≎EPA				Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003		
UNITED STATES ENVIRONM Washington	ENTAL PROTECTION n, D.C. 20460	I AGENC	Y			
NPDES Compliance	e Inspec	tion	Report			
	Section A: Natior	nal Data	a System Coding			
Transaction Code NPDES 1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 1 7	3 3 11	12	Yr/Mo/Day 1 1 0 2 1 7 17	Inspec. Type Inspector Fac. Type 18 P 19 S 20 1		
	A	Remarks				
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation R 67 69 70 N	ating 1 71	BI N	QA 72 N 73 74 75	Reserved 80		
	Section I	3: Facil	ity Data			
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users disc include POTW name and NPDES permit number)	charging to POTW	, also	Entry Time/Date	Permit Effective Date		
			0813 / 2-17-2011	March 1, 2008		
<u>City of DeQueen</u> at the south end of South 9 th Street			Exit Time/Date	Permit Expiration Date		
			1125 / 2-17-2011	February 28, 2013		
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Nun Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231	nber(s)			Other Facility Data		
	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Contacted					
Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231 PO Box 730 DeQueen AB 71832						
DeQueen, AR 71832						
			l During Inspection satisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)			
Permit Flow Measureme			Operations & Maintenance	Sampling		
Records/Reports Self-Monitoring I	Program		Sludge Handling/Disposal	Pollution Prevention		
Facility Site Review Compliance Sche	dules	S	Pretreatment	Multimedia		
Effluent/Receiving Waters Laboratory			Storm Water	Other:		
Section D: Summary	of Findings/Com	ments ((Attach additional sheets if necessary)			
No problems noted at this time.						
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Shan Lynch	Agency/Office/			Date		
Shan Lynch	ADEQ / Dist. 7	/ (870)	389-6970	February 18, 2011		
Signature of Reviewer	Agency/Office/	Phone :	and Fax Numbers	Date		

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of DeQueen POTW
AFIN Number: 67-00023
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0021733
Due men The shed under NDDEC Deumit Numberst AD0021722
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0021733
Fact Sheet Preparation Date: No Info. Fact sheet has no date.
Date of Last PCI/Audit: PCI / October 29, 2008
Date of Last Annual Report: Reporting period of August 2009 to
July 2010
Name of Inspector: Shan Lynch
Date PCI Performed: February 17, 2011
Neme Mitle and Melenhane Number of Regility Depresentations:
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:
Mike Sims / Wastewater Superintendent / (870) 642-5231
Name and Title of Other Participants: None
Number of IUs Visited: 1
Name(s) of IUs Visited: Pilgrims Pride
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED
NOME, AND OUR METAN DETAMED TH ALL GADE AND DOLD DETAM THE CAMED
NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT
TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD
RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

AFIN: 67-00023

Permit #: AR0021733

Α.	INDUSTRIAL USER SUP	RVEY				
1.		ant Industrial Users (S				
	been added or deleted from the program since the last audit					
	or inspection. No SIU's have been added or deleted since					
	the last inspection	n				
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA be	een notified of these o	changes? N/A			
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIAL	L USER SURVEY BEEN KEPI	UPDATED? YES			
	1					
4.	—	re being used to update	=			
		t ups to the city and t	che local newspaper.			
	Word of mouth, Cit	y Hall correspondence				
-						
5.		ignificant Industrial U				
		ed by the POTW. (This				
	greater than or ed	qual to the answer to o	question 6) 1			
6.	Number of Category	ical Industrial Users:	0			
0.	Number of Categori	icai industriai osers.	0			
7.	How does the POTW	determine the appropri	ate categorical			
	How does the POTW determine the appropriate categoricalstandards to apply to an IU?Code of Federal Register and					
	ADEQ.					
8.	List all categorio	cal IUs discharging und	ler the approved (such			
	program. Include	the name of the IU, th	ne regulatory category			
	as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating,					
	zinc plating, etc.	.) Additional listings	s can be made in the			
	comments section if necessary.					
Nam	e of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:			
NON) 1				

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.	IS THE PO BY ADEQ (LOCAL LIMITS WH	IICH HAVE BEEN	APPROVED
2.	Describe NONE	any apparent	problems with	the local lim	nits.
3.	3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?				
			Requirem	ent in	
Pol	lutant:	Frequency:	Permit:		Comments:
	als: fluent:	2/YR	2/YR	* not stated	
Ef	fluent:	2/YR	2/YR	* not stated	
	Sludge:	N/A	N/A	N/A	
-	anics: fluent:	1/YR	1/YR	* not stated	
Ef	fluent:	1/YR	1/YR	* not stated	
	Sludge:	N/A	N/A	N/A	

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? No upsets or inhibitions have occurred since the last PCI.

Permit #: AR0021733

С.	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM				
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit,				
	agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes, as				
	described in City Ordinance 647				
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been				
	issued? one				
3.	DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL				
	DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF				
	EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND				
	THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.				
	Yes				
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?				
	An expiration date: Yes				
	Discharge limitations: Yes				
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the				
	Permits contain:				
	IU self-monitoring requirements: All monitoring is performed by				
	the City				
	IU reporting requirements: N/A				
_					
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard				
	conditions are contained in the control documents:				
	Sample location: Yes				
	Type of sample: Yes				
	Monitoring frequency: Yes				
	Bypass prohibition: Yes				
	Right of entry: Yes				
	Nontransferability: Yes Revocation clause: Yes				
	Notification of process change: Yes				

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	ADEQ	Water NPDES Ir	nspection
-----------------------------	------	----------------	-----------

D.	MONITORING OF IUS	Е	Y POTW		
1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program				
	requirement below:				
			Current frequency:		Program Requirement:
	Sampling:				
	categorical IUs		N/A		N/A
	other SIUs		7 DAYS / MONTH		1 / year
	Inspection:				
	categorical IUs		N/A		N/A
	other SIUs		1/YR		1/YR
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN	IN	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT	THE	FREQUENCY
	REQUIRED BY THE AP	PR	OVED PROGRAM? YES		
			I		
3.	Are inspections and	no	unced or unannounced?		UNANNOUNCED
4.	Are records kept of	£	each inspection? YI	ES	
- •					
5.	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of				
5.	the following:				
	Date and time of in	าร	pection: YES		
	Officials present:		YES		
	Inspection of chemical storage areas: YES				
		- 0			
	Description of reg	1]	ated processes, categor:	ica	1 waste streams, and
			f these waste streams:	YE	
	Inspection of the p	or	etreatment facilities:	YE	S
	Review of self-mon:	it	oring records: S-M per	cfo	rmed by City
	Observation of TU	se	lf-monitoring procedures	s:	N/A
			Freedater		
	Verification that a	ลุก	proved analytical techn	ian	es are used: N/A
		~		1 0	
	Verification of TI	f	low measurement (where a	rea	uired): N/A
		-		4	
6.	Overall adequacy of	F	inspection documentation	n:	SATISFACTORY
0.	overant adequacy 0.	-			SHIDIACIONI

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN
	THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL
	POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).
	YES
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved
	methods (40 CFR 136)? YES
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly
۶.	maintained? YES
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody
	forms? YES
11	Ta the compline leastion were contative of the discharge to
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? YES
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? YES
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? YES
	- spare sampler available
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports,
	progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring
	reports? All reports are directed through the WW
	Superintendent, who in turn reviews and files accordingly.
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT
	ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND
	TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS?
	No self monitoring - all self monitoring performed by City
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND
	TO ALL VIOLATIONS?
	All response is conducted by the WW Superintendent

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection

17.	What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?
	A phone call or a visit followed by a NOV. The NOV contains
	specific steps to correct the problem and meet the
	compliance schedule. Re-sampling is done at the normal
	7 days/week.
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR
	403.12(b)?: N/A
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file,
	and indicate which of the following items can be identified
	in the BMR:
	Name and address: N/A
	Other environmental permits held: N/A
	Description of operations: N/A
	·
	Process flow diagrams: N/A
	Flow measurements: N/A
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: N/A
	Certification of compliance by the IU: N/A
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling
	procedures: Procedures seem to be satisfactory.

뇬.	Enforcement	_					
1.		הזיחי	IMPLEMENTED ENFO	סר		יםם	
±•			DDRESS EVERY IU V				
			D REQUIREMENTS?			.CA	T MEIN T
	SIANDARDS	AN	D REQUIREMENTS:	I	69		
2.	How doed t	ho	POTW respond to	+.	he following vio	1 2	tiona?
Δ.	now does t	110	FOIM LESPOIL CO	L.	The for towning vio	'ia	
	Effluent l	im	itations: Phone	Ca	all, visit, and t	the	n NOV which
			increased surchar				
			: IU not require			rer	orts
				_	4	- 1	
	Unpermitte	d	discharges: Visi	t	followed by a NC	ov.	,
	_		- 1		_		
	Slug loads	0	r spills: Visit	fc	llowed by a NOV.	•	
3.	IS THE LIS	Т	OF SIGNIFICANT VI	0	LATORS PUBLISHED	B	Y THE POTW
	DEVELOPED	Ι	N ACCORDANCE WITH	Ŧ	EPA REGION VI CR	IT	ERIA FOR
	SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22,						
	1985)? N/A						
4.			s which have met				
	Violator w	it	hin the last 12 m	10	nths, and descri	be	the
			action which has		=		
			is required, ple				
	has been p	la	ced on an enforce	ea.	ble compliance s	ch	edule.
			Type of		Enforcement		
	Name:		Violation:		Action:		Deadline:
			N/A		N/A		N/A
N/A	L						
N/A	L						
N/A	<u> </u>						
N/A							
N/A							
N/A							
N/A							

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	Appear satisfactory at this time.
F.	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that
	presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes-New
	Program being developed & currently at ADEQ waiting on
	approval.
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? YES
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved
	program? YES
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the
	jurisdictional area of the POTW: None
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs
	located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other
	cities? NO
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant
	Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in
	its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: N/A

н.	H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS									
No	violations	were	noted	at	the	time	of	the	inspection.	

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Pilgrims Pride								
POTW Name: City of DeQueen POTW								
POIW Name: City of Degueen Forw								
Industry Contacts: Joseph Rosas / Operator								
Industry contacts. Doseph Kosas / Operator								
Date and Time of Visit: February 17, 2011 / 0857								
Description of Manufacturing Process: Chicken processing plant.								
Sources of Process Wastewater: Various washing, cleaning, and								
rinsing operations.								
Categorical Industry? NO								
Basis for Limits: N/A								
Basis for Limits: N/A								
Point of Application: N/A								
TOTHE OF APPTICACION. N/A								
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:								
Screening, chemical addition, DAF, pH adjustment, & flow								
measurement.								
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:								
Unsatisfactory spill prevention as evidenced by a DAF skimmings								
spill. DAF skimmings are transferred via pump to an awaiting								
transport tanker truck. Observation of fullness is made by								
visually inspecting the inside of the tank. An overflow had								
recently occurred. Ind. SW inspection shows results of spill								
Sampling Location and Equipment: Satisfactory.								

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE					
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	NSPECTOR'S NAME: Shan Lynch							
NAME OF FACILITY:	City	of DeQueen						
		R0021733						
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	NPID							
DATE OF PCI:	DATE OF PCI: February 17, 2011							
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENIS						
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICA	NT IUS (SIUS):	1	SIUS					
NUMBER OF CATEGORIC	AL IUS:	0	CIUS					
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR POTW:	INSPECTED BY	0	NOIN					
		0						
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM					
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT	NONCOMPLIANCE							
WITH STANDARDS OR R		0	PSNC					
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH SELF-MONITORIN		0	MSNC					
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT								
WITH SELF-MONITORIN INSPECTED OR SAMPLE		0	SNIN					
THOLECIED ON DAMPIE	DT EOTM.	v	DITIN					