

June 27, 2012

Honorable Charles Linam City of Decatur P.O. Box 247 Decatur, Arkansas 72722

RE: NPDES Permit Compliance Evaluation Inspection

AFIN: 04-00052 NPDES Permit Tracking No.: AR0022292

Dear Mayor Linam:

On June 26, 2012, I performed a routine permit compliance evaluation inspection of the Decatur wastewater treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. At the time of the inspection, the facility was in compliance with the requirements of the permit.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 479-267-0811, ext. 16.

Sincerely,

John Fazio

District 1 Inspector

Water Division

cc: Water Division Enforcement Branch

Water Division Permits Branch

<b>\$</b> EPA								Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003			
	NPDE										
			5	Section A: Nation	nal Da	ıta S	ystem Coding				
									pec. Type Inspector Fac. Type  C 19 S 20 1		
	A F I N 0	4	- 0 0 0	5 2							
	Inspection Work Days 67 69	]	Facility Evaluation R 70 5	Sating 71	BI N	72	QA 74 75		Reserved		
				Section 1	B: Fac	ility	Data				
incli	ne and Location of Facility Inspected ude POTW name and NPDES permit of <b>Decatur POTW</b>			charging to POTV	V, also		Entry Time/Date 0905 / 06-26-12		Permit Effective Date June 01, 2009		
	Austin Avenue atur, Arkansas 72722						Exit Time/Date 1225 / 06-26-12		Permit Expiration Date May 31, 2014		
Nan <b>Jan</b>	ne(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Tnes Boston, Public Works Director	itle(s) , <b>479</b> -	/Phone and Fax Num 752-3912, 479-752-8	nber(s) 8336				Oth	ther Facility Data		
Cha City P.O Dec	ne, Address of Responsible Official/ nrles Linam, Mayor of Decatur . Box 247 atur, Arkansas 72722 -752-3912, 479-752-8336	Title/l	Phone and Fax Numb	ber			Contacted Yes ✓ No □	Ou	ntfall 001: 36 20' 37", -94 28' 24"		
				tion C: Areas Ev y, M = Marginal,			uring Inspection sfactory, N = Not Evaluated)				
S	Permit	S	Flow Measuremen	nt	S	Op	erations & Maintenance	S	Sampling		
S	Records/Reports	S	Self-Monitoring I	Program	S	Slu	dge Handling/Disposal	N	N Pollution Prevention		
S	<b>Facility Site Review</b>	N	Compliance Sche	dules	N	Pre	etreatment	N	Multimedia		
S	Effluent/Receiving Waters	S	Laboratory		N		rmwater		Other:		
		Se	ction D: Summary	of Findings/Com	ments	(At	ach additional sheets if necessar	<b>y</b> )			
rev	DMRs and DMR calculating spreadsheets were reviewed for the months of March 2012 through May 2012. The January 2012 biomonitoring report was reviewed.  During the period from March through May, 2012, TSS removal ranged from 92 -98%. CBOD removal was 99% each month.										
No violations were noted at the time of the inspection.											
10 / 90 /					nviron	men	Fax tal Quality-Fayetteville 9-267-0819 (fax)		Date June 27, 2012		
Signature of Reviewer Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers Date							Date				

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: <b>04-00052</b>	Permit #: AR0022292

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION					-	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	⊠s			J $\Box$	NA	
DETAILS:						
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW, DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:			□Y	□N	Øna	□NE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION						
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	⊠s			J	NA	
DETAILS:						
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:			ØY	□и	□NA	□NE
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:		⊠s	□м	□u	□NA	□NE
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:			Øγ	ПΝ	□па	□NE
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:			Øγ	□м	□na	□NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:			ØY	□и	□NA	□NE
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:			ØY	□и	□NA	□NE
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:			ØY	□и	□NA	□NE
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:			ØΥ	□и	□NA	□NE
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:		₩s	□м	□u	□NA	□NE
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:		Øs	□м	□υ	□NA	□NE
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:			ØY	□и	□NA	□NE
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE						
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	Øs		1 🗆	JΕ	NA	□NE
DETAILS:						
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:		₩s	□м	□υ	□NA	□NE
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:		Øs	□м	□u	□na	□NE
<ol> <li>STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED: <u>EQ basin available – gravity flow to EQ Basin from collection syste</u></li> <li>Mr. Boston estimated that 36-48 hours are available before hydraulic overload occurs. 1 megawatt generator to be in service</li> </ol>		⊠s	□м	□υ	□na	□NE
later this year.						
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE: <u>Auto-Dialer for Influent</u>						□NE
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:						□NE
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:						□NE
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:		₩S				□NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:						□NE
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:						□NE
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED: <u>Equalization basin available</u> 11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR: <b>Collection</b>	n					□NE
system only	<u>""</u>					□NE
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:			ØY	□N	□NA	□NE
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS: Very significant progress in prevention of SSOs			Øγ	□м	□NA	□NE
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:			□Y	ØN	□NA	□NE
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:			□Y	□N	ØNA	□NE

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: <b>04-00052</b>	Permit #: AR0022292

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
DETAILS:	•
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	Øy □n □na □ne
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy □n □na □ne
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE: 9" Parshall Flu	ıme ☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	□y □n □na ☑ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	•
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES):	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT: (Thermometers replaced annual	ally)
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	ØY □N □NA □NE
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	Øy □n □na □ne
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
a. LAB NAME: Environmental Services Co., Inc. Pace Analytical Services, Inc.	
b. LAB ADDRESS: 1107 Century Ave., Springdale, AR 72762 9608 Loiret Blvd., Lenexa, KS 6	66219
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: NH3-N, NO2+NO3, TP, CBOD, FCB, TSS Biomonitoring	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	ØY □N □NA □NE
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	□y □n ☑na □ne

ADEQ Water NPDES Inspection	AFIN: <b>04-00052</b>	Permit #: AR0022292

SECTION	G: EFFLUE	NT/RECEIVIN	IG WATERS	OBSERVATION	ONS						
BASED ON	M DU DNA I	□NE									
DETAILS:	DETAILS:										
OUTFALL #:											
001	none	none	not visible	none	none	clear	no ode	or			
SECTION H: SLUDGE DISPOSAL											
SLUDGE D	DISPOSAL ME	ETS PERMIT F	REQUIREMEN	TS		⊠s □	M □U □NA I	□NE			
DETAILS:	Nevada, MO for	r land application	<u>on</u>		<b>'</b>						
1. SLUDGE M	IANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			<b>1</b>	S DM DU DNA	□NE			
2. SLUDGE R	ECORDS MAINTAINED	AS REQUIRED BY 4	0 CFR 503:				S DM DU DNA	ØNE			
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, TY	PE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST	, AGRICULTURAL, PUI	BLIC CONTACT SITE):						
SECTION	I: SAMPLIN	G INSPECTION	ON PROCED	URES							
SAMPLE F	RESULTS WITH	IIN PERMIT R	<b>EQUIREMENT</b>	ΓS		□s □	M □U ☑NA I	□NE			
DETAILS:					<u>.</u>						
1. SAMPLES	OBTAINED THIS INSPI	ECTION:					□Y ØN □NA	□NE			
2. TYPE OF S	AMPLE: GRAB:	COMPOSITE: I	METHOD: FREQUE	ENCY:							
3. SAMPLES	PRESERVED:						□Y □N ☑NA	□NE			
4. FLOW PRO	PORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:					□Y □N ☑NA	□NE			
5. SAMPLE O	BTAINED FROM FACIL	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	VICE:				□Y □N ØNA	□NE			
6. SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	OLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:				□Y □N ØNA	□NE			
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTEI	≣:					□Y □N ☑NA	□NE			
8. CHAIN-OF-	CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:					□y □n Øna	□NE			
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IIT:				□Y □N ☑NA	□NE			
SECTION	J: STORMW	ATER POLL	UTION PRE	/ENTION PLA	AN .						
STORMWA	ATER MANAGE	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS			M 🗆U 🗆NA l	ØNE			
DETAILS:											
1. SWPPP UF	PDATED AS NEEDED:	_ DATE OF LAST UP	PDATE:				□Y □N □NA	ØNE			
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISCH	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:				□Y □N □NA	ØNE			
3. POLLUTIO	3. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM IDENTIFIED:										
4. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM PROPERLY TRAINED:											
5. LIST OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES:											
6. LIST OF PO	6. LIST OF POTENTIAL SOURCES AND PAST SPILLS AND LEAKS:										
7. ALL NON-S		□Y □N □NA									
8. LIST OF ST		□Y □N □NA	ØNE								
9. LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:					□Y □N □NA ☑NE				
10. BMPS PRC	PERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:					□Y □N □NA				
11. INSPECTIO	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:					□Y □N □NA	ØNE			
]											

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET								
Date: 0	<b>16/26/12</b> Ti	me: 113	32					
	<del>.</del>							
Head in Inc	hes:	Feet:	0.76					
Type & Size	e of Primary Flow N	/leasurem	nent Device:	9" Pai	rshall Flur	ne		
Name & Mo	del of Secondary I	Flow Mea	surement D	evice:	ISCO 42	10 Ultrasonic Flow		
					Meter			
Date of last	Calibration of Sec	ondary Fl	ow Device:	07/02/0	9 - % error	consistently < +/- 10%		
		_						
Recorded F	low at Date & Time	e Listed A	Above: <b>876</b>	gpm		(Facility Flow Meter)		
	Flow at Date & Tim			06 gpm				
(Flow is calculate	ed using flow charts in: IS	CO Open Ch	nannel Flow Mea	surement	Handbook-5 <sup>th</sup>	Edition)		
				1	,			
% Error =	Recorded Value	- Calculated Value			00			
70 LITOI =	Calcu	lated Valu	re					
% Error =	876	-	906	— X 1	00			
70 LIIOI =		906						
% Error =	-30	X 100						
/0 LIIOI =	906	X 100						
% Error =	-0.033	X 100						
% Error =	-3.3	%						
Comments: Less than +/- 10%								
•								

## **DMR Calculation Check**

Reporting Period: From 2012 04 01 To 2012 04 30 Year Month Day Year Month Day

Parameter Checked: Total P

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly			
	Mo. Avg lbs/day	Mo. Avg mg/l	7-day Avg mg/l		
Reported Value:	6.1	0.53	0.7		
Calculated Value:	6.1	0.53	0.7		
Permit Value:	18.3	1.0	1.0		

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

## **DMR Calculation Check**

Reporting Period: From 2012 04 01 To 2012 04 30

Year Month Day Year Month Day

Parameter Checked: TSS

	Loading Mass	Concentration Monthly			
	Mo. Avg lbs/day	Mo. Avg mg/l	7-day Avg mg/l		
Reported Value:	81.4	6.9	9.3		
Calculated Value:	81.4	6.9	9.3		
Permit Value:	275.2	15	22.5		

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: