

October 22, 2018

Barbara Lewallen, Mayor City of Trumann 704 Hwy. 463 North Trumann, AR 72472

RE: City of Trumann Inspection

AFIN: 56-00047 Permit No.: AR0035602

Dear Mayor Lewallen:

On August 2, 2018, I performed a Compliance Evaluation Inspection, SSO/Collection System Inspection, and Industrial Stormwater Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection reports are enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspection. Please refer to the attached inspection report for any comments. If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at 870-935-7221 ext.-15 or frasher@adeq.state.ar.us.

Sincerely,

Sarah Frasher

District 3 Field Inspector

Water Division

	V DEO	WATER DIVISION INSPECTION REPORT								
	ADLO	AFIN: 56-00047 F			PERMIT #: AR0035602 DATE: 8/2/20					
Α	RKANSAS	COUNTY: 56	Poins	ett	PDS #	#: 1050	09		MEDIA: WN	
Dep	partment of Environmental Quality	GPS LAT: 35	.68251	9 LONG: -90.494	141 L	OCATI	ON: Er	ntranc	е	
NAME	FACILITY INFORMAT	ION		INSPECTION INFORMATION						
	y of Trumann			FACILITY TYPE: INSPECTOR ID#: 1 - Municipal 112347 S - State						
	36 miles East of N. Speedway St.			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING: INSPECTION TYPE: *** Compliance Evaluation						
	ımann			_ '_'	TRY TIME:	EXIT T			FFECTIVE DATE:	
	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	IAL		0/2/2010	0.00	10	-		XPIRATION DATE:	
Ba	rbara Lewallen / Mayor							/2019		
Cit	_{PANY:} y of Trumann		FAYETTEVILLE							
MAILI	NG ADDRESS:			FAYETTEVILLE						
	4 Hwy. 463 North STATE, ZIP:			NAME/TITLE/PHONE/FAX/EMAI	L/ETC.:	TON P			8	
	Imann AR 72472		Scotty Jones/ D Lorre Holt/ Labo							
FIION	/		Lone Holy Labo	orator,	, icom	illolali				
EMAIL	4									
СО	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION:									
	(9-9)			LUATIONS isfactory, N=Not Applicable/	Evaluated	١				
S	PERMIT	S FLOW M			N		RMWA	TER		
	RECORDS/REPORTS	S LABORA			S			ITE RE		
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE			CEIVING WATER	S				IG PROGRAM	
S	SAMPLING OTHER:	S SLUDGE	: HAND	LING/DISPOSAL	N	PREI	REAT	MENI		
14	OTTEK.	SUMM	MARY C	F FINDINGS						
No	violations were noted at the time	of the inspec	ction.							
		GENI	EDAL (COMMENTS						
Mir	nimal vegetation was observed in				operat	tor sho	uld be	e mind	ful that	
	equate vegetation should be esta	_	-	•	-					
	sion where riprap or other suitab							•	•	
	n-biting midges of the family Chi								_	
	ncentrations at the Influent Struct						-			
	nsume the sludge of Activated Sludge of Activated Sludge								_	
	ain their sludge. If problems do a the lagoons should be examined.	ise in the lut	ure wit	n the treatment c	арарп	ities o	the p	nant tn	ie sludge layer	
0.	ine lagoons should be examined.									
An	Industrial Stormwater Inspection	and SSO/Co	llection	Inspection were	perfo	rmed i	n conj	junctio	n with this	
ins	pection. Please see the attached	letter for furti	her det	ails.						
	11.	91								
INS	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	Sarah	n Frash	er				DATE	:: 10/16/2018	
		Januar						<i>⊃,</i> (, ∟		
C: :	DEDVICODIO CIONATURE	n Klababaag		an Dalambarrut				D 4 T T	. 40/40/0040	
SU	PERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: /		Jas	on Bolenbaugh			J	DATE	· 10/18/2018	

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	⊠Y □N □NA □NE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	Øy □n □na □ne
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	·
ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	Øy □n □na □ne
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	☑s ☐m ☐u ☐na ☐ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	Øy □n □na □ne
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	☑y □n □na □ne
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	☑y □n □na □ne
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	☑s ☐m ☐u ☐na ☐ne
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	Øy □n □na □ne
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED:	☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	□S □M □U □NA □NE
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED:	☑S ☐M ☐U ☐NA ☐NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	Øy □n □na □ne
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	Øy □n □na □ne
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	□y ☑n □na □ne
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	□y □n ☑na □ne
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	□y Øn □na □ne
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	□y □n ☑na □ne

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	⊠y □n □na □ne
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	⊠Y □N □NA □NE
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	⊠y □n □na □ne
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	⊠y □n □na □ne
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	⊠y □n □na □ne
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: <u>Yes</u> TYPE OF DEVICE: <u>9 in. Parsh.</u> Flume	all ☑Y ☐N ☐NA ☐NE
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	Øy □n □na □ne
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED: <u>HydroRange</u>	r 200 ØY □N □NA □NE
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	Øy □n □na □ne
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	Øy □n □na □ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	Øy □n □na □ne
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	Øy □n □na □ne
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	Øy □n □na □ne
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	Øy □n □na □ne
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES) :	⊠y □n □na □ne
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
a. LAB NAME: American Interplex	
b. LAB ADDRESS: <u>Little Rock, AR</u>	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: <u>Total Phosphorous</u> , <u>WET Testing</u>	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	⊠Y □N □NA □NE
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	⊠y □n □na □ne
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	⊠y □n □na □ne

0=0=1011.0	<u>'</u>	·		•	7, Permit #: ARUU	35602	
	EFFLUENT/R			ATIONS			
	N VISUAL OBS	ERVATIONS (ONLY			⊠S □M □	IU DNA DNE
DETAILS:							
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER
001	None	None	Low	None	None	Clear	
					•		
SECTION H	: SLUDGE DIS	POSAL					
SLUDGE [DISPOSAL ME	ETS PERMIT I	REQUIREMEN	TS		⊠S □M □	IU □NA □NE
DETAILS:	Sludge stays in	n lagoon			·		
1. SLUDGE M	MANAGEMENT ADEQU	IATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			□s □м	□U ☑NA □NE
2. SLUDGE R	ECORDS MAINTAINEI	D AS REQUIRED BY 4	0 CFR 503:			□s □м	□U ☑NA □NE
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST	, AGRICULTURAL, PU	BLIC CONTACT SITE):		
SECTION I:	SAMPLING IN	SPECTION PRO	OCEDURES				
SAMPLE F	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	ΓS		□S □M □	U ⊠NA □NE
DETAILS:							
1. SAMPLES	OBTAINED THIS INSP	ECTION:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
2. TYPE OF S	SAMPLE: GRAB:	□COMPOSITE:	METHOD: FREQUE	ENCY:			
3. SAMPLES	PRESERVED:					□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
4. FLOW PRO	OPORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
5. SAMPLE O	BTAINED FROM FACI	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	VICE:			□Y	□n Øna □ne
6. SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	RE OF DISCHARGE:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTE	E:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
8. CHAIN-OF-	-CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:				□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	MIT:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
SECTION J	: STORM WAT	ER POLLUTION	N PREVENTION	PLAN			
STORM W	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	rs permit re	QUIREMENTS	6	⊠s □m □	IU □NA □NE
DETAILS:	See Industrial	Stormwater Ins	pection for furt	<u>her details.</u>			
1. SWPPP UF	PDATED AS NEEDED:	DATE OF LAST UP	PDATE:			□Y	□n Øna □ne
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISC	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:			□Y	□n Øna □ne
3. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	1 IDENTIFIED:				□Y	□n Øna □ne
4. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	I PROPERLY TRAINE	D:			□Y	□n Øna □ne
5. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL POLLUTAN	T SOURCES:				□Y	□N ØNA □NE
6. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL SOURCES	AND PAST SPILLS AN	D LEAKS:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
7. ALL NON-S	STORM WATER DISCH	IARGES ARE AUTHOR	RIZED:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
8. LIST OF ST	TRUCTURAL BMPS:					□Y	□n ☑na □ne
9. LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMI	PS:				□Y	□n Øna □ne
10. BMPS PRO	PERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:				□Y	□n Øna □ne
11. INSPECTIO	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
1	·	·	·	·	·	·	·

	FLOW CALCULATION SHEET									
Date: 8/2	/2018 Ti	me: 11:07								
Head in Inc	hes: 4.75	Feet: 0.40								
Type & Size	e of Primary Flow N	Measurement De	wice: 0 in	Parcha	all Flur	<u></u>				
Type & Olz	on i filliary i low is	neasurement be	, vice. 3 iii	. 1 413116	all I Iul	TIC .				
Name & Mo	odel of Secondary F	Flow Measureme	ent Device	e: Hyd	IroRan	ger 200				
Data of last	Calibration of Coo	andam / Flass Day	.i.a.a. 0/	00/0047	7					
Date of last	Calibration of Sec	ondary Flow Dev	/ice: 8/	28/2017						
Recorded F	Flow at Date & Time	e Listed Above:	0.472			(Facility Flow Meter)				
						(1 dointy 1 low Wiotor)				
	Flow at Date & Tim				th.					
(Flow is calculat	ed using flow charts in: IS	CO Open Channel Flo	w Measurem	ent Handb	ook-5 [™] E	<u>Edition</u>)				
	Recorded Value	- Calculated	Value .	X 100						
% Error =		Calculated Value								
% Error =	0.472	- 0.4883	3 ,	X 100						
70 E1101 =	C	.4883		X 100						
	0.0162									
% Error =	-0.0163	X 100								
% Error =	-0.03	X 100								
	Į.	<u> </u>								
% Error =	-3	%								
Commonts	Mithin -/ 400/									
Comments:	Within +/- 10%									

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	2017	11	01	_ To	2017	11	30
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day
Parameter Checked:		BOD	_					
		Loading Mass				Concer Mon		
	Mo.	Avg lbs/	day	Mo. A	vg ı		7-day Avg	g mg/l
Reported Value:		63.6			9.3		9.3	3
Calculated Value:		63.6			9.3		9.3	3
Permit Value:		445			30		45	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>

DMR Calculation Check

Reporting Period:	From	2018	03	01	_ To	2018	03	31
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day
Parameter Checked:		TSS	_					
		Loading Mass				Concer Mon		
	Mo.	Avg lbs/	day	Mo. A	vg ı		7-day Avg	ј mg/l
Reported Value:		261.2			33.1		38.8	
Calculated Value:		261.2			33.1		38.8	8
Permit Value:		1,336			90		135	5

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>



Figure 1. Google Earth image of Trumann WWTP.





	Water Division Photographic Evidence Sheet								
Location:	City	of Trumann							
Photograph	er:	Sarah Frasher	Da	ate:	8/2/2018	Time:	11:37		
Witness: N	lone	!				Photo	#: 5		
Description	: V	iew of Cell 8.							



Photographer:Sarah FrasherDate:8/2/2018Time:11:05Witness:NonePhoto #:6

Description: View of the Effluent Structure.



Inspection Report: City of Trumann, AFIN: 56-00047, Permit #: AR0035602

