STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Air Permit # 0299-AR-17 AFIN: 17-00043

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT:

Bekaert Corporation 1881 Bekaert Drive Van Buren, Arkansas 72956

3. PERMIT WRITER:

Andrea Sandage

4. PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND NAICS CODE:

NAICS Description: Steel Wire Drawing

NAICS Code: 331222

5. SUBMITTALS:

2/11/2013 4/22/2013

6. REVIEWER'S NOTES:

Bekaert Corporation (Bekaert) manufactures drawn wire products (NAICS 331222) at its facility located at 1881 Bekaert Drive, Van Buren, Crawford County, Arkansas 72958. With this modification, the facility will add an existing emergency generator (SN-82) as an insignificant activity and add a new Pre-stretch Cable Strander (SN-83). Specific Condition # 15 has been revised to lower the acceptable pressure drop for SN-81 from 2.5 to 0.5 and change the pressure drop for SN-30 from 5 to 8 to 5.5 to 9.0. The plant wide emissions increases are 0.1 tpy PM/PM_{10} .

7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues. The last inspection, dated June 28, 2011, resulted in no violations.

Page 2 of 9

There are no active or pending air enforcement actions at this time.

| Q | USA. | A DDI | ICABII | ITV. |
|----|------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| ο. | EOD | ALL. | асари | /I I Y 7 |

- a. Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)?
- b. Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD?

N

- Single pollutant \geq 100 tpy and on the list of 28 or single pollutant \geq 250 tpy and not on list, or
- CO_2e potential to emit $\geq 100,000$ tpy and ≥ 100 tpy/ ≥ 250 tpy of combined GHGs?

If yes, explain why this permit modification not PSD?

9. GHG MAJOR SOURCE (TITLE V):

| Indicate one: |
|---|
| Facility is classified as a major source for GHG and the permit includes this |
| designation |
| Facility does not have the physical potential to be a major GHG source |
| Facility has restrictions on GHG or throughput rates that limit facility to a minor |
| GHG source. Describe these restrictions: |

10. SOURCE AND POLLUTANT SPECIFIC REGULATORY APPLICABILITY:

| Source | Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD) |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| SN-42, SN-43 | 40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc |

11. EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION:

See emission change and fee calculation spreadsheet in Appendix A.

- 12. NAAQS EVALUATIONS AND NON-CRITERIA POLLUTANTS:
 - a) NAAQS:
 - (i) List the reason for a NAAQS evaluation (i.e. what changes are being permitted that would require the evaluation) and pollutants affected. If a NAAQS evaluation is not required, indicate why not.

This permitting action is for a Minor Source. Criteria pollutants were not evaluated for impacts on the NAAQS.

b) Non-Criteria Pollutants:

Page 3 of 9

1st Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value (mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

| Pollutant | SN | TLV (mg/m³) | PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11 × TLV | Proposed lb/hr | Pass? |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| HCl | 10, 19, 30, 81 | 2.98* | 0.328 | 1.99 | N |
| Cl ₂ | 11, 13, 20, 22, 32, 35 | 1.45 | 0.16 | 0.90 | N |
| NH ₃ /NH ₄ | 11, 13, 20, 22, 32, 35 | 17.41 | 1.92 | 2.70 | N |

^{*2012} TLV data

2nd Tier Screening (PAIL)

AERMOD air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH. Dispersion modeling was performed for this (R-16) application using 2009-2012 MET data and was performed for HCl only, since this was the only pollutant affected by the application.

| Pollutant | PAIL (μg/m³) = 1/100 of Threshold Limit Value | Modeled Concentration (μg/m³) | Pass? |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------|
| HCl | 29.8** | 13.545 | Y |
| Cl ₂ | 14.5 | 1.37* | Y |
| NH ₃ /NH ₄ | 174.1 | 5.10* | Y |

^{*} From a previous Permit #0299-AR-11-SOB

Other Modeling: Not Required

CALCULATIONS: 13.

^{**}Based on 2012 TLV

Page 4 of 9

| SN | Emission Factor Source (AP-42, Testing, etc) | Emission Factor & units (lbs/ton, lbs/hr, etc) | Control Equipment Type (if any) | Control Equipment Efficiency | Comments (Emission Factor controlled/ uncontrolled, etc) |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 06, 53 | Stack Testing at Bekaert Facilities in Rome, GA & Belgium | Engr Est = 10% air borne dust @ 6.0 mg/m3 + 200% SF = PM Fugitive = 0.5622 lb/hr Total/2 =0.28 lb/hr ea + PM Dust Collector @ 7.66 lb/hr * 200% SF * 90% eff = 1.53 lb/hr/2 =0.77 ea | Two Dust Collectors for Wire Drawing Dept | 95.0% Fabric Filter - BUT used 90% for calculations | 8760 hrs/yr 200% SF SN-06,53 split emissions equally |
| 10, 19, | Testing | 100 ppm HCl conc to scrubber | Scrubber | 98% | 100% Safety Factor |
| 28, 50, 51 | Engineering Estimate | 0.02 lb/hr 0.1 tpy | None | N/A | Vent Stacks exhausts Water vapor & Negligible PM #55 moved to IA in R-14 |
| 40 | Based on 9.53 x 10 ⁻⁵ g Zn oxide/weld | | N/A | N/A | 50% is assumed to become airborne. Annual is 8760 hr/yr. |
| 59, 70 – 74 | Estimate based on depth of the weld | 12.86 x 10 ⁻⁵ g Zn/weld | N/A | N/A | Assumes 50% is airborne |

Page 5 of 9

| SN | Emission Factor Source (AP-42, Testing, etc) | Emission Factor & units (lbs/ton, lbs/hr, etc) | Control Equipment Type (if any) | Control Equipment Efficiency | Comments (Emission Factor controlled/ uncontrolled, etc) |
|---------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| 67, 68, 69 | PM Stack Testing at Bekaert Facilities in Rome, GA & Belgium | Engr Est = 10% air borne dust @ 6.0 mg/m3 dust loading= PM Fugitive = 0.40 lb/hr Total/3 =0.13 lb/hr ea | None | N/A | Ventilation Fans are not control Equipment 200% SF |
| 75 | Engineering assumption | Assumed factor of 0.1 lb/hr | N/A | N/A | #75 moved to IA in R-14 |
| 64, 66, 76 | Engineering estimate using industrial hygiene testing data | Dust loading 6.0 mg/m³ with 200% safety factor. | N/A | 200% SF | 10% of airborne dust leaves building. 30% of wire draw non-stack emissions distributed equally between SN-76 and SN-77. 212,230 m³/hr air. |
| 77 | Engineering estimate using industrial hygiene testing data | Dust loading 6.0 mg/m³ with 200% safety factor. | N/A | N/A | 10% airborne dust exits building. 30% of wire draw nonstack emission distributed equally between SN-76 & SN-77. 212,230 m³/hr air. |

Page 6 of 9

| SN | Emission Factor Source (AP-42, Testing, etc) | Emission Factor & units (lbs/ton, lbs/hr, etc) | Control Equipment Type (if any) | Control Equipment Efficiency | Comments (Emission Factor controlled/ uncontrolled, etc) |
|--------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|---|
| 78 | Engineering estimate using stack testing data | Dust loading 7.66 lb/hr with 200% safety factor. | Dust collector | 90% | 40% of wire draw emissions distributed equally between SN-66 and SN-78. |
| 42, 43 | AP-42, Table 1.4.1- 1.4.3, small industrial boilers, uncontrolled | SO ₂ = 0.6lb/mmcf NOx = 100 lb/mmcf CO = 84 lb/mmcf PM = 7.6 lb/mmcf PM ₁₀ = 7.6 lb/mmcf VOC = 5.5 lb/mmcf NG heating value = 973 btu/cf Maximum schedule = 24hr/day, 7 days/wk, 26 wks/yr. One boiler at a time operates. One boiler operates 52 wks/yr. Existing boilers 14.7 MM Btu/hr | None | N/A | Updated emission factors applied only to new boilers |
| 81 | Mass Balance | 2.19 lb VOC/gal 1,650 gal per yr | Scrubber | N/A | Assumes all VOC emitted |
| 83 | Stack testing of SN-07, SN- 16 | PM=0.0166 lb/hr | Cyclone | Not used in the calculation | Safety factor 1.5 80 tons/day 30,926 tpy |

14. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

No stack testing required

Permit #: 0299-AR-17 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 7 of 9

15. MONITORING OR CEMS

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring

equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

| SN | Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored | Method (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.) | Frequency | Report (Y/N) |
|---------|---|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 81 | Pressure Drop (inches H ₂ O) | Pressure gauge on HCl Scrubber | Daily | N |
| 10, 19, | Pressure Drop (inches H ₂ O) | Sieve tray differential pressure | Daily | N |

16. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

| SN | Recorded Item | Permit Limit | Frequency | Report (Y/N) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|--------------|
| Facility | Natural Gas | 562.4 MM CF/rolling 12- month period | Monthly | N |
| Facility | Pickled Steel Rod | 144,870 tons/rolling 12- month period | Monthly | N |
| Facility | Pickling Inhibitor | 1650 gallons/rolling 12-month period | Monthly | N |
| SN-42, SN-43 | Natural Gas Consumption | 11.24 MM CF/month | Monthly | N |

17. OPACITY:

| SN | Opacity | Justification for limit | Compliance Mechanism |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 08, 12, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 27, | 5% | Natural Gas Fired | Inspector's |

Page 8 of 9

| SN | Opacity | Justification for limit | Compliance Mechanism |
|--|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 33, 34, 36, 42, 43, 44, 79 | | Equipment | Observation |
| 06, 07, 11, 13, 16, 20, 22, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 50, 51, 53, 54, 62, 64, 66, 76, 77, 78, 81, 83 | 20% | Manufacturing Equipment | Inspector's Observation |

18. DELETED CONDITIONS:

| Former SC | Justification for removal |
|---------------------------|---|
| 14, 15, 16, 17 | Alternative Scenario #1 no longer applies. |
| 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 | These conditions applied to Alternative Scenario #2, which was implemented. These requirements are incorporated into other specific conditions in the permit. |
| 24 | This modification incorporates modifications for Alternative Scenario #2. |

19. GROUP A INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES

| Course Nous | Group A Category | Emissions (tpy) | | | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| Source Name | | PM/PM ₁ | SO_2 | VOC | СО | NO _x | HAPs | |
| T1 7: | | 0 | | | | 1,0, | Single | Total |
| Three Zinc Quench Bath | | | | | | | | |
| Vacuums (one | A-13 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | NI/A | NT/A | 37/4 |
| formerly SN-49) | 1113 | 14/21 | 11/1 | IN/A | IN/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| water vapor only | | | | | | | | |
| Four Zinc or | | | | | | | | |
| Bezinal Quench | | | | | | | | |
| Knives (two | A-13 | · NT/A | 3. T/A | 27/4 | | | | |
| formerly SN-55 | A-13 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| and SN-75) water | | | | | | | | |
| vapor only | | | | | | | | |
| IVP-40 Zinc Bath | | | | | | | | |
| Emergency | A-1 | 0.00058 | 0.000034 | 0.00172 | 0.216 | 0.132 | N/A | NT/A |
| Generator (SN-82) | | | 0.000054 | 0.00172 | 0.210 | 0.132 | IN/A | N/A |

20. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

List all active permits voided/superseded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

Permit #: 0299-AR-17 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 9 of 9

| 21 | CONC | TIRRE | NCF | $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{V}$ |
|--------|-------------|-------|-----|------------------------|
| Z. I . | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | UINE | | 1)1. |

| The following supervisor concurs with the permitting decision. |
|--|
| |
| Paula Parker, P.E. |



Fee Calculation for Minor Source

Revised 08-20-12

Facility Name: Bekaert Corporation--

Van Buren

Permit Number: 0299-AR-17

AFIN: 17-00043

| | | | Old Permit Nev | w Permit |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--|------------------|----------|
| \$/ton factor | 22.97 | Permit Predominant Air Contaminant | 33.7 | 33.7 |
| Minimum Fee \$ | 400 | Net Predominant Air Contaminant Increase | 0 | |
| Minimum Initial Fee \$ | 500 | | | |
| | | Permit Fee \$ | 400 | |
| Check if Administrative Amendment | | Annual Chargeable Emissions (tpy) | 33.7 | |

| Pollutant (tpy) | Old Permit | New Permit | Change |
|------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| PM | 27.8 | 27.9 | 0.1 |
| PM ₁₀ | 27.8 | 27.9 | 0.1 |
| SO ₂ | 11.9 | 11.9 | 0 |
| voc | 4.3 | 4.3 | 0 |
| co | 13.7 | 13.7 | 0 |
| NO_X | 33.7 | 33.7 | 0 |
| Pb | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0 |
| HCI | 8.35 | 8.35 | 0 |
| Chlorine | 2.2 | 2.2 | 0 |
| Ammonia | 11 | 11 | 0 |