#### STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 0299-AR-19 AFIN: 17-00043

#### 1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

#### 2. APPLICANT:

Bekaert Corporation 1881 Bekaert Drive Van Buren, Arkansas 72956

3. PERMIT WRITER:

Jeremy Antipolo

4. NAICS DESCRIPTION AND CODE:

NAICS Description: Steel Wire Drawing NAICS Code: 331222

5. ALL SUBMITTALS:

Date of Application	Type of Application	Short Description of Any Changes
	(New, Renewal, Modification,	That Would Be Considered New or
	Deminimis/Minor Mod, or	Modified Emissions
	Administrative Amendment)	
2/15/2017	Deminimis	Installation of new PC Strander
		equipment (SN-84a and SN-84b)

#### 6. **REVIEWER'S NOTES**:

Bekaert Corporation (Bekaert) manufactures drawn wire products (NAICS 331222) at its facility located at 1881 Bekaert Drive, Van Buren, Crawford County, Arkansas 72958. In this DeMinimis permit modification, the facility requested to install new PC Strander equipment (SN-84a PC Strander and SN-84b Induction Heater for PC Strander with Dust Collector). Plantwide emission increases are 11.4 tpy PM/PM<sub>10</sub>.

7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

There are no current/pending enforcement issues for this facility.

#### 8. PSD APPLICABILITY:

- a) Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)? N
- b) Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD?
- Single pollutant  $\geq 100$  tpy and on the list of 28 or single pollutant  $\geq 250$  tpy and not on list

If yes, explain why this permit modification is not PSD.

#### 9. SOURCE AND POLLUTANT SPECIFIC REGULATORY APPLICABILITY:

Source	Pollutant	Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)
SN-42, SN-43	SO <sub>2</sub> , PM	40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc

#### 10. EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION:

See emission change and fee calculation spreadsheet in Appendix A.

#### 11. AMBIENT AIR EVALUATIONS:

- a) Reserved.
- b) Non-Criteria Pollutants:
- 1<sup>st</sup> Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value (mg/m<sup>3</sup>), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

Pollutant	SN	TLV (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11 \times TLV$	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
HC1	10, 19, 30, 81	2.98*	0.328	1.99	Ν
Cl <sub>2</sub>	11, 13, 20, 22, 32, 35	1.45	0.16	0.90	Ν

Permit #: 0299-AR-19 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 3 of 9

Pollutant	SN	TLV (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11 \times TLV$	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
NH <sub>3</sub> /NH <sub>4</sub>	11, 13, 20, 22, 32, 35	17.41	1.92	2.70	Ν

\*2012 TLV data

2<sup>nd</sup> Tier Screening (PAIL)

AERMOD air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH. Dispersion modeling was performed for the R-16 application using 2009-2012 MET data and was performed for HCl only, since this was the only pollutant affected by the application.

Pollutant	PAIL $(\mu g/m^3) = 1/100$ of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration $(\mu g/m^3)$	Pass?
HCl	29.8**	13.545	Y
Cl <sub>2</sub>	14.5	1.37*	Y
NH <sub>3</sub> /NH <sub>4</sub>	174.1	5.10*	Y

\* From a previous Permit #0299-AR-11-SOB \*\*Based on 2012 TLV

c) H<sub>2</sub>S Modeling:

A.C.A. §8-3-103 requires hydrogen sulfide emissions to meet specific ambient standards. Many sources are exempt from this regulation, refer to the Arkansas Code for details.

Is the facility exempt from the H2S StandardsYIf exempt, explain:No H2S emissions

Pollutant	Threshold value	Modeled Concentration (ppb)	Pass?
	20 parts per million (5-minute average*)	N/A	N/A
H <sub>2</sub> S	80 parts per billion (8-hour average) residential area	N/A	N/A
	100 parts per billion (8-hour average)	N/A	N/A

Pollutant	Threshold value	Modeled Concentration (ppb)	Pass?
	nonresidential area		

\*To determine the 5-minute average use the following equation

 $Cp = Cm (t_m/t_p)^{0.2}$  where

Cp = 5-minute average concentration Cm = 1-hour average concentration

 $t_m = 60 \text{ minutes}$  $t_p = 5 \text{ minutes}$ 

#### CALCULATIONS: 12.

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
06, 53	Stack Testing at Bekaert Facilities in Rome, GA & Belgium	Engr Est = 10% air borne dust @ 6.0  mg/m3 + 200%  SF = <u>PM Fugitive</u> = 0.5622  lb/hr Total/2 =0.28 lb/hr ea <u>+ PM Dust</u> <u>Collector</u> @ 7.66 lb/hr * 200% SF * 90% eff = 1.53 lb/hr/2 =0.77 ea	Two Dust Collectors for Wire Drawing Dept	95.0% Fabric Filter – BUT used 90% for calculations	8760 hrs/yr 200% SF SN-06,53 split emissions equally
07, 16, 26	AP-42 Section 1.2	$SO_2 - 58.5 \text{ lb/ton}$ $NO_x - 9 \text{ lb/ton}$ $CO - 0.6 \text{ lb/ton}$ $PM - 13.2 \text{ lb/ton}$ $VOC - 0.3 \text{ lb/ton}$ $Pb - 0.0089$ $\text{lb/ton}$	None	N/A	Stoker fired boilers SO <sub>2</sub> EF=39S S=1.5% PM – ash content 15% 8760 hrs/yr

Permit #: 0299-AR-19 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 5 of 9

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
10, 19, 30	Testing	100 ppm HCl conc to scrubber	Scrubber	98%	100% Safety Factor
13, 22, 35	Engineering Estimate based on dust collected in 2013	1090.9 lbs/yr collected dust	None	None	baghouses removed and vented outside. Only PM values updated in permit. All other emissions from previous permit.
28, 50, 51	Engineering Estimate	0.02 lb/hr 0.1 tpy	None	N/A	Vent Stacks exhausts Water vapor & Negligible PM #55 moved to IA in R-14
40	Based on weld	9.53 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> g Zn oxide/weld	N/A	N/A	50% is assumed to become airborne. Annual is 8760 hr/yr.
59, 70 - 74	Estimate based on depth of the weld	12.86 x 10 <sup>-5</sup> g Zn/weld	N/A	N/A	Assumes 50% is airborne
67, 68, 69	PM Stack Testing at Bekaert Facilities in Rome, GA & Belgium	Engr Est = 10% air borne dust @ 6.0 mg/m3 dust loading= PM Fugitive = 0.40 lb/hr Total/3 =0.13 lb/hr ea	None	N/A	Ventilation Fans are not control Equipment 200% SF
75	Engineering assumption	Assumed factor of 0.1 lb/hr	N/A	N/A	#75 moved to IA in R-14
64, 66,	Engineering	Dust loading 6.0	N/A	200% SF	10% of airborne dust

#### Permit #: 0299-AR-19 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 6 of 9

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
76	estimate using industrial hygiene testing data	mg/m <sup>3</sup> with 200% safety factor.			leaves building. 30% of wire draw non-stack emissions distributed equally between SN-76 and SN-77. 212,230 m <sup>3</sup> /hr air.
77	Engineering estimate using industrial hygiene testing data	Dust loading 6.0 mg/m <sup>3</sup> with 200% safety factor.	N/A	N/A	10% airborne dust exits building. 30% of wire draw nonstack emission distributed equally between SN-76 & SN-77. 212,230 m <sup>3</sup> /hr air.
78	Engineering estimate using stack testing data	Dust loading 7.66 lb/hr with 200% safety factor.	Dust collector	90%	40% of wire draw emissions distributed equally between SN- 66 and SN-78.
42, 43	AP-42, Table 1.4.1-1.4.3, small industrial boilers, uncontrolled	$SO_2 = 0.6lb/mmcf$ Nox = 100 lb/mmcf CO = 84 lb/mmcf PM = 7.6 lb/mmcf $PM_{10} = 7.6$ lb/mmcf VOC = 5.5 lb/mmcf NG heating value = 973 btu/cf Maximum schedule = 24hr/day, 7 days/wk, 26 wks/yr. One boiler at a time operates. One boiler operates 52	None	N/A	Updated emission factors applied only to new boilers

Permit #: 0299-AR-19 AFIN: 17-00043 Page 7 of 9

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
		wks/yr. Existing boilers 14.7 MM Btu/hr			
81	Mass Balance	2.19 lb VOC/gal 1,650 gal per yr	Scrubber	N/A	Assumes all VOC emitted
83	Stack testing of SN-07, SN-16	PM=0.0166 lb/hr	Cyclone	Not used in the calculation	Safety factor 1.5 80 tons/day 30,926 tpy
84a	Engineering estimation using emission data of existing PC Strander SN-83	PM/PM <sub>10</sub> 165 gallons dust/month	None		<ul> <li>Assumed maximum of three 55-gallon drums of collected dust (from SN-83)</li> <li>Assumed density of dust to be 10 lb/gallon</li> </ul>
84b	Engineering assumption	PM/PM <sub>10</sub> 0.005 grains/ft <sup>3</sup>	Partial enclosure routed to dust collector	N/A	

### 13. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

No stack testing required

14. MONITORING OR CEMS:

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

SN	Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored	Method (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.)	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
81	Pressure Drop (inches	Pressure gauge on HCl	Daily	Ν

SN	Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored	Method (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.)	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
	H <sub>2</sub> O)	Scrubber		
10, 19, 30	Pressure Drop (inches H <sub>2</sub> O)	Sieve tray differential pressure	Daily	Ν

# 15. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

SN	Recorded Item Permit Limit		Frequency	Report (Y/N)
Facility	Natural Gas	562.4 MM CF/rolling 12- month period	Monthly	Ν
Facility	Anthracite Coal	312 tons/rolling 12-month period	Monthly	Ν
Facility	Pickling Inhibitor	1650 gallons/rolling 12-month period	Monthly	Ν
SN-42, SN-43	Natural Gas Consumption	11.24 MM CF/month	Monthly	Ν

# 16. OPACITY:

SN	Opacity	Justification for limit	Compliance Mechanism
08, 12, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 27, 33, 34, 36, 42, 43, 44, 79, 84b	5%	Natural Gas Fired Equipment	Inspector's Observation
06, 07, 11, 13, 16, 20, 22, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35, 36, 39, 40, 41, 50, 51, 53, 54, 62, 64, 66, 76, 77, 78, 81, 83, 84a	20%	Manufacturing Equipment	Inspector's Observation

17. DELETED CONDITIONS:

Former SC	Justification for removal
	None

# 18. GROUP A INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES:

	Group A	Emissions (tpy)						
Source Name	Category		$SO_2$	VOC	СО	NO <sub>x</sub>	HAPs	
		PM/PM <sub>10</sub>					Single	Total
Three Zinc Quench Bath Vacuums (one formerly SN-49) water vapor only	A-13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Four Zinc or Bezinal Quench Knives (two formerly SN-55 and SN-75) water vapor only	A-13	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
IVP-40 Zinc Bath Emergency Generator (SN-82)	A-1	0.00058	0.000034	0.00172	0.216	0.132	N/A	N/A

# 19. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

List all active permits voided/superseded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

Permit #	
0299-AR-18	

APPENDIX A – EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION

# Fee Calculation for Minor Source

# Facility Name:Bekaert CorporationPermit Number:0299-AR-19AFIN:17-00043

\$/ton factor	23.93
Minimum Fee \$	400
Minimum Initial Fee \$	500

	Old Permit	New Permit
Permit Predominant Air Contaminant	32.8	39.9
Net Predominant Air Contaminant Increase	7.1	
Permit Fee \$	400	
Annual Chargeable Emissions (tpy)	39.9	

Check if A	Administrative	Amendment

Pollutant (tpy)	Old Permit	New Permit	Change
PM	28.5	39.9	11.4
$PM_{10}$	28.5	39.9	11.4
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	0	0	0
SO <sub>2</sub>	18.1	18.1	0
VOC	4.4	4.4	0
СО	13.2	13.2	0
NO <sub>X</sub>	32.8	32.8	0
Lead	0.01	0.01	0
Chlorine	2.2	2.2	0
Hydrogen Chloride	8.35	8.35	0
Ammonia	11	11	0

Revised 03-11-16