

June 25, 2012

Robert Moore, Plant Manager City of Rogers 4300 Rainbow Road Rogers, AR 72756

AFIN: 04-00155, NPDES Permit Nos: AR0043397 and ARR00C388 (No Exposure), Routine Compliance Inspection

Dear Mr. Moore

On May 21, 2012, I performed a routine compliance inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. The inspection also included an evaluation of the "no exposure" certification submitted by the City of Rogers under NPDES Permit ARR00C388. The inspection revealed the following:

NPDES Permit AR0043397, Compliance Evaluation Inspection

- 1. Dissolved oxygen records did not include: the sampler, the time sampled, and the date sampled. This is in violation of Part II, Section C, 8a and 8b of your permit.
- 2. Duplicate samples are not analyzed on at least 10% of the dissolved oxygen samples. This is in violation of Part 2, C, 3 of your permit.

Calibration records for the dissolved oxygen meter document the date and time of the calibrations and the name of the person performing the calibrations. It is recommended that the results of the calibrations be recorded to show that steps H and I of your SOP are being noted. In addition, any maintenance of the dissolved oxygen meter needs to be recorded in a log.

NPDES Permit AR0043397, Pretreatment Compliance Inspection

The inspection revealed the City of Rogers is in compliance with the terms of your permit.

NPDES Permit ARR00C388 (No Exposure)

The inspection revealed the City of Rogers is in compliance with the "no exposure" certification for this facility.

Mr. Moore, Rogers Pollution Control Facility June 25, 2012 Page 2

The above items require your immediate attention. Please submit a written response to these findings to the Water Division Inspection Branch of this Department. This response should be mailed to the address at the bottom of the first page of the letter or e-mailed to Water-Inspection-report@adeq.state.ar.us. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentation (i.e. photos) is due by July 6, 2012.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at west@adeq.state.ar.us or 479-267-0811, ext. 12.

Sincerely,

Alison West

District 1 Field Inspector

you alisan West

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

\$EPA

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85

		UNIT	TED STATES ENVIRONMI Washington	ENTAL PROTECTION n, D.C. 20460	N AGEN	ICY			
	NPDE	S (Complianc	e Inspec	tio	n F	Report		
			S	Section A: Nation	nal Da	ıta Sy	stem Coding		
1			NPDES 0 4 3 3		12 Remark	1 ks	Yr/Mo/Day 2 0 5 2 2 17	Ins 18	pec. Type Inspector Fac. Type P 19 S 20 1
	A F I N 0	4	- 0 0 1	5 5		,			
	Inspection Work Days 67 69]	Facility Evaluation R	ating F	BI N	72	QA	L	Reserved
				Section B	3: Fac	ility I			T
incli City	ne and Location of Facility Inspected ade POTW name and NPDES permit of Rogers			harging to POTW	V, also	,	Entry Time/Date 9:00 a.m./5-22-2012 8:15 a.m./5-23-2012		Permit Effective Date 3/1/2006
) Rainbow Road ers, AR 72756						Exit Time/Date 4:30 p.m./5-22-2012 1:25 p.m./5-23-2012		Permit Expiration Date 2/28/2011
	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/T I Burns/Pretreatment Coordinator			iber(s)				Otl	ner Facility Data
Rob City 430 Rog	ne, Address of Responsible Official/ pert Moore/Plant Manager of Rogers D Rainbow Road ers, AR 72756 -273-7378	Title/	Phone and Fax Numb	er			Contacted Yes ✓ No □		
				tion C: Areas Every, M = Marginal,			ring Inspection sfactory, N = Not Evaluated)		
N	Permit	N	Flow Measuremen	nt	N	Оре	erations & Maintenance	N	Sampling
N	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring F	Program	N	Sluc	dge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
N	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schee	dules	S	Pre	treatment	N	Multimedia
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory		N		rm Water		Other:
Th	e pretreatment program was rated						ach additional sheets if necessar	'y)	
	e preueumen program was race	, See Li	and appear	s to se operating	, uccor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to the terms of the perman		
	ne(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s	s)			viron	ment	Fax al Quality- Fayetteville 79) 267-0819 (Fax)		Date June 21, 2012
	nature of Reviewer			Agency/Office/	/Phone	e and	Fax Numbers		Date

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Rogers
AFIN Number: 04-00155
NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0043397, AR0043397C, ARR00C388
Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0043397
Fact Sheet Preparation Date: 8-15-06
Date of Last PCI/Audit:December 18 & 21, 2009/June 13-15, 2011
Date of Last Annual Report: January 27, 2012
Name of Inspector: Alison West
Date PCI Performed: May 22 & 23, 2012
Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Paul Burns/Pretreatment Coordinator/479-273-7378
Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A
Number of IUs Visited: 2
Name(s) of IUs Visited: Preformed Line Products Company, Glad
Manufacturing Company
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- 1. List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. Southeast Poultry was added to the program October 2010. Strateline was deleted from the program May 2010.
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? Yes
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey?

 Industry user waste survey forms, site visits, Chamber of

 Commerce business listing, permit reapplication requirements,

 review of water billing records, review of phone book.
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 12
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 6
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Site inspections, BMRs, industry user survey form, Federal Register 40 CFR, telephone book, ADEQ website
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
Bekeart	Metal Finishing	Electroplating/
		Coating
Mafco	Metal Finishing	Coating
Preformed Line	Aluminum Forming	Cleaning bath and
		rinse
Superior Industries	Metal Finishing	Coating
Kennametal	Non Ferrous Metals	Refractory Metals
	Forming and Metal	Forming
	Powders	

B. LOCAL LIMITS

2.	Describe NA	any apparent	problems with	the local lir	nits.
3.	sludge prequirem	n are pollutan erformed by th ents of the ap sheet) and pa	e POTW? Does	this fulfill m (as describe	the ed in
			Require	ment in	
Pol	lutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Met	als:				
In	fluent:	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	NA	NA
Εf	fluent:	1/Qtr	1/Qtr	NA	NA
	Sludge:	NE	NE	NA NA	NA
Org	anics:				
_	fluent:	1/YR	1/YR	NA	NA
Ef	fluent:	1/YR	1/YR	NA	NA
	Sludge:	NE	NE	NA	NA_
4.	(since to caused be action to	re been any in he last PCI of y industrial daken by the Ci	Audit) which lischarges? I	were believed f so, describe that the incident	d to be e the

\sim	INDUSTRIAL	IICED	$C \cap NITTD \cap I$	MECHANITOM
.	INDOSIVIAL	OSEK	CONTROL	MECHANISM

1.	Is the PO	TW using [.]	the type of	f control me	chanism (p	ermit,
	agreement	, etc.) re	equired by	the approve	d program?	Yes

- 2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued? 12
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.

 Yes
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

(Reviewed Preformed Line Products Company and Glad Manufacturing control documents.)

An expiration date: Yes
Discharge limitations: Yes
If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:
IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes
IU reporting requirements: Yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location: Yes
Type of sample: Yes
Monitoring frequency: Yes
Bypass prohibition: Yes
Right of entry: Yes
Nontransferability: Yes
Revocation clause: Yes
Penalty Provisions: Yes
Slug load notification: Yes
Notification of process change: Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current insrequirement below:	spection and sampling fr	equency and program
	2.1	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:
	Sampling: categorical IUs	1-2/YR	_1/YR
	other SIUs	1-2/YR	1/YR
	Inspection: categorical IUs	1/YR	1/YR
	other SIUs	1/YR	1/YR
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN	NSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT ROVED PROGRAM? Yes	THE FREQUENCY
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Announced
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Y	es
5.	the following: (Rev		-
	Date and time of ins		
	Officials present:	Yes	
	Inspection of chemic	cal storage areas: Yes	
		ated processes, categor of these waste streams:	ical waste streams, and Yes
	Inspection of the pr	retreatment facilities:	Yes
	Review of self-monit	coring records: Yes	
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedure	s: Yes
	Verification that ag	pproved analytical techn	iques are used: Yes
	Verification of IU	flow measurement (where	required): Yes
6.	Overall adequacy of	inspection documentatio	n: Very Good.
	Inspections are co	mprehensive.	

7.	DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). Yes
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? N/E
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? All reports, etc. are logged in upon receipt,
	reviewed, and filed. The data is inputted into the
	tracking system.
15.	ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes, by Paul
	Burns. Information is entered into Ops 32 and a word
	document in the computer. The report is filed in the I.U. file.
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

-	HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?: NA
ć	Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identifiin the BMR:
]	Name and address: NA
(Other environmental permits held: NA
]	Description of operations: NA
	Process flow diagrams: NA
]	Flow measurements: NA
Ι	Measurements of regulated pollutants: NA
(Certification of compliance by the IU: NA
(Compliance schedule (if needed): NA
	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures:

E. Enforcement

STANDARDS	ADDRESS EVERY IU V AND REQUIREMENTS?	Yes	
. How does t	he POTW respond to	the following vio	lations?
Effluent l	imitations: Nov to AO	to fines (can escalate)	
Late repor	ts: NOV (unintentional);	NOV & AO (SNC); can escalat	te
Unpermitte	d discharges: Nov (no	harm); AO (harm); can esc	calate
Slug loads		ure to respond w/in 5 days) uys; can escalate	; AO (failure to notify
DEVELOPED	IN ACCORDANCE WITH	EPA REGION VI CR	ITERIA FOR
SIGNIFICAN	T VIOLATING INDUSTR city of Rogers did	IAL USER (DATED A	UGUST 22,
SIGNIFICAN 1985)? The violator i List the S Violator w enforcemen constructi	T VIOLATING INDUSTR city of Rogers did	the criteria for sonths, and describeen taken by the ase indicate wheth	Significant pe the POTW. If her the IU
SIGNIFICAN 1985)? The violator i List the S Violator w enforcemen constructi has been p Name:	T VIOLATING INDUSTRE City of Rogers did not 2011. IUs which have met ithin the last 12 met action which has on is required, ple laced on an enforce	the criteria for sonths, and describeen taken by the ase indicate wheth	Significant pe the POTW. If her the IU
SIGNIFICAN 1985)? The violator i List the S Violator w enforcemen constructi has been p	T VIOLATING INDUSTRE City of Rogers did not 2011. IUs which have met ithin the last 12 met action which has on is required, ple laced on an enforce Type of	the criteria for sonths, and describeen taken by the ase indicate whethable compliance so	Significant oe the POTW. If her the IU chedule. Compliance
SIGNIFICAN 1985)? The violator i List the S Violator w enforcemen constructi has been p Name:	T VIOLATING INDUSTRE City of Rogers did not 2011. IUs which have met ithin the last 12 met action which has on is required, ple laced on an enforce Type of	the criteria for sonths, and describeen taken by the ase indicate whethable compliance so	Significant oe the POTW. If her the IU chedule. Compliance
SIGNIFICAN 1985)? The violator i List the S Violator w enforcemen constructi has been p Name:	T VIOLATING INDUSTRE City of Rogers did not 2011. IUs which have met ithin the last 12 met action which has on is required, ple laced on an enforce Type of	the criteria for sonths, and describeen taken by the ase indicate whethable compliance so	Significant oe the POTW. If her the IU chedule. Compliance

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: The enforcement program has been implemented in accordance with the Enforcement Response Plan.					
•						
F	POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE					
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes					
2.	Are staffing levels adequate? <u>Inadequate. Mr. Burns states</u> approval has been obtained to hire a full time employee.					
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes					
G.	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES					
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: No					
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/E					
	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? No					
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators?					
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: NA					

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

The pretreatment staff is very knowledgeable of the program and requirements. Records are well organized. Inspections appear to be thorough.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Glad Manufacturing Company					
POTW Name: City of Rogers					
Industry Contacts: Mike Watkins-Environmental Technician					
Date and Time of Visit: <u>5-23-2012/11:30 a.m12:45 p.m.</u>					
Description of Manufacturing Process: Extrusion of thermoformed polyethylene into plastic sheets to be converted into bags and					
wrap.					
Sources of Process Wastewater: Location 001-Diluted water based ink, washed off extrusion printers, cooling tower/water softener blowdown					
Location 002-cooling tower blowdown					
Categorical Industry? No					
Basis for Limits: City Ordinance					

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Glad Manufacturing does not have a pretreatment system at either location. Glad Manufacturing has been able to meet permit limits without additional treatment.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: SPCC plan, slug control plan, plugged majority of the floor drains, and secondary containment is used throughout the facility.

Sampling Location and Equipment: Location 001-monitoring site flume is located on the SE corner of the south plant. Location 002 is located on the NE corner of the north plant. Location 001 has a refrigerated automatic sampler (ISCO 3710R) and an ISCO 4230 Bubbler Flow Meter. Location 002 is no longer in use.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Preformed Line Products Company

POTW Name: City of Rogers

Industry Contacts: Steve Renfro, Senior Industrial Engineer

Date and Time of Visit: May 23, 2012/9:30 a.m.-11:10 a.m.

Description of Manufacturing Process:

Aluminum wire is coated with oil, drawn, and formed. Then, it is cleaned in an alkaline wash. Galvanized wire is formed and then cleaned in a separate alkaline wash. After cleaning, both types of wire share the same rinse tank. Other processes include: tumbling for deburring; stamping of aluminum and stainless steel; twisted steel cables for pole line hardware; and using neoprene for welding and assembling of telephone cable splices. Injection and compression molding. Plastic resins are used to mold various casings and hardware parts (no discharge). Finishing includes gluing, cabling, bending, and packing.

Sources of Process Wastewater:

PW2: wastestream from aluminum and galvanized rinse tank; PW3: wastestream from aluminum cleaning tank; PW4: non/categorical wastestream from galvanized cleaning tank

Categorical Industry? Yes

Basis for Limits: 40 CFR 467.55, subpart E

Point of Application: Point of discharge

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:

All required process waste flows to a 5,500 gallon above ground storage tank located outside next to the north wall. After collection, the waste is batch treated. Water passes through an oil skimmer. Water is pumped to a holding tank where a permanganate oxidizer is added to solidfy the oil and grease. The wastewater is then pumperd through a series of three filters with media that removes the metals and solids. pH is also adjusted during this time. The filters backwash to the same 5500 gallon storage tank.

Wastewater from the galvanized and aluminum clean lines is							
dumped every 4-5 weeks. The volume is 4000 gallons per dump per							
tank. The 4000 gallons from the galvanized and aluminum							
cleaning tank runs through pretreatment. The rinse tank rinses							
both galvanized and aluminum product from the 2 clean lines.							
The rinse tank does not go through pretreatment and flows							
through the monitoring flume. Almost all ancillary process							
wastewater goes through pretreatment.							

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
Slug control plan

Sampling Location and Equipment:

A monitoring site flume located outside along the north central wall of the facility. Facility uses an ISCO Model 4310
Ultrasonic flow meter and an ISCO automatic sampler.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE			
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Al	ison West				
NAME OF FACILITY:	City	y of Rogers				
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	A	R0043397	NPID			
DATE OF PCI:	May 22 & 23, 2012		DTIA			
PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS						
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICA	NT IUS (SIUS):	12	SIUS			
NUMBER OF CATEGORIC	AL IUS:	5	CIUS			
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY						
POTW:		0	NOIN			
SIUS WITHOUT CONTRO	L MECHANISM:	0	NOCM			
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE						
WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING: O PSN						
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT WITH SELF-MONITORIN		0	MSNC			
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT						
INSPECTED OR SAMPLE	D BY POTW:	0	SNIN			