

June 22, 2011

James Sanders, Mayor City of Blytheville P.O. Box 1784 Blytheville, AR 72315

RE: Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

RE: AFIN: 47-00544 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022560

Dear Mr. Sanders:

On May 26, 2011, fellow inspector Michael Greenway and I performed a routine pretreatment compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. During the course of the PCI, it was discovered that the City of Blytheville does not have adequate data or BMRs for Aviation Repair Technologies which has the potential to cause a significant impact and/or damage to the collection system and POTW. The City of Blytheville should review current procedures for updating the Industrial User Survey and make appropriate modifications to ensure that adequate information is collected and maintained on all new and existing IUs.
- 2. Kagome Creative Foods has removed previously installed O&G (oil and grease) removal equipment, yet is continuing to operate at least two production/packaging lines.
- **3.** Omnium was not sampled for O&G, Total Cyanide, TSS, pH, & BOD5 as required by their permit.
- 4. Kagome Creative Foods has not been published in the newspaper as a Significant Violator for their discharge of peanut butter to the West WWTP (O&G Violations).

James Sanders, Pretreatment Inspection June 22, 2011 Page 2

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to Water Division Enforcement Branch of this Department. This response should be mailed to the address below and should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by **July 2, 2011.**

For additional information you may contact the Enforcement Branch by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at walker@adeq.state.ar.us or 870-935-7221 ext.-12.

Sincerely, Brest 2 Walter

Brent L. Walker District 3 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch AFIN: 47-00544

\$	EPA												Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003
	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY												
	NPDES	5 (on		ashingto anc			nea	rtin	n 1	Renort		
		<u> </u>		"P"			-	_			ystem Coding		
	Transaction Code			NPD				1 (4110				inspe	c. Type Inspector Fac. Type
1	N 2 5 3 A R	0	0	2 2	5	6	6 0 11 12 1 1 0 5 Remarks				1 0 5 2 6 17 1	8	P 19 S 20 1
									Kemai	IKS			
	Inspection Work Days]		y Evalu	ation I	Rating	g		BI	i -	QA	Re	eserved
	67 69		7	70 N	J			71	Ν	72	N 73 74 75		80
									B: Fa	ž		Т	
incl	ne and Location of Facility Inspected ade POTW name and NPDES permit treatment Program			trial use	ers dis	charg	ging to	POTV	W, also	0	Entry Time/Date 1020 5/26/2011		Permit Effective Date December 1, 2005
Blyt	of Blytheville Waste Water Treat heville, AR sissippi Co.	ment	Plant	;							Exit Time/Date 1740 5/26/2011		Permit Expiration Date November 30, 2010
Jan	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Ti nes Yankee/Pretreatment Coordina aneth Ellis/Waste Water Superinte	ator/8	870-76	3-4961		nber((s)					Other	Facility Data
Nan	ne, Address of Responsible Official/ mes Sanders/Mayor/870-763-3602					lber					Contacted		
	of Blytheville . Box 1784												
Bly	theville, AR 72316										Yes No		
			(S = Sati							uring Inspection sfactory, N = Not Evaluated)		
S	Permit	Ν	T	w Meas					Ν		erations & Maintenance N	5	ampling
Ν	Records/Reports	Ν	Self	-Monite	oring	Prog	ram		Ν	N Sludge Handling/Disposal N			Pollution Prevention
Ν	Facility Site Review	Ν	Cor	nplianc	e Scho	edule	s		U	Pre	etreatment N	I	Aultimedia
Ν	Effluent/Receiving Waters	Ν		oratory					Ν		rm Water N	(Other:
		Se	ction	D: Sum	mary	of Fi	inding	s/Con	nment	ts (At	tach additional sheets if necessary)		
		~				.~							
**	* See the attached PCI Report for a	a Sun	amary	y of Fine	dings/	Com	ments	***					
Nai	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)					gency/(R Dent				Fax tal Quality-Jonesboro		Date
Bre	nt L. Walker Breet 2 Walk	И									70) 935-4715 (Fax)		May 27, 2011
Mic	hael B. Greenway					+						╉	
Sig	Signature of Reviewer					Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers						Date	

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: Blytheville, AR							
AFIN Number: 47-00544							
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022560, AR0022578, AR0022586							
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022560							
That Chart Deversion Dates Deviced on TH normity and monored							
Fact Sheet Preparation Date: Revised as IU permits are renewed							
Date of Last PCI/Audit: June 22-24, 2010 (Audit)							
Date of Last PCT/Audit: June 22-24, 2010 (Audit)							
Date of Last Annual Report: September 2, 2010							
Date of Hast Annual Report. Deptember 2, 2010							
Name of Inspector: Brent L. Walker and Michael B. Greenway							
Date PCI Performed: May 26, 2011							
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:							
James L. Yankee, Pretreatment Coordinator, 870-763-4961							
Name and Title of Other Participants:							
Kenneth Ellis, WWTP Superintendent							
Number of IUs Visited: 5							
Name(s) of IUs Visited: Advance Industries, Kagome Creative Foods,							
Omnium, Turbine Support International, Aviation Repair Technologies							
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED							
NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED							
A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT							
TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD							
RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.							
Form approved July 1989							
LOTU APPLOVED ONTY ISOS							

Α.	INDUSTRIAL USER SU	JRVEY							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1.	List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have								
	been added or deleted from the program since the last audit								
	or inspection. TSI and Kagome Creative Foods added since								
	last PCI								
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? Yes								
۷.	HAS ADEQ OF EPA L	been notified of these of	changes? Yes						
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIA	AL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPI	UPDATED? No						
4.	What procedures a	are being used to update	e the IU Survey?						
		and Sewer Connections/Dr							
	Chamber of Commer	ce, City Clerk Business	s Permits						
	Airport Authority	7							
5.		Significant Industrial U							
		sed by the POTW. (This							
	greater than or e	equal to the answer to o	question 6) 8						
6.	Number of Categor	cical Industrial Users:	5						
0.	Number of Calegor	icai industriai users.	5						
7.	How does the POTW	I determine the appropri	late categorical						
	standards to apply to an IU? SIC Code								
8.	List all categori	cal IUs discharging und	ler the approved						
	program. Include	e the name of the IU, th	ne regulatory category						
	(such as Metal Fi	nishing), and the regul	lated process						
	(phosphating, zir	nc plating, etc.) Addit	ional listings can be						
	made in the comme	ents section if necessar	су.						
Nam	e of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:						
Mot	or Appliance	Metal Finishing	Parts cleaning						
	or Technologies	Metal Finishing	Parts cleaning						
	ium	Pesticide Formulation	Clean-up						
	mens (Formerly SRT)	Metal Finishing	Anodizing						
TSI		Metal Finishing	Parts cleaning						
1									

B. LOCAL LIMITS

- 1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes - language in program, but no numerical limits; - allows for setting of limits as necessary
- 2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. None
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

		Require	ment in	
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Metals: Influent:	4/yr	4/yr	N/A	
Effluent:	4/yr	4/yr	N/A	
Sludge:	N/A	N/A	N/A	No disposal
Organics: Influent:	1/yr	1/yr	N/A	
Effluent:	1/yr	1/yr	N/A	
Sludge:	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Disposal

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? Yes, Kagome Creative Foods discharged large volume of peanut butter to the West Treatment Plant; Given a compliance schedule including the installation of an O&G Removal System

С.	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM								
<u> </u>									
1	Ta the DOWN waing the time of control mechanism (normit								
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit,								
	agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes-Permit								
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been								
	issued? 8 (Including Kagome Creative Foods)								
3.	DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL								
	DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF								
	EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND								
	THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.								
	Yes - 3 expire December 31, 2011, renewal applications have been								
	sent and are due 90 days prior to expiration								
	sent and are due 30 days prior to expiration								
4									
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?								
	An expiration date: Yes								
	Discharge limitations: Yes								
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the								
	Permits contain:								
	IU self-monitoring requirements: N/A								
	IU reporting requirements: N/A								
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard								
5.	conditions are contained in the control documents:								
	conditions are contained in the control documents.								
	Comple lenstions Neg glowified further of new permits issued								
	Sample location: Yes - clarified further as new permits issued.								
	Type of sample: Yes								
	Monitoring frequency: Yes								
	Bypass prohibition: Yes - City ordinance								
	Right of entry: Yes								
	Nontransferability: Yes								
	Revocation clause: Yes								
	Penalty Provisions: Yes								
	Slug load notification: Yes								
	Notification of process change: Yes								

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 47-00544

D.	MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW								
1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program								
	requirement below:								
			Current frequency:	Program Requirement:					
	Sampling:								
	categorical IUs		2/yr	N/A					
	other SIUs		2/yr	N/A					
	Inspection:								
	categorical IUs		>1/yr	N/A					
	other SIUs		>1/yr	N/A					
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN	IN	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE	E FREQUENCY					
	REQUIRED BY THE AP		I.	quired by IU Permit					
				1					
3.	Are inspections and	no	unced or unannounced?	Both					
5.									
4.	Are records kept of	f	each inspection? Yes						
1.		_							
5.	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of								
5.	the following:								
	Date and time of inspection: Yes								
	Officials present: Yes								
	Inspection of chemical storage areas: Yes								
	Description of reg	Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and							
			f these waste streams: Ye						
	Inspection of the	Inspection of the pretreatment facilities: Yes							
	Review of self-mon	it	oring records: N/A						
	Observation of IU :	se	lf-monitoring procedures:	N/A					
	Verification that	ar	proved analytical techniqu	les are used: N/A					
		٩r	proved analycreat ceening						
	Verification of IU	f	low measurement (where req	quired): N/A					
			•						
6.	Overall adequacy of	f	inspection documentation:	Adequate					

00544

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN							
	THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL							
	POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).							
	No, not sampling Omnium for O&G, Total Cyanide, TSS, pH,							
	BOD5 - pesticides were sampled							
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved							
	methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes							
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly							
	maintained? Yes							
10.								
	forms? Yes							
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to							
±±•	the collection system? Yes							
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes							
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes							
14								
14.								
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports,							
	progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoringreports?The pretreatment coordinator receives the reports							
	and reviews for errors and non-compliances. Tracking is							
	done manually since there is a small number is SIUs.							
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT							
	ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND							
	TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? N/A							
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND							
	TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes							

17.	What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?							
	Notice of Violation followed by surcharges or fines if							
	required or appropriate.							
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR							
	403.12(b)?: Yes							
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file,							
	and indicate which of the following items can be identified							
	in the BMR:							
	Name and address: Yes (Review of TSI's BMR)							
	Other environmental permits held: Yes							
	Description of operations: Yes							
	Process flow diagrams: No discharge - diked tanks							
	Flow measurements: Yes							
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes							
	Certification of compliance by the IU: Yes							
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A							
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling							
	procedures: Suggested more detail be included in							
	inspection reports; must complete required sampling for							
	Omnium							

<u></u> E.	Enforcement							
1.	ADEQUATELY	A	IMPLEMENTED ENFO DDRESS EVERY IU \					
	STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes							
2.	How does t	he	POTW respond to	t	he following vio	lat	ions?	
	Effluent l	im	itations: Accord	ir	ng to a written e	enfo	orcement plan	
	Late repor	ts	: According to a	V	vritten enforceme	ent	plan	
	Unpermitte	d (discharges: Writ	te	en enforcement p	Lan		
	Slug loads	0	r spills: Accord	ir	ng to a written e	enfo	orcement plan	
3.	IS THE LIS DEVELOPED		OF SIGNIFICANT VI N ACCORDANCE WITH					
	SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? No - Kagome Creative Foods not published yet.							
4.	List the S	IU	s which have met	t	he criteria for	Sia	nificant	
			hin the last 12 m					
	enforcemen	t	action which has	b	een taken by the	PO	TW. If	
	constructi	on	is required, ple	ea	se indicate whet	her	the IU	
	has been p	la	ced on an enforce	ea	ble compliance s	che	dule.	
			Type of		Enforcement		Compliance	
	Name:		Violation:		Action:		Deadline:	
Kac	Jome		O&G		NOV		11/30/2010	
	-							
ļ								
		$\left \right $						

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 47-00544

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	Need to publish Significant Violators;
	Otherwise appears adequate at this time.
F.	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that
	presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
-	
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
ļ	
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved
	program? Yes
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
G.	MOLIIJURISDICIIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the
<u> </u>	jurisdictional area of the POTW: N/A
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs
	located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other
	cities? N/A
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant
4.	Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in
	its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: N/A

Permit #: AR0022560

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

During the course of the PCI, it was discovered that the City of Blytheville does not have adequate data or BMRs for Aviation Repair Technologies which has the potential to cause a significant impact and/or damage to the collection system and POTW.

The City of Blytheville needs to improve their procedures for for updating their IU Survey.

Kagome Creative Foods has removed previously installed O&G Removal equipment.

Omnium was not sampled for O&G, Total Cyanide, TSS, pH, & BOD5 as required by their permit.

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 47-00544

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

Name of Industry: Aviation Repair Technologies (ART)
POTW Name: City of Blytheville
Industry Contacts: Rick Uber/VP-Gen. Manager/870-532-0402
Date and Time of Visit: 5/26/2011 @ 1510
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Airplane cleaning and minor repair.
Sources of Process Wastewater:
Exterior washing, otherwise mostly dry processes with no process
wastewater. The City of Blytheville will need to review to
determine permitting needs.
Categorical Industry? No
Basis for Limits: N/A
Point of Application: N/A
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
None
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Dedicated chemical storage areas
Sampling Location and Equipment:
N/A

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN:	47-00544

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

Name of Industry: Turbine Support International
POTW Name: City of Blytheville
Industry Contacts: Joe Mras/VP-General Manager/870-532-5924
Ron Bemis/Quality Manager/870-532-5924
KOII BELLIS/QUAILCY Managel/870-552-5524
Date and Time of Visit: 5/26/2010 @ 1445
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Jet Turbine Disassembly/Salvage (Metal Cleaning)
Commence of December Westmethant
Sources of Process Wastewater:
No Discharge Parts washing - Categorical Metal Finisher
Categorical Industry? Metal Finisher
Basis for Limits: 40 CFR, City Ordinance & Pretreatment Program
Deint of Ampliantion: N/A
Point of Application: N/A
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
N/A
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Parts washing tanks are surrounded by a concrete berm.
Sealed floor drains in disassembly area.
Dedicated waste oil storage area.
Sampling Location and Equipment:
N/A

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN:	47-00544

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

Name of Industry: Kagome Creative Foods
POTW Name: City of Blytheville
Industry Contacts: Don Entenman/R&D Director/870-532-6192
Date and Time of Visit: 5/26/2011 @ 1420
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Food Processing/Packaging repackages peanut butter for retail
and manufactures and packages various sauces.
Sources of Process Wastewater:
Equipment washdown
Categorical Industry? No
Basis for Limits: City Ordinance & Pretreatment Program
Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer
Point of Application. Pilor to connection to city sewer
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Previously installed O&G removal equipment has been removed
Old grease trap still in place, but may not be operational
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Not evaluated
Compling Logation and Equipment:
Sampling Location and Equipment:
N/A - pretreatment equipment removed

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN:	47-00544

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

Name of Industry: Advance Industries
POTW Name: City of Blytheville
Talat Graduate Conclutional Maintenance (Nat. contented)
Industry Contacts: Gerald Lloyd - Maintenance (Not contacted)
Date and Time of Visit: 5/26/2011 @ 1400
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Industrial laundry
Sources of Process Wastewater:
Washing machine wash water
Categorical Industry? No
Basis for Limits: City ordinance and pretreatment program
Deint of Appliantion: Duing to connection to gite gave
Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Oil and water separation
pH adjustment equipment no longer necessary
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Very little chemicals on-site; no open floor drains
Sampling Location and Equipment:
Manhole #5 at city connection

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN:	47-00544

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

Name of Industry: Omnium
POTW Name: City of Blytheville
Industry Contacts: Travis Holmes/Quality-Lab Leader/870-763-2022
Paul Vickerson/Plant Manager
Date and Time of Visit: 5/16/2011 @ 1325
Description of Manufacturing Process:
Pesticide Formulation
Sources of Process Wastewater:
Water from Lab, equipment cleanup and wash downs
Categorical Industry? Yes
Basis for Limits: 40 CFR, City Ordinance & Pretreatment Program
Point of Application: Prior to connection to city sewer
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Batch tank treatment, sand and carbon filters,
Sample tested before discharge
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
No floor drains, curbs around storage areas, no solvents
Sampling Location and Equipment:
Storage tank in lower level of building or manhole by entrance
gate

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
		44	
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Bren	t L. Walker	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City o	f Blytheville	
PERMIT NUMBER USED			
TO TRACK PROGRAM:	A	R0022560	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	Maj	y 26, 2011	DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICA	NT IUS (SIUS):	8	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORIC	AT THO.	5	
NUMBER OF CALEGORIC	AL IUS·	5	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR	INSPECTED BY		
POTW:		0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT	NONCOMPLIANCE		
WITH STANDARDS OR R		1	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT			
WITH SELF-MONITORIN	G REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT	NONCOMPLIANCE		
WITH SELF-MONITORIN	G AND NOT		
INSPECTED OR SAMPLE	D BY POTW:	0	SNIN