#### STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 1779-AOP-R1 AFIN: 28-00251

#### 1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 8001 National Drive Little Rock, Arkansas 72219-8913

### 2. APPLICANT:

American Railcar Industries, Inc. 901 Jones Road Paragould, Arkansas 72450

### 3. PERMIT WRITER:

Shawn Hutchings

#### 4. PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND NAICS CODE:

NAICS Description: Railroad Car Manufacturer

NAICS Code: 336570

#### 5. SUBMITTALS:

October 18, 2002

### 6. REVIEWER'S NOTES:

American Railcar Industries, Inc. owns and operates a railcar welding and fabrication plant in Paragould, AR. This permit is the Title V renewal for the facility. The only changes to the permit are the updating of combustion emissions to reflect current AP-42 emission factors, and in the original application dust collector sizes for SN-02 and 03 were inadvertently switched with sources SN-04 and 05. This permit will correct the error and assign the emissions to the proper source.

ARI submitted a minor modification to their permit which includes the installation of a new railcar assembly track. The addition of the new track causes their existing tracks to no longer be considered insignificant. Tracks 1 and 2 are added as source 10. The new track, Track 3, will be added as source SN-11.

#### 7. COMPLAINCE STATUS:

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

There are no known enforcement actions against the facility.

# 8. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:

## **PSD** Applicability

Did the facility	y undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)?	N
Has the facility	y undergone PSD review in the past?	N
Is the facility of	categorized as a major source for PSD?	N
	$\geq$ 100 tpy and on the list of 28?	N
	$\geq$ 250 tpy all other?	N
D Netting		

# **PSD** Netting

Was netting performed to avoid PSD review in this permit?

N

## Source and Pollutant Specific Regulatory Applicability

Source	Pollutant	Regulation (NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)
		40 CFR Part 63, Subpart RRR
6, 7, 8	HAPs	Surface Coating of
		Miscellaneous Metal Parts

## 9. EMISSION CHANGES:

The following table summarizes plantwide emission changes associated with this permitting action.

	Plantwide Permitted Emissions (tpy)			
Pollutant	Permit # 1779-AOP- R0	Permit #1779-AOP- R1	Change	
PM	9.6	20.5	10.9	
$PM_{10}$	9.6	20.5	10.9	
$SO_2$	0.1	0.7	+0.6	
VOC	229.4	229.5	+0.1	
CO	2.8	11.8	+9.0	
NO <sub>x</sub>	13.5	13.7	+0.2	
НАР	228.6	228.6	0	

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 3 of 6

HDI	0.3	0.3	0
Manganese	0	0.75	0.75
Chromium	0	0.1	0.1
Nickel	0	0.03	0.03

#### 10. MODELING:

#### Criteria Pollutants

Pollutant	Emission Rate (lb/hr)	NAAQS Standard (μg/m³)	Averaging Time	Highest Concentration (µg/m³)	% of NAAQS
PM10	2.3	50	Annual	5.75	11.5
TWHO	2.5	150	24-Hour	78.2	52.1
		80	Annual	0.5	0.6
$SO_2$	0.2	1300	3-Hour	260	20
		365	24-Hour	73	20
СО	CO 2.7		8-Hour	135	1.4
	2.1	40,000	1-Hour	256	0.7
$NO_x$	3.1	100	Annual	7.7	7.7

#### Non-Criteria Pollutants:

While the permit does not have a TLV table, the maximum TLV allowed assuming all the VOC content of the coating was HAP was determined for a permit limit.

# 1<sup>st</sup> Tier Screening (PAER)

Estimated hourly emissions from the following sources were compared to the Presumptively Acceptable Emission Rate (PAER) for each compound. The Department has deemed the PAER to be the product, in lb/hr, of 0.11 and the Threshold Limit Value (mg/m³), as listed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 4 of 6

НАР	Limit is 26.0	2.6	276.4	No
HDI	0.034	.0034	0.06	No
Manganese	0.2	.02	0.75	No
Chromium	0.01	.001	0.1	No
Nickel	1.5	.15	0.03	Yes

2<sup>nd</sup> Tier Screening (PAIL)

ISCST3 air dispersion modeling was performed on the estimated hourly emissions from the following sources, in order to predict ambient concentrations beyond the property boundary. The Presumptively Acceptable Impact Level (PAIL) for each compound has been deemed by the Department to be one one-hundredth of the Threshold Limit Value as listed by the ACGIH.

Pollutant	PAIL $(\mu g/m^3) = 1/100$ of Threshold Limit Value	Modeled Concentration (μg/m³)	Pass?
HAP	260	256	Yes
HDI	0.34	0.12	Yes
Manganese	2	0.7	Yes
Chromium	.1	0.09	Yes

### 11. CALCULATIONS:

SN	Emission Factor Source (AP-42, testing, etc.)	Emission Factor (lb/ton, lb/hr, etc.)	Control Equipment	Control Equipment Efficiency	Comments
01-05	Grain loading	0.02 gr/scf	Fabric Filter	Not used	
06, 07, and 08	VOC and HAP usage	Mass balance	None	N/A	
09	AP-42 for natural gas combustion	Varied	None	N/A	
10 and 11	American Welding Society Factors	Varied	None	N/A	

# 12. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

The permit requires no testing.

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 5 of 6

## 13. MONITORING OR CEMS

The permit requires no monitoring or CEMs.

# 14. RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

SN	Recorded Item	Permit Limit	Frequency	Report (Y/N)
6, 7, 8	HAP emissions HDI emissions VOC emissions	228.6 tpy 0.3 tpy 229.5 tpy	Monthly Daily Monthly	Y
10 and 11	Welding Wire Usage			

## 15. OPACITY:

SN	Opacity	Justification for limit	Compliance Mechanism
1-5	5%	Department guidance	Weekly observation
9	5%	Department Guidance	Natural Gas Combustion

## 16. DELETED CONDITIONS:

Former SC	Justification for removal
16 and 17	These conditions were natural gas limits and records for SN-09. The source is
	permitted at capacity and the limit is not necessary. A list of all the equipment
	which makes up the source was added to the source description. This would
	help insure no new equipment is installed. The natural gas limit is not necessary.

## 17. VOIDED, SUPERCEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

List all active permits voided/superceded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

Permit #
----------

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 6 of 6

18.	CONCURRENCE BY:
	The following supervisor concurs with the permitting decision.
	Thomas Rheaume, P.E.