

November 1, 2016

Honorable Johnny Brigham, Mayor City of Dumas P.O. Box 157 Dumas, AR 71639

RE: City of Dumas Inspections (Desha Co)

AFIN: 21-00045 NPDES Permit No.: AR0033987 AFIN: 21-00265 ARR000150

Dear Mayor Brigham:

On October 11, 2016, I conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection, Sanitary Sewer Collection System Inspection, and Industrial Stormwater Inspection of the above-referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Copies of the inspection reports are enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to each of the attached inspection reports for any comments.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at henderson@adeq.state.ar.us or (870) 247-5155.

Sincerely,

Steven L. Henderson

Steven L. Honderson

District 6 Field Inspector

Water Division

| VDEO | WATER DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------------|--|
| AULU | | IN: 21-00045 PI | ERMIT #: AR0033 | RMIT #: AR0033987 | | | DATE: 10/11/2016 | |
| ARKANSAS | CC | DUNTY: 21 Desha | 1 | PDS # | #: 09363 0 |) | MEDIA: WN | |
| Department of Environmental Quality | GF | S LAT: 33.89029 | 93 LONG: -91.465429 LOCATION: Entrance | | | | | |
| FACILITY INFORMAT | | INS | SPECT | TION INF | ORN | MATION | | |
| NAME: City of Dumas WWTP LOCATION: | FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: 1 - Municipal 26075 S - State | | | | | | | |
| 204 Ford Loop Road | | | FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE: 3 - Satisfactory Compliance Evaluation | | | | | |
| Dumas, AR | | | 1 / | RY TIME: | 12:00 | | PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE: | |
| RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL NAME: / TITLE | | | 10/11/2016 09:00 12:00 12/1/2011 PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE: 11/30/2016 | | | | | |
| Johnny Brigham, / Mayor | | | FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: N | | | | | |
| City of Dumas | | | FAYETTEVILLE | | | | | |
| MAILING ADDRESS: P.O. Box 157 | | | | | TION PAF | | | |
| CITY, STATE, ZIP: | | | NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL | /ETC.: | | | - | |
| Dumas, AR 71639 PHONE & EXT: / FAX: 870-382- 2121 / EMAIL: dumas@centurytel.net | Patrick Fitzgerald, Water/Sewer Superintendent Class II Operator #008415 | | | | | | | |
| CONTACTED DURING INSPECTION: | No | | | | | | | |
| (S=Sa | atisfac | AREA EVA | LUATIONS sfactory, N=Not Applicable/B | Evaluated |) | | | |
| S PERMIT | S | FLOW MEASUR | | | | | | |
| S RECORDS/REPORTS | S | LABORATORY | | S | | | SITE REVIEW | |
| S OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | S | | CEIVING WATER | S | | | TORING PROGRAM | |
| S SAMPLING N OTHER: | S | SLUDGE HAND | LING/DISPOSAL | N | PRETR | EAII | VIENI | |
| N OTHER. | | SUMMARY C | F FINDINGS | | | | | |
| A routine inspection was conducted to determine compliance status with the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, the Federal Clean Water Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder. At the time of inspection, the facility was in compliance with the applicable regulations. | | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL COMMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| None | | | | | | | | |
| INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: Steven L. Henderson DATE: 10/13/2016 | | | | | | | | |
| SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: | געו | i MsGa | Kerri McCabe | | | | DATE: 10/31/2016 | |

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | |
|---|------------------|
| SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION | |
| PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS | ⊠S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE: | ⊠y □n □na □ne |
| 2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES: | □Y □N ☑NA □NE |
| 3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| 4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED: | ⊠y □n □na □ne |
| | |
| SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION | |
| RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT | ☑S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS: | ⊠y □n □na □ne |
| 2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE: | ⊠s □m □u □na □ne |
| a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS: | □y □n □na ☑ne |
| f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES: | ØY □N □NA □NE |
| h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| 3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE: | □S □M □U □NA ☑NE |
| 4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| | |
| SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE | |
| TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED | ☑S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE: | ☑S □M □U □NA □NE |
| 6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED: | ⊠s □m □u □na □ne |
| 7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED: | ☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE |
| 8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE: | □Y □N ☑NA □NE |
| 9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR: | □y Øn □na □ne |
| 12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED: | □y □n ☑na □ne |
| 13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS: | □y □n ☑na □ne |
| 14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT: | □y ☑n □na □ne |
| 15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT: | □y □n ☑na □ne |
| | |

| SECTION D: SAMPLING | |
|--|------------------|
| PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS | ⊠S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| 2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| 5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| 6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR: | □y □n ☑na □ne |
| | |
| SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT | |
| PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS | ⊠S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE: 9" Parshall flur | ne ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE: <u>Last calibration date: 12/15/2015</u> | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE: | ☑y □n □na □ne |
| 8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| | |
| SECTION F: LABORATORY | |
| PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS | ☑S □M □U □NA □NE |
| DETAILS: | |
| 1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES): | ✓Y □N □NA □NE |
| 2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED: | □Y □N ☑NA □NE |
| 3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT: | □Y □N □NA ☑NE |
| 4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| 5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME: | ✓Y □N □NA □NE |
| 6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME: | ✓Y □N □NA □NE |
| 7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED: | ✓Y □N □NA □NE |
| a. LAB NAME: McClelland Consulting Engineers | |
| b. LAB ADDRESS: 900 West Markham, Little Rock, Ark. 72201 | |
| c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: CBOD5, TSS, BOD, NH3-N, DO, pH, TRC | |
| 8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE: | ✓Y □N □NA □NE |
| a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED: | ☑Y □N □NA □NE |
| b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED: | Øy □n □na □ne |
| | |

| | Inspection Report: City of Dumas WWIP, AFIN: 21-00045, Permit #: AR0033987 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|--|--|
| SECTION G: EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATERS OBSERVATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| BASED ON VISUAL OBSERVATIONS ONLY ☑S □M □U □NA □NE | | | | | | | | | | |
| DETAILS: | | | | | | | | | | |
| ΟU | TFALL #: | OIL SHEEN | GREASE | TURBIDITY | VISIBLE FOAM | FLOATING SOLIDS | COLOR | OTHER | | |
| | 001 | None | None | None | None | None | Clear | None | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | | |
| SE | CTION H | : SLUDGE DIS | POSAL | | | | | | | |
| SL | UDGE D | DISPOSAL MEI | ETS PERMIT F | REQUIREMEN | TS | | ⊠S □M □ | U □NA □NE | | |
| DE | TAILS: | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 1. | SLUDGE M | IANAGEMENT ADEQU | ATE TO MAINTAIN EF | FLUENT QUALITY: | | | ⊠s □m | □U □NA □NE | | |
| 2. | SLUDGE R | ECORDS MAINTAINE | D AS REQUIRED BY 4 | 0 CFR 503: | | | □ѕ□м | □u ☑na □ne | | |
| 3. | FOR LAND | APPLIED SLUDGE, T | YPE OF LAND APPLIE | D TO: (E.G., FOREST | , AGRICULTURAL, PUI | BLIC CONTACT SITE): | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE | CTION I: | SAMPLING IN | SPECTION PRO | OCEDURES | | | | | | |
| SA | MPLE R | RESULTS WITH | HIN PERMIT R | EQUIREMENT | ΓS | | | U ⊠NA □NE | | |
| DE | TAILS: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | SAMPLES | OBTAINED THIS INSP | ECTION: | | | | □Y | □n ☑na □ne | | |
| 2. | TYPE OF S | AMPLE: ☐GRAB: | □COMPOSITE: I | METHOD: FREQUE | ENCY: | | | | | |
| 3. | 3. SAMPLES PRESERVED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 4. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | 5. SAMPLE OBTAINED FROM FACILITY'S SAMPLING DEVICE: | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | SAMPLE R | EPRESENTATIVE OF | VOLUME AND NATUR | RE OF DISCHARGE: | | | □Y | □n ☑na □ne | | |
| 7. | SAMPLE SI | PLIT WITH PERMITTE | E: | | | | □Y | □n Øna □ne | | |
| 8. CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY PROCEDURES EMPLOYED: | | | | | | | | □n ☑na □ne | | |
| 9. | 9. SAMPLES COLLECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH PERMIT: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| SE | CTION J | : STORM WAT | ER POLLUTION | N PREVENTION | PLAN | | | | | |
| ST | ORM W | ATER MANAG | EMENT MEET | S PERMIT RE | QUIREMENTS | 3 | | U ⊠NA □NE | | |
| DE | TAILS: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | SWPPP UP | PDATED AS NEEDED: | _ DATE OF LAST UP | PDATE: | | | □Y | □N ☑NA □NE | | |
| 2. | SITE MAP I | INCLUDING ALL DISCH | HARGES AND SURFA | CE WATERS: | | | □Y | □N ☑NA □NE | | |
| 3. | B. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM IDENTIFIED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. | POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM PROPERLY TRAINED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | LIST OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES: | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | LIST OF POTENTIAL SOURCES AND PAST SPILLS AND LEAKS: | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | 7. ALL NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES ARE AUTHORIZED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. | 8. LIST OF STRUCTURAL BMPS: | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. | LIST OF NO | ON-STRUCTURAL BMF | PS: | | | | □Y | □n Øna □ne | | |
| 10. | 10. BMPS PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED: | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. | 11. INSPECTIONS CONDUCTED AS REQUIRED: | | | | | | | | | |

| | 1110 | pection Report: | | ALCULATIO | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: 10/ | 11/20 ⁻ | 16 T | ime: 10 : | 30 a.m. | | | | | |
| Head in Inc | hes: | 6" | Feet: | 0.5 | | | | | |
| Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device: 9" Parshall flume | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Secondary | | | | BFI Stri 5/2015 | p Chart Recorder | | |
| | | t Date & Tim | • | | | | (Facility Flow Meter) | | |
| | | at Date & Tir | | | .687 asurement | Handbook-5 ^t | Edition) | | |
| % Error = | Reco | orded Value Calcu | - Caldulated Val | culated Valuue | <u>е</u> х 1 | 00 | | | |
| % Error = | | 0.450 | 0.457 | 0.457 | X 1 | 00 | | | |
| % Error = | | -0.007 0.457 | X 100 | | | | | | |
| % Error = | | -0.015 | X 100 | | | | | | |
| % Error = | | -1.53 | % | | | | | | |
| Comments | : No | <u>ne</u> | | | | | | | |

DMR Calculation Check

| Reporting Period: | From <u>2016</u> | | 8 | 01 | 01To | | 08 | 31 | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------|---------|---------------|------|-------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | | Year | Month | Day | | Year | Month | Day | | | |
| Parameter Checked: | TSS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Loading | | | Concentration | | | | | | |
| | Mass | | | Monthly | | | | | | | |
| | Mo. | Mo. Avg Ibs/day | | | Mo. Avg mg/l | | | 7-day Avg mg/l | | | |
| Reported Value: | | 500.9 | | | 49.9 | | | 3 | | | |
| Calculated Value: | 500.9 | | | 49.9 | | | 81.3 | | | | |
| Permit Value: | 1028 | | | 90 | | | 135 | 5 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

EQUAL

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet Location: City of Dumas WWTP Photographer: Steven L. Henderson 10:10 Date: 10/11/2016 Time: Witness: Patrick Fitzgerald, Class II Operator Photo #:

Description: Bar screen



Photographer: Steven L. Henderson Date: 10/11/2016 Time: 10:12 Witness: Patrick Fitzgerald, Class II Operator
Description: Secondary effluent flow measuring device Photo #:



