STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 0378-AR-15 AFIN: 04-00111

1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, Arkansas 72118-5317

2. APPLICANT:

Gates Corporation 1801 North Lincoln Siloam Springs, Arkansas 72761

3. PERMIT WRITER:

Adam McDaniel

4. NAICS DESCRIPTION AND CODE:

NAICS Description: Rubber Product Manufacturing for Mechanical Use

NAICS Code: 326291

5. SUBMITTALS:

8/12/2013

6. REVIEWER'S NOTES:

Gates Corporation of 1801 North Lincoln Street, Siloam Springs, Benton County, Arkansas owns and operates a rubber belt manufacturing facility. The facility submitted a de minimis application to modify their permit to install additional rubber autoclaves (SN-09), additional belt and flat grinders (SN-11), and a 29.2 MMBtu Natural Gas Boiler (SN-15). For SN-09 and SN-11, the hourly and annual throughput limits will not be changed and the HAP emissions were updated. Also, the facility submitted a modification application to include the fire pump diesel engine (SN-16) and the emergency generator (SN-17) as permitted sources instead of insignificant activities. Boilers #1 and #2 (SN-01 and SN-02 respectively) had their HAP emissions were added to the permit. The total annual permitted emission rate limit changes associated with this modification includes: +1.3 tpy PM/PM₁₀, +0.3 tpy SO₂, +1.0 tpy VOC, +11.7 tpy CO, +14.5 tpy NO_X, and a small increase in most HAPs.

7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

The facility was last inspected on April 12, 2012 which revealed no violations.

Permit #: 0378-AR-15 AFIN: 04-00111 Page 2 of 7

8. PSD APPLICABILITY:

- a) Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)? N
- b) Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD?

N

- Single pollutant ≥ 100 tpy and on the list of 28 or single pollutant ≥ 250 tpy and not on list, or
- CO_2e potential to emit $\geq 100,000$ tpy and ≥ 100 tpy/ ≥ 250 tpy of combined GHGs?

If yes, explain why this permit modification is not PSD.

9. GHG MAJOR SOURCE (TITLE V):

Facility is classified as a major source for GHG and the permit includes this
designation

☐ Facility does not have the physical potential to be a major GHG source

Facility has restrictions on GHG or throughput rates that limit facility to a min	or
GHG source. Describe these restrictions:	

10. SOURCE AND POLLUTANT SPECIFIC REGULATORY APPLICABILITY:

Source	Pollutant	Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)
15	N/A	NSPS 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Dc
16, 17	HAPs	NESHAP 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ

SN-01, SN-02, and SN-15 are not subject to NESHAP 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart JJJJJJ because they meet the definition of gas-fired boilers due to Specific Conditions #6 and #17.

11. EMISSION CHANGES AND FEE CALCULATION:

See emission change and fee calculation spreadsheet in Appendix A.

12. NAAQS EVALUATIONS AND NON-CRITERIA POLLUTANTS:

a) NAAQS:

Pursuant to Act 1302 of the Regular Session of the 89th General Assembly of the State of Arkansas, no dispersion modeling was performed by ADEQ because it was not voluntarily proposed and agreed to by the facility. The facility has submitted other information to support NAAQS compliance that was evaluated by the Department and found acceptable. A summary of that information follows.

The facility is a minor source with lead emissions of less than 0.5 tpy. The ADEQ flowchart indicates that this type of application does not require an analysis of NAAQS impacts.

b) Non-Criteria Pollutants:

1st Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value

AFIN: 04-00111 Page 3 of 7

(mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

In the permit modification #0378-AR-15, the facility added a new boiler (SN-15) and updated the HAP emissions for boilers #1 and #2 (SN-01 and SN-02 respectively) that had 5 (five) reportable HAPs which included Benzene, Hexane, Toluene, Cadmium Compounds, and Nickel. These were the only HAPs evaluated because they were the only increased reportable HAPs. The HAPs for the emergency generators were not included because of the intermittent usage. Carbonyl Sulfide and 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone were also included in the PAER table since they were not previously listed. The rest of the table was not updated.

Pollutant	TLV (mg/m ³)	PAER (lb/hr) = $0.11 \times TLV$	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
Acetophenone	49.14	5.406	12.301	No
Acrolein	0.23	0.025	0.06838	No
Aniline	7.62	0.838	0.132	Yes
Benzene	1.60	0.176	0.3232281	No
1,3-Butadiene	4.42	0.487	0.358	Yes
Carbon Disulfide	3.11	0.34255	28.38	No
Cumene	245.79	27.03661	0.239	Yes
DEHP (Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate)	5.00	0.55000	0.56614	No
Isooctane (2,2,4-Trimethylpentane)	1400.27	154.030	0.121	Yes
Methylene Chloride (dichloromethane)	173.68	19.105	0.838	Yes
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (Methyl Isobutyl Ketone)	81.93	9.01	1.05	Yes
Hexane	176.24	19.386	0.9105	Yes
Phenol	19.25	2.117	0.1252	Yes
Propylene oxide	1.42	0.156	0.872	No
Styrene	85.20	9.372	0.0289	Yes
Tetrachloroethylene	169.53	18.648	1.4587	Yes
Toluene	188.40	20.725	7.7806693	Yes
2-Chloro-1,3-Butadiene (chloroprene)	36.21	3.983	0.832	Yes
Nickel	1.50	0.165	0.0934281	Yes
m-Xylene + p-Xylene	434.19	47.761	1.0929	Yes
Cadmium Compounds	0.002	0.00022	0.0015495	No
Lead	0.05	0.006	0.0162	No
Carbonyl Sulfide	12.28	1.35	3.3229	No

Permit #: 0378-AR-15 AFIN: 04-00111

Page 4 of 7

2nd Tier Screening (PAIL)

AERMOD air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH.

In the permit modification #0378-AR-15, Benzene and Cadmium were the only HAPs modeled since they were the only ones out of the group of increased HAPs that failed the PAER analysis. Carbonyl Sulfide was also included and modeled since it didn't pass the PAER. The 2nd highest concentration was taken because five years of meteorological data was used in the analysis.

Pollutant	PAIL (μg/m ³⁾ = 1/100 of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	Pass?
Acetophenone	491.4	81.67	Yes
Acrolein	2.292843	0.41	Yes
Benzene	15.97342	1.86	Yes
DEHP (Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate)	50.0	3.36	Yes
Carbon Disulfide*	175	169.10	Yes
Propylene Oxide	14.2	4.77	Yes
Cadmium Compounds	0.02	0.0105	Yes
Lead	0.5	0.149	Yes
Carbonyl Sulfide	122.8	18.13	Yes

^{*}The PAIL for Carbon Disulfide is based on an $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the RFC Value (which is 700 $\mu g/m^3$). $\frac{1}{4}$ th of the RFC = 175 $\mu g/m^3$. The Pail (in this instance is compared to the annual concentration – which is equal to 169.10 $\mu g/m^3$).

13. CALCULATIONS:

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
01, 02	AP-42 1.4 (Natural Gas)	PM10=7.6 lb/MMset		NA	40.8 MMBtu/hr
01, 02 AP-42 1.3 (#2 Fuel Oil)		NO_X = 20 lb/kgal CO= 5 lb/kgal PM/PM_{10} = 3.3 lb/kgal SO_2 = 71 lb/kgal VOC= 0.252 lb/kgal	None	NA	40.8 MMBtu/hr

AFIN: 04-00111 Page 5 of 7

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
08	Material balance	-	-	_	-
09	AP-42 Table 4.12-9	Listed in excel spreadsheet on EPA website. Worst Case factors were used.	None	NA	
10	AP-42 Table 4.12-10	VOC – 2.94E-03 HAPS – Listed in excel spreadsheet on EPA website.	None	NA	Units are lbs/lb rubber processed
11	AP-42 Table 4.12-12	Listed in excel spreadsheet on EPA website.	Cyclones + ESP	99%	
AP-42 1.4 (Natural Gas)		NO_X = 100 lb/MMscf CO= 84 lb/MMscf PM10= 7.6 lb/MMscf SO_2 = 0.6 lb/MMscf VOC= 5.5 lb/MMscf	None		29.2 MMBtu/hr
16	AP-42 3.2-3	PM ₁₀ = 1.94E-2 lb/MMbtu SO ₂ = 5.88E-4 lb/MMbtu VOC= 0.36 lb/MMbtu CO= 3.51 lb/MMbtu NO _X = 100 lb/MMbtu 1,3-Butadiene= 6.63E-4 lb/MMbtu Acrolein= 2.63E-3 lb/MMbtu Benzene= 1.58E-3 lb/MMbtu Methylene Chloride= 4.12E-5 lb/MMbtu	None		112 bhp 4S- RB SI Emergency Generator (Installed 2002)
17	AP-42 3.3-1 3.3-2	PM ₁₀ = 2.2E-3 lb/hp-hr SO ₂ = 2.05E-3 lb/hp-hr VOC= 2.514E-3 lb/hp-hr CO= 6.68E-3 lb/hp-hr NO _X = 0.031 lb/hp-hr 1,3-Butadiene= 3.91E-5 lb/MMbtu Acrolein= 9.25E-5 lb/MMbtu Benzene= 9.33E-4 lb/MMbtu Toluene= 4.09E-4 lb/MMbtu	None		185 bhp CI Emergency Fire Pump Diesel Engine (Installed 1978)

AFIN: 04-00111 Page 6 of 7

14. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

The permit requires testing of the following sources.

SN	Pollutants	Test Method	Test Interval	Justification
		None	1	

15. MONITORING OR CEMS:

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

SN	Parameter or Pollutant	Method	Frequency	Report (Y/N)	
SIN	to be Monitored	(CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc.)	Trequency	Report (1714)	
		None			

16. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

SN	Recorded Item	Permit Limit	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
01, 02	01, 02 Fuel Oil Usage 869,760 gallons per 12 mor		Monthly	N
01, 02	Fuel Oil Sulfur Content	0.5 % sulfur	Each Shipment	N
08	VOC Usage	24 tpy	Monthly	N
08	Toluene Usage	3.7 tpy	Monthly	N
09	Rubber Processed	29,000 lb/hr	Monthly	N
11	Rubber Processed	30,000 lb/hr	Monthly	N
Facility	Rubber Throughput	10,000,000 lb/yr	Monthly	N

17. OPACITY:

SN	Opacity	Justification for limit	Compliance Mechanism
01, 02, 15 (Natural Gas)	5%	§18.501	Fuel used
01, 02 (#2 Fuel Oil)	20%	§19.503	Inspector Observation
11	10%	§18.501	Inspector Observation
16, 17	20%	§19.503	Inspector Observation

18. DELETED CONDITIONS:

Former SC	Justification for removal							
	None							

19. GROUP A INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES:

Source Name	Group A	Emissions (tpy)						
	Category	PM/PM ₁₀	SO_2	VOC	СО	NO_X	HA Single	Ps Total
Oil Demister	A-5			<0.1				

AFIN: 04-00111 Page 7 of 7

Source Name	Group A Category	Emissions (tpy)						
		PM/PM ₁₀	SO ₂	VOC	СО	NO _X	HAPs	
							Single	Total
Grinding Wheel Cleaning	A-13			<0.1				
Cooling Towers	A-13			< 0.1				
Mobile Printers	A-13			< 0.1				
Dust Collectors Venting Indoors	A-13	< 0.01						
TOTAL	A-13	< 0.01		< 0.4				

20. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

List all active permits voided/superseded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

	Permit #		
	0378-AR-14		

21. CONCURRENCE BY:

The following supervisor concurs with the permitting decision.

Phillip Murphy, P.E.

Philly Meorphy



Fee Calculation for Minor Source

Revised 08-26-13

Facility Name: Gates Corporation Permit Number: 0378-AR-15

AFIN: 04-00111

			Old Permit Nev	v Permit
\$/ton factor	23.42	Permit Predominant Air Contaminant	43.7	58.2
Minimum Fee \$	400	Net Predominant Air Contaminant Increase	14.5	
Minimum Initial Fee \$	500			
		Permit Fee \$	400	
Check if Administrative Amendment		Annual Chargeable Emissions (tpy)	58.2	

Pollutant (tpy)	Old Permit	New Permit	Change
PM	8	9.3	1.3
PM ₁₀	8	9.3	1.3
SO ₂	31.2	31.5	0.3
VOC	40.5	41.5	1
co	31.6	43.3	11.7
NO_X	43.7	58.2	14.5
Total HAP	16.16	16.548027	0.388027
1,3-Butadiene*	0.13	0.1183057	-0.0116943
Acetophenone*	2.15	2.1401	-0.0099
Aniline*	0.04	0.031	-0.009
Benzene*	0.062	0.062573	0.000573
Carbon Disulfide*	7.21	7.205	-0.005
Hexane*	0.18	0.7116	0.5316
Methylene Chloride	0.16	0.1497089	-0.0102911
Toluene*	3.83	3.816654	-0.013346
Styrene*	0.01	0.00498	-0.00502
Acrolein*	0.012	0.0120559	5.59E-05
Phenol*	0.04	0.02934	-0.01066
Propylene oxide*	0.58	0.572	-0.008
Tetrachloroethylene*	0.25	0.24367	-0.00633
4-methyl-2-Pentanone*	0.18	0.18	0
bis(2-ethylhexyl)phathalate*	0.112	0.10934	-0.00266
Carbonyl Sulfide*	0.76	0.7511	-0.0089
Cumene*	0.05	0.0495	-0.0005
Isooctane*	0.03	0.0209	-0.0091
2-Chloro-1,3-Butadiene*	0.14	0.139	-0.001
Cadmium Compounds	0.001	0.000569	-0.000431
Lead	0.01	2.70E-03	-0.0073
m-Xylene + p-Xylene	0.2	0.1945	-0.0055
Nickel	0.02	0.016131	-0.003869

Non-Criteria Pollutant Analysis

CAS No.	Pollutant	Facility- Wide Emissions (lb/hr)	Facility- Wide Emissions x 4.4	Relative Toxicity	Include in Permit (Y/N)	PAER	Modeling Required (Y/N)	PAIL (ug/m3)	Modeled Impact (ug/m3)
71-43-2	Benzene	0.32	1.42	0.2	Y	0.176	Y	16.00	2.58
25321-22-	Dichlorobenzene	3.44E-05	1.51E-04	0.3	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
50-00-0	Formaldehyde	2.15E-03	9.45E-03	0.2	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
110-54-3	Hexane	0.76	3.35	1	Y	19.4	N	N/A	N/A
91-20-3	Naphthalene	0.06	0.26	1	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
108-88-3	Toluene	0.47	2.08	1	Y	8.29	N	N/A	N/A
7440-38-2	Arsenic	5.73E-06	2.52E-05	0.0005	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7440-43-9	Cadmium (Cd) Compounds	1.46E-03	6.42E-03	0.001	Y	0.001	Y	0.10	0.01
7440-47-3	Chromium (Cr) Compounds	0.03	0.12	0.5	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7440-48-4	Cobalt (Co) Compounds	2.40E-06	1.06E-05	0.01	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7439-96-5	Manganese	1.09E-05	4.79E-05	0.08	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7439-97-6	Mercury	7.44E-06	3.27E-05	0.001	N	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
7440-02-0	Nickel (Ni) Compounds	0.09	0.41	0.1	Y	0.165	N	N/A	N/A