

August 9, 2017

Nancy Busen, Pretreatment Supervisor City of Bentonville 1901 N.E. "A" Street Bentonville, AR 72712

RE: City of Bentonville Pretreatment Compliance Inspection AFIN: 04-00154 Permit No.: AR0022403

Dear Ms. Busen:

On August 1, 2017, I performed a Pretreatment Compliance Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to the attached inspection report for any comments. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at <u>Bolenbaugh@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or 501-682-0659.

Sincerely,

Ann Relandrag

Jason Bolenbaugh Compliance Branch Manager Office of Water Quality

CC: Adam Yates, ADEQ Permit Engineer, <u>vates@adeq.state.ar.us</u> Lindsay Johnson, ADEQ Permit Engineer, <u>ljohnson@adeq.state.ar.us</u>

		WATER I	DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT					
		AFIN: 04-00154 PERMIT #: AR0022403			DATE: 8/1/2017			
Δ	R K A N S A S	COUNTY: 04 Bento	n PI		#: 098500	MEDIA: WN		
Dep	partment of Environmental Quality	GPS LAT: LC	DNG: LOCA		V: ********			
	FACILITY INFORMAT	ION	INS	SPEC [®]	TION INFOF	MATION		
	y of Bentonville		FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: 1 - Municipal 83321 S - State					
LOCA 190)1 N.E. "A" Street		FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE: 4 - Satisfactory Pretreatment Compliance					
	ntonville		(-)	RY TIME:	EXIT TIME: 14:35	PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE:		
	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC		0/1/2017 09	.00	14.55	7/1/2015 PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE: 6/30/2020		
Na COMF	ncy Busen / Pretreatment Super	visor	FAYETTEVILLE	SHAL	E RELATED): N		
	y of Bentonville		FAYETTEVILLE					
	NG ADDRESS: D1 N.E. "A" Street		INS	PECT	ION PARTI			
	state, zip: ntonville AR 72712		NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL/) Inspector			
	ILOIIVIIIE AR 72712 IE & EXT: / FAX:		Garrett Grimes, ADEQ Inspector Roman Rios, City of Bentonville					
479	9-271-3160 /		Tim McGee, City of Bentonville					
	usen@bentonvillear.com							
CC	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION:	Yes						
	(S=Si	AREA EVA atisfactory, M=Marginal, U=Unsati		valuated)			
S	PERMIT	** FLOW MEASUR	REMENT ** STORMWAT					
S	RECORDS/REPORTS	** LABORATORY		**		SITE REVIEW		
**	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE		EIVING WATER	**				
**	SAMPLING OTHER:	** SLUDGE HAND	LING/DISPOSAL	S	PRETREA	IMENI		
	OTHER.	SUMMARY C						
No	violations noted at the time of th							
		GENERAL C	OMMENTS					
	EQ appreciates the cooperation f							
	om for document review. A comm							
	explain testing information that is outlined in the operations and maintenance manual of the pretreatment system.							
INS		-Inspector Name			DATE:			
~	an Reblanding							
50	PERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: /	Jas	on Bolenbaugh			DATE: 8/8/2017		

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality: City of Bentonville

AFIN Number: 04-00154

NPDES Permit Number(s): AR0022403

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0022403

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: 7-28-2017

Date of Last PCI/Audit: Inspection 6-28-2012/Audit 8-20-2013

Date of Last Annual Report: 12-15-2016

Name of Inspector: Jason Bolenbaugh

Date PCI Performed: 8-1-2017

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative: Roman Rios, Laboratory/Pretreatment Supervisor

Tim McGee

Name and Title of Other Participants: Garrett Grimes, ADEQ District Field Inspector (Fayetteville)

Number of IUs Visited: 1

Name(s) of IUs Visited: Wal-Mart TMG

AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

- List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection. <u>3M ESPE Preventive Care and Kraft Foods are</u> no longer in operation and discharging to POTW.
- 2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? Yes
- 3. HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED? Yes
- 4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey? Code Compliance will relay information on a new business or industry coming to the city and then the POTW will follow up.
- 5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) **1**
- 6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 0
- 7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Using the Industrial User Survey and the corresponding SIC Codes, and will conduct a site visit to confirm industrial processes.
- 8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved (such program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU: Category: Regulated Process: No Categorical IUs discharge to POTW at this time.

B. LOCAL LIMITS

- 1. IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA? Yes
- 2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. No problems but have noticed an occasional spike in Mercury
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

		ement in			
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:	
Metals: Influent:	Quarterly	Annually			
Effluent:	Quarterly	Annually			
Sludge:	Quarterly	Annually			
Organics: Influent:	Quarterly	Annually			
Effluent:	Quarterly	Annually			
Sludge:	Quarterly	Annually			
4 Have the	re heen anv in	hibitions or 1	ingets at the	POTW	

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective? None

- C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM
- Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Permit
- How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued?
- 3. DO ALL <u>SIGNIFICANT</u> <u>IUS</u> HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT. Yes, a permit is issued to the facility
- 4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date: Yes

Discharge limitations: Yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the Permits contain:

IU self-monitoring requirements: Yes

IU reporting requirements: Yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

Sample location: Yes - Outfall 001
Type of sample: Yes - Grab and Composite
Monitoring frequency: Yes - Monthly
Bypass prohibition: Yes
Right of entry: Yes
Nontransferability: Yes
Revocation clause: Yes
Penalty Provisions: Yes
Slug load notification: Yes
Notification of process change: Yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:				
		Current frequency:	Program Requirement:		
	Sampling:				
	categorical IUs	Currently None	Annually		
	other SIUs Inspection:	2-6/year	Annually		
	categorical IUs	Annually	Annually		
	other SIUs	Annually	Annually		
2.	HAS EACH SIU BEEN IN REQUIRED BY THE APPF	ISPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE COVED PROGRAM? Yes	IE FREQUENCY		
3.	Are inspections anno	ounced or unannounced?	Last was announced		
4.	Are records kept of	each inspection? Yes	3		
5.	Does the inspection the following:	report contain an adequat	e description of		
	Date and time of ins	pection: 9-28-2016 @ 1	0:00 A.M.		
	Officials present:	Roman Rios & Ben Loy			
	Inspection of chemic	al storage areas: Yes			
		ated processes, categoric of these waste streams:	al waste streams, and Zes		
	Inspection of the pr	etreatment facilities: <u> </u>	Zes		
	Review of self-monit	oring records: Yes			
	Observation of IU se	elf-monitoring procedures:	Yes		
	Verification that ap	proved analytical technic	ques are used: Yes		
	Verification of IU f	low measurement (where re	equired): Yes		
6.	Overall adequacy of	inspection documentation:	Good		

- 7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY). At least annually but the POTW tries to sample 4-6 times per year.
- 8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? **Yes**
- 9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? **Yes**
- 10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes
- 11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? **Yes**
- 12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes
- 13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes
- 14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? <u>Have a spreadsheet and log receipt of reports and any violations, as well as maintain a folder of received</u> reports.
- 15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes Monitoring reports are submitted to the Central Authority (CA) by the 8th day of the following month.
- 16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes. Violations are initially addressed with an NOV. Additional meetings with the facility may be warranted if continued violations persist.

- 17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations? Central Authority will write letters, issue enforcement actions, and address the violations in person with the facility.
- 18. HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?:

Rev	iew	а	Base	eline N	Ioni	ltori	ng	Report	from	the	POTW	′s	file	,
and	ind	dio	cate	which	of	the	fol	lowing	items	can	be	ide	ntif	ied
in	the	BN	MR:											

Name and address: No BMR reviewed due to lack of categorical IU.

	Other environmental permits held:
	Description of operations:
	Process flow diagrams:
	Flow measurements:
	Measurements of regulated pollutants:
	Certification of compliance by the IU:
	Compliance schedule (if needed):
19.	Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures: Facility is monitoring discharge to POTW on a
	monthly basis. Sampling analysis and Chain-of-Custody were In accordance with protocols.
	in accordance with protocors.

E. Enforcement

- 1. HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes. POTW will send a NOV for an initial violation and will request corrective actions and a response. No cases have required additional enforcement.
- 2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations: NOV, AO

Late reports: Contact Facility, NOV, AO

Unpermitted discharges: NOV, AO

Slug loads or spills: NOV, AO

3. IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? The POTW can publish if necessary.

4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
None	None	None	None
		<u> </u>	
			<u> </u>

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures:

NOV is an official communication from the CA to the IU which Informs the user that a pretreatment violation has occurred. AO is issued when an NOV is not effective in bringing the IU Into compliance in a timely manner. Types of AOs are: Consent Order, Show Cause Order, Compliance Order, and Cease and Desist Order. Civil or Criminal Action may also occur.

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

- 1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes
- 2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes, Nancy Busen, Roman Rios, and Tim McGee
- 3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program? Yes, very knowledgeable and experienced

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

- List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: None
- 2. Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A
- 3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in other cities? **N/A**
- 4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A
- 5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: N/A

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

Wal-Mart TMG: Monitoring and Reporting Requirements

Twenty-four (24) hour flow proportional composites are collected for Total Copper, Total Zinc, and Total Phosphorus. A minimum of four (4) Oil & Grease samples must be collected at approximately equal intervals during the 24-hour sampling process. All samples are collected at least once/month. Monitoring results are summarized and reported on an Industrial User (IU) Monitoring Report submitted by the 8th day of the following month. If sampling indicates a violation, the permittee shall notify the POTW within 24-hours of becoming aware of the violation, and sampling will need to be conducted again within thirty (30) days.

The CA also operates a grease program for all applicable local businesses that monitor the businesses and the services that pump the grease from the businesses. The program ensures grease traps are pumped on a regular basis and properly disposed of by licensed professionals. PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Wal-Mart TMG (Distribution Center)

POTW Name: City of Bentonville

Industry Contacts: Charles Bishop

Date and Time of Visit: 8-1-2017

Description of Manufacturing Process:

Daily maintenance of Over-The-Road (OTR)trucks, including engine repairs, trailer repairs, tire and wheel replacements, tractor fueling, and truck washing facilities.

Sources of Process Wastewater: Wastewater from truck wash and floor drains in the maintenance shop and fueling station.

Categorical Industry? No

Basis for Limits: Local Limits

Point of Application:

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures: Sand-Oil Separator

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures: Chemical storage containers are located in the chemical bay of TMG, 2 containers are double walled round containers that hold 800 gallons each. There is 1 tote that holds 300 gallons. Floor drains are plugged. SPCC Plan has been developed.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Outfall 001; discharged through the Palmer-Bowlus flume inserted into the 6-inch line on the north side of the truck maintenance garage.

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

CODE

INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Jason Bolenbaugh	-
NAME OF FACILITY:	City of Bentonville	-
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:	AR0022403	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	8-1-2017	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):	1	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:	0	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:	0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:	0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:	0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:	0	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW:	0	SNIN