

August 25, 2017

Honorable Jill Dabbs, Mayor City of Bryant 210 SW 3rd Street Bryant, AR 72022

RE: City of Bryant Inspection

AFIN: 63-00065 Permit No.: AR0034002

Dear Honorable Mayor Dabbs:

On July 20, 2017, Water Inspector Millie Remer and I performed a Compliance Evaluation Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to the attached inspection report for any comments.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at waters@adeq.state.ar.us or 501-683-6629.

Sincerely,

Keith Waters

District 9 Field Inspector Office of Water Quality

WATER DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT								REPORT		
			IN: 63-00065 PI	ERMIT #: AR003 4	1002			DATE: 7/20/2017		
			DUNTY: 63 Saline	,	PDS	#: 098 7	758	MEDIA: WN		
De	partment of Environmental Quality	1 LONG: -92.501	283 L	OCAT	ION: G	eneral Area				
	FACILITY INFORMAT				NFORI	MATION				
Cit	ey of Bryant			FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: 1 - Municipal 97072 S - State						
LOCA	TION: I 2 nd Street			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE:						
CITY:				4 - Satisfactory	ITRY TIME:	EXIT		oliance Evaluation		
Br	yant				8:00	9:5		PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE: 12/1/2014		
NIAMI	RESPONSIBLE OFFICE: / TITLE	CIAL	-					PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE:		
Но	norable Jill Dabbs / Mayor							11/30/2019		
	PANY: y of Bryant			FAYETTEVILLE						
MAIL	NG ADDRESS:			FAYETTEVILLE						
	O SW 3rd Street STATE, ZIP:			NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMA		TION P	ARTIC	CIPANTS		
	yant AR 72022			Keith Waters/W	ater Ir					
	HE & EXT: / FAX:			Millie Remer/Water Inspector/501-682-0658						
EMAI	1-943-0999 / 			Gregg Asher/Operator/gasher@cityofbryant.com						
	yordabbs@cityofbryant.com									
CC	INTACTED DURING INSPECTION:	Ye								
	(S=S:	atisfac	AREA EVA tory, M=Marginal, U=Unsati		/Evaluated	i)				
S	PERMIT	S	FLOW MEASUR	REMENT	S		RMWA			
S	RECORDS/REPORTS	N	LABORATORY		S			ITE REVIEW		
S	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	S						ITORING PROGRAM		
**	SAMPLING OTHER:	3	SLUDGE HAND	DLING/DISPOSAL N PRETREA				MENI		
	OTTIEIX.		SUMMARY C	F FINDINGS						
Ap	ril's DMR had a difference in mon	thly			7. 4.94	4mg/l v	vas us	ed in calculation		
	tead of the lab result 3.94mg/l. Lo		_			-				
loa	ding rates for 4/21, 4/23/, 4/24 due	e to	extra cells adde	d for additional N	NH3-N	sampl	es tak	en that month.		
			CENEDA! (COMMENTS						
l a	so wanted to note that although i	10 14	GENERAL (wing	along	edges	of sludge pond		
	ere still was an excessive amount			_	_	_	_	• •		
	imals that might degrade the leve					301111				
	7/.1/1	1/4								
INS	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	Keith Waters					DATE: 8/7/2017			
	Clark	R.								
SU	PERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:					DATE: 8/24/2017				

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	ØS □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	•
ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	□y Øn □na □ne
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	⊠y □n □na □ne
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	Øy □n □na □ne
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	Øy □n □na □ne
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	⊠y □n □na □ne
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	⊠y □n □na □ne
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	Øy □n □na □ne
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	Øy □n □na □ne
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	□y Øn □na □ne
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	Øy □n □na □ne
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	Øy □n □na □ne
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	⊠y □n □na □ne
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	Øy □n □na □ne
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	□Y ØN □NA □NE
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE

SECTION D: SAMPLING							
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE						
DETAILS:							
SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne						
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	ØY □N □NA □NE						
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	ØY □N □NA □NE						
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne						
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne						
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING: <u>Ice in sampler during sampling</u>	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	Øy □n □na □ne						
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT							
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE						
DETAILS:							
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: TYPE OF DEVICE: 90 degree notch weir	<u>v-</u>						
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	⊠y □n □na □ne						
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED: <u>Badger 2100M</u>	B ☑Y □N □NA □NE						
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE: <u>Every 6 months</u>	☑y □n □na □ne						
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE: Monthly	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE						
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	⊠y □n □na □ne						
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne						
SECTION F: LABORATORY							
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE						
DETAILS:							
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :	ØY □N □NA □NE						
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	□Y □N ØNA □NE						
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	✓Y □N □NA □NE						
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	ØY □N □NA □NE						
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	ØY □N □NA □NE						
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	✓ ✓ ON ONA ONE						
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	Øy □n □na □ne						
a. LAB NAME: McClelland Consulting Engineers, Inc.							
b. LAB ADDRESS: all permit parameters							
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: 900 West Markham St. Little Rock, AR 72201							
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE						
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE						
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE						
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	OY ON ONA MINE						
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	□Y □N □NA ☑NE						

SECTION		·			o, Permit #. ARUUS	4002					
BASED ON VISUAL OBSERVATIONS ONLY											
DETAILS:											
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE 	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER				
001	None	None	None	None	None	None					
SECTION H. SI LIDGE DISPOSAL											
SECTION H: SLUDGE DISPOSAL SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS DEDMIT DECLUDEMENTS											
DETAILS:	SLUDGE DISPOSAL MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS ØS DM DU DNA DNE										
	IANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EE	ELLIENT OLIALITY:			Б∕е⊓м	□u □na □ne				
	ECORDS MAINTAINE										
					BLIC CONTACT SITE):	EIS LIM	LU LINA LINE				
3. TOR EARD	ALT LIED GLODGE, T	THE OF LAND ATTEL	D 10. (E.O., 1 OKES1	, AORIOGETORAL, TO	BLIC CONTACT SITE).						
SECTION I	SAMPLING IN	SPECTION PRO	OCEDURES								
	RESULTS WITH			TS.		⊓ѕ⊓мг	IU ⊠NA □NE				
DETAILS:	CECCETO WITH	III CICIOIII IC	LGOINEIMEIM	<u> </u>							
	OBTAINED THIS INSP	ECTION:				ПΥ	□n ☑na □ne				
2. TYPE OF S	SAMPLE: GRAB:	□COMPOSITE:	METHOD: FREQUE	ENCY:							
	PRESERVED:		<u> </u>			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
4. FLOW PRO											
5. SAMPLE C	BTAINED FROM FACII	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	/ICE:				□N ☑NA □NE				
6. SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne				
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTE	E:				□Y	□n Øna □ne				
8. CHAIN-OF-	CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:				□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IIT:			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
SECTION J	: STORM WAT	ER POLLUTION	PREVENTION	PLAN							
	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS	3	□S □M □	IU ⊠NA □NE				
DETAILS:											
1. SWPPP UF	PDATED AS NEEDED:_	_ DATE OF LAST UP	PDATE:			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISC	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
3. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	I IDENTIFIED:				□Y	□N ☑NA □NE				
4. POLLUTIO	4. POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM PROPERLY TRAINED:										
5. LIST OF PO	. LIST OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES:										
6. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL SOURCES A	AND PAST SPILLS AN	D LEAKS:				□N ☑NA □NE				
7. ALL NON-S	STORM WATER DISCH	ARGES ARE AUTHOR	RIZED:				□N ☑NA □NE				
8. LIST OF S	TRUCTURAL BMPS:						□N ☑NA □NE				
9. LIST OF N	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:					□N ØNA □NE				
10. BMPS PRO	PERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:					□N ØNA □NE				
11. INSPECTION	11. INSPECTIONS CONDUCTED AS REQUIRED:										

FLOW CALCULATION SHEET										
Date:	7/20/2017	Time: 8:1	6							
Head in	Inches: 11.25	Feet:	.94							
Type 9 9	Type & Size of Primary Flow Measurement Device: 90 degree v-notch weir									
Type &	Size of Philiary F	Tow Measurer	nent De	evice. S	o degi	ee v-i	iotci	ı well		
Name &	Model of Secon	dary Flow Mea	asureme	ent De	vice:	Badge	er 21	00MB		
D () ()		(0)		•	F /00 //	2047				
Date of	ast Calibration c	of Secondary F	iow De	vice:	5/26/2	2017				
Recorde	Recorded Flow at Date & Time Listed Above: 938 GPM (1,350,720 MGD) (Facility Flow Meter)									
					•					
	ed Flow at Date									
(Flow is cal	culated using flow char	ts in: ISCO Open C	hannel Flo	w Measu	urement F	landbook	κ-5" E	<u>idition</u>)		
0/ [Recorded V	′alue - Cal				20				
% Error	= (Calculated Val				00				
	4.054		4.004							
% Error	= 1.351	<u> - </u> 1.384	1.384	ļ	X 10	00 —				
		1.304								
0/ -	-0.033	V 400								
% Error	1.384	X 100								
	0.000) / 400								
% Error	= -0.0238	3 X 100								
% Error	= -2.38	%								
						·				
Comme	Comments:									

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	2017	4	1	_ 10	2017	4	30	
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day	
Parameter Checked:		CBOD	-						

	Loading	Concentration					
	Mass	Monthly					
	Mo. Avg Ibs/day	Mo. Avg mg/l	7-day Avg mg/l				
Reported Value:	44.6	3.1	4.6				
Calculated Value:	55.7	2.99	4.6				
Permit Value:	250.2	10	15				

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

Difference in monthly average was on 4/5/17 4.94mg/l was used in calculation instead of lab result 3.94mg/l.

Loading mass was calculated incorrectly because excel file calculated loading rates for 4/21, 4/23/, 4/24 because of extra cells for added for additional NH3-N samples taken that month.

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	2017	3	1	_ 10 _	2017	3	31	
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day	
Parameter Checked:		NH3-N	-						
		Loading Mass			Concentration Monthly				
	Mo. Avg Ibs/day			Mo. Avg mg/l			7-day Avg mg/l		
Reported Value:		61.2			2.8		3.9)	
Calculated Value:		61.2			2.8		3.9)	

6.0

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain:

150.1

Permit Value:

9.0

Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet Location: City of Bryant Photographer: Keith Waters Date: 7/20/2017 Time: N/A Witness: Millie Remer, Gregg Asher Description: View of extended aeration activated sludge runs.



Photographer: Keith Waters Date: 7/20/2017 Time: N/A
Witness: Millie Remer, Gregg Asher Photo #: 2

Description: View of extended aeration activated sludge runs.




Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet Location: City of Bryant Photographer: Keith Waters Witness: Millie Remer, Gregg Asher Description: View of sludge pond Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet Date: 7/20/2017 Time: N/A Photo #: 3



Photographer:Keith WatersDate:7/20/2017Time:N/AWitness:Millie Remer, Gregg AsherPhoto #:4

Description: Dechlorinating and staff gauge before the final outfall.





Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet Location: City of Bryant Photographer: Keith Waters Date: 7/20/2017 Time: N/A Witness: Millie Remer, Gregg Asher Description: View of abandoned sludge drying beds.



Photographer:Keith WatersDate:7/20/2017Time:N/AWitness:Millie Remer, Gregg AsherPhoto #:8

Description: Sampler in outfall building.

