STATEMENT OF BASIS

for the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 401-AR-15

1.	PERMITTING AUTHORITY:
	Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 8001 National Drive Post Office Box 8913 Little Rock, Arkansas 72219-8913
2.	APPLICANT:
	Epoxyn Products 500 East 16 th Street Mountain Home, Arkansas 72653
3.	PERMIT WRITER:
	Siew Low
4.	PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND NAICS CODE:
	NAICS Description: Laboratory apparatus and furniture manufacturing NAICS Code: 3339111
5.	SUBMITTALS: December 27, 2004
6.	REVIEWER'S NOTES: Epoxyn Products operates a facility which manufactures laboratory counter tops in Mountain Home, Arkansas. This modification authorizes the use of diacetone alcohol to reduce the viscosity of the clear edge dressing at an existing working area for hand application of clear edge dressing to laboratory tops (SN-31). Clear edge dressing is a mixture of epoxy resin and hardener.
7.	COMPLIANCE STATUS: There are no compliance issues pending for this facility.
8.	APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:
	A. Applicability e facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, et cetera) (Y/N) _ N is facility underwent PSD review in the past (Y/N) _ N _ Permit # _ N/A Is this facility categorized as a major source for PSD? (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _ (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _ (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _ N _ (Y/N) _

9. EMISSION CHANGES:

Page 2 of 6

The following table summarizes plantwide emission changes associated with this permitting action.

	Plantwide Permitted Emissions (ton/yr)			
	Air Permit	Air Permit		
Pollutant	401-AR-14	401-AR-15	Change	
PM/PM ₁₀	34.4	34.4	0	
SO_2	2.5	2.5	0	
VOC	78.9	80.6	+1.7	
СО	4.3	4.3	0	
NO_x	15.9	15.9	0	
Phthalic Anhydride	7.92	7.92	0	
Toluene	0	0	0	
Xylene	6.5	6.5	0	
Total HAP	17.92	17.92	0	

10. MODELING:

A. Criteria Pollutants

Examination of the source type, location, plot plan, land use, emission parameters, and other available information indicate that modeling is not warranted at this time.

Pollutant	Emission Rate (lb/hr)	NAAQS Standard (μg/m³)	Averaging Time	Highest Concentration (µg/m³)	% of NAAQS
DM	0 0	50	Annual	6.9	13.8%
PWI ₁₀	PM_{10} 8.8		24-Hour	58.4	38.9%
			Annual	2.81	3.5%
SO_2	0.7	1300	3-Hour	101.85	7.8%
			24-Hour	27.049	7.4%
VOC	80.6 tpy	0.12	1-Hour (ppm)	0.012 ppm	10%
СО	1.0	10,000	8-Hour	36.84	0.36%
	1.0	40,000	1-Hour	113.8	0.28%
NO _x	3.8	100	Annual	6.2	6.2%

B. Non-Criteria Pollutants

Page 3 of 6

1st Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department deemed PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value (mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

Pollutant	TLV (mg/m ³)	PAER (lb/hr) = 0.11*TLV	Proposed lb/hr	Pass?
Phthalic Anhydride	6.057	0.667	2.95	No
Xylene	434	47.7	1.89	Yes

2nd Tier Screening (PAIL)

SCREEN3 air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound was deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value, as listed by the ACGIH.

Pollutant	(PAIL, μg/m³) = 1/100 of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	Pass?
Phthalic Anhydride	60.57	14.07	Yes

11. CALCULATIONS:

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, Testing, etc)	Emission Factor and units (lbs/ton, lbs/hr, etc)	Control Equipment Type (if any)	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments (Emission factor controlled/ uncontrolled, etc)
	Environ Study	$2.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb}$			
02,	dated 11/25/97.	phthalic	N.A.	-	-
03,		anhydride/ lb			
05,		epoxyn mix.			
07,					
12,	Mass Balance	VOC			
24,		xylene			

Page 4 of 6

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, Testing, etc)	Emission Factor and units (lbs/ton, lbs/hr, etc)	Control Equipment Type (if any)	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments (Emission factor controlled/ uncontrolled, etc)
25,					
29,					
30,					
and					
31					
23	Mass Balance	-	-	-	-
28	5.2 tpy		Baghouse	99%	
	$= (100\% - 99\%) \times 52$	0 tons/yr			

12. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

This permit requires stack testing of the following sources.

SN(s)	Pollutant	Test Method	Test Interval	Justification For Test Requirement
No sources are required to be stack tested at this time.				

13. MONITORING OR CEMS

The following are parameters that must be monitored with CEMs or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc), frequency of recording and whether records are needed to be included in any annual, semiannual or other reports.

SN	Parameter or Pollutant to be Monitored	Method of Monitoring (CEM, Pressure Gauge, etc)	Frequency*	Report (Y/N)**
	No parameters require monitoring by CEM at this time.			

^{*} Indicate frequency of recording required for the parameter (Continuously, hourly, daily, etc.)

14. RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content of coating, etc) that must be tracked and recorded, frequency of recording and whether records are needed to be included in any annual, semiannual or other reports.

^{**} Indicates whether the parameter needs to be included in reports.

Page 5 of 6

SN	Recorded Item	Limit (as established in	Frequency*	Report
		permit)		(Y/N)**
28	Dust collected in panel saw	No more than 520 ton/yr	Monthly	N
	baghouse			
facility	Natural Gas Usage	297.2 MM cf per year	Monthly	N
facility	Epoxyn mix	197,260 lb per 24 hour	Daily	N
		period		
01, 02,				
03, 04,				
05, 07,				
08, 10,				
11, 12,				
24, 25,				
29, and				
30	Formulation of HAPS in materials	See permit.	Monthly	N
	and solvent based product.			
	HAPs content and purchases of			
21	HAPs containing materials	3.5 tpy	Monthly	N

^{*} Indicate frequency of recording required for the item (Continuously, hourly, daily, etc.)

15. OPACITY

			Compliance Mechanism
SN	Opacity	Justification	(daily observation,
	%	(NSPS limit, Dept. Guidance, etc)	weekly, control
			equipment operation,
			etc)
18 and 19	0	Departmental Guidance	Annual Inspection
All others	5%	Departmental Guidance	Annual Inspection

16. DELETED CONDITIONS:

The following Specific Conditions were included in the previous permit, but deleted for the current permitting action.

Former	
SC	Justification for removal
	None

17. VOIDED, SUPERSEDED OR SUBSUMED PERMITS

^{**} Indicates whether the item needs to be included in reports

Page 6 of 6

List all active permits for this facility which are voided/superseded/subsumed by issuance of this permit.

Permit	
401-AR-14	

18. CONCURRENCE BY:

The following supervisor co	oncurs with the permitting decision:
Lyndon Poole, P.E.	_