

October 23, 2017

Vince Rodgers University of Ark at Little Rock 2801 South University Little Rock, AR 72204

RE: UALR MS4 Inspection AFIN: 88-00850 Permit No.: ARR040020

Dear Mr. Rodgers:

On September 25, 2017, the Office of Water Quality performed a MS4 Audit Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the "Summary of Findings" section of the attached inspection report and provide a written response for each violation that was noted. This response should be mailed to the attention of the Water Division Inspection Branch at the address at the bottom of this letter or e-mailed to <u>Water-Inspection-Report@adeq.state.ar.us</u>. This response should contain documentation describing the course of action taken to correct each item noted. This corrective action should be completed as soon as possible, and the written response with all necessary documentation (i.e. photos) is due by **November 20, 2017**.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at <u>bakerclark@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or 501-682-0657 or Guy Lester at <u>lester@adeq.state.ar.us</u> 501-682-0023.

Sincerely,

Clark Baken

Clark Baker Inspector Supervisor Office of Water Quality Inspection Report: UALR MS4, AFIN: 88-00850, Permit #: ARR040020

				DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT				
AULY		AF	IN: 88-00850 PI	ERMIT #: ARR040020			0	DATE: 9/25/2017
ARKANSAS		COUNTY: 60 Pulask		ki	PDS #: 099733		'33	MEDIA: WN
Department of Environmental Quality GPS LAT: 34.72427			8 LONG: -92.340985 LOCATION: General Area					
FACILITY INFORMATION						NFORM	ATION	
UALR MS4			FACILITY TYPE:INSPECTOR ID#:1 - Municipal80397 S - State					
University of Arkansas at Little Rock			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE: 3 - Satisfactory MS4 Audit					
Little Rock				RY TIME:	EXIT T 12:0		PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE: 8/1/2014	
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL							PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE: 7/31/2019	
Vir	ice Rodgers /			FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: N				
Un	iversity of Ark at Little Rock			FATETTEVILLE				
	ng address: D1 South University							IPANTS
CITY,	STATE, ZIP:			NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAIL	/ETC.:			
	tle Rock AR 72204 IE & EXT: / FAX:			Keith Waters/Ins				
	I-371-7602 /			Millie Remer/Ins	pecto	or/501-6	682-06	58
EMAII Vai	odgers@ualr.edu			Guy Lester/Wate	er Qu	ality Er	nginee	r/501-682-0023
CC	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION:	Yes						
	(S=S:	atisfac	AREA EVA tory, M=Marginal, U=Unsati	LUATIONS sfactory, N=Not Applicable/E	Evaluated	(b		
U	PERMIT	**	FLOW MEASUF		M		RMWA	TER
U	RECORDS/REPORTS	**	LABORATORY		U			ITE REVIEW
U	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE				NITORING PROGRAM			
**	SAMPLING	**	SLUDGE HAND	LING/DISPOSAL	**	PRET	REAT	MENT
~~	OTHER:		SUMMARY C	F FINDINGS				
PE	RMITS BRANCH FINDINGS:							
	MPLIANCE BRANCH FINDINGS:		_					
Со	nstruction Site Stormwater Runo					_		
	1. The permittee has not imple		•	-	n and	enford	ement	t of control
	measures in violation of Par	t 3.2	GENERAL (
			GENERAL					
со	MPLIANCE BRANCH COMMENT	S:						
	e UALR MS4 personnel should be	_	nducting monthl	y inspections of a	all act	tive co	nstruc	tion sites to confirm
	npliance.		U					
	containers the currently or previ			•	ould b	e com	pletely	sealed from the
we	ather or stored in a location wher	e it	is protected from	n precipitation.				
	Clark 1	3.k	ur					
INS	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:		Clark Baker					DATE: 10/18/2017
	1.							
SU	PERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:	- 7	Hentrag .las	on Bolenbaugh				DATE: 10/20/2017
50			003					

SECTION A: STORM WATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP)					
IS THE SWMP PROPERLY DEVELOPED, IMPLEMENTED, AND ENFORCED	S DM DU DNADNE				
The SWMP should include the following (if applicable):					
1. BMPs that are or will be implemented for each of the stormwater minimum control measures (3.1.1.1).					
 Measurable goals for each BMP and at a minimum should be implemented (3.1.1.2). Contact information for person(s) responsible for implementing or coordinating the BMPs for the SWMP as well as a Tab 					
Organization (3.1.1.3).					
4. A rationale for how and why the permittee selected each of the BMPs and measurable goals in the SWMP (3.1.1.4)					
5. Does the MS4 discharge to an impaired waterbody (3.1.1.5)?					
If so, what is the impairment parameter(s)?					
If so and the MS4 is a contributor, have the BMPs been enhanced and addressed within the SWMP (3.1.1.6)?					
6. Are the 6 minimum requirements included in the SWMP (3.2)?					
COMMENTS: The SWMP was developed for 2010-2011 and should be updated where needed.	-				
SECTION B: PUBLIC EDUCATION AND OUTREACH ON STORMWATER IMPACTS					
IS THE PERMITTEE ADEQUATELY EDUCATING THE PUBLIC AS STATED IN THE SWMP?					
1. Is the MS4 traditional or non-traditional as defined in Part 3.2.1.1? Non-traditional					
2. Has the MS4 provided educational materials, information, or outreach to the public or applicable personnel?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE				
If so, how is the MS4 doing this? Coleman Creek cleanups, Earth Day events, Website, Construction pre-training, Drain Smart & inlet program					
3. Has a rationale statement been developed and implemented as required (3.2.1.2)?					
4. Has program included more than one mechanism and targeted at least 5 different stormwater themes (3.2.1.3)?					
5. Does at least one of the themes or messages target the land development community (3.2.1.3)?					
6. Does the annual report identify each mechanism and theme used and an estimate of how many people was reached?					
COMMENTS:					
SECTION C: PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND PARTICIPATION					
IS THE PERMITTEE ADEQUATELY INVOLVING THE PUBLIC AS STATED IN THE SWMP?	S DM DU DNA DNE				
1. Has the MS4 complied with State and local public notice requirements when implementing a public involvement/participat	tion program (3.2.2.1)? ⊠Y □N □NA □NE				
If so, how?					
2. Has a rationale statement been developed and implemented as required (3.2.2.2)?					
3. Does the public involvement/participation program include at least 5 public involvement activities (3.2.2.3)?					
4. Does the annual report identify each public involvement activity conducted and approximately how many people participa	. ,				
COMMENTS:					
SECTION D: ILLICIT DISCHARGE DETECTION AND ELIMINATION					
IS THE PERMITTEE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSING ILLICIT DISCHARGES AS STATED IN THE SWMP?	S DM DU DNA DNE				
1. Has the MS4 developed, implemented, and enforced a program to detect and eliminate illicit discharges (3.2.3.1)?					
2. Has a storm sewer system map been developed and updated according to Part 3.2.3.2?					
3. What types of regulatory mechanisms or enforcement actions are used to prevent illicit discharges into the MS4 (3.2.3.3) Stop work orders	?				
4. Has the MS4 developed and implemented a plan to detect and eliminate non-stormwater discharges, including illegal dur	nping, into the system (3.2.3.4)? ⊠Y □N □NA □NE				
5. Has the MS4 informed public employees, businesses, and the public of hazards associated with illegal discharges and im (3.2.3.5)?					
6. Has the MS4 identified or addressed any categories of non-stormwater discharges or illicit discharges that were deemed					
pollutants within the MS4 (3.2.3.6)?					
7. Has a rationale been developed that documents the decision process for the development of a stormwater illicit discharge	e detection and elimination system				

Inspection Report: UAI	.R MS4,	AFIN:	88-00850,	Permit #:	ARR040020
------------------------	---------	-------	-----------	-----------	-----------

(3.2.3.8)?	
8. Has dry weather screening of all stormwater outfalls located within the MS4's urbanized area occurred as required by the program (
9. Does the annual report include all required information outlined in Section 3.2.3.10?	
	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
COMMENTS:	
SECTION E: CONSTRUCTION SITE STORM WATER RUNOFF CONTROL	
HAS THE PERMITTEE DEVELOPED, IMPLEMENTED AND ENFORCED A PROGRAM TO REDUCE POI	LUTANTS FROM
CONSTRUCTION SITES?	I □U □NA □NE
1. Has an ordinance or other regulatory mechanism been implemented to require erosion and sediment controls (3.2.4.1.1)?	
	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
2. Have requirements for construction site operators to implement appropriate erosion and sediment controls been developed or implement	. ,
	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
3. Have requirements been developed or implemented to control wastes from the construction site (3.2.4.1.3)?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
4. Have procedures been developed for site inspections and enforcement control measures (3.2.4.1.6)?	
5. Has a rationale been developed that documents the decision process for the development of a construction site stormwater control	program (3.2.4.2)? ⊠Y □N □NA □NE
6. Are SWPPPs being reviewed for 100 percent of the projects from construction activities disturbing \geq 1 acre (3.2.4.3)?	
7. Are 100 percent of the projects from construction activities disturbing ≥ 1 acre being inspected on a monthly basis to ensure compli	ance (3.2.4.3)? □Y⊠N □NA □NE
8. Does the annual report include all required information outlined in Section 3.2.4.4?	
COMMENTS:	
UALR has construction site operators submit their site inspection reports that are required by the general construction storr	nwater permit for
review, but UALR personnel have not been personally inspecting construction sites on a monthly basis to ensure compliance	ce.
SECTION F: POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	
HAS THE PERMITTEE DEVELOPED, IMPLEMENTED AND ENFORCED A PROGRAM TO ADDRESS ST	ORM WATER
1. Has a strategy been developed and implemented which includes a combination of structural and/or non-structural BMPs appropriate	e for the community
(3.2.5.2)?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
2. Has a rationale been developed that documents the decision process for the development of a post construction SWPPP (3.2.5.5)?	
	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
3. If yes to #2, does the rationale address the overall post-construction SWMP, individual BMPs, measurable goals, and responsible p	
(3.2.5.5)?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
4. Does the annual report include all required information outlined in Section 3.2.5.7?	
5. Does the program contain low impact development and green infrastructure waivers (3.2.5.8)?	
COMMENTS:	

SECTION G: POLLUTION PREVENTION/GOOD HOUSEKEEPING FOR MUNICIPAL O	PERATIONS
HAS THE PERMITTEE DEVELOPED AND IMPLEMENTED A PROGRAM TO REDUCE POLLUTION	ON FROM MUNICIPAL
OPERATIONS?	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
1. Does the program include employee training to prevent and reduce stormwater pollution from public operations (3.2.6.2)?)
	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
2. Does the permittee maintain a list of industrial facilities owned by the MS4 that are subject to ADEQ Industrial Stormwater	
NPDES permits for discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activity (3.2.6.2)?	
3. If yes to #2, does the MS4 have a copy of the ADEQ permit number or NOC for each facility (3.2.6.2)?	
4. Has an operation and maintenance (O&M) program been implemented to prevent or reduce pollutant runoff from municipa	
municipal facilities that are impacted by the O&M program (3.2.6.3.1)?	
5. Does the pollution prevention/good housekeeping program address maintenance activities, maintenance schedules, and I	
for controls to reduce floatables and other pollutants to the MS4 (3.2.3.3.3.1)	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
COMMENTS:	
SECTION H: MONITORING/RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING	
IS THE PERMITTEE KEEPING ALL MONITORING RECORDS AS REQUIRED	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
1. Does the MS4 discharge into waters identified on the 303(d) list (3.5.1)?	□Y ⊠N □NA □NE
2. Does the MS4 discharge into waters in which a TMDL and implementation plan have been developed (3.5.1)?	
3. If yes to #1 or #2 above, is the MS4 conducting the appropriate monitoring to include sample collection (3.5.1)?	□Y □N ⊠NA □NE
4. Are monitoring information, and calibration and maintenance records being maintained (4.2.1)?	
4. Is analysis and collection of samples being done in accordance with the methods specified in 40 CFR § 136 (3.5.2)?	
3. Has the MS4 submitted all annual reports in a timely manner (4.3.1)?	
COMMENTS:	

MS4 MAINTENANCE FACILITY INSPECTION

NAME OF PUBLIC FACILITY: Motor Pool & Maintenance Shop

ADDRESS OF FACILITY: 2801 S. University Ave

DATE AND TIME OF VISIT: September 25, 2017 at 10:45

FACILITY CONTACT (NAME, TITLE AND PHONE NUMBER): Vince Rodgers, 501-371-7602

1. HAS THE FACILITY DEVELOPED AND IMPLEMENTED A SWPPP OR STORMWATER PLAN?					
2. DOES THE PLAN INCLUDE A SITE MAP, LIST OF POLLUTANT SOURCES, BMP'S, AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE				
3. DOES THE PERMITTEE CONDUCT AND DOCUMENT PERIODIC INSPECTIONS OF THE FACILITY?					
4. ARE STORM DRAINS LABELED, FREE OF DEBRIS, AND IN GOOD CONDITION?					
5. ARE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES CONDUCTED IN A DESIGNATED PLACE NOT EXPOSED TO STORM WATER?	🛛 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE				
6. ARE FUELING STATIONS PROPERLY DESIGNED WITH SPILL KITS NEARBY?	🛛 Y 🗆 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE				
7. ARE VEHICLES WASHED ON SITE?					
IF SO, IS THE WASH WATER DISCHARGED TO THE MS4 OR THE SANITARY SEWER?					
8. ARE ALL MATERIALS THAT ARE POTENTIAL STORM WATER CONTAMINANTS STORED UNDER COVER OR IN SECONDA	RY CONTAINMENT?				
	🗆 Y 🖾 N 🗆 NA 🗆 NE				
9. ARE ALL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PROPERLY LABELED AND STORED TO PREVENT EXPOSURE TO STORM WATER?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE				
10. ARE WASTE BINS PROPERLY COVERED AND ARE WASTES PROPERLY DISPOSED?	\boxtimes y \Box n \Box na \Box ne				
11. HOW IS LANDSCAPE WASTE STORED?					
12. WHAT TYPE OF STORM WATER TRAINING DOES THE FACILITY STAFF RECEIVE? Training on handling chemicals and pro	per spill response and				
disposal practices.					
COMMENTS:					
There were two unlabeled 55 gallon barrels with open bungs stored outside, exposed to the weather at the time of inspection.					

Inspection Report: UALR MS4, AFIN: 88-00850, Permit #: ARR040020

CONSTRUCTION SITE INSPECTION REPORT

PROJECT NAME: UALR Art & Design Bld								
ADDRESS OF PROJECT: 2801 S. University		SIZE OF PROJECT: 0.85 acres						
DATE AND TIME OF VISIT: September 25, 2017 at	t 11:00	ADEQ PERMIT #: ARR150000						
NAME OF PERMITTEE'S INSPECTOR(S): Val Pica	NAME OF PERMITTEE'S INSPECTOR(S): Val Picanco							
PROVIDE THE NAME(S) AND TITLE(S) OF SITE SI	PROVIDE THE NAME(S) AND TITLE(S) OF SITE SUPERINTENDENT PRESENT DURING THE INSPECTION:							
NAME TITLE AND PHONE NUMBER								

INSPECTOR TRAINING / KNOWLEDGE	
1. WHAT TYPE(S) OF STORM WATER TRAINING DID THE INSPECTOR RECEIVE? None	
2. IS THE INSPECTOR FAMILIAR WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE STATE STORM WATER CONSTRUCTION GENERAL	
3. IS THE INSPECTOR KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL BMP'S?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
4. IS THE INSPECTOR KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT STORM WATER / POLLUTION PREVENTION BMP'S?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
5. IS THE INSPECTOR KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT LOCAL STORM WATER REQUIREMENTS AND LEGAL AUTHORITY?	\boxtimes Y \Box N \Box NA \Box NE
INSPECTION PROCEDURES	
1. IS AN INSPECTION CHECKLIST USED?	
2. IS THE INSPECTOR AWARE OF PREVIOUS STORM WATER INSPECTION RESULTS AT THIS SITE?	
3. DOES THE INSPECTOR REVIEW THE APPROVED PLANS REQUIRED TO BE AT THE CONSTRUCTION SITE?	
4. DOES THE INSPECTOR WALK THE ENTIRE SITE AND INSPECT ALL POINTS OF DISCHARGE?	
5. DOES THE INSPECTION ADDRESS EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS?	□y □n □na ⊠ne
6. DOES THE INSPECTION ADDRESS WASTE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES?	
7. DOES THE INSPECTION ADDRESS NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES?	□y □n □na ⊠ne
8. DID THE INSPECTOR MISS OBVIOUS VIOLATIONS?	
9. ARE THE INSPECTION FINDINGS DOCUMENTED IN WRITING AND PRESENTED TO THE SITE CONTACT?	
COMPLIANCE / ENFORCEMENT	
1. HOW DOES THE INSPECTOR ADDRESS NON-COMPLIANCE ISSUES? At the time of the audit no inspections were being do	ne within the permit area.
2. IF NON-COMPLIANCE ISSUES ARE FOUND, IS A DEADLINE GIVEN FOR CORRECTION?	
EDUCATION	
1. ARE ANY MATERIALS OR BROCHURES GIVEN TO THE FACILITY CONTACT TO EDUCATE THEM ABOUT APPROPRIAT	E BMP'S?
COMMENTS:	
UALR staff has not been personally conducting construction site inspections, but instead asking the contractor to submit their	site inspections for review.

	OUTFALL VISUAL IN		EDODT			
OUTFALL LOCATION: Where Ashe						
DATE/TIME: September 25, 2017 at 12:30			E:. Urban (residential & commerce			
EVALUATOR: Clark Baker	EVALUATOR: Clark Baker DATE OUTFALL LAST INSPECTED BY PERMITTEE: Unknown					
DAYS SINCE LAST RAINFALL: 13	INCHES:	0.08				
OUTFALL DESCRIPTION						
END OF PIPE DIAMETER (INCHES):	PIPE MATERIAL:	C	PEN CHANNEL: Y			
1. IS THE OUTFALL SUBMERGED: UY N						
2. WHAT IS THE CONDITION OF THE OUTFA	LL STRUCTURE? Stream	n channel				
VISUAL OBSERVATION						
1. WATER FLOW VOLUME: INONE LOW MODERATE HIGH INTERMITTENT						
2. WATER FLOW COLOR: A CLEAR MUDDY MILKY OR CLOUDY OIL SHEEN SOAPY/FOAMY OTHER						
4. WATER FLOW ODOR: NONE	LEUM SEWAGE/H2S	OTHER				
5. IS DEBRIS FROM THE OUTFALL PRESENT	IN THE RECEIVING STREAM	N?				
6. STAINING AND SCUM PRESENT: NONE						
COMMENTS:						
This location is not the most downstream po	rtion that could potentially re	eceive runoff from	UALR property, but that locat	ion is not easily accessed		
and would require access to private land. The	at location is most likely (34	.715017°, -92.335	281°) in a power line right of wa	ay. The next accessible		
downstream location would be where Mabely	/ale Pike crosses Coleman C	creek(34.712998°,	-92.332213°); however, t this is	location is the		
confluence of Coleman Creek and a Fourche	Creek that could skew the o	bservations.				

Mr. Baker

In response to the Summary of Findings from our inspection that was conducted in Sept. our office has developed an Construction Site Storm water Inspection form and we will be using this form in the future.

I have attached the inspection form to this email and if you should have any questions please contact me.

Thank you.

Todd L. Willey | Occupational Safety Manager

University of Arkansas at Little Rock | Environmental Health & Safety

501-683-0553 | tlwilley@ualr.edu | EHS



Inspection Form

Inspector Name:	Date of Inspection:		
Inspector Title:			
Date of Rainfall:	Duration of Rainfall:		
Days Since Last Rain Event: days	Rainfall Since Last Rain Event: inches		
Description of any Discharges During Inspection:	pollutant & location):		
Election of Discharges of Sectment/Other Foliatant (specify			

Locations in Need of Additional BMPs: _____

Information on Location of Construction Activities

Location	Activity Begin Date	Activity Occuring Now (y/n)?	Activity Ceased Date	Stabilization Initiated Date	Stabilization Complete Date

Information on BMPs in Need of Maintenance

Location	In Working Order?	Maintenance Scheduled Date	Maintenance Completed Date	Maintenance to be Performed By

Changes required to the SWPPP: Reasons for changes: SWPPP changes completed (date): _____

"I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments such as Inspection Form were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

Signature of Responsible or Cognizant Official: ______ Date:_____ Date:_____

Title:

BMP Consideration Checklist

The BMPs listed here should be considered for every project. Those BMPs that are not included in the SWPPP should be checked as "Not Used" with a brief statement describing why it is not being used.

Note: Appendix C and D do not have to be submitted with the SWPPP. These attachments are for use during the development of the SWPPP.

EROSION CONTROL BMPs										
ВМР	BMP Considered for project		BMP Used			BMP Not Used			If not used, state reason	
EC-1 Scheduling										
EC-2 Preservation of Existing Vegetation										
EC-3 Hydraulic Mulch										
EC-4 Hydroseeding										
EC-5 Soil Binders										
EC-6 Straw Mulch										
EC-7 Geotextiles & Mats										
EC-8 Wood Mulching										
EC-9 Earth Dikes & Drainage Swales										
EC-10 Velocity Dissipation Devices										
EC-11 Slope Drains										
EC-12 Stream bank Stabilization										
SE	DIMEN	NT (CONTR		IPs		1		-	
ВМР	BMP Considered for project		BMP Used			BMP Not Used			If not used, state reason	
SE-1 Silt Fence										
SE-2 Sediment Basin										
SE-3 Sediment Trap										
SE-4 Check Dam										
SE-5 Fiber Rolls										
SE-6 Gravel Bag Berm										
SE-7 Street Sweeping and Vacuuming										
SE-8 Sand Bag Barrier										

SE-9 Straw Bale Barrier										
SE-10 Storm Drain Inlet Protection										
SE-11 Chemical Treatment										
WIND EROSION CONTROL BMPs										
ВМР	BMP Considered for project		BMP Used			BMP Not Used			If not used, state reason	
WE-1 Wind Erosion Control										

TRACKING CONTROL BMPs											
ВМР	BMP Considered for project		BMP	Us	ed	BMP Not Used			If not used, state reason		
TR-1 Stabilized Construction Entrance/Exit											
TR-2 Stabilized Construction Roadway											
TR-3 Entrance/Outlet Tire Wash											
NON-STORM WATER MANAGEMENT BMPs											
ВМР	BMP Considered		BMP	BMP Used			Nc I	ot	If not used, state reason		
NS-1 Water Conservation Practices											
NS-2 Dewatering Operations											
NS-3 Paving and Grinding Operations											
NS-4 Temporary Stream Crossing											
NS-5 Clear Water Diversion											
NS-6 Illicit Connection/ Discharge											
NS-7 Potable Water/Irrigation											
NS-8 Vehicle and Equipment Cleaning											
NS-9 Vehicle and Equipment Fueling											
NS-10 Vehicle and Equipment Maintenance											
NS-11 Pile Driving Operations											
NS-12 Concrete Curing											
NS-13 Concrete Finishing											
NS-14 Material and Equipment Use Over Water											
NS-15 Demolition Adjacent to Water											
NS-16 Temporary Batch Plants											

WASTE MANAGEMENT AND MATERIALS POLLUTION CONTROL BMPs										
ВМР	BMP Considered for project			BMP Used			BMP Not Used			If not used, state reason
WM-1 Material Delivery and Storage										
WM-2 Material Use										
WM-3 Stockpile Management										
WM-4 Spill Prevention and Control										
WM-5 Solid Waste Management										
WM-6 Hazardous Waste Management										
WM-7 Contaminated Soil Management										
WM-8 Concrete Waste Management										
WM-9 Sanitary/Septic Waste Management										
WM-10 Liquid Waste Management										



January 9, 2018

Vince Rodgers University of Ark at Little Rock 2801 South University Little Rock, AR 72205

RE: UALR MS4: Inspection Response AFIN: 88-00850 Permit No.: ARR040020

Dear Mr. Rodgers:

The Department has received your response to my September 25, 2017 inspection of your site. Your response appears to adequately address the discrepancies identified during the visit. The Department assumes the corrective actions taken will be maintained to ensure consistent compliance with the requirements of the permit. Acceptance of this response by the Department does not preclude any future enforcement action deemed necessary at this site or any other site.

If we need further information concerning this matter, we will contact you. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at <u>bakerclark@adeq.state.ar.us</u> or (501) 682-0657.

Sincerely,

Clark Baker

Clark Baker Inspector Supervisor Office of Water Quality