

June 25, 2012

Robert Moore, Plant Manager City of Rogers 4300 Rainbow Road Rogers, AR 72756

AFIN: 04-00155, NPDES Permit Nos: AR0043397 and ARR00C388 (No Exposure), Routine Compliance Inspection

Dear Mr. Moore

On May 21, 2012, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. The inspection also included an evaluation of the "no exposure" certification submitted by the City of Rogers under NPDES Permit ARR00C388. The inspection revealed the following:

#### NPDES Permit AR0043397, Compliance Evaluation Inspection

- 1. Dissolved oxygen records did not include: the sampler, the time sampled, and the date sampled. This is in violation of Part II, Section C, 8a and 8b of your permit.
- 2. Duplicate samples are not analyzed on at least 10% of the dissolved oxygen samples. This is in violation of Part 2, C, 3 of your permit.

Calibration records for the dissolved oxygen meter document the date and time of the calibrations and the name of the person performing the calibrations. It is recommended that the results of the calibrations be recorded to show that steps H and I of your SOP are being noted. In addition, any maintenance of the dissolved oxygen meter needs to be recorded in a log.

#### NPDES Permit AR0043397, Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

The inspection revealed the City of Rogers is in compliance with the terms of your permit.

#### NPDES Permit ARR00C388 (No Exposure)

The inspection revealed the City of Rogers is in compliance with the "no exposure" certification for this facility.

Mr. Moore, Rogers Pollution Control Facility June 25, 2012 Page 2

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Inspection Branch of this Department. This response should be mailed to the address at the bottom of the first page of the letter or e-mailed to <a href="Water-Inspection-report@adeq.state.ar.us">Water-Inspection-report@adeq.state.ar.us</a>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentation (i.e. photos) is due by July 6, 2012.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at west@adeq.state.ar.us or 479-267-0811, ext. 12.

Sincerely,

Alison West

District 1 Field Inspector

you alisan West

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

<b>⊹</b> l	EPA							Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85			
		UNIT	ED STATES ENVIRONM	ENTAL PROTECTION	N AGENCY						
	NPDE										
			5	Section A: Nation	nal Data	System Coding					
1	Transaction Code         NPDES         Yr/Mo/Day         Inspect. Type         Inspector         Fac. Type           1         N         2         5         3         A         R         0         0         4         3         3         9         7         11         12         1         2         0         5         2         1         17         18         C         19         S         20         1										
	A   F   I   N   0	4	- 0 0 1	5 5	Remarks						
	Inspection Work Days 67 69	I	Facility Evaluation R 70 4	ating 71	BI N 72	QA	] 	Reserved			
				Section 1	B: Facilit	y Data					
inclı	te and Location of Facility Inspected ade POTW name and NPDES permit			harging to POTV	V, also	Entry Time/Date 9:00 a.m./5-21-2012		Permit Effective Date 3/1/2006			
4300	of Rogers DRainbow Road ers, AR 72756					Exit Time/Date 4:30 p.m./5-21-2012		Permit Expiration Date 2/28/2011			
	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/T			nber(s)			Oth	er Facility Data			
Rob	ert Moore/Plant Manager/479-27	5-7578	<u> </u>			-	PD	S #066416			
Rob City 4300 Rog	ne, Address of Responsible Official/ ert Moore/Plant Manager of Rogers D Rainbow Road ers, AR 72756 273-7378	Title/I	Phone and Fax Numb	per		Contacted Yes ✓ No □					
						During Inspection atisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)					
S	Permit	S	Flow Measuremen		~	perations & Maintenance	S	Sampling			
M	Records/Reports	S	Self-Monitoring I		_	ludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention			
S	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Sche		NI	retreatment	N	Multimedia			
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	M	Laboratory		N S	torm Water		Other:			
	8	Se	ction D: Summary	of Findings/Com	ments (A	ttach additional sheets if necessar	y)				
Q.	2. Duplicate samples are not	analy	zed on at least 10%	of the dissolved	oxygen s	d the date sampled. Mr. Moore up samples. This is in violation of Par	t 2, C	, 3 of your permit.			
Calibration records for the dissolved oxygen meter document the date and time of the calibrations and the name of the person performing the calibrations. It is recommended that the results of the calibrations be recorded to show that steps H and I of your SOP are being noted. In addition, any maintenance of the dissolved oxygen meter needs to be recorded in a log.											
Nar	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s	3)		Agency/Office/ AR Dept. of E		e/Fax ental Quality- Fayetteville		Date			
Alis	on West/			•		(479) 267-0819 (Fax)		June 21, 2012			
Sign	nature of Reviewer			Agency/Office	/Phone ar	nd Fax Numbers		Date			

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	□y □n ☑na □ne
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	☑y □n □na □ne
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	☐S ☑M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
DETAILS:	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	□S □M □U □NA □NE
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	□y Øn □na □ne
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	□y Øn □na □ne
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	□Y □N □NA □NE
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	□S ☑M □U □NA □NE
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	☐ ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	Øs □m □u □na □ne
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	Øy □n □na □ne
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	Øy □n □na □ne
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	□y □n ☑na □ne
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	□Y ØN □NA □NE
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	□y □n ☑na □ne

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	ØS □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	⊠y □n □na □ne
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	Øy □n □na □ne
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	⊠y □n □na □ne
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DM	R: <b>ØY  n  na  ne</b>
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS: Outfall 001-5 Foot Parshall Flume, Outfall 002-No Manual Flo	
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE	
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINE	
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	⊠y □n □na □ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	Øy □n □na □ne
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	Øy □n □na □ne
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREME	NTS DS DM DU DNA DNE
DETAILS:	
EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES;	):
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	⊠y □n □na □ne
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	□y ☑n □na □ne
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	□y ☑n □na □ne
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	⊠y □n □na □ne
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
a. LAB NAME: Huther & Associates, Inc	
b. LAB ADDRESS: 1156 North Bonnie Brae, Denton, TX 1702	E. Central, Ste. 10, Bentonville, AR
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: Chronic Biomonitoring Total	Suspended Solids Sample ID's: 120178, 120181, 120184
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy □n □na □ne
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE

SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS								
BASED ON	N VISUAL OBS	ERVATIONS C	NLY			⊠s □m □	U □NA □NE	
DETAILS:								
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER	
001	None	None	None	Trace	None	Clear		
002	None	None	None	Trace	None	Clear		
	H: SLUDGE							
SLUDGE D	DISPOSAL ME	ETS PERMIT F	REQUIREMEN	ΓS		⊠s □m □	U □NA □NE	
DETAILS:_	Clear Creek En	vironmental lan	nd applies waste	<u>e in KS.</u>				
1. SLUDGE M	IANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:				□U □NA □NE	
	ECORDS MAINTAINED					☑s □m	□U □NA □NE	
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, TY	PE OF LAND APPLIED	O TO: (E.G., FOREST,	AGRICULTURAL, PUE	BLIC CONTACT SITE):			
OFOTION	L CAMPLIN	O INODEOTIC	NI BROOFFI	IDEO				
	I: SAMPLIN							
	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	5			U □NA ☑NE	
DETAILS:	ODTAINED THIS INSE	TOTION:						
	OBTAINED THIS INSPE		AETHOD EDEOUE	NOV		Ц	□N □NA ☑NE	
	SAMPLE: GRAB: PRESERVED:	LCOMPOSITE: N	/IETHOD: FREQUE	NCY:		ПУ	□n □na ☑ne	
	PRESERVED.  DPORTIONED SAMPLE	S ORTAINED:					□N □NA ☑NE	
	BTAINED FROM FACIL		/ICE·				ON ONA MONE	
	EPRESENTATIVE OF \						□N □NA ☑NE	
	PLIT WITH PERMITTER						□N □NA ☑NE	
	CUSTODY PROCEDU						□N □NA ☑NE	
	COLLECTED IN ACCO		IT:				□N □NA ☑NE	
		-						
SECTION	J: STORM V	<b>VATER POLL</b>	UTION PRE	ENTION PLA	AN			
STORM W	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS		□ѕ□м□	U □NA ☑NE	
DETAILS:	Facility has No	Exposure Stori	mwater Certific	ate.	¥			
1. SWPPP UF	PDATED AS NEEDED:	DATE OF LAST UP	DATE:			□Y	□N □NA ☑NE	
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFAC	CE WATERS:			□Y	□N □NA ☑NE	
3. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	IDENTIFIED:				□Y	□n □na ☑ne	
4. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	PROPERLY TRAINED	:			□Y	□n □na ☑ne	
5. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL POLLUTANT	Γ SOURCES:				ΔY	□N □NA ☑NE	
6. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL SOURCES A	AND PAST SPILLS AND	D LEAKS:				□N □NA ☑NE	
7. ALL NON-S	STORM WATER DISCH	ARGES ARE AUTHOR	IZED:				□N □NA ☑NE	
8. LIST OF ST	TRUCTURAL BMPS:						□N □NA ☑NE	
9. LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:					□N □NA ☑NE	
	PERLY OPERATED A						□N □NA ☑NE	
11. INSPECTIO	ONS CONDUCTED AS I	REQUIRED:				□Y	□N □NA ☑NE	

#### Permit #: AR0043397

### FLOW CALCULATION SHEET

Date:	5-21-2012	Time:	10:33 a.m.
-------	-----------	-------	------------

Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device:

5 Foot Parshall Flume

Name & Model of Secondary Flow Measurement Device:

Ultra Sonic Transducer

Recorded Flow at Date & Time Listed Above: 5.08 MGD (Facility Flow Meter)

Calculated Flow at Date & Time Listed Above: 4.862 MGD

(Flow is calculated using flow charts in: ISCO Open Channel Flow Measurement Handbook-5<sup>th</sup> Edition)

% Error = 
$$\frac{5.08}{4.862}$$
 X 100

Comments:

AFIN: **04-00155** 

Permit #: AR0043397

## **DMR Calculation Check**

<b>Reporting Period:</b>	From	2012	12	01	To	2012	12	31
	•	Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day

Parameter Checked: TSS

	Loading Mass		entration onthly
	Mo. Avg lbs/day	Mo. Avg mg/l	7-day Avg mg/l
Reported Value:	22	0.3	2.1
Calculated Value:	22	0.3	2.1
Permit Value:	2335	20	30

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:



# Water Division Photograph Sheet

<b>Location:</b>	City	of Ro	gers										
Photographe	er:	Alison West						ness:	None				
Photo #	1	Of	1				D	ate:	5-21-201	5-21-2012 <b>Time:</b> 11:56 a			
Description:		Dissolv	ed oxy	gen record	s and c	alibrati	on log.			•			
				Dote  4-11-12 4-16-12 4-17-13 4-16-12 4-17-13 4-18-12 4-13-13 4-18-12 4-13-12 5-1-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12 5-7-12	AG AG AG MS MS		TER REA rer Operations 50  DD Reading 114, 18  114, 18  11, 19	Read By ATH AG	Time Read				

From: Stout, Marilyn
To: Miller, Dennise

Subject: FW: ADEQ LETTER 7-2-12

**Date:** Monday, July 02, 2012 12:45:07 PM

Attachments: ADEQ Letter 7-2-12.pdf

Importance: High

Response to inspection. Don't know if you already saw this or not.

#### Marilyn

From: Uyeda, Craig

**Sent:** Monday, July 02, 2012 12:42 PM **To:** Sawyer, Sam; Stout, Marilyn **Subject:** FW: ADEQ LETTER 7-2-12

Importance: High

#### FYI

From: Robert Moore [mailto:RobertMoore@RWU.ORG]

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2012 12:30 PM
To: Water-Ispection-report@adeq.state.ar.us
Cc: Fleming, Eric; Shafii, Mo; Uyeda, Craig

Subject: ADEQ LETTER 7-2-12

Importance: High

In response to letter dated June 25,2012

Robert Moore Plant Manager Rogers Water Utilities Pollution Control Facility 479-273-7378 ext 110

This email is intended solely for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Copying, forwarding or distributing this message by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the material from any computer.



## ROGERS POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY

"Serving Rogers - Protecting Our Environment"

Monday, July 02, 2012

Mr. Eric Fleming
NPDES Inspection Branch
Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality
5301 Northshore Drive
North Little Rock, AR 72118-5317

Re: AFIN: No.: 04-00155, NPDES Permit AR0043397

This letter is in response to the letter from your department dated June 25, 2012. The compliance evaluation inspection section of this letter;

1. Stated that we did not include the sampler, time of sample and the date on our effluent dissolved oxygen form which put us in violation of part II section C, 8a and 8b of our permit.

We have included a copy of our form as exhibit (A). Please note that column 1 is the date, column 2 the person that calibrated the meter, and in column 3 is the time, in column 4 the D.O. reading, in column 5 is the person that took the reading and column 6 is the time the reading was taken. Exhibit (B) is the photocopy of our form (A) taken by your inspector on May 21, 2012. I believe exhibit (A) is a little easier to read.

We have changed our form as requested by your inspector; the new form is included as exhibit (C). Please note the only difference is now we take 2 samples within 15 min. to comply with the request. Other than that, the form is basically the same. It was also requested that we needed to add flow to the form. We do not understand the reason for adding flow to the form. Can you please clarify the reason?

In the letter dated June 25, 2012, Compliance Evaluation Inspection, the ADEQ Inspector asserts that:

2. Duplicate samples are not analyzed on at least 10% of the dissolved oxygen samples. This is in violation of Part 2, C, 3 of your permit.



## ROGERS POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY

"Serving Rogers - Protecting Our Environment"

Rogers Pollution Control Facility (RPCF) is well aware of the requirement in our permit "At a minimum, spikes and duplicate samples are to be analyzed on 10% of the samples." RPCF takes pride in enforcing a stringent quality control program and strict compliance with 40 CFR as well as all NPDES permit requirements. We respectfully disagree with the finding we are in violation of our permit for not analyzing dissolved oxygen samples in duplicate.

40 CFR Vol. 77, No. 97 page 29769 states that in cases where one or more of the twelve QC elements do not apply to a given method, the lab may provide a written rationale for not including those elements in their standard operating procedures for that analysis. Please note that our permit requires spike analysis as well as duplicates, on a minimum of 10% of samples. The fact that spike analysis, which we do not do on DO samples, was not mentioned as a permit violation, seems to acknowledge that not all QC elements are applicable to all analyses. Please consider our rationale for not performing duplicate dissolved oxygen analyses.

The two methods for DO analysis are Iodometric, and Membrane Electrode. The Iodometric Method is not suited for field testing. It involves collecting a sample in a stoppered glass bottle, adding chemicals and titrating to a clear endpoint. This method is prone to interferences and requires analytical expertise to do correctly. As it is a laboratory procedure involving contained samples which can be preserved, this method lends itself to checking precision of analysis by analyzing duplicates.

The approved method RPCF follows is SM 4500-O G. Membrane Electrode Method. This method offers the advantage of analysis in situ, which eliminates the errors caused by sample handling and storage. And, as in situ monitoring eliminates sample collection, there is no opportunity for precision (duplicate) monitoring. As the dissolved oxygen content in a sample changes with contact with air or turbulence, there is no true duplicate sample analysis possible. If we analyze the Effluent stream twice, in rapid succession, we have two discrete results. We are not measuring the same water because it is moving. They are not duplicate samples.

Because of the care that is taken in calibrating and checking our DO equipment, and because we have chosen the most accurate method of analysis possible, and because we monitor several times each week, we respectfully contend that our data and our quality control is adequate.



## ROGERS POLLUTION CONTROL FACILITY

"Serving Rogers - Protecting Our Environment"

We firmly believe that RPCF has not violated Part 2, C, 3 of our permit. We request a telephone conference or meeting with Mr. Mo Shafii, Mr. Craig Uyeda and you to discuss this. Our hope is that this can be resolved with no changes in procedures that were established in 1997 and have been followed since then without problems. However, we will do whatever is necessary to meet your requirements. If there are issues that cannot be resolved during the discussion, we will subsequently offer a written response plan. Our only objective is to avoid the implementation of costly and unnecessary changes to a state of the art system that has served us well and protected the environment for over fourteen years.

Yours truly

Robert Moore Plant Manager

et Mone

Cc: Mo Shafii

Craig Uyeda

# EFFLUENT DO METER READINGS

Caibration Preformed Per Operations SOP #8

Date	Calibrated By	Time	DO Reading	Read By	Time Read
4-11-12	MH	0845	14.18	MH	0931
4-16-12	A.C.	0905	11.01	A G7	0927
4-17-12	A.G.	05,07	11.55	19	c735
4-18-12	AG,	o8 47	11.14	12 Gy	0936
4-23-12	Mis	0823	11.10	/4 s	0923
4-24-12	MS	0817	11. 38	MS	0854
4-25-13	147	0815	11.26	MS	0855
4-30-12	JA	0755	10.36	JA	1149
5-1-12	JA	0800	9.06	JA	0845
5-2-12	JA	0745	10.77	JA	0930
5-7-12	MH	0843	10.38	MH	0902
5-8-12	MH	0847	10,28	MH	0857
5-9-12	Mhi	0822	10:19	MH	0926
8-14-12	26	018120	10.60	AG	0856
5-15-12	AG	0823	10,72	AG	08:48
3-16-12	AG	0816	10.35	AG	0900
5-4-12	MS	0819	10.73	MS	0859
5-22-12	MS	0826	9.55	MS	0854
5-23-12	M 5	2280	10.43	i M	0854
5-89-13	JA	0915	9.44	2 lg	2940
5-30-12	J.9	0155	9:34	AC	0950
		~~~~			
			B		
		a me	M		
	(		<u>u</u>		
			7 7-		

EXhibit (A)



A R K A N S A S Department of Environmental Quality

## Water Division Photograph Sheet

Photographer:	Alis	on W	est				Wit	tness:	Non	ie		The Control of the State of the
Photo# 1	C	)f	1				D	ate:	5-21	-2012	Time:	11:56 a.m.
Description:	Diss	olved	oxyg	en record	s and o	calibrat	on log.			***************************************	٠,	
				Date	California i	NT DO M. With in Prefamed &	TER REA  ***Decardance**  ***THE H ***T   **	DINGS	500 had 127.1.1.1.2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.			

# **EFFLUENT DO METER READINGS**

Calibration Performed Per Operations SOP #8

Calibration		DO	mg/L	DO	mg/L	
Date/Time	Analyst	M	L/Time	11	2/Time	Flow/MGD
5:31-12 0855	JA	4.68	1159	9.72	1202	4.86
6-4-12/0915	MH	8.95	0929	9.10	0936	6.40
6-5-12/0842	MH	9.29	1024	9.15	1029	4.92
6-6-12/0845	MH	8.95	0854	8.98	0857	3.77
6-11-12/0815	AGI	11.09	0.850	11.15	c255	3.17
6-12-12/0822	AG	7.46	0928	7.31	0946	4.39
6-13-12/ 0820	AG	7.91	0913	9.73	05118	4-45
6-18-12/ 0843	MS	9.20	0854	9.17	0900	4.44
619-121 0824	Ms	9.48	0956	9.64	0902	4.07
6-20-12/0867	MS	9. 40	0857	9.57	0963	4.23
2-25-12/6810	JA	8.90	0859	8,73	0902	5.14
10-26-12/0755	JA	9.22	6887	8.86	0904	5.37
5-27-12/0750	JA	6:90	0956	9:13	0959	4.56
					-	
				5.6	-	
			1	7/		
		(C)	- '-			
				5.77		
			30	19 W		
			GU	AT H		

Exhibit(C)



July 5, 2012

Robert Moore, Plant Manager City of Rogers 4300 Rainbow Road Rogers, AR 72756

,

AFIN: 04-00155 Permit No.: AR0043397

Dear Mr. Moore:

I have reviewed the response pertaining to my May 21, 2012, inspection of the Rogers Pollution Control Facility. Based on the information provided in your response, it has been determined that your facility was being operated in accordance with the requirements of the permit at the time of the inspection. At this time, the Department has no further comment concerning this particular inspection. Acceptance of this response by the Department does not preclude any future enforcement action deemed necessary at this site or any other site.

If we need further information, we will contact you. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact me at 479-267-0811, ext. 12 or you may e-mail me at west@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely,

District 1 Field Inspector Water Division

Joy alisan West

......

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch
Water Division Permits Branch