

June 11, 2020

Mr. Gregg Rainey, Pollution and Control Facility Manager Clarksville Connected Utilities PO Box 187 Clarksville, AR 72830

ravis Hormun

RE: Clarksville Light & Water POTW Inspections (Johnson Co)

AFIN: 36-00038 NPDES Permit No.: AR0022187

Dear Mr. Rainey:

On May 21, 2020, I performed a Pretreatment Compliance Inspection and an Industrial User Inspection of the above-referenced facility in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. A copy of each of the inspection reports is enclosed for your records.

No violations were noted at the time of the inspections. Please refer to each of the attached inspection reports for any comments.

If I can be of any assistance, please contact me at harmont@adeq.state.ar.us or (501) 837-2070.

Sincerely,

Travis Harmon
District 5 Inspector

Office of Water Quality

F	ADEO	OFFICE OF WATER QUALITY INSPECTION REPORT							
		AFI	N: 36-00038	PERMIT #: AR0022	187			DATE: 5/21/2020	
A	R K A N S A S partment of Environmental Quality	CO	UNTY: 36 Joh	inson	PDS #	#: 112176			MEDIA: WN
րeb	artinent of Environmental Quality	GPS	S LAT: 35.445	598 LONG: -93.485	147 L	OCATION	: G e	eneral	Area
	FACILITY INFORMAT					TION INFO			
NAME	:			FACILITY TYPE:	INSPECT	OR ID#:			
CIA	arksville Light & Water POTW			1 - Municipal		9 S - State		N	
	05 South Crawford			FACILITY EVALUATION RATING 3 - Satisfactory	3:			N TYPE: Patmen	t Compliance
CITY:	arksville, AR 72830			DATE(S): EN	TRY TIME:	EXIT TIME:			FECTIVE DATE:
010	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAI		5/21/2020 0	9:00	12:00		10/1/2	
NAME	RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL						9/30/2	(PIRATION DATE:
	. Gregg Rainey / Pollution and C	ontro	ol Facility						.019
	nager			FAYETTEVILLE					
Cla	PANY: Arksville Connected Utilities			FAYETTEVILLE					
	NG ADDRESS:					TION PAR	TIC	IPANT:	S
	Box 187			Pam Smith/Pret		ent Coord	ina	tor and	d Lab
	state, zip: arksville AR 72830			Supervisor/ 479					
	IFKSVIIIE AR 72030 IE&EXT: / FAX:								
	9-754-6241 /								
	։ egg.Rainey@clarksvilleconnected m.Smith@clarksvilleconnected.ne								
	NTACTED DURING INSPECTION:		}						
		-41-5		VALUATIONS	Front 1	,			
N	PERMIT (s=s:		ory, M=Marginal, U=Un FLOW MEAS	nsatisfactory, N=Not Applicable/ URFMENT	Evaluated N	STORMV	۷A	TFR	
N	RECORDS/REPORTS		LABORATOR		N	FACILITY			VIEW
N	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE			ECEIVING WATER	N				G PROGRAM
N	SAMPLING	N		NDLING/DISPOSAL	S	PRETRE			
N	OTHER:				•				
			SUMMARY	OF FINDINGS					
I fo	I found no violations concerning the Pretreatment Program at the time of inspection.								
800	GENERAL COMMENTS See pretreatment form included with this report.								
3 86	s pretreatment form included with	ıı uiis	s report.						
	- In	/	is Horm	un					
INS	SPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	Travis Harmon				DATE	: 6/5/2020		
		ממ	is lterm i M ^s C						
SU	PERVISOR'S SIGNATURE:			Kerri McCabe				DATE	: 6/10/2020

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

Name of Municipality:	Clarksville Pollution and	Control Facility				
AFIN Number: 36-00	038					
NPDES Permit Number(s):	AR0022187					
Program Tracked under NF	PDES Permit Number:	AR0022187				
Fact Sheet Preparation Da	te: N/A					
Date of Last PCI/Audit:	November 19-21, 2013	}				
Date of Last Annual Report	t: February 2020					
Name of Inspector:	Travis Harmon					
Date PCI Performed:	May 21, 2020					
Name, Title, and Telephone	e Number of Facility Representati	ve:				
Pam Smith, Pretreatment	Coordinator and Lab Supervise	or				
Name and Title of Other Participants: N/A						
Number of IUs Visited:	1					
Name(s) of IUs Visited:	Greenville Tube					
AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED						

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

1.		ndustrial Users (SIUs) which h ed from the program since the N/A							
2.	Has ADEQ or EPA b	peen notified of these changes	?	N/A					
3.	HAS THE INDUSTR	RIAL USER SURVEY BEEN K	EPT UPDATED?	Yes					
4.	What procedures are	What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey?							
		Has surveyed Dental Clinics; Pretreatment Program notified by City Office of all new commercial connections.							
5.	the definition used b	nificant Industrial Users, accord y the POTW. (This number m ll to the answer to question 6)		2					
6.	Number of Categoric	cal Industrial Users:	1						
7.	How does the POTV standards to apply to	V determine the appropriate ca o an IU? 40 CFI							
8.	program. Include th as Metal Finishing),	Us discharging under the appro e name of the IU, the regulator and the regulated process (pho dditional listings can be made i necessary.	ry category osphating,						
	ne of IU: enville Tube	Category: Metal Finishing	Regulated Acid Was						

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1.	IS THE POTW APPLY	ING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED
	BY ADEQ OR EPA?	No local limits applied.

- Describe any apparent problems with the local limits.
 N/A
- 3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

	, .	•		
		Require		
Pollutant:	Frequency:	Permit:	Program:	Comments:
Metals:				
Influent:	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	
Effluent:	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	
Sludge:	Quarterly	Quarterly	Quarterly	
Organics:				
Influent:	Annually	Annually	Annually	
Effluent:	Annually	Annually	Annually	
Sludge:	Annually	Annually	Annually	
	•	or upsets at the PO		

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective?

No upsets reported due to IU.

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program? Yes					
How many IU permits (or oth issued?	er control docu	uments) have been			
DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LISE EXPIRATION OF THEIR PR	ST ALL UNPE EVIOUS PERI	RMITTED SIUS, THE I MIT (IF APPLICABLE)	DATE OF , AND		
Does the control document of	ontain the follo	owing items?			
An expiration date:	Yes				
Discharge limitations:	Yes				
If the program requires self-nermits contain:	nonitoring by th	ne IUs, do the			
IU self-monitoring requireme	nts:	Yes			
IU reporting requirements:	<u> Y</u>	es			
Sample location: Y	es				
, i <u> </u>					
<u> </u>					
, · · · ·					
•					
-	Yes				
Slug load notification:	Yes				
Notification of process change	ie	Yes			
	An expiration date: Discharge limitations: If the program requires self-nermits contain: IU self-monitoring requireme IU reporting requirements: Indicate which of the following conditions are contained in the Sample location: Sample location: Type of sample: Monitoring frequency: Bypass prohibition: Right of entry: Nontransferability: Revocation clause: Penalty Provisions: Slug load notification:	How many IU permits (or other control doctissued? 3 DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURREDOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPEREXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERITHE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING TYES Does the control document contain the followance of the program requires self-monitoring by the Permits contain: IU self-monitoring requirements: IU reporting requirements: Yes Indicate which of the following recommended conditions are contained in the control document control document contains: Yes Indicate which of the following recommended conditions are contained in the control document control document control document control document conditions are contained in the c	An expiration date: Discharge limitations: IU self-monitoring requirements: IU self-monitoring requirements: IU reporting requirements: IU reporting requirements: Sample location: Type of sample: Monitoring frequency: Bypass prohibition: Right of entry: Nontransferability: Revocation clause: Penalty Provisions: IU self-monitorid for other control documents: Yes Pos All L SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CO DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE IE SUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS? IN TABLE OF THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENTS? Yes Pos IV results of the following retains the following items? Yes Yes IU reporting requirements: Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Y		

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1.	Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:					
	•	t below.	Current frequency	:	Program Requirement:	
	Sampling: cat	tegorical IUs	Bi-Annually		Annually	
	Inspection:	other SIUs	Bi-Annually		Annually	
	•	tegorical IUs	Annually		Annually	
		other SIUs	Annually		Annually	
2.		BY THE APP	SPECTED AND SAI ROVED	MPLED AT TH Yes	E FREQUENCY	
3.	Are inspect	ions announce	d or unannounced?		Unannounced	
4.	Are records kept of each inspection? Yes					
5.	Does the in the following	•	contain an adequat	e description o	f	
	Date and tir	me of inspectio	n: Yes			
	Officials pre	esent:	Yes			
	Inspection of	of chemical sto				
			rocesses, categorica e waste streams:		s, and 'es	
	Inspection of	of the pretreatm	<u> Y</u>	es es		
	Review of self-monitoring records: Yes					
	Observation	n of IU self-mor	Yes			
	Verification that approved analytical techniques are used:				Yes	
	Verification	of IU flow mea	surement (where red	quired):	Yes	
6. Overall adequacy of inspection documentation: Inspection reports contain all information in #5.						

7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL

	POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIOD Yes; sampled annually.	ICALLY).
8.	Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes (EEG - contract lab)	
9.	Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained? Yes	
10.	Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms? Yes	
11.	Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes	
12.	Are sampling locations identified in POTW records?	Yes
13.	Are sampling services available in an emergency?	Yes
14.	What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Receives monthly report from each IU.	
15.	ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY T ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARA TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS?	
16.	IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes	RESPOND

HAS THE POTW REVII 403.12(b)?: Yes	EWED BMRS FOR	R COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR
Review a Baseline Mon and indicate which of th in the BMR:		
Name and address:	Yes	
Other environmental pe	rmits held:	Yes
Description of operation	s: <u>Y</u>	Yes
Process flow diagrams:	Yes	
Flow measurements:	Yes	
Measurements of regula	ated pollutants:	Yes
Certification of complian	ce by the IU:	Yes
Compliance schedule (i	needed):	N/A

E. Enforcement

1.	HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS? Yes						
2.							
	Effluent limitations	: <u>Le</u>	etter of v	iolation requiring corr	ective action		
	Late reports:	Letter of viola	ation				
	Unpermitted discharges:		None; would notify ADEQ				
	Slug loads or spills			iolation; can fine \$1,00 ment to POTW.	00 per day and require		
3.	IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)? Published February of each year.						
4.							
_	Name: ne in last 12 nths	Type of Violati	ion:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:		

5.	Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: Enforcement procedures appear satisfactory.					
<u>F. P</u>	OTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION ST	<u> RUCTURE</u>				
1.	Is the program structure essentially the same presented in the approved pretreatment program		Yes			
2.	Are staffing levels adequate?	Yes				
3.	Are the responsible officials familiar with the a program? Yes	pproved				
	MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES					
1.	List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: None					
2.	Does the POTW have adequate procedures for located outside its jurisdictional area?	or controlling IUs <u>N/A</u>				
3.	Does the POTW have copies of permits for IU cities? N/A	s in other				
4.	Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Sign Violator? If so, have they been published by tits annual list of Significant Violators?					
5.	Comments on multijurisdictional issues: No IUs located outside jurisdiction					

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

<u>N/A</u>

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

IU SITE VISIT FORM

PPETS CODE SHEET

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

			CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME:	Travis Harmon		
NAME OF FACILITY:	Clarksv	ille Connected Utilities	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM:		AR0022187	NPID
DATE OF PCI:	May 21, 2020		DTIA
	PPETS WENDB DA	TA ELEMENTS	
NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS):		2	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS:		1	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW:		0	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM:		0	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING:		0	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:		0	MSN C
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NON	AND NOT	0	CNIIN