

June 22, 2012

Mike Roberts, Wastewater Utility Manager 1901 N.E. A Street Bentonville, Arkansas 72712

AFIN: 04-00154

NPDES Permit No.: AR0022403

Dear Mr. Roberts:

On June 18, 2012, I performed a routine pretreatment compliance evaluation inspection of the Bentonville wastewater treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. It appeared at the time of the inspection that the pretreatment program has been implemented in accordance with the terms of the permit.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 479-267-0811, ext. 16.

Sincerely,

John Fazio District 1 Inspector Water Division

cc: Enforcement Branch Permits Branch

						Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85								
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20460 NPDES Compliance Inspection Report								Арр	novai E.	ipnes	7-31-	65		
	Section A: Natio			-										
Transaction Code NPDE					/mo/c	lay			Inspec. 7	Гуре	Inspe	ector	Fa	с Туре
1 <b>N</b> 2 <b>5</b> 3 <b>A R 0 0 2 2</b>	<b>4 0 3</b> 11	12 Remar		2 0	6	1	8	1	8 <b>P</b>	j	19	20	0 1	
A F I N 0 4 - 0 0	1 5 4													
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluati	-	BI		QA I I		 I		 I	Reser	Reserved				
67 69 70 <b>N</b>	71	Ν	72	<b>N</b> 73			74	75					80	0
	Section	B: Fac	cility	Data										
Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial user include POTW name and NPDES permit number)	s discharging to POT	W, als	0	Entry Ti	me /D	Date			Pe	rmit E	ffective	Date		
City of Bentonville Wastewater Treatment Plant				0910 / 0	06-1	8-12			03	-01-0	9			
1901 NE A Street Bentonville, AR 72712				Exit Tim	e/Dat	e			Pe	Permit Expiration Date				
				1405 / 0	06-1	8-12			02	-28-1	4			
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Nancy Busen, Lab Supervisor and Pretreatment Coordina		479-27	1-310	63					Other Fa Outfall	•	Data			
Roman Rios, Lab/Pretreatment Coordinator, 479-271-310	60	-119-21	1-510						N 36.3 W -94.2	9234				
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax I Mike Roberts, Wastewater Utility Manager, 479-271-3160					Con	tacted			Entranc					
City of Bentonville 115 W. Central				Yes		No	X		N 36.3	36.39100 7 -94.20383				
Bentonville, AR 72712									vv -94.2	0383		I	PDS #	#066379
(S = Satisfac	Section C: Areas E ctory, M = Marginal,			•			aluated)							
N Permit N Flow Measu	ırement	Ν	Ор	erations a	& M	ainter	ance	Ν	Sar	npling	8			
N Records/Reports N Self-Monit	oring Program	Ν	Sh	udge Han	dling	g/Disp	osal	Ν	Pol	<b>Pollution Prevention</b>				
	e Schedules	S		retreatme				Ν	-	Multimedia				
N Effluent/Receiving Waters N Laboratory Section D: Summ	y ary of Findings/Con	N		orm Wate		sheets	if neces	N sarv)	Ot	Other:				
It appeared at the time of the inspection that the p								•	ce with	the t	erms o	f the	pern	nit.
Significant Industrial User Visited:														
• 3M ESPE OMNI Preventative Care														
Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arlonges Dant of Environmental Opelity/					Da		<b>`</b>							
John Fazio		rkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/ ayetteville/479-267-0816/479-267-0819			00	5/20/12	4							
Signature of Reviewer     Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers     Date														

EPA Form 3560-3 (Rev. 9-94) Previous editions are obsolete.

### ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

### PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Bentonville

AFIN Number: 04-00154

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022403, ARR00C404, ARR000192

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022403

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: N/A

Date of Last PCI/Audit: May 21, 2009 / December 1-3, 2009

Date of Last Annual Report: November 16, 2011

Name of Inspector: John A. Fazio

Date PCI Performed: June 18, 2012

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Nancy Busen, Laboratory Supervisor & Pretreatment Coordinator; Roman Rios, Lab/Pretreatment Technician 479-271-3160

Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A

Number of IUs Visited: 1

Name(s) of IUs Visited: 3M ESPE OMNI Preventative Care

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATES A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

### A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. Transmontaigne added, then later deleted.
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? Yes
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Includes grease trap disposal method surveys mailed out to various food services (restaurants, schools, childcare facilities, etc.). Plan on mailing additional Hazardous Waste Certification Statements to multiple medical and healthcare facilities, dentists, and pest control businesses to determine if they generate hazardous waste and method of disposal. City Planning sends POTW primary blueprints of new businesses that are potential dischargers of pollutants of concern. Inspections of these facilities are conducted. Roman Rios visits new restaurants and inspects grease interceptors (GI). Mr. Rios educates personnel on proper GI O & M. Electronic yellow pages are used to keep abreast of new businesses. Utility accounts with > 25,000 GPD discharge routed to POTW. Also, participation in various effective programs and committees for alternatives to flushing of pharmaceutical drugs; flyer mail-out w/ utility bills.
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 3
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 1
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Facility personnel reviews applicable regulations, visits industrial users and determines what regulations apply.
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
3M OMNI Preventative	SIC 2834, 5122	Mixing/Compounding
Care	Pharmaceutical	and Formulation of
	Manufacturing Point	Pharmaceutical
	Source	Product

B. LOCAL LIMITS

- 1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes, technically-based local limits have been established.
- 2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. None
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

	Requirement in					
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:		
Metals:						
Influent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year			
Effluent:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year			
Sludge:	1/quarter	1/quarter	0/year			
Organics:						
Influent:	1/year	1/year	0/year			
Effluent:	1/year	1/year	0/year			
Sludge:	N/A	N/A	0/year			

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? No.

### C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

5.

- Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes, permit.
- How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued?
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date: Yes						
Discharge limitations: Yes						
If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:						
IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes						
IU reporting requirements: Yes						
Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:						
Sample location: Yes						
Type of sample: Yes						
Monitoring frequency: Yes						
Bypass prohibition: Yes						
Right of entry: Yes						
Nontransferability: Yes						
Revocation clause: Yes						
Penalty Provisions: Yes						
Slug load notification: Yes						

Notification of process change: Yes

## D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program					
	requirement below:	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:			
	Sampling:					
	categorical IUs	1/year	1/year			
	other SIUs Inspection:	1/month to 2/month	1/year			
	categorical IUs	At least 1/year	1/year			
	other SIUs	At least 1/year	1/year			
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN II REQUIRED BY THE APPI	NSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT TH ROVED PROGRAM? Yes	E FREQUENCY			
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Usually announced			
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes	3			
5.	Does the inspection the following:	report contain an adequat	e description of			
	Date and time of in:	spection: Yes				
	Officials present:	Yes				
	Inspection of chemic	cal storage areas: Yes				
		lated processes, categoric of these waste streams: <u>Y</u>	al waste streams, and <b>Ces</b>			
	Inspection of the p	retreatment facilities: <u>Y</u>	/es			
	Review of self-monit	coring records: Yes				
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedures:	Yes			
	Verification that ap	oproved analytical techniq	ues are used: Yes			
	Verification of IU :	flow measurement (where re	equired): Yes			
6.	Overall adequacy of Inspections are co	inspection documentation: mprehensive.	Very good.			

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? **Yes**
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? **POTW's equipment is properly maintained**.
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMRs, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Data from self-monitoring reports is entered and tracked on spreadsheets. On Flash-Drive. Hard copies are kept of self-monitoring reports, lab report forms, COCs, flow charts and pH charts. Hard copy of BMR on file.
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes.
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes.

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? In accordance with their Enforcement Response Plan.

# 18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: Yes\_\_\_\_\_

	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:
	Name and address: Yes
	Other environmental permits held: <b>N/A</b>
	Description of operations: Yes
	Process flow diagrams: Yes
	Flow measurements: Yes
	Measurements of regulated pollutants: Yes
	Certification of compliance by the IU: Yes
	Compliance schedule (if needed): N/A
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures:

### E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes. Records document that enforcement response procedures are essentially the same as those outlined in the city's Enforcement Response Program (ERP).
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: NOV; escalates with 3<sup>rd</sup> consecutive exceedance.

Late reports: Phone call; or NOV if substantially late. Can escalate.

Unpermitted discharges: NOV if no harm; AO if harm. Can escalate.

Slug loads or spills: NOV if failure to report (no harm); AO if failure to report, (harm). Can escalate.

- 3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? No significant violators.
- 4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
none	N/A	N/A	N/A
			<u></u>

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:

## The enforcement program has been implemented. NOVs and AO issued in 2011-2012 were issued in accordance with the ERP.

### F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

- 1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? **Yes**.
- 2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes.
- 3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? **Yes**.

### G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

- List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: City of Centerton
- 2. Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? Yes. Centerton required to contact city if they are to discharge abnormal sewage & required to notify city of spills.
- 3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? N/A, not permitted contract only.
- 4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? No.
- 5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: None.

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Bentonville POTW:

The pretreatment staff is very knowledgeable of the

program and requirements. Ms. Busen and Mr. Rios have a

thorough understanding of the SIU facility layouts and each of the SIU's pretreatment processes.

The IU Survey is satisfactory.

No SIUs were found to be in Significant Noncompliance.

3M ESPE OMNI Preventative Care:

The facility appeared to meet the requirements contained in their control document.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: **3M ESPE OMNI Preventative Care** 

POTW Name: City of Bentonville

Industry Contacts: Luis Maldonado, Quality Supervisor

Date and Time of Visit: June 18, 2012 / 1302

Description of Manufacturing Process: Mouth rinses and gel treatment for dentistry/prescription are produced and packaged at this facility.

Sources of Process Wastewater: Rinse from compounding kettles that are used in the product manufacturing process.

Categorical Industry? Yes

Basis for Limits: Local limits

Point of Application: At the sink drain in the compounding room.

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Adjustment of pH as necessary. Waste batch rinsate pH measured and adjusted before discharge to sink drain in compounding room.

```
Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:

Floor drain covers in compounding room where product produced.

Bulk glycerin tank is double-walled and has high-level alarm.

All waste and drums/containers are sent via Clean Harbors

hazardous waste haulers to Little Rock for incineration.

Spill containment for liquid-filled drums.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Sample at sink (point of discharge) in compounding room. SIU

contract lab obtains grab samples. Batch discharge volumes

are recorded.
```

### PPETS CODE SHEET

## PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	John A. Fazio	
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Bentonville, Arkansas	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0022403	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	June 18, 2012	DTIA

## PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	3	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	1	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN