

February 20, 2020

Lioneld Jordan, Mayor City of Fayetteville 113 West Mountain Street Fayetteville, AR 72701

RE: Paul R. Noland WWTF Inspection

AFIN: 72-00781 Permit No.: AR0020010

Honorable Mayor Jordan:

On January 16, 2020, I performed a Compliance Evaluation Inspection of the above referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of the inspection report is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to Summary of Findings and Comments sections for additional details.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at grimesg@adeq.state.ar.us or 479-267-0811 extension 16.

Sincerely,

**Garrett Grimes** 

District 1 Field Inspector Office of Water Quality

Jane Dumas



# OFFICE OF WATER QUALITY INSPECTION REPORT

AFIN: **72-00781** PERMIT #: **AR0020010** DATE: **1/16/2020** 

COUNTY: **72 Washington** PDS #: **111103** MEDIA: **WN** 

GPS LAT: 36.08067 LONG: -94.08920 LOCATION: Entrance

FACILITY INFORMATION	INSPECTION INFORMATION				
NAME: Paul R. Noland WWTF LOCATION:	FACILITY TYPE:  1 - Municipal	INSPECTOR ID#: <b>104111 S -</b>	State		
1400 N. Fox Hunter Road	4 - Satisfactory		Compliance Evaluation		
Fayetteville		RY TIME: EXIT 1 12:	PERMIT EFFECTIVE DATE:		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL			PERMIT EXPIRATION DATE:		
NAME: / TITLE Lioneld Jordan / Mayor			12/31/2022		
COMPANY:	FAYETTEVILLE SHALE RELATED: <b>N</b>				
City of Fayetteville  MAILING ADDRESS:	FAYETTEVILLE SHALE VIOLATIONS: N INSPECTION PARTICIPANTS				
113 West Mountain Street					
CITY, STATE, ZIP:  Fayetteville AR 72701	Tim Luther, Ope	rations Mar			
PHONE & EXT: / FAX:			upervisor, Jacobs;		
479-601-2065 / EMAIL:	Austin Ramsfield, Operations Supervisor, Jacobs; Cole Southerland, District 1 Inspector, ADEQ; Garrett Grimes, District 1 Inspector, ADEQ				

CONTACTED DURING INSPECTION: No			Garrett Griffles, D	istri	ct i inspector, ADEQ		
	AREA EVALUATIONS (S=Satisfactory, M=Marginal, U=Unsatisfactory, N=Not Applicable/Evaluated)						
S	PERMIT	S	FLOW MEASUREMENT	N	STORMWATER		
S	RECORDS/REPORTS	S	LABORATORY		FACILITY SITE REVIEW		
S	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	S	EFFLUENT/RECEIVING WATER	S	SELF-MONITORING PROGRAM		
S	S SAMPLING S SLUDGE HANDLING/DISPOS			N	PRETREATMENT		
Ν	OTHER:						

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The following violations were noted during the inspection:

An effluent excursion of the 7-day average concentration of CBOD5 occurred in November 2019. This is a violation of Part I, Section A of the permit. This excursion is the only one reported at the Paul R. Noland Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) from the date of the last compliance inspection (August 14, 2018) to the current inspection. The Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) for November 2019 notes that the CBOD5 appeared to be elevated one week with the adjacent week's CBOD5 7-day average concentration within permit limits. No further action is required.

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS**

- The Paul R. Noland WWTF was clean and well organized at the time of the inspection. Documents such
  as operation manuals, Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), emergency response procedures,
  maintenance logs, and inventory are stored on-site or digitally and were available. The lab was clean
  and equipment appeared to be well maintained. Facility personnel were enthusiastic and
  knowledgeable and were able to answer questions and explain operations/procedures in a clear
  manner.
- The Paul R. Noland WWTF utilizes ozone for effluent disinfection. Ozone is produced on-site and premixed with water from a holding tank. The water saturated with ozone is then added to the effluent for disinfection prior to discharge. During the walkthrough, solids were observed floating in the holding tank for mix water (Photo #1). Mr. Vinson stated that these solids are cleaned out of the tank by facility personnel. However, the tank appeared to have a significant amount of floating solids, and water used from this tank would flow directly to the facility's outfall and the White River. No solids were observed discharged from the outfall at the time of the inspection (Photo #2).

<u> </u>	• • • • • •	
INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE: Lune Lune	Garrett Grimes	DATE: <b>1/24/2019</b>
SUPERVISOR'S SIGNATURE: Brest & Walker		DATE: <b>2/20/2020</b>

SECTION A: PERMIT VERIFICATION	
PERMIT SATISFACTORILY ADDRESSES OBSERVATIONS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. CORRECT NAME AND MAILING ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. NOTIFICATION GIVEN TO EPA/STATE OF NEW DIFFERENT OR INCREASED DISCHARGES:	☑Y ☐N ☐NA ☐NE
3. NUMBER AND LOCATION OF DISCHARGE POINTS AS DESCRIBED IN PERMIT:	☑Y ☐N ☐NA ☐NE
4. ALL DISCHARGES ARE PERMITTED:	□Y ☑N □NA □NE
SECTION B: RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING EVALUATION	
RECORDS AND REPORTS MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED BY PERMIT	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. ANALYTICAL RESULTS CONSISTENT WITH DATA REPORTED ON DMRS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES DATA ADEQUATE AND INCLUDE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
a. DATES AND TIME(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
b. EXACT LOCATION(S) OF SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
c. NAME OF INDIVIDUAL PERFORMING SAMPLING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
d. ANALYTICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
e. RESULTS OF CALIBRATIONS:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
f. RESULTS OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
g. DATES AND TIMES OF ANALYSES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
h. NAME OF PERSON(S) PERFORMING ANALYSES:	☑Y ☐N ☐NA ☐NE
3. LABORATORY EQUIPMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE RECORDS ADEQUATE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
4. PLANT RECORDS INCLUDE SCHEDULES, DATES OF EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. EFFLUENT LOADINGS CALCULATED USING DAILY EFFLUENT FLOW AND DAILY ANALYTICAL DATA:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
SECTION C: OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	
TREATMENT FACILITY PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY OPERATED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
2. TREATMENT UNITS PROPERLY MAINTAINED:	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
3. STANDBY POWER OR OTHER EQUIVALENT PROVIDED: Two fixed generators, one portable generator, solar array.	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
4. ADEQUATE ALARM SYSTEM FOR POWER OR EQUIPMENT FAILURES AVAILABLE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
5. ALL NEEDED TREATMENT UNITS IN SERVICE:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
6. ADEQUATE NUMBER OF QUALIFIED OPERATORS PROVIDED:	⊠s □m □u □na □ne
7. SPARE PARTS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY MAINTAINED: Maintenance connect	⊠S □M □U □NA □NE
8. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE MANUAL AVAILABLE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
9. STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES AND SCHEDULES ESTABLISHED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
10. PROCEDURES FOR EMERGENCY TREATMENT CONTROL ESTABLISHED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
11. HAVE BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS OCCURRED AT THE PLANT OR IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM IN THE LAST YEAR:	⊠y □n □na □ne
12. IF SO, HAS THE REGULATORY AGENCY BEEN NOTIFIED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
13. HAS CORRECTIVE ACTION BEEN TAKEN TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL BYPASSES/OVERFLOWS:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE
14. HAVE ANY HYDRAULIC OVERLOADS OCCURRED AT THE TREATMENT PLANT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
15. IF SO, DID PERMIT VIOLATIONS OCCUR AS A RESULT:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE

SECTION D: SAMPLING	
PERMITTEE SAMPLING MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. SAMPLES TAKEN AT SITE(S) SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	ØY □N □NA □NE
2. LOCATIONS ADEQUATE FOR REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLES:	ØY □N □NA □NE
3. FLOW PROPORTIONED SAMPLES OBTAINED WHEN REQUIRED BY PERMIT:	ØY □N □NA □NE
4. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES COMPLETED ON PARAMETERS SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
5. SAMPLING AND ANALYSES PERFORMED AT FREQUENCY SPECIFIED IN PERMIT:	Øy □n □na □ne
6. SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	Øy □n □na □ne
a. SAMPLES REFRIGERATED DURING COMPOSITING:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
b. PROPER PRESERVATION TECHNIQUES USED:	Øy □n □na □ne
c. CONTAINERS AND SAMPLE HOLDING TIMES CONFORM TO 40 CFR 136:	Øy □n □na □ne
7. IF MONITORING IS PERFORMED MORE OFTEN THAN REQUIRED ARE RESULTS REPORTED ON THE DMR:	□y □n ☑na □ne
SECTION E: FLOW MEASUREMENT	
PERMITTEE FLOW MEASUREMENT MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. PRIMARY FLOW MEASUREMENT DEVICE PROPERLY INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED: 3' TYPE OF DEVICE: Parshall Flume	☑Y □N □NA □NE
2. FLOW MEASURED AT EACH OUTFALL AS REQUIRED:	Øy □n □na □ne
3. SECONDARY INSTRUMENTS (TOTALIZERS, RECORDERS, ETC.) PROPERLY OPERATED AND MAINTAINED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. CALIBRATION FREQUENCY ADEQUATE:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
5. RECORDS MAINTAINED OF CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:	☑y □n □na □ne
6. CALIBRATION CHECKS DONE TO ASSURE CONTINUED COMPLIANCE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
7. FLOW ENTERING DEVICE WELL DISTRIBUTED ACROSS THE CHANNEL AND FREE OF TURBULENCE:	⊠y □n □na □ne
8. FLOW MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT ADEQUATE TO HANDLE EXPECTED RANGE OF FLOW RATES:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
9. HEAD MEASURED AT PROPER LOCATION:	⊠y □n □na □ne
SECTION F: LABORATORY	
PERMITTEE LABORATORY PROCEDURES MEET PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	☑S □M □U □NA □NE
DETAILS:	
1. EPA APPROVED ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES USED (40 CFR 136.3 FOR LIQUIDS, 503.8(B) FOR SLUDGES):	ØY □N □NA □NE
2. IF ALTERNATIVE ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES ARE USED, PROPER APPROVAL HAS BEEN OBTAINED:	Øy □n □na □ne
3. SATISFACTORY CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE OF INSTRUMENTS AND EQUIPMENT:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	ØY □N □NA □NE
5. DUPLICATE SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	ØY □N □NA □NE
6. SPIKED SAMPLES ARE ANALYZED ≥10% OF THE TIME:	ØY □N □NA □NE
7. COMMERCIAL LABORATORY USED:	ØY □N □NA □NE
a. LAB NAME: Ecotox	
b. LAB ADDRESS: PO Box 847, State University, Arkansas 72467	
c. PARAMETERS PERFORMED: WET Testing	
8. BIOMONITORING PROCEDURES ADEQUATE:	ØY □N □NA □NE
a. PROPER ORGANISMS USED:	☑Y □N □NA □NE
b. PROPER DILUTION SERIES FOLLOWED:	ØY □N □NA □NE
c. PROPER TEST METHODS AND DURATION:	✓Y □N □NA □NE
d. RETESTS AND/OR TRE PERFORMED AS REQUIRED:	□Y □N ☑NA □NE

		•		*	0/81, Permit #: Al	R0020010	
	: EFFLUENT/R			ATIONS			
BASED ON	N VISUAL OBS	ERVATIONS (	ONLY			⊠S □M □	IU □NA □NE
DETAILS:							
OUTFALL #:	OIL SHEEN	GREASE	TURBIDITY	VISIBLE FOAM	FLOATING SOLIDS	COLOR	OTHER
001	None	None	Clear	Trace	None	Clear	
	•	•					•
SECTION H	I: SLUDGE DIS	POSAL					
SLUDGE D	DISPOSAL MEI	ETS PERMIT F	REQUIREMEN	TS		ØS □M □	IU □NA □NE
DETAILS:	Sludge shipped	d to separate pe	ermitted facility	for sale.	•		
1. SLUDGE M	IANAGEMENT ADEQU	ATE TO MAINTAIN EF	FLUENT QUALITY:			⊠s □m	□u □na □ne
2. SLUDGE R	ECORDS MAINTAINED	O AS REQUIRED BY 4	0 CFR 503:			□s □м	□u □na ☑ne
3. FOR LAND	APPLIED SLUDGE, T	YPE OF LAND APPLIE	D TO: (E.G., FOREST	, AGRICULTURAL, PU	BLIC CONTACT SITE): <b>S</b>	old to public	
SECTION I:	SAMPLING IN	SPECTION PRO	OCEDURES				
SAMPLE F	RESULTS WITH	HIN PERMIT R	EQUIREMENT	ΓS		□S □M □	IU ⊠NA □NE
DETAILS:							
1. SAMPLES	OBTAINED THIS INSP	ECTION:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
2. TYPE OF S	SAMPLE: GRAB:	□COMPOSITE: I	METHOD: FREQUE	ENCY:			
3. SAMPLES	PRESERVED:					□Y	□n ☑na □ne
4. FLOW PRO	PORTIONED SAMPLE	S OBTAINED:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
5. SAMPLE O	BTAINED FROM FACI	LITY'S SAMPLING DE	VICE:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
6. SAMPLE R	EPRESENTATIVE OF	VOLUME AND NATUR	E OF DISCHARGE:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
7. SAMPLE S	PLIT WITH PERMITTE	E:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
8. CHAIN-OF-	CUSTODY PROCEDU	RES EMPLOYED:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
9. SAMPLES	COLLECTED IN ACCO	RDANCE WITH PERM	IIT:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
SECTION J	: STORM WAT	ER POLLUTION	PREVENTION	PLAN			
STORM W	ATER MANAG	EMENT MEET	S PERMIT RE	QUIREMENTS	3	□S □M □	U ØNA □NE
DETAILS:							
1. SWPPP UF	PDATED AS NEEDED:_	_ DATE OF LAST UP	PDATE:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
2. SITE MAP	INCLUDING ALL DISC	HARGES AND SURFA	CE WATERS:			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
3. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	I IDENTIFIED:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
4. POLLUTIO	N PREVENTION TEAM	I PROPERLY TRAINED	D:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
5. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL POLLUTAN	T SOURCES:				□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
6. LIST OF PO	OTENTIAL SOURCES A	AND PAST SPILLS AN	D LEAKS:			□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
7. ALL NON-S	STORM WATER DISCH	IARGES ARE AUTHOR	RIZED:			□Y	□n ☑na □ne
8. LIST OF ST	TRUCTURAL BMPS:					□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
9. LIST OF NO	ON-STRUCTURAL BMF	PS:				□Y	□n ☑na □ne
10. BMPS PRC	PERLY OPERATED A	ND MAINTAINED:				□Y	□N ☑NA □NE
11. INSPECTIO	ONS CONDUCTED AS	REQUIRED:				□Y	□N ØNA □NE
1							

		FLOW CALCULA	ATION S	HEET		
Date: <b>1/1</b>	6/2020	Γime: <b>12:17</b>				
Head in Inc	hes: <b>9.0</b> "	Feet: <b>0.75</b>				
Type & Size	e of Primary Flow	Measurement De	vice: 3'	Parshall	flume	
Name & Mo	odel of Secondary	Flow Measureme	ent Device	ce: Sier	mens	
Date of last	Calibration of Se	condary Flow Dev	vice: 1	1/13/19		
Recorded F	Flow at Date & Tin	ne Listed Above:	5.04 M	GD		(Facility Flow Meter)
Calculated	Flow at Date & Ti	me Listed Ahove:				
	ted using flow charts in:				ook-5 <sup>th</sup>	 Edition)
% Error =	Recorded Value Calc	- Calculated ulated Value	Value	X 100		
2/ =	5.04	- 4.94		V 400		
% Error =		4.94		X 100		
% Error =	0.10 4.94	X 100				
% Error =	0.02	X 100				
% Error =	2	%				
Comments						

## **DMR Calculation Check**

Reporting Period:	From	2019	11	1	_ To	2019	11	30	
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day	
Parameter Checked:		NH3-N	_						
		Loading Mass				Concen Mont			
	Mo.	Avg lbs/c	lay	Mo. A	vg 1		7-day Avg mg/		
Reported Value:		9			0.1		0.1	<u> </u>	
Calculated Value:	_	9			0.1		0.1	<u> </u>	
Permit Value:		252			2.4		3.6	<b>3</b>	

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>

## **DMR Calculation Check**

Reporting Period:	From	2019	4	1	То	2019	4	30
		Year	Month	Day		Year	Month	Day
Parameter Checked:		CBOD5	_					

	Loading	Concentration				
	Mass	Monthly				
Mo. Avg Ibs/day		Mo. Avg mg/l	7-day Avg mg/l			
Reported Value:	171	3.2	3.8			
Calculated Value:	171	3.2	3.8			
Permit Value:	788	7.5	11.3			

If calculated value does not equal reported value, explain: <u>Equal</u>

Office of Water Quality Photographic Evidence Sheet							
Location: P	aul R. Noland WWTF						
Photographe	: Garrett Grimes, District 1 Inspector	Date:	1/16/2020	Time:	11:15		
Witness: Photo #: 1							
Description:	Holding tank for the ozone mixture. Consurface of the water.	lumps	of floating solids	are visible on t	he		



Photographer:   Garrett Grimes, District 1 Inspector	Date:	1/16/2020	Time:	12:03
Witness:			Photo #	: 2

Description: Effluent from Outfall 001.

