

March 22, 2012

John Rimmer, General Manager City of West Memphis WWTP P.O. Box 1868 West Memphis, AR 72303

RE: Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

RE: AFIN: 18-00879 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022039

Dear Mr. Rimmer:

On December 7, 2011 and December 9, 2011 fellow inspector Brent Walker and I performed a routine pretreatment compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. This inspection revealed the following violations:

- 1. The IU site visit to Quala Wash (Previously PSC Container) revealed:
 - a. Lack of familiarity with pretreatment requirements by facility representative.
 - b. Inadequate sample point. The sampling hose was placed horizontally inside a PVC "T".
 - c. Tanker contents were drained onto the ground and bypassed pretreatment equipment.
 - d. The drain valve was open on the secondary containment to the outdoor holding tank.
- 2. The IU site visit to ATM Oil / Jim's Tank Service revealed the following violations:
 - a. Lack of familiarity with pretreatment requirements by facility representatives.
 - b. This facility routinely discharged leachate into the West Memphis Collection system in violation of the pretreatment permit. Additionally, West Memphis POTW personnel were not aware of the leachate received from this industrial user.
 - c. Inadequate sampling. Wastewater was hauled from Marion to West Memphis by truck and discharged into a manhole. Samples were usually taken from the bottom of the tanker, and not from the top where oil was present.
- 3. The Baseline Monitoring Reports (BMR) were not reviewed for compliance with 40 CFR 403.12 (b). The BMR for Quala Wash did not include process flow diagrams, and a certification of compliance.
- 4. All permitted facilities were not inspected by the POTW. Only Categorical Industrial Users were inspected.

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

5301 NORTHSHORE DRIVE / NORTH LITTLE ROCK / ARKANSAS 72118-5317 / TELEPHONE 501-682-0744 / FAX 501-682-0880 www.adeq.state.ar.us John Rimmer, City of West Memphis WWTP March 22, 2012 Page 2

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to Water Division Enforcement Branch of this Department. This response should be mailed to the address below and should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response is due by **April 4, 2012.**

For additional information you may contact the Enforcement Branch by telephone at 501-682-0639 or by fax at 501-682-0910.

If I can be of assistance, please contact me at greenway@adeq.state.ar.us or 870-935-7221 ext.-15.

Sincerely,

Middleby

Michael B. Greenway District 3 Field Inspector Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch Water Division Permits Branch

≎EPA					Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003	
UNITED STATES ENVIRON						
NPDES Complian	on, D.C. 20460 ce Inspect	ion l	Report			
	Section A: Nationa		-			
Transaction Code NPDES			Yr/Mo/Day	Insp	ec. Type Inspector Fac. Type	
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 2 0	1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 2 0 3 9 11 12 1 1 1 2 0 7 17 18					
1 8 - 0 0 8 7 9		emarks		E	N D E N	
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation	Ũ		QA	R	eserved	
67 69 70 N	71	N 72	N 73 74 75		80	
	Section B:					
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users dis include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Pretreatment Program	scharging to POTW,	also	Entry Time/Date 10:00 / 12-07-2011 09:45 / 12-09-2011		Permit Effective Date August 1, 2008	
City of West Memphis Waste Water Treatment Plant West Memphis, AR Crittenden Co.			Exit Time/Date 15:45 / 12-07-2011 16:45 / 12-09-2011		Permit Expiration Date July 31, 2013	
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Nu Denise Bosnick / Director of Environmental Quality / 870-702 Tommie Butler / Field Technician / 870-702-5151 Marvin Jones / Lab Supervisor / 870-702-5151				35.	er Facility Data 5.124145 .179052	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number John Rimmer / General Manager / 870-735-3355 City of West Memphis WWTP P.O. Box 1868					# 064405	
	ection C: Areas Eval ory, M = Marginal, U		uring Inspection isfactory, N = Not Evaluated)			
S Permit N Flow Measurem	ent	N Op	erations & Maintenance N		Sampling	
N Records/Reports N Self-Monitoring	Program	N Slu	dge Handling/Disposal		Pollution Prevention	
N Facility Site Review N Compliance Sch	edules	U Pro	retreatment		Multimedia	
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory			orm Water		Other:	
Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary) **** See the attached PCI Report for a Summary of Findings/Comments ***						
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Date						
Michael B. Greenway Brent Walker AR Dept. of Environmental Quality-Jonesboro (870) 935-7221 ext. 15/(870) 935-4715 (Fax) January 6, 2012				January 6, 2012		
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date						

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: West Memphis, AR
AFIN Number: 18-00879
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022039, AR0022578, AR0022586
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022039
FIGGIAM HACKED UNDER NEDES FEIMIE NUMBER. ROUZZUSS
Fact Sheet Preparation Date: Annual Report Only
Date of Last PCI/Audit: February 02, 2010
Date of Last Annual Report: April 13, 2011
Name of Inspector: Brent L. Walker and Michael B. Greenway
Data Dat Danfaumada December 7 2011 and December 0 2011
Date PCI Performed: December 7, 2011 and December 9, 2011
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:
Denise Bosnick / Director of Environmental Quality / 870-702-5141
Name and Title of Other Participants:
Tommie Butler / Field Technician / 870-702-5151
Marvin Jones / Lab Supervisor / 870-702-5151
Number of IUs Visited: 2
Name(s) of IUs Visited: Quala Wash, and ATM oil(Jim's Tank Service)
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED
NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED
A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT
TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD
RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.
Form approved July 1989

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection AFIN: 18-00879

<u>A.</u>	INDUSTRIAL USER SU	JRVEY				
1.	Tigt and Gignific	ant Industrial Haara (TILA) thich ha			
1.	List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit					
		PSC is now Quala Wash, a				
	permitted.	ese is now Quara wash, a	and warren on	TTUDE 13		
	permit clea.					
2.	Has ADEO or EPA h	een notified of these of	changes? No			
<u> </u>						
3.	HAS THE INDUSTRIA	L USER SURVEY BEEN KEP	UPDATED? Ye	s		
			•••••			
4.	What procedures a	are being used to update	e the IU Surve	ev?		
- •	Review of new cit	v permits.		-1.		
	Review of new acc					
	Code enforcement.					
5.	Total number of S	Significant Industrial (Jsers, accordi	ing to		
		sed by the POTW. (This				
		equal to the answer to o				
		-				
6.	Number of Categor	cical Industrial Users:	4			
7.	How does the POTW	I determine the appropri	late categorio	cal		
	standards to apply to an IU? NAICS and 40 CFR					
8.	List all categori	cal IUs discharging und	ler the approv	ved		
	program. Include	e the name of the IU, th	ne regulatory	category		
	(such as Metal Fi	nishing), and the regul	lated process			
	(phosphating, zir	nc plating, etc.) Addit	cional listing	gs can be		
	made in the comme	ents section if necessar	су.			
Name	e of IU:	Category:	Regulated Pr			
Qua	la Wash	Truck Wash	Int./Ext. Tr	uck Wash		
Gra	ce Trailer	Truck Wash	Int./Ext. Tr	uck Wash		
Aut	omated Conveyors	Metal Finishing	Metal Platin	g		
Stateside Steel and		Metal Finishing	Metal Platin	g		
Wire						

B. LOCAL LIMITS

- 1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes No Specific numeric limits for current IU's. Applied when appropriate.
- Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. None
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

		Requirement in		
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Metals: Influent:	4/yr	4/yr	None	None
Effluent:	4/yr	4/yr	None	None
Sludge:	1/yr	N/A	None	None
Organics: Influent:	1/yr	1/yr	None	None
Effluent:	1/yr	1/yr	None	None
Sludge:	1/yr	N/A	None	None

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? Warren Unilube discharged an estimated 6500 gallons of oil/transmission fluid to the collection system on March 22, 2011. Warren Unilube has since been permitted by the POTW. ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection AFIN: 18-00879

С.	INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM
1.	Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit,
	agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes-Permit
-	
2.	How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been
	issued? 4 SIU and 5 NSIU.
3.	DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL
	DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF
	EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND
-	THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.
	Yes
4.	Does the control document contain the following items?
-	
	An expiration date: Yes
	Discharge limitations: Yes
	If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the
	Permits contain:
	IU self-monitoring requirements: N/A
	IU reporting requirements: N/A
5.	Indicate which of the following recommended standard
	conditions are contained in the control documents:
	(Reviewed Warren Unilube's Permit)
	Sample location: Yes
	Type of sample: Yes
	Monitoring frequency: Yes
	Bypass prohibition: Yes - City ordinance
	Right of entry: Yes
	Nontransferability: Yes
	Revocation clause: Yes
	Penalty Provisions: Yes
	Slug load notification: Yes
	Notification of process change: Yes

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 18-00879

D.	MONITORING OF IUS	Е	Y POTW				
1.	Indicate current in	ns	pection and sampling frequ	lency and	program	l	
	requirement below:						
			Current frequency:	Program 1	Require	ment:	
	Sampling:						
	categorical IUs		1-2/month		l/yr		
					• 4		
	other SIUs		1-2/month		L/yr		
	Inspection:				-,		
	categorical IUs		1/yr		L/yr		
			-/ 1 -		-/] -		
	other SIUs		N/A		L/yr		
				•	-/ -		
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN	IN	SPECTED AND SAMPLED AT TH	E FREOUENC	Y		
۰.	REQUIRED BY THE AP					oro	
			e permitted NSIU's were			<u></u>	
3.	=		unced or unannounced?	Both	ecteu.		
э.	Ale inspections and	10	unced of unannounced:	Both			
4.	Are records kept o:	f	each inspection? Yes				
4.	Ale lecolds kept of	L					
5.	Deep the imprestion we with the state of the line in the state of the						
5.	Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:						
	the rorrowing:						
	Date and time of inspection: Yes						
	Officials present: Yes						
	Inspection of chemical storage areas: Yes						
	Description of regulated processes, categorical waste streams, and						
	discharge location of these waste streams: Yes						
	Inspection of the pretreatment facilities: Yes						
	Inspection of the pretreatment factifiers. Its						
	Review of self-mon:	it	oring records: N/A				
	Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures: N/A						
						,	
	Verification that approved analytical techniques are used: N/A						
	Verification of TI	f	low measurement (where red	mired).	N/A		
	VELILICACION OF IU	T	TOM MEASULEMENT (MHELE 160	Aurreu):	м/ н		
6.	Overall adequacy o:	f	inspection documentation:	Adequat	9		
~•				1	-		

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection AFIN: 18-00879

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN				
	THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL				
	POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).				
	Yes				
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved				
	methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes				
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly				
	maintained? Yes				
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody				
	forms? Yes				
11.					
	the collection system? Yes				
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes				
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes				
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and				
	review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports,				
	progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring				
	reports? Reports not required; Director of Environmental				
	Quality keeps track of violations and required responses				
	to letters.				
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT				
	ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND				
	TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? N/A				
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND				
	TO ALL VIOLATIONS? N/A				

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection AFIN: 18-00879

17.	
	Letter requiring a response, additional action depends on
	nature of violation and corrective action taken. NOV for pH
	violations are issued immediately onsite.
18.	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR
	403.12(b)?: No - BMR's were incomplete.
	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file,
	and indicate which of the following items can be identified
	in the BMR:
	Name and address: Yes (Reviewed Quala Services LLC)
	Other environmental permits held: None
	Description of operations: Yes
	Process flow diagrams: No
	Flow measurements: Yes
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes
	Certification of compliance by the IU: No
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling
	procedures:
	Incomplete BMR for Quala Services LLC, no certification of
	compliance or flow diagram.

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 18-00879

Ε.	Enforcement						
1.			I IMPLEMENTED ENFOR				
			DDRESS EVERY IU V	IOLATION OF PRETRE	ATMENT		
	STANDARDS	AN	ID REQUIREMENTS?	Yes			
2.	How does t	he	e POTW respond to t	the following viol	ations?		
	Effluent l	in	itations: Notice	of Violation			
	Late repor	ts	: Letter - very f	ew reports are rec	quired.		
					· .		
	Unpermitte	d	discharges: Lett	er, & depends on c	ircumstances.		
					.		
	Slug loads	С	or spills: Letter	and Notice of Vio	lation.		
3.		_	OF SIGNIFICANT VIO				
5.	DEVELOPED		IN ACCORDANCE WITH				
-							
	SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)?						
	Yes ATM Oil, Grace Trailer, Langston Bag, PSC Container						
	(now Quala Services), and Stateside Steel.						
		-	00111000, / and 000				
4.	List the S	IU	Js which have met t	the criteria for S	ignificant		
			thin the last 12 mo				
			action which has b				
			is required, plea				
			iced on an enforcea				
	<u> </u>						
			Type of	Enforcement	Compliance		
	Name:		Violation:	Action:	Deadline:		
ATN	4 Oil		3 Oil & Grease	NOV	N/A		
	ace		pH,Cu,Hg,O&G,TPH	NOV	N/A		
Trailer			Oil and Grease				
Langston Bag			Cu, O&G	NOV	N/A		
PSC			pH,Cu,Hg,O&G	NOV	N/A		
	ntainer						
	w Quala)				27/2		
	Stateside		pH,Cr,Zn,O&G	NOV	N/A		
Steel							
		1					

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:
	Adequate
F.	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that
	presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? Yes
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved
	program? Yes
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the
	jurisdictional area of the POTW: None
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs
	located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other
	cities? N/A
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant
	Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in
	its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: None

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN: 18-00879

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Inadequate monitoring of IU's - All permitted facilities were not inspected by the POTW. Only Categorical Industrial Users were inspected.

|--|

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Quala Services LLC (Previously PSC Container)			
POTW Name: City of West Memphis			
Industry Contacts: Marvin Leflore, shift supervisor			
Date and Time of Visit: December 9, 2011. 10:40 AM			
Description of Manufasturing Duranges			
Description of Manufacturing Process:			
Internal and external truck washing. Washout of tankers used to			
haul food grade materials, polymers, oils, adhesives, and			
resins.			
Courses of Duration Martineton			
Sources of Process Wastewater:			
Internal and external truck washing.			
Categorical Industry? Yes			
Basis for Limits: Local sewer ordinance and 40 CFR.			
Point of Application: Prior to city connection.			
Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:			
Aeration and settling tank.			
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:			
Indoor chemical storage areas. Inadequate, there was spilled oil			
and chemicals on the floor. The drain valve was open on the			
secondary containment for the large outdoor holding tank.			
Sampling Location and Equipment:			
Sampling point was not adequate. The sampling hose was placed			
horizontally in the discharge pipe and not completely submerged			
in the discharge stream.			

ADEQ Water NPDES PCI Inspection	AFIN:	18-00879

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Michael Gree	enway, Brent Walker	
NAME OF FACILITY:	_	Wastewater Treatment Facility	
PERMIT NUMBER USED			
TO TRACK PROGRAM:	A	R0022039	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	December 7, 201	1 and December 9, 2011	DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DATA	A ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICA	NT IUS (SIUS):	4	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORIC	AL IUS:	4	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR	INSPECTED BY	0	
POTW:			NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT		-	
WITH STANDARDS OR R	EPORTING:	3	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH SELF-MONITORING		0	MSNC
WIIN SELF-MONIIORIN	2 VEÕNIVEMENI2:	0	TISINC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT			
WITH SELF-MONITORING		0	SNIN



WEST MEMPHIS UTILITY COMMISSION

604 East Cooper P O Box 1868 West Memphis, AR 72301 Phone: 870-735-3355 Fax: 870-732-7623

April 3, 2012

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality Water Enforcement Division 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

Re; NPDES Compliance Evaluation Inspection Wastewater Treatment Plant NPDES Sanitary Sewer Overflow Inspection Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

AFIN: 18-00879 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022039 and ARR00C405

We recently received a letter addressing a routine compliance inspection performed back in December 2011. The inspection revealed several violations in all areas addressed. This letter is to address those violations:

Wastewater Treatment Plant:

- Improper operation and maintenance. This violates Part III, Section B. Item 1.a. of the permit, which requires that the permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. The following items were noted:
 - a) There was a small leak from a value in the effluent wet well. Water from the leak had bypassed the UV treatment system.
 - b) There was sludge on the ground next to a manhole located between the headworks and the sludge drying beds.
 - c) There was a moderate amount of foam observed in the clarifiers and effluent wet well.

Response:

a) The valve nuts were tightened and the valve was greased to prevent leaks. (See picture-WWTP-1).

11

2

- b) Two pumps have been installed to pump the waste filtrate. These pumps replace the filtrate station that was pumping the filtrate. There should be no more overflows at this manhole. (See picture-WWTP-2 & 3).
- c) There is a 0041 filament in the sludge that I am using c12 in the return sludge to control.

2. Samples taken during the month of May 2011 were not representative of volume and nature of the discharge during that month. It appeared that samples were normally collected on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday of each week. However on May 2-3, 2011, effluent flow exceeded 20 MGD and the UV treatment system was bypassed. During this week, samples were collected on Thursday, Friday and Saturday (May 5-7, 2011) after effluent flow dropped to less than 8 MGD. This violates Part III, Section C. Item 1. of the permit.

Response:

From this day forward, all samples will be pulled on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday.

3. Dissolved Oxygen (D.O.) concentration was not accurately reported on the DMR's. D.O. concentration was reported in ug/L instead of mg/L as required by part I, Section A. of the permit.

Response:

All DMRs are corrected. Net DMR is also corrected.

- 4. The Industrial stormwater (ARROOC405) inspection revealed the following violations:
 - a) Sampling was not performed as required.
 - b) The SWPPP was incomplete. Specifically the generator, equalization basin, and the amount of acreage were not identified in SWPPP.

Response:

- a) We have the permit now and sampling is being performed as required.
- b) All of this has been corrected.

Sanitary Sewer Overflow:

- 1. Station #8 (610 East Jefferson)
 - a) There was an uncovered hole in the floor where a pump had been removed.

Response:

- a) This has been repaired. The holes have been filled (See picture-Pump Station #8 (610 East Jefferson-Inside-1).
- 2. Station #9 (1620 South Avalon)
 - a) There was excessive grease and solids in the wet well.
 - b) There were uncovered holes in the floor.

Response:

- a) The wet well will be cleaned and will be on a cleaning schedule.
- b) This hasn't been completed. Two of the areas have been repaired, and one has not. This will be done within the week. (See picture-Pump Station #9 (1620 South Avalon)-Inside 2, 3, & 4).
- 3. Station #6 (401 South Avalon)
 - a) One of the three pumps was not operational.
 - b) There was excessive grease and solids in the wet well.
 - c) One of the pumps did not have a driveshaft guard in place.
 - d) The lights for the lower level were inoperative.

Response:

- a) Two pumps are operational and are all that is needed. The third is not need and is not operational but was just left in place.
- b) The wet well has been cleaned and will be on a cleaning schedule. (See picture-Pump Station #6 (410 South Avalon)-Wet Well 1 & 2).
- c) The one pump that does not have a driveshaft guard is the one that is not needed and is not operational.
- d) The light in the lower level are now operational. (See picture-Pump Station #6 (410 South Avalon)-Inside 3 & 4).
- 4. Station #26A (Shady Grove)
 - a) There was excessive grease and solids in the wet well.
 - b) The pumps were not alternating: both ran at the same time.

Response:

- a) The wet well has been cleaned and will be on a cleaning schedule. (See picture-Pump Station 26A-Wet Well-1).
- b) This issue was actually a problem with the floats. It has been corrected.
- 5. Station #13A (Park Plaza)
 - a) A manhole behind station was damaged and partially uncovered.

Response:

- a) This has been repaired. (See picture-Pump Station #13A (Park Plaza)-Outside-1 & 2).
- 6. Station #29 (Lalman Mobile Home Park)

a) It appeared this was a significant source of inflow, due to the unprotected sewer connections that were not in use.

Response:

- a) These are private sewer lines. If this issue needs to be addressed further we would appreciate ADEQ submitting a letter addressing the issue.
- 7. Station #35 (Southland Drive)
 - a) There was excessive grease and solids in the wet well.
 - b) Lights in the lower level were inoperative.
 - c) It appeared that pumps were not properly maintained. One of the drive shafts did not appear to be properly greased and the pump was noisy during operation.
 - d) Guards did not adequately cover drive shafts.

Response:

- a) Wet well has been cleaned and will be on a cleaning schedule. (See picture-Pump Station #35 (Southland Drive)-Wet Well-1).
- b) Lights are now operational. (See picture-Pump Station #35 (Southland Drive)-Inside-1).
- c) This has been corrected.
- d) This will be corrected.
- 8. Station #33 (Bill's Grill)
 - a) There was excessive grease and solids in the wet well.
 - b) The door to the pumps station was unlocked. Additionally, the door could not be unlocked with a key from the outside.
 - c) Guards did not adequately cover drive shafts.

Response:

- a) Wet well has been cleaned and will be on a cleaning schedule. (See picture-Pump Station #33 (Bills Grill)-Wet Well-1).
- b) This has been corrected. (See picture-Pump Station #33 (Bills Grill)-Outside-1).
- c) This will be corrected.

Also included with this letter will be a copy of a Corrective Action Plan. This plan has somewhat been reworked from the last copy received by the inspectors.

Pretreatment Compliance Inspection:

- 1. The IU site visit to Quala Wash (Previously PSC Container) revealed:
 - a) Lack of familiarity with pretreatment requirements by facility representative.

- b) Inadequate sample point. The sampling hose was placed horizontally inside a PVC "T".
- c) Tanker contents were drained onto the ground and bypassed pretreatment equipment.
- d) The drain value was open on the secondary containment to the outdoor holding tank.

Response:

All of the above will be addressed with Quala Wash in a letter. When the letter is sent I will copy Michael Greenway/Brent Walker.

- 2. The IU site visit to ATM Oil/Jim's Tank Service revealed the following violations:
 - a) Lack of familiarity with pretreatment requirements by facility representatives.
 - b) This facility routinely discharged leachate into the West Memphis collection system in violation of the pretreatment permit. Additionally, West Memphis POTW personnel were not aware of the leachate received from this industrial user.
 - c) Inadequate sampling. Wastewater was hauled from Marion to West Memphis by truck and discharged into a manhole. Samples were usually taken from the bottom of the tanker and not from the top where oil was present.

Response:

- a) At the time of the inspection, everyone familiar with the requirements was working off site.
- b) This is has already been addressed somewhat with the City Attorney and will be addressed further now that we have received the letter addressing the issue.
- c) This has been changed and all samples are now taken from the top of the tanker.
- 3. The baseline Monitoring Reports (BMR) were not reviewed for compliance with 40 CFR 403.12 (b). The BMR for Quala Wash did not include process flow diagrams, and a certification of compliance.

Response:

This will be addressed in a letter along with the other issues addressed. A copy of the letter will be sent to Michael Greenway/Brent Walker.

4. All permitted facilities were not inspected by the POTW. Only Categorical Industrial Users were inspected.

Response:

All Industrial User will be inspected this year.

If you needed additional information you may contact Denise Bosnick, Director Environmental Quality at 870-702-5141.

If I can be of assistance, please contact me at 870-702-5103.

Sincerely,

ner

John Rimmer General Manager

Corrective Action Plan

The West Memphis Utility Commission proposes to enact a 5 year Corrective Action Plan to address the problems associated with excessive I/I onto the sanitary sewer system. This plan will address current problems and develop a program to abate future problems through an improved maintenance program. The following is a 4-step plan and the associated timeline.

- 1. Begin immediately a Phase I rehabilitation program to correct defects located during the SSES. All of the Priority 1 defects and most of the Priority 2 defects will be rehabilitated in 2013. This will consist of various methods of rehab including but not limited to:
 - A. Mainline point repairs
 - B. Relining or replacement of mainlines
 - C. Repair or replacement of manholes
 - D. Installation of rain caps for manholes
 - E. Root removal and mainline cleaning
 - F. Rehab of deficient pump stations
- 2. Upon completion of Phase I rehabilitation program, the SSES will be resumed in the areas that were not investigated during the initial SSES. The initial SSES included mapping, database development, pump station analysis and hydraulic assessment for the entire system. There fore, the second phase SSES will consist primarily of field tasks to locate defects and prepare rehab recommendations within the area of the collection system that were not investigated in Phase I.

Another aspect to be undertaken in the Phase II SSES will be monitoring the results of the Phase I rehabilitation program and the effects on I/I reduction. These results will be used for future rehab programs to determine the most effective methods for achieving I/I reduction.

- 3. Based upon the results of the Phase II SSES, the Phase II rehabilitation program will be developed. All priority 1 defects found will be correct and based upon the effectiveness of priority 2 rehab in Phase I, a determination made Priority 2 repairs. Phase II rehab will be spread over a 2 year period as the area covered in the study is twice the size of the initial program.
- 4. The final step in the Corrective Action Plan is the development and implementation of a Comprehensive Maintenance Management Program (CMMP). The CMMP will be designed as a program to manage the maintenance and capital improvements of the system for the purpose of the efficient overall operation of the system to maintain regulatory compliance and eliminate customer service problems. The basis of the program is to provide a well organized approach in a proactive manner in identifying and correcting maintenance problems before they become customer service complaints or regulatory violations.

Specific aspects to be addressed in the development of a CMMP are as follows:

- A. Identify specific goals and objective of CMMP
- B. System and software to manage program
 - i. GIS Software
 - ii. Database development
- C. Evaluation of Equipment Needs for Operation of Program
 - i. Cleaning and Inspection of Equipment
 - ii. Investigate tools (smoke test)

The development of the CMMP will begin immediately with the program being implemented completely within 5 years.

Conclusion

West Memphis Utility Commission will be hiring an Engineering Consultant and RJN inc. to inspect a basin every year for the next 5 years. With there recommendations, make repairs where they are needed. West Memphis Utility Commission agrees to adopt this 5-year plan to achieve not only regulatory compliance, but also the efficient and cost effective collection and treatment of wastewater for its customers in West Memphis. This commitment is evident through the development of this Plan and the SSES. Further evidence of this commitment can be found in the following examples:

- I. Rehabbed 5 pump stations in the last 3 years and currently have ordered material for a future rehab.
- II. Replaced 50' of 24" pipe that was having major I/I problems.
- III. Acquiring budgetary numbers to install SCADA in all pumping stations.
- IV. Hiring an outside specialist to inspect pumps to see if they are pumping to capacity.
- V. Creating specifications for new installations to prevent future I/I problems
- VI. Self inspections of all 42 stations, repairs have been completed.

These projects and this Plan are strong evidence of the commitment by the City of West Memphis to address the sewer infrastructure in an aggressive and proactive manner. The elements of this plan will allow the City to achieve the stated goals and objectives of regulatory compliance and I/I reduction.













































