛ	4.0	3.9	3.5	6.4	TSS STRAIGHT AVG

4

-

## WHITE OAK DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2005

DECEMBER 24.6 25.2 15.0	20.3	22.0	19.6	24.7 23.1	19.4 20.0	16.8 16.5	21.5	27.0 25.7	24.4 22.9	20.2	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED BOD STRAIGHT AVG TSS FLOW WEIGHTED
5.0 14.5											OW WEIGHTED TSS STRAIGHT AVG

ž

.

## WHITE OAK DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2006

NOVEMBER         24.6         23.6         18.1           DECEMBER         23.2         22.9         21.3	22.8	24.7	26.6 26.6	22.7	19.5 19.5	16.5 17.2	38.8 38.0	25.1 22.7	27.1	28.2	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED BOD STRAIGHT AVG TSS FLOW WEIGHTE
18.1	23.0	24.5	34.4	29.9	28.8	18.8	47.0	24.9	20.5	12.8	
17.2	20.5	26.9	33.6	30.0	28.3	19.5	45.6	21.9	19.9	12.0	TSS STRAIGHT AVG

WHITE OAK DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2007

NOVEMBER 21.9 23.1	20.9	3ER 19.2		31.3	28.7	25.8	32.5	29.6	Y 24.5	15.0	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED BOD STRAIGHT AVG
23.3	13.2	27.6	25.1	28.3	38.2	26.6	19.9	19.2	15.0	9.2	TSS FLOW WEIGHTED
22.7	12.2	25.1	25.4	26.8	37.4	28.0	15.2	19.4	14.9	9.2	TSS STRAIGHT AVG

. .

WHITE OAK DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2008

ע					JUNE						BOD FLOW WEIGHTED
26.5	24.8	21.8	41.4	30.3	30.2	24.3	16.7	18.1	22.8	22.8	GHTED
26.3	26.1	24.9	32.6	30.2	31.2	24.8	18.2	16.8	21.7	23.5	BOD STRAIGHT AVG
30.2	28.8	37.9	25.8	19.6	29.6	23.6	11.4	17.9	25.5	22.4	TSS FLOW WEIGHTED
29.3	29.3	39.8	25.8	19.5	30.8	23.5	11.3	17.2	25.4	22.1	TSS STRAIGHT AVG

. .

.

FIVE MILE DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2007

42. 4 ]

BOD FLO	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED	BOD STRAIGHT AVG	TSS FLOW WEIGHTED	TSS STRAIGHT AVG
FEBUARY	18.2	17.7	17.3	16.9
MARCH	18.5	18.4	20.3	20.4
APRIL	31.0	29.7	49.1	47.0
MAY	23.5	23.3	40.7	41.0
JUNE	16.1	16.1	42.2	42.0
JULY	13.3	13.1	30.7	31.0
AUGUST	10.0	10.0	43.6	43.7
SEPTEMBER	9.7	9.8	29.5	29.3
OCTOBER	12.5	11.4	35.2	33.7
NOVEMBER	20.8	19.1	36.7	35.9
DECEMBER	19.2	19.0	20.7	21.6

FIVE MILE DATA FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION 2008

BOD FL	BOD FLOW WEIGHTED	BOD STRAIGHT AVG	TSS FLOW WEIGHTED	TSS STRAIGHT AVG
JANUARY	12.1	12.2	20.7	20.9
FEBUARY	16.4	16.4	19.1	18.7
MARCH	11.5	11.1	11.1	11.2
APRIL	10.2	10.1	18.2 DID SHOW 12	18.5
MAY	27.1	25.9	39.7	39.0
JUNE	20.4	20.4	34.2	33.6
JULY	15.8	15.9	19.4	18.9
AUGUST	20.8	18.6	21.8	22.2
SEPTEMBER	16.7	16.0	25.9	28.3
OCTOBER	9.5	9.4	32.1	31.0
NOVEMBER	24.1	23.2	17.0	16.9

### 12-29-08

### **SSO Inspection Checklist**

### Collection System Description Faulkner Lake Plant AR20303

1. Describe the collection system.

### 2. Population of service area/number of residents/commercial connections

Residential = 11,600 Commercial = 1,601

### 3. Feet of sewer

....

Estimated 334 Miles +/-

### 4. Age of system

Plant constructed in 1978. Collection system dates as far back as 1900.

5. Does the collection system experience problems during dry or wet weather? Describe or provide documentation.

### 6. Agency notification procedure: What information is reported? Provide documentation. SSO summaries are submitted monthly with the plant DMRs. All SSOs are reported to ADEQ via FAX within 24 hours of occurrence.

Page 1 of 2

### **SSO Inspection Checklist**

### **Pump Stations**

. .

1. How many pump stations are in the system? How many have backup power sources?

or Personal

There are 14 pump stations in this basin. No backup power sources as yet. (See item 3 below)

2. How often are pump/lift stations inspected and monitored? If a SCADA system is used, what parameters are monitored?

All pump stations are monitored 7 days/week. No SCADA system exists. All pump stations have audible/visual alarms.

3. What provisions have been made for emergencies? Portable pump system, mobile generator, 3 Vacon trucks

### **Satellite Systems**

- Does the collection system receive flow from satellite systems? No.
- Are there any known problems with the satellite collection system (hydraulic flow, WW concentration, ordinances, etc.)?
   N/A
- Who is responsible for enforcement and response? N/A

### Performance Indicators (Collection & Satellite System)

- 1. Provide a list of sanitary sewer overflows that occurred in the last 5 years, including date, volume (gallons), location, duration, cause, and response. See attached.
- Are all sanitary sewer overflows reported, regardless of size? Yes.
- How many sanitary sewer overflows have reached "waters of the US"? Provide documentation. None to our knowledge.

Page 2 of 2

### 12-29-08

### **SSO Inspection Checklist**

### Collection System Description White Oak Bayou Plant AR38288

1. Describe the collection system.

### 2. Population of service area/number of residents/commercial connections

Residential = 6,270

Commercial = 699

 Feet of sewer Estimated 120 miles +/-

### 4. Age of system

Plant was constructed in 1977-1978 time frame. Collection system dates back to 1929.

5. Does the collection system experience problems during dry or wet weather? Describe or provide documentation.

### 6. Agency notification procedure: What information is reported? Provide documentation.

SSO summaries are submitted monthly with the plant DMRs. All SSOs are reported to ADEQ via FAX within 24 hours of occurrence.

### **Pump Stations**

-

1. How many pump stations are in the system? How many have backup power sources?

There are 16 pump stations in this basin. No backup power sources as yet. (See item 3 below)

2. How often are pump/lift stations inspected and monitored? If a SCADA system is used, what parameters are monitored?

All pump stations are monitored 7 days/week. No SCADA system exists. All pump stations have audible/visual alarms.

3. What provisions have been made for emergencies? Portable pump system, mobile generator, 3 Vacon trucks

### **Satellite Systems**

- Does the collection system receive flow from satellite systems? No.
- Are there any known problems with the satellite collection system (hydraulic flow, WW concentration, ordinances, etc.)?
   N/A
- 3. Who is responsible for enforcement and response? N/A

### Performance Indicators (Collection & Satellite System)

- 1. Provide a list of sanitary sewer overflows that occurred in the last 5 years, including date, volume (gallons), location, duration, cause, and response. See attached.
- Are all sanitary sewer overflows reported, regardless of size? Yes.
- How many sanitary sewer overflows have reached "waters of the US"? Provide documentation. None to our knowledge.

Page 2 of 2

### 12-29-08

### **SSO Inspection Checklist**

Collection System Description Five Mile Creek Plant AR20320

1. Describe the collection system.

### 2. Population of service area/number of residents/commercial connections

Residential = 11,483 Commercial = 935

3. Feet of sewer

. . .

Estimated 210 Miles +/-

### 4. Age of system

Plant was constructed in 1965. Collection system dates back prior to that.

5. Does the collection system experience problems during dry or wet weather? Describe or provide documentation.

### 6. Agency notification procedure: What information is reported? Provide documentation. SSO summaries are submitted monthly with the plant DMRs. All SSOs are reported

to ADEQ via FAX within 24 hours of occurrence.

### **Pump Stations**

1. How many pump stations are in the system? How many have backup power sources?

There are 13 pump stations in this basin. No backup power sources as yet. (See item 3 below)

 How often are pump/lift stations inspected and monitored? If a SCADA system is used, what parameters are monitored? All pump stations are monitored 7 days/week. No SCADA system exists.

All pump stations are monitored 7 days/week. No SCADA system exis

3. What provisions have been made for emergencies? Portable pump system, mobile generator, 3 Vacon trucks

### **Satellite Systems**

- Does the collection system receive flow from satellite systems? No.
- Are there any known problems with the satellite collection system (hydraulic flow, WW concentration, ordinances, etc.)?
   N/A
- 3. Who is responsible for enforcement and response? N/A

### Performance Indicators (Collection & Satellite System)

- 1. Provide a list of sanitary sewer overflows that occurred in the last 5 years, including date, volume (gallons), location, duration, cause, and response. See attached.
- Are all sanitary sewer overflows reported, regardless of size? Yes.
- How many sanitary sewer overflows have reached "waters of the US"? Provide documentation. None to our knowledge.

Page 2 of 2



January 9, 2009

Gary Mills North Little Rock Wastewater Utility P.O. Box 17898 North Little Rock, AR 72117-0898

### RE: Response to Inspection—AFIN 60-00274 NPDES Permits AR0020303, AR0020320, and AR0038288 No Exposure Certifications ARR000067, ARR000175, and ARR000299

Dear Mr. Mills:

ADEQ has received your response to the December 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup>, 2008 routine compliance inspection of your facilities by our District Field Inspectors Dennis Benson and Lindsay Stoker. We have also received the corrected DMRs with the recalculated BOD and TSS values. Assuming you complete the cleanup and removal of waste products from exposure to rainfall as described in your letter, you remove the vegetation from the floating baffle curtain, and you follow through with the plan to address Inflow and Infiltration pursuant to CAO LIS 08-059, your response to the discrepancies identified during the visit is adequate.

The Department will keep the inspection and response on file and will consider them as required by the Pollution Control and Ecology Commission Regulation No. 7, Civil Penalties. This regulation requires ADEQ to consider the past history of your company and how expeditiously the violations were addressed in determining any civil penalty that may be necessary for any violations.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If we need further information, we will contact you. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me by phone at 501-682-0632 or e-mail at <u>robertsa@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. In any written correspondence to this Department, please refer to NPDES Permit AR0020303 and AFIN 60-00274.

Sincerely,

ane Ko

Anne Roberts Enforcement Administrator Enforcement Branch Water Division