#### STATEMENT OF BASIS

For the issuance of Draft Air Permit # 1779-AOP-R2 AFIN: 28-00251

### 1. PERMITTING AUTHORITY:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 8001 National Drive Little Rock, Arkansas 72219-8913

### 2. APPLICANT:

American Railcar Industries, Inc. 901 Jones Road Paragould, Arkansas 72450

### 3. PERMIT WRITER:

Shawn Hutchings

### 4. PROCESS DESCRIPTION AND NAICS CODE:

NAICS Description: Railcar Manufacturer

NAICS Code: 336570

### 5. SUBMITTALS:

January 19, 2005

### 6. REVIEWER'S NOTES:

American Railcar Industries, Inc. (ARI) owns and operates a railcar welding and fabrication plant in Paragould, AR. ARI is adding a new railcar painting building which will add 6 new sources to the permit. These sources are SN-12 thru 17. VOC and HAP emissions from the new railcar paint building are combined into a plantwide total for all painting operations.

Since painting operations already exist at the facility, the new painting building is not considered a new major source of HAPs. Once constructed, it will be considered part of the existing major source of HAPs and subject to the Subpart MMMM as such. The emissions from the old and new paint buildings were separated to maintain the emissions of the new building below 250 tpy so that PSD review would not be necessary. With this modification the VOC emissions at the facility are now above 250 tpy and the source is now considered a PSD major source.

### 7. COMPLIANCE STATUS:

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 2 of 5

The following summarizes the current compliance of the facility including active/pending enforcement actions and recent compliance activities and issues.

There are no know enforcement issues with the facility.

### 8. APPLICABLE REGULATIONS:

### **PSD** Applicability

| Did the facility undergo PSD review in this permit (i.e., BACT, Modeling, etc.)? | N |
|--|---|
| Has the facility undergone PSD review in the past?                               | N |
| Is the facility categorized as a major source for PSD?                           | Y |
| $\geq$ 100 tpy and on the list of 28?  | N |
| $\geq$ 250 tpy all other?  | Y |
| PSD Netting  |   |

N

Was netting performed to avoid PSD review in this permit?

Source and Pollutant Specific Regulatory Applicability

| Source              | Pollutant | Regulation<br>(NSPS, NESHAP or PSD)  |
|---------------------|-----------|--|
| 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16 | HAPs      | 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart  MMMM  Surface Coating of  Miscellaneous Metal Parts |

### 9. EMISSION CHANGES:

The following table summarizes plantwide emission changes associated with this permitting action.

| Plantwide Permitted Emissions (tpy) |                          |                         |        |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| Pollutant                           | Permit # 1179-AOP-<br>R1 | Permit #1779-AOP-<br>R2 | Change |
| PM                                  | 20.5                     | 22.2                    | 1.7    |
| $PM_{10}$                           | 20.5                     | 22.2                    | 1.7    |
| $SO_2$                              | 0.7                      | 0.8                     | 0.1    |
| VOC                                 | 229.5                    | 385.6                   | 156.1  |
| СО                                  | 11.8                     | 24.8                    | 13.7   |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                     | 13.7                     | 29.1                    | 15.4   |

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 3 of 5

| Plantwide Permitted Emissions (tpy) |       |       |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| HAP                                 | 228.6 | 341.7 | 113.1 |
| HDI                                 | 0.3   | 0.3   | 0     |
| Manganese                           | 0.75  | 0.75  | 0     |
| Chromium                            | 0.1   | 0.1   | 0     |
| Nickel                              | 0.03  | 0.03  | 0     |

### 10. MODELING:

Criteria Pollutants

Examination of the source type, location, plot plan, land use, emission parameters, and other available information indicate that modeling is not warranted at this time.

### Non-Criteria Pollutants:

This permit contains a TLV table for non-criteria pollutants. Modeling was used to determine the permitted emission rates for ranges of non-criteria pollutants (grouped by TLV) that pass the PAER or PAIL. Therefore, modeling of specific non-criteria pollutants was not performed.

### 11. CALCULATIONS:

| SN               | Emission Factor<br>Source<br>(AP-42, testing, etc.)          | Emission<br>Factor<br>(lb/ton,<br>lb/hr, etc.) | Control<br>Equipment                    | Control<br>Equipment<br>Efficiency | Comments |
|------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| 12<br>and<br>13  | Emissions based on particulate generated by specific process | Formula  | Fabric Filter                           | 99.8                               |          |
| 14,<br>15,<br>16 | VOC and HAP usage  | None   | Filter to control overspray particulate |                                    |          |
| 17               | AP-42 for natural gas  | Varied   | None                                    | N/A                                |          |

## 12. TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

There was no additional testing required in this permit.

#### 13. MONITORING OR CEMS

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 4 of 5

The permittee must monitor the following parameters with CEMS or other monitoring equipment (temperature, pressure differential, etc.)

There was no monitoring or CEMS required in this permit.

# 14. RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS:

The following are items (such as throughput, fuel usage, VOC content, etc.) that must be tracked and recorded.

| SN                  | Recorded Item                                   | Permit Limit                      | Frequency                   | Report (Y/N) |
|---------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 6, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16 | HAP emissions<br>HDI emissions<br>VOC emissions | 228.6 tpy<br>0.3 tpy<br>229.5 tpy | Monthly<br>Daily<br>Monthly | Y            |

### 15. OPACITY:

| SN          | Opacity | Justification for limit | Compliance<br>Mechanism   |
|-------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1-5, 12, 13 | 5%      | Department guidance     | Weekly observation        |
| 9 and 17    | 5%      | Department Guidance     | Natural Gas<br>Combustion |

### 16. DELETED CONDITIONS:

| Former SC | Justification for removal   |
|-----------|-----------------------------|
|           | No conditions were deleted. |

# 17. VOIDED, SUPERCEDED, OR SUBSUMED PERMITS:

List all active permits voided/superceded/subsumed by the issuance of this permit.

|    | Permit #   |
|----|------------|
| 1: | 179-AOP-R1 |

| 18. | CONCURRENCE BY:  |
|-----|--|
|     | The following supervisor concurs with the permitting decision. |
|     |  |
|     | Thomas Rheaume, P.E.   |

AFIN: 28-00251 Page 5 of 5