RESPONSE TO COMMENTS
LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc.
PERMIT #2152-AOP-R2
AFIN: 60-04196

On February 26, 2012, the Director of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality gave notice of a draft permitting decision for the above referenced facility. During the comment period, written comments on the draft permitting decision were submitted by Rod Breuer, on behalf of the facility. The Department’s response to these issues follows.

Note: The following page numbers and condition numbers refer to the draft permit. These references may have changed in the final permit based on changes made during the comment period.

Comment #1:

Plantwide Condition 8 correctly shows that certain blades can be produced at a rate of three per day, but the descriptions on pages 5 and 12 state that the facility can produce no more than 2 blades per day.

Response to Comment #1:

The permit has been updated to reflect the proper daily production.
John Forrester, Director of Health, Safety & Environment
LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc.
7400 Scott Hamilton
Little Rock, AR 72209

Dear Mr. Forrester:

The enclosed Permit No. 2152-AOP-R2 is your authority to construct, operate, and maintain the equipment and/or control apparatus as set forth in your application initially received on 1/10/2012.

After considering the facts and requirements of A.C.A. §8-4-101 et seq., and implementing regulations, I have determined that Permit No. 2152-AOP-R2 for the construction, operation and maintenance of an air pollution control system for LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc. to be issued and effective on the date specified in the permit, unless a Commission review has been properly requested under Arkansas Department of Pollution Control & Ecology Commission's Administrative Procedures, Regulation 8, within thirty (30) days after service of this decision.

The applicant or permittee and any other person submitting public comments on the record may request an adjudicatory hearing and Commission review of the final permitting decisions as provided under Chapter Six of Regulation No. 8, Administrative Procedures, Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission. Such a request shall be in the form and manner required by Regulation 8.603, including filing a written Request for Hearing with the APC&E Commission Secretary at 101 E. Capitol Ave., Suite 205, Little Rock, Arkansas 72201. If you have any questions about filing the request, please call the Commission at 501-682-7890.

Sincerely,

Mike Bates
Chief, Air Division
ADEQ
OPERATING
AIR PERMIT

Pursuant to the Regulations of the Arkansas Operating Air Permit Program, Regulation 26:

Permit No. : 2152-AOP-R2

IS ISSUED TO:

LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc.
7400 Scott Hamilton
Little Rock, AR  72209
Pulaski County
AFIN:  60-04196

THIS PERMIT AUTHORIZES THE ABOVE REFERENCED PERMITTEE TO INSTALL, OPERATE, AND MAINTAIN THE EQUIPMENT AND EMISSION UNITS DESCRIBED IN THE PERMIT APPLICATION AND ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES. THIS PERMIT IS VALID BETWEEN:

December 11, 2007 AND December 10, 2012

THE PERMITTEE IS SUBJECT TO ALL LIMITS AND CONDITIONS CONTAINED HEREIN.

Signed:

[Signature]
Mike Bates
Chief, Air Division

APR 24 2012
Date
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.C.A.</td>
<td>Arkansas Code Annotated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFIN</td>
<td>ADEQ Facility Identification Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR</td>
<td>Code of Federal Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAP</td>
<td>Hazardous Air Pollutant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lb/hr</td>
<td>Pound Per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVAC</td>
<td>Motor Vehicle Air Conditioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>Nitrogen Oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Particulate Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>Particulate Matter Smaller Than Ten Microns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNAP</td>
<td>Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>Sulfur Dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM</td>
<td>Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tpy</td>
<td>Tons Per Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTM</td>
<td>Universal Transverse Mercator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>Volatile Organic Compound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 1: FACILITY INFORMATION

PERMITTEE: LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc.
AFIN: 60-04196
PERMIT NUMBER: 2152-AOP-R2

FACILITY ADDRESS: 7400 Scott Hamilton
Little Rock, AR 72209
MAILING ADDRESS: 7400 Scott Hamilton
Little Rock, AR 72209

COUNTY: Pulaski County
CONTACT NAME: John Forrester
CONTACT POSITION: Director, Health Safety & Environment
TELEPHONE NUMBER: 501-801-6315

REVIEWING ENGINEER: Joseph Hurt

UTM North South (Y): Zone 15: 3837910.36 m
UTM East West (X): Zone 15: 562335.07 m
SECTION II: INTRODUCTION

Summary of Permit Activity

LM Wind Power Blades (LM) operates a windmill blade manufacturing facility located at 7400 Scott Hamilton in Little Rock, AR 72209. With this modification, LM seeks to:

1. Increase blade length up to 48.8 meters;
2. Increase daily blade production to 3 blades per day for any blade up to 44.0 meters;
3. Add an acetone recycler;
4. Increase acetone usage; and
5. Revise the TLV table.

The total permitted emission increase includes 41.97 tpy of Acetone.

Process Description

General Process Description: LM manufactures blades for wind turbines. The blades are 48.8 meters (160 ft) long and are made in a batch process in one (1) of three (3) molding lines which, combined, comprise a “Blade Work Shop” (BWS). Although there are three (3) molding lines capable of working on three (3) blades simultaneously, LM will produce only one (1) blade per hour, with a maximum of three blades produced per day for 44 meter or smaller blades, or two blades produced per day for blades longer than 44 meters. The blades are made in two (2) halves in a step-wise process that includes gel coating, resin filling, adhesive application, cleaning operations, cut and trim, and finishing and assembly. The production takes place in an open manufacturing area.

The blades first begin with the manufacturing of the “Webs” in flat steel molds. The webs are layered glass and balsa wood which provide the strength for the blade. They are made with the same resin process as the blade itself. Since the webs are also polyester resins, emissions from the web manufacturing are accounted for in the blade mold process.

The facility controls emissions using a combination of air handling systems and dust collectors. Air handling systems primarily control VOC emissions associated with the molding and finishing process. There are styrene sensors on the manufacturing floor that control the modulation of the air supply from the system. The dust collection systems consist of vacuum pumps and exhaust stacks. Dust collectors primarily collect dust associated with the cut, trim, and assembly process. Dust collectors consist of vacuum pumps, cyclones, bag filters and exhaust stacks. In the interest of energy conservation, individual exhaust fans used for the building exhaust may be modulated or taken out of service during periods of low styrene emissions. In addition heat exchangers are used to capture exhaust heat and cooling for indirect contact with makeup air.
Gel Coating: The mold is prepared by applying a mold release agent which is basically a wax. The process begins with gelcoat being added to the molds using non-atomized spray application equipment in what is termed as an "open molding process."

The application of gel coating has the highest emissions of VOCs and styrene. During gel coating both mold halves are ventilated through a subsurface trench. The styrene laden air spills over the mold edges and enters a subsurface trench where it is drawn under the mold and exhausted. The blades are then allowed to set and cure prior to the resin application. The mold pair is arranged in a linear fashion in the production area. The exhaust trench extends under all 3 mold lines and each line has an exhaust port and fan system (Mold Area Air Handling System). The spraying of both halves of the mold takes approximately an hour. The air handling system can handle all six (6) mold halves at the same time, however, only two (2) mold halves will be present in this section of the BWS at any time due to the production rate of one (1) blade per hour. Exhaussts are designated as SN-01 and SN-02. This area is also served by the dust collection system units which are designated as SN-03 through SN-05. It is estimated that two-thirds (2/3) of the styrene is drawn to the SN-01 portion of the building air, which includes the mold vacuum system, and the remainder of the building is exhausted through SN-02.

Infusion Resin Application: The "Molds" are filled with resin and the balsa wood stabilizer and allowed to cure prior to being placed in the blade molds. This operation takes place in the same area as the blade mold. A plastic foil is placed over each blade mold half. A vacuum is drawn on each mold half. The polyester resin is mixed with catalyst to initiate reaction then infused into the mold half. This system is called vacuum assisted air transfer molding (VARTM). This is termed a "closed molding" process. Once the vacuum is established, the resin is introduced with a series of spiral perforated plastic pipes. Since the mold is under vacuum, the resin is drawn into the webbing and fills the voids in the blade half. During this process the exhausted styrene vapors are estimated to be separated between SN-01 and SN-02 in the same manner as in the gel coating process. This process takes approximately four (4) hours for the resin to be infused and cured.

Adhesive Application: After the resin has cured, imperfections are ground. This takes an average of two hours. Then, the two halves of the blades are joined together using overhead cranes. All of the flanges where adhesive is to be applied are ground with the hand held equipment attached to the separate filter exhaust system. Adhesive is applied with the use of a putty pump. Cure time for the adhesive is approximately 3-4 hours. The primary pollutant emitted is styrene which may be vented both to the building air and to a lesser extent, through the grinding equipment exhaust system. The adhesive process takes place in the same area as the gelcoat and resin applications and is exhausted in the same manner as the gelcoat and resin application processes (SN-01 and SN-02).

Cleaning Operations: The nozzles of the adhesive application machines must be cleaned immediately after application. The nozzle and adhesive machines are flushed with acetone, and the catalyst machines are flushed with soap water. A thorough cleaning takes about one (1) hour per machine and there are four (4) machines for each mold line. The molds are cleaned with a water based solvent. The blades are then moved into the Cut and Trim area.
**Cut, Trim, Finishing and Assembly Operations:** The blades are moved by overhead cranes into the finishing area. In the cut and trim section, the fiber mats from the shells are cut off and reinforcements are fastened. The blade is then ground with the hand held equipment and any spot repairs are made to the exterior. Dust handling “hoses” are attached in the hand held finishing grinders and equipment for dust control. As needed, fiber mats may be applied and a small amount of gelcoat is brushed on by hand. Following cut and trim the blades are moved by overhead crane in to the finish and assembly section. Final touch up is made on the outside of the shell. The necessary hardware to attach the blade to the turbine is added here. Occasionally small amounts of filler gelcoat are manually applied in this area. This area in the BWS is designated as SN-08 (Miscellaneous-adhesives, coatings, cleaners), and emissions from this area are exhausted through stacks SN-01 and SN-02 in the same manner as the gel coating process. In addition to the air handling system there are dust collector systems which consist of vacuum distribution lines, cyclones, bag filters and vacuum pumps. A total of six (6) dust collectors serve the entire manufacturing area within the BWS. Dust handling “hoses” are connected to vacuum ports on the vacuum distribution lines. The dust collection systems are electronically linked such that the individual units are brought on line and throttled up or down to match the dust load sensed at the intake filters. The dust collector stacks are designated as SN-03 through SN-05.

**Raw Material Storage:** Polyester resins will be stored in totes within the BWS for temperature control. Resin will be pumped directly from the totes into the molds with the VARTM system. Sodium Hydroxide is used as a catalyst/activator and is stored in containers in an area separated from the resins and other process chemicals.

Balsa is stored in the warehouse. The balsa must be dried in an electric dryer in the production building prior to being placed in the mold. Balsa has been kiln dried prior to delivery. Therefore, the only emission from balsa drying room is moisture (H₂O). The balsa drying room is equipped with electric heaters and roof vents. The temperature varies and a 200 cfm air mover is used to recycle and vent air as needed. The remaining raw materials are stored in separate buildings and brought to the production area for acclimatization. The containers are not opened until they are moved into the production area.

**Boilers:** There is one fire tube boiler. The boiler is natural gas fired and has a heat input of 30 MMBtu/hr. The boiler discharge is designated as SN-06.

**Emergency Generator:** A power failure to the facility during gelcoat or resin application would result in the loss of the product. Therefore, a 7.4 MMBtu/hr emergency generator will be utilized during these events. The generator will only use diesel fuel and is maintained as recommended by the manufacturer. The generator is designated as SN-07.

**Product Storage:** Completed blades are stored outside to the east of the BWS.
Regulations

The following table contains the regulations applicable to this permit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas Air Pollution Control Code, Regulation 18, effective June 18, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations of the Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Pollution Control,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulation 19, effective July 18, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations of the Arkansas Operating Air Permit Program, Regulation 26,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effective January 25, 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 CFR Part 52.21, Regulations for the Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 CFR 60 Subpart Dc, Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Steam Generating Units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 CFR 63 Subpart WWWW, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollutants: Reinforced Plastic Composites Production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LM Wind Power operates another Windmill Blade production facility in Little Rock at Frazier Pike (AFIN 60-04199) with permitted emissions of 15.2 tpy of PM/PM$_{10}$, 2.0 tpy of SO$_2$, 225.0 tpy of VOC, 5.3 tpy of CO, 10.4 tpy of NO$_x$, 225.00 tpy of Methyl Methacrylate, 225.00 tpy of Styrene, 225.00 tpy of Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs, and 50.00 tpy of Acetone. On April 12, 2011, the Department notified LM that all future permitting actions for either of the two facilities (Scott Hamilton or Frazier Pike) will be evaluated as one for permitting requirements, especially PSD purposes. The sum of VOC emissions from both facilities is 320.7 tpy, which is greater than the major source thresholds for PSD purposes.
The following table is a summary of emissions from the facility. This table, in itself, is not an enforceable condition of the permit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lb/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Molding Operations</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>114.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate*</td>
<td>14.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Styrene*</td>
<td>48.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs*</td>
<td>49.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone**</td>
<td>44.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Molding Operations</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>56.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate*</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Styrene*</td>
<td>24.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs*</td>
<td>24.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone**</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area A</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area B</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area C</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM_{10}</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Emission Summary**

The following table is a summary of emissions from the facility. This table, in itself, is not an enforceable condition of the permit.
### EMISSION SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lb/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Boiler (30 MMBtu/hr)</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Emergency Generator (7.4 MMBtu/hr)</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Miscellaneous adhesives, coatings, and cleaners</td>
<td>Emissions accounted for at SN-01 and SN-02.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HAPs included in the VOC totals. Other HAPs are not included in any other totals unless specifically stated.

**Air Contaminants such as ammonia, acetone, and certain halogenated solvents are not VOCs or HAPs.*
SECTION III: PERMIT HISTORY

Permit # 2152-AOP-R0 was issued on December 11, 2007. This was the initial permit for this facility. LM requested permission to install the necessary equipment to manufacture windmill blades. The total permitted emissions for the facility included 1.8 tpy of PM/PM$_{10}$, 2.0 tpy of SO$_2$, 79.8 tpy of VOC, 12.5 tpy of CO, 18.9 tpy of NO$_x$, 73.73 tpy of Styrene, 5.00 tpy of Non-Styrene containing HAPs, and 6.74 tpy of Acetone.

Permit 2152-AOP-R1 was issued on April 7, 2011. With this modification, LM modified the emission rate for three sources (SN-01, SN-02, and SN-08) due to the use of new raw materials, revised the application rate for filler, and added painting operations at the finishing area. The emissions from the painting operations are accounted for at sources SN-01 and SN-02. The total permitted emission increases included 15.9 tpy of VOC, 15.15 tpy of Methyl Methacrylate, 0.68 tpy of Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs, and 1.29 tpy of Acetone.
LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc.
Permit #: 2152-AOP-R2
AFIN: 60-04196

SECTION IV: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

SN-01 and SN-02
Molding Operations

Source Description

The molding operations will consist of gel coating, infusion resin application, adhesive application, trimming, and final assembly. The blades are made in a 24 hour batch process in three (3) molding lines which, combined, comprise a “Blade Work Shop” (BWS). Although there are three (3) molding lines capable of working on three (3) blades simultaneously, LM will produce only one (1) blade per hour, with a maximum of three blades produced per day for 44 meter or smaller blades, or two blades produced per day for blades longer than 44 meters. The production takes place in an open manufacturing area. Webs, which are a structural component of the blade, are made in a similar fashion. Gel coat is added to the molds using non-atomized spray application equipment in what is termed as an “open molding process”. Polyester resin is added to the coated molds through a vacuum assisted air transfer molding (VARTM), a “closed molding” process. The primary pollutant emitted is styrene which is vented to the building air and is exhausted through stacks SN-01 and SN-02.

Specific Conditions

1. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this condition by Plantwide Conditions # 7 thru # 28. [Regulation 19, §19.501 et seq., and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Molding Operations</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>63.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee shall demonstrate compliance with this condition by Plantwide Conditions # 7 thru # 36. [Regulation 18, §18.801, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Molding Operations</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>14.35</td>
<td>10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>48.74</td>
<td>49.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs</td>
<td>49.56</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>33.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>lb/hr</td>
<td>tpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Molding Operations</td>
<td>Methyl Methacrylate</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>24.37</td>
<td>24.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SN-03, SN-04, and SN-05
Dust Collection System

Source Description

Hand held tools used to finish the blades are connected to a vacuum exhaust system. There are three (3) systems which are exhausted through SN-03, SN-04, and SN-05. These sources are equipped with fabric filters. A total of six (6) dust collectors serve the entire manufacturing area within the BWS.

Specific Conditions

3. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee will demonstrate compliance with this condition by Plantwide Conditions # 7 thru # 11, and equipment limitations. [Regulation 19, §19.501 et seq. and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area A</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area B</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area C</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee will demonstrate compliance with this condition by Plantwide Conditions # 7 thru # 11, and equipment limitations. [Regulation 18, §18.801 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area A</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area B</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Dust Collection Area C</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Visible emissions may not exceed 10% opacity. Compliance with this Specific Condition shall be demonstrated by compliance with Specific Condition # 6. [A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]
Visible emission observations shall be used as a method of compliance verification for the opacity limits assigned for SN-03 through SN-05. The daily observations shall be conducted by someone familiar with the facility's visible emissions. If during the observations, visible emissions are detected which appear to be in excess of the permitted opacity limit, the permittee shall:

a. Take immediate action to identify the cause of the visible emissions,
b. Implement corrective action, and
c. If excessive visible emissions are still detected, an opacity reading shall be conducted in accordance with EPA Reference Method 9 for point sources and in accordance with EPA Method 22 for non-point sources. This reading shall be conducted by a person trained and certified in the reference method. If the opacity reading exceeds the permitted limit, further corrective measures shall be taken.
d. If no excessive visible emissions are detected, the incident shall be noted in the records as described below.

The permittee shall maintain records related to all visible emission observations and Method 9 readings. These records shall be updated on an as-performed basis. These records shall be kept on site and made available to Department personnel upon request. These records shall contain:

e. The time and date of each observation/reading any observance of visible emissions appearing to be above permitted limits or any Method 9 reading which indicates exceedance,
f. The cause of any observed exceedance of opacity limits, corrective actions taken, and results of the reassessment, and
g. The name of the person conducting the observation/reading.
Source Description

There will be one fire tube boiler. The boiler is rated at 30 MMBtu/hr. The boiler is natural gas fired and is designated as SN-06.

Specific Conditions

7. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. Compliance with the lb/hr limits is based on maximum unit loading and natural gas fuel. Compliance with the ton per year limit is based on maintaining the operational limits set forth in Specific Condition # 10, and Plantwide Condition # 14. [Regulation 19, §19.501 et seq. and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Boiler</td>
<td>( \text{PM}_{10} )</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{SO}_2 )</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{VOC} )</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{CO} )</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{NO}_x )</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee will demonstrate compliance with this condition by Specific Condition # 10. [Regulation 18, §18.801, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Boiler</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. The permittee shall not exceed 5% opacity from SN-06 as measured by EPA Reference Method 9. Compliance with this Specific Condition shall be demonstrated by compliance with Specific Condition # 10. [Regulation 18, §18.501 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

10. The permittee shall only use pipeline-quality natural gas to fire boiler (SN-06). [Regulation 19, §19.705 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]
NSPS Requirements

11. The boiler (SN-06) shall meet all applicable requirements of NSPS Subpart Dc. For SN-06, applicable requirements include, but are not limited to, the record keeping provisions of §60.48c(g)(2). The facility shall record and maintain records of the amount of fuel combusted in SN-06 on a monthly basis, and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision # 7. [Regulation 19, §19.304 and §19.705, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR §60.40c(a) and §60.48c(g)(2)]
An emergency electric generator (SN-07) is also at this facility. A power failure to the facility during gelcoat or resin application would result in the loss of the product. Therefore, a 7.4 MMBtu/hr diesel fired standby generator will be utilized during these events.

### Specific Conditions

12. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. Compliance with the lb/hr limits is based on maximum unit loading and diesel fuel. Compliance with the ton per year limit is based on maintaining the operational limits set forth in Specific Conditions # 15 and # 16, and Plantwide Condition # 14. [Regulation 19, §19.501 et seq. and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>7.4 MMBtu/hr Emergency Generator</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO$_x$</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. The permittee shall not exceed the emission rates set forth in the following table. The permittee will demonstrate compliance with this condition by Specific Conditions # 15 and # 16. [Regulation 18, §18.801, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>lb/hr</th>
<th>tpy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>7.4 MMBtu/hr Emergency Generator</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. The permittee shall not exceed 20% opacity from SN-07 as measured by EPA Reference Method 9. Compliance with this Specific Condition shall be demonstrated by compliance with Specific Condition # 16. [Regulation 18, §18.501 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]
15. The permittee shall not operate the emergency generator (SN-07) in excess of 500 hours per consecutive twelve month period. [Regulation 19, §19.705, A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311 and 40 CFR 70.6]

16. The permittee shall only use diesel fuel, with a Sulfur content not greater than 0.5% by weight, to fire the emergency generator (SN-07). [Regulation 19, §19.705, A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311 and 40 CFR 70.6]

17. The permittee shall maintain records which demonstrate compliance with the limit set in Specific Conditions # 15 and # 16. These records may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. The records shall be updated on a monthly basis, shall be kept at the nearest manned location, and shall be provided to Department personnel upon request. A twelve month rolling total and each individual month’s data shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision # 7. [Regulation 19, §19.705 and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]
Final touch up is made on the outside of the shell. The necessary hardware to attach the blade to the turbine is added here. Occasionally small amounts of filler gelcoat are manually applied in this area. This area in the BWS is designated as SN-08 (Miscellaneous adhesives, coatings, cleaners), and emissions from this area are exhausted through stacks SN-01 and SN-02 in the same manner as the gel coating process, and have been accounted for in the emissions for SN-01 and SN-02.
SECTION V: COMPLIANCE PLAN AND SCHEDULE

LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc. will continue to operate in compliance with those identified regulatory provisions. The facility will examine and analyze future regulations that may apply and determine their applicability with any necessary action taken on a timely basis.
SECTION VI: PLANTWIDE CONDITIONS

1. The permittee shall notify the Director in writing within thirty (30) days after commencing construction, completing construction, first placing the equipment and/or facility in operation, and reaching the equipment and/or facility target production rate. [Regulation 19, §19.704, 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

2. If the permittee fails to start construction within eighteen months or suspends construction for eighteen months or more, the Director may cancel all or part of this permit. [Regulation 19, §19.410(B) and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

3. The permittee must test any equipment scheduled for testing, unless otherwise stated in the Specific Conditions of this permit or by any federally regulated requirements, within the following time frames: (1) new equipment or newly modified equipment within sixty (60) days of achieving the maximum production rate, but no later than 180 days after initial start up of the permitted source or (2) operating equipment according to the time frames set forth by the Department or within 180 days of permit issuance if no date is specified. The permittee must notify the Department of the scheduled date of compliance testing at least fifteen (15) business days in advance of such test. The permittee shall submit the compliance test results to the Department within thirty (30) calendar days after completing the testing. [Regulation 19 §19.702 and/or Regulation 18 §18.1002 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

4. The permittee must provide:
   a. Sampling ports adequate for applicable test methods;
   b. Safe sampling platforms;
   c. Safe access to sampling platforms; and
   d. Utilities for sampling and testing equipment.
   [Regulation 19, §19.702 and/or Regulation 18, §18.1002 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

5. The permittee must operate the equipment, control apparatus and emission monitoring equipment within the design limitations. The permittee shall maintain the equipment in good condition at all times. [Regulation 19, §19.303 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

6. This permit subsumes and incorporates all previously issued air permits for this facility. [Regulation 26 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]
7. The permittee shall not fill more than 1 mold pair during any consecutive 60-minute period. The filling of 1 mold pair is defined as performing the gelcoating operations for 1 blade. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

8. The permittee shall not exceed the production limit of 3 blades per day for blades up to 44.0 meters. The permittee shall not exceed the production limit of 2 blades per day for any blades greater than 44.0 meters. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

9. The permittee shall not exceed the production limit of 730 blades during any consecutive 12-month period. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

10. The permittee shall only produce blades with a maximum length of 48.8 meters. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

11. The permittee shall keep daily records of blade production to demonstrate compliance with Plantwide Conditions # 7, # 8, and # 10. Compliance with Plantwide Condition # 9 shall be demonstrated on a monthly basis by totaling the blade production for the previous 12 months. Each 12-month rolling total shall be updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

12. In order to maintain the emissions from the increase in blade length below the PSD applicability threshold for VOC (as requested in the permit application for Permit 2152-AOP-R2), the permittee shall be limited to a maximum blade production of 311 blades during any consecutive 12-month period for blades of all lengths less than or equal to 48.8 meters. The 311 blade limit shall not apply to the production of 42.5 meter blades. [Regulation 19, §19.705 and §19.901; 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E ; A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311; and 40 CFR Part 70.6]

13. The permittee shall maintain monthly records which demonstrate compliance with the limit set in Plantwide Condition 12. These may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. Compliance shall be determined by a 12-month rolling total of the monthly records of blade production. Each month’s total along with the current rolling total shall be available for inspection by 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be provided to Department personnel upon request. These records shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision 7. [Regulation 19, §19.705; and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]
14. The permittee shall not exceed a Plantwide VOC emission rate of 95.7 tpy from all operations. Compliance with this condition shall be demonstrated by compliance with Plantwide Condition #15. [Regulation 19, §19.705, A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR §70.6]

15. The permittee shall maintain monthly records of all VOC containing materials used at the facility during each month and VOC emissions for that month on a Plantwide basis. These records shall indicate the amount of each material used during that month, source which the material was used, and the associated VOC content in pounds per gallon. These records shall be maintained on a monthly basis, and updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records and associated MSDS data shall be kept on-site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. The MSDS data alone is to be submitted and not the actual MSDS sheets. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

16. The permittee may substitute gelcoat formulations provided that the maximum styrene concentration of such gelcoats does not exceed 37% styrene by weight for Non-Atomized Spray applications and 38% by weight for Hand Lay-up applications. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

17. The permittee may substitute resin formulations provided that the maximum styrene concentration of such resins does not exceed 37% styrene by weight for Infusion-Blade and Web applications and 50% by weight for Hand Lay-up applications. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

18. The permittee may substitute adhesive formulations provided that the maximum styrene concentration of such adhesives does not exceed 44% styrene by weight for Infusion and Hand Lay-up applications. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

19. The permittee may substitute filler formulations provided that the maximum styrene concentration of such fillers does not exceed 45% styrene by weight for Hand Lay-up applications. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

20. The permittee shall keep monthly records of styrene concentrations for gelcoat, resin, adhesive, and filler formulations to demonstrate compliance with Plantwide Conditions #16 thru #19. These records shall be updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

21. The permittee may substitute gelcoat formulations provided that the maximum methyl methacrylate concentration of such gelcoats does not exceed 5% methyl methacrylate by
22. The permittee may substitute adhesive formulations provided that the maximum methyl methacrylate concentration of such adhesives does not exceed 60% methyl methacrylate by weight. [40 CFR Part 70.6, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

23. The permittee shall keep monthly records of methyl methacrylate concentrations for gelcoat and adhesive formulations to demonstrate compliance with Plantwide Conditions # 21 and # 22. These records shall be updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

24. The permittee shall not exceed gelcoat usage of 555.73 pounds per blade for Non-Atomized Spray applications and 184.95 pounds per blade for Hand Lay-up applications. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

25. The permittee shall not exceed resin usage of 6,475.70 pounds per blade for Infusion-Blade and Web applications and 181.44 pounds per blade for Hand Lay-up applications. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

26. The permittee shall not exceed adhesive usage of 1,557.27 pounds per blade for Infusion applications and 106.35 pounds per blade for Hand Lay-up applications. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

27. The permittee shall not exceed filler usage of 24.30 pounds per blade for Hand Lay-up applications. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

28. The permittee shall keep monthly records of the usage per blade for gelcoat, resin, adhesive, and filler processes to demonstrate compliance with Plantwide Conditions # 24 thru # 27. These records shall be updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records may be used by the Department for enforcement purposes. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. Compliance will be demonstrated by dividing monthly usage by the number of blades produced in the same month. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]
The permittee shall maintain monthly records of all HAP containing materials used at the facility during each month and calculate HAP emissions for that month on a Plantwide basis. These records shall indicate the amount of each material used during that month, the source which the material was used, the associated HAP content in lb/gal, and the TLV in mg/m³. For resin, filler, adhesive, and gelcoat compounds, styrene or methyl methacrylate content in lb/gal shall be calculated from the resin density multiplied by the appropriate UEF (United Emission Factor). These calculations shall be performed and maintained on a monthly basis, and updated by the 15th of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records and associated MSDS data shall be kept on-site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. The MSDS data alone is to be submitted and not the actual MSDS sheets. [Regulation 19, §19.705, and 40 CFR Part 52, Subpart E]

The permittee shall not use any materials for Non-Cleaning Operations with a HAP content that exceeds 1.00 lb/gal as applied, except for Styrene (CAS # 100-42-5) and Methyl Methacrylate (CAS # 80-62-6). Compliance with this condition will be demonstrated by Plantwide Condition #29. [Regulation 19, §19.705, Regulation 18, §18.1004, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

The permittee shall not use any materials for Cleaning Operations with a HAP content that exceeds 7.35 lb/gal as applied, except for Styrene (CAS # 100-42-5) and Methyl Methacrylate (CAS # 80-62-6). Compliance with this condition will be demonstrated by Plantwide Condition #29. [Regulation 19, §19.705, Regulation 18, §18.1004, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]
32. The permittee shall not use any HAP-containing materials at this facility which do not meet the requirements of the following table. [Regulation 19, §19.705; 40 CFR Part 70.6; and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum Allowable TLV of Each HAP (mg/m³)</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Single HAP Allowable Weight Content As Applied for Non-Cleaning Operations (lb/gal)</th>
<th>Maximum Allowable Single HAP Allowable Weight Content As Applied for Cleaning Operations (lb/gal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72.61</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.34</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.27</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>5.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.19</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.48</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.12</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.59</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This table is based on a maximum HAP concentration of 1.00 lb HAP per gallon of non-cleaning materials or 7.35 lb HAP per gallon of cleaning materials, as applied.
- Intermediate Maximum Individual HAP limits can be determined by interpolation or using the following formula:

\[
\text{Non-Cleaning Operations Minimum HAP TLV} \left( \frac{\text{mg}}{m^3} \right) = \left( \frac{X \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \right) \times \left( \frac{72.61 \text{ mg}}{m^3} \right) \div 1.00 \text{ lb/gal}
\]

or

\[
\text{Cleaning Operations Minimum HAP TLV} \left( \frac{\text{mg}}{m^3} \right) = \left( \frac{X \text{ lb}}{\text{gal}} \right) \times \left( \frac{72.61 \text{ mg}}{m^3} \right) \div 7.35 \text{ lb/gal}
\]

Where: X lb/gal = the intermediate HAP content lb/gal as applied.
- This table excludes Styrene CAS # 100-42-5 and Methyl Methacrylate CAS # 80-62-6.
33. The permittee shall maintain records of the ACGIH TLV values as listed on current MSDS forms or in the 2010 ACGIH handbook of Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) and Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs) for each HAP-containing material used at the facility. The concentration of each HAP in lb/gal as applied and the corresponding TLV should be noted on these records. These records shall be maintained in a spreadsheet, database, or other well organized format. These records shall be kept on-site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 18, §18.1004, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

34. The permittee shall not emit more than 5.68 tons of all Non-Styrene, Non-MMA HAPs combined from this facility in any consecutive 12-month period. [Regulation 18, §18.801, Regulation 19, §19.405(B), and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

35. The permittee shall maintain monthly records to demonstrate compliance with Plantwide Condition # 34. The permittee shall update the records by the fifteenth day of the month following the month to which the records pertain. The twelve month rolling total and each individual month’s data shall be kept on-site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 18, §18.1004, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

36. The permittee shall not exceed an Acetone emission rate of 50.00 tpy from SN-01 and SN-02. The permittee shall calculate emissions from and maintain records of all acetone containing materials used at the facility during each month. These records shall also indicate the amount of each material used during that month, source which the material was used, and the associated acetone content in lbs per gallon. Each 12-month rolling total shall be updated by the 15th day of the month following the month to which the records pertain. These records shall be maintained on site and shall be submitted in accordance with General Provision #7. [Regulation 18, §18.801, and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

NESHAP Requirements

37. The permittee shall comply with all applicable standards that apply to the facility as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5805. These standards include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. If the permittee has a new facility that emits less than 100 tpy of HAP from the combination of all open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC manufacturing, mixing, and BMC manufacturing, you must meet the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 to Subpart WWWW and the work practice standards in Table 4 to Subpart WWWW that apply to you.
b. Except as provided in paragraph (d)(2) of section §63.5805, if you have a new facility that emits 100 tpy or more of HAP from the combination of all open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC manufacturing, mixing, and BMC manufacturing, you must reduce the total organic HAP emissions from these operations by at least 95 percent by weight and meet any applicable work practice standards in Table 4 to Subpart WWWW that apply to you. As an alternative to meeting 95 percent by weight, you may meet the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 5 to Subpart WWWW. If you have a continuous lamination/casting operation, that operation may alternatively meet an organic HAP emissions limit of 1.47 lbs/ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied.

c. If the permittee has a new or existing facility subject to paragraph (a)(2) or (c) of section §63.5805 at its initial compliance date that subsequently meets or exceeds the 100 tpy threshold in any calendar year, the permittee must notify the permitting authority in its compliance report. The permittee may at the same time request a one-time exemption from the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of section §63.5805 in the facility’s compliance report if you can demonstrate all of the following:

i. The exceedance of the threshold was due to circumstances that will not be repeated.

ii. The average annual organic HAP emissions from the potentially affected operation for the last 3 years were below 100 tpy.

iii. Projected organic HAP emissions for the next calendar year are below 100 tpy, based on projected resin and gel coat use and the HAP emission factors calculated according to the procedures in §63.5799.

d. If the permittee applies for an exemption in paragraph (e) of section §63.5805 and subsequently exceeds the HAP emission thresholds specified in paragraph (a)(2) or (c) of section §63.5805 over the next 12-month period, you must notify the permitting authority in the facility’s semiannual report, the exemption is removed, and your facility must comply with paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of section §63.5805 within 3 years from the time your organic HAP emissions first exceeded the threshold.

e. If the permittee has repair operations subject to Subpart WWWW as defined in §63.5785, these repair operations must meet the requirements in Tables 3 and 4 to Subpart WWWW and are not required to meet the 95 percent organic HAP emissions reduction requirements in paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of section §63.5805.
38. The permittee shall comply with one of the options below for meeting the standards for open molding and centrifugal casting operations at new and existing sources as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5810. These standards include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. All necessary calculations must be completed within 30 days after the end of each month. The permittee may switch between the compliance options in paragraphs (b) through (e) of this section. When the permittee changes to an option based on a 12-month rolling average, the permittee must base the average on the previous 12 months of data calculated using the compliance option the permittee is changing to, unless the permittee was previously using an option that did not require the permittee to maintain records of resin and gel coat use. In this case, the permittee must immediately begin collecting resin and gel coat use data and demonstrate compliance 12 months after changing options.

b. Demonstrate that an individual resin or gel coat, as applied, meets the applicable emission limit in Table 3 or 5 of Subpart WWWW as described in §63.5810(a)(1) and (2).

c. Demonstrate that, on average, the permittee meets the individual organic HAP emissions limits for each combination of operation type and resin application method or gel coat type. Demonstrate that on average permittee meets the individual organic HAP emissions limits for each unique combination of operation type and resin application method or gel coat type shown in Table 3 of Subpart WWWW that applies to the facility and as described in §63.5810(b)(1) and (2).

d. Demonstrate compliance with a weighted average emission limit. Demonstrate each month that the permittee meets each weighted average of the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 or 5 of Subpart WWWW that apply to the facility and as described in §63.5810(c)(1) through (3). When using this option, the permittee must demonstrate compliance with the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all open molding operations, and then separately demonstrate compliance with the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all centrifugal casting operations. Open molding operations and centrifugal casting operations may not be averaged with each other.

e. Meet the organic HAP emissions limit for one application method and use the same resin(s) for all application methods of that resin type as described in §63.5810(d)(1) through (4). This option is limited to resins of the same type. The resin types for which this option may be used are noncorrosion-resistant, corrosion-resistant and/or high strength, and tooling.
39. The permittee must be in compliance at all times with the work practice standards in Table 4 of Subpart WWWW, as well as the organic HAP emissions limits in Tables 3, or 5, or the organic HAP content limits in Table 7 of Subpart WWWW, as applicable, that the permittee is meeting without the use of add-on controls. [§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5810(a) through (d)]

40. The permittee must conduct performance tests, performance evaluations, design evaluations, capture efficiency testing, and other initial compliance demonstrations by the compliance date specified in Table 2 of Subpart WWWW, with three exceptions. Open molding and centrifugal casting operations that elect to meet an organic HAP emissions limit on a 12-month rolling average must initiate collection of the required data on the compliance date, and demonstrate compliance 1 year after the compliance date. New sources that use add-on controls to initially meet compliance must demonstrate compliance within 180 days after their compliance date. [§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5840]

41. The permittee shall demonstrate initial compliance with each organic HAP emissions standard in paragraphs (a) through (h) of §63.5805 that applies to the permittee by using the procedures shown in Tables 8 and 9 of Subpart WWWW. [§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5860(a)]

42. The permittee shall monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5895. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. The permittee monitor and collect data as specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section.

   i. Except for monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), the permittee must conduct all monitoring in continuous operation (or collect data at all required intervals) at all times that the affected source is operating.

   ii. The permittee may not use data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities for purposes of Subpart WWWW, including data averages and calculations, or fulfilling a minimum data availability requirement, if applicable. The
permittee must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system.

iii. At all times, the permittee must maintain necessary parts for routine repairs of the monitoring equipment.

iv. A monitoring malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring equipment to provide valid data. Monitoring failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

b. The permittee must collect and keep records of resin and gel coat use, organic HAP content, and operation where the resin is used if the permittee is meeting any organic HAP emissions limits based on an organic HAP emissions limit in Tables 3 or 5 of Subpart WWWW. The permittee must collect and keep records of resin and gel coat use, organic HAP content, and operation where the resin is used if the permittee is meeting any organic HAP content limits in Table 7 of Subpart WWWW if the permittee is averaging organic HAP contents. Resin use records may be based on purchase records if the permittee can reasonably estimate how the resin is applied. The organic HAP content records may be based on MSDS or on resin specifications supplied by the resin supplier.

c. Resin and gel coat use records are not required for the individual resins and gel coats that are demonstrated, as applied, to meet their applicable emission as defined in §63.5810(a). However, the permittee must retain the records of resin and gel coat organic HAP content, and the permittee must include the list of these resins and gel coats and identify their application methods in the permittee’s semiannual compliance reports. If after the permittee has initially demonstrated that a specific combination of an individual resin or gel coat, application method, and controls meets its applicable emission limit, and the resin or gel coat changes or the organic HAP content increases, or the permittee changes the application method or controls, then the permittee again must demonstrate that the individual resin or gel coat meets its emission limit as specified in paragraph (a) of §63.5810. If any of the previously mentioned changes results in a situation where an individual resin or gel coat now exceeds its applicable emission limit in Table 3 or 5 of Subpart WWWW, the permittee must begin collecting resin and gel coat use records and calculate compliance using one of the averaging options on a 12-month rolling average.

[§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5895(b)(1) through (4), §63.5895(c), and §63.5895(d)]
43. The permittee shall demonstrate continuous compliance with the standards as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5900. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the following:

   a. The permittee must demonstrate continuous compliance with each standard in §63.5805 that applies to the permittee according to the methods specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section.

      i. Compliance with organic HAP emissions limits is demonstrated by maintaining an organic HAP emissions factor value less than or equal to the appropriate organic HAP emissions limit listed in Table 3 or 5 of Subpart WWWW, on a 12-month rolling average, and/or by including in each compliance report a statement that individual resins and gel coats, as applied, meet the appropriate organic HAP emissions limits, as discussed in §63.5895(d).

      ii. Compliance with organic HAP content limits in Table 7 of Subpart WWWW is demonstrated by maintaining an average organic HAP content value less than or equal to the appropriate organic HAP contents listed in Table 7 of Subpart WWWW, on a 12-month rolling average, and/or by including in each compliance report a statement that resins and gel coats individually meet the appropriate organic HAP content limits in Table 7 of Subpart WWWW, as discussed in §63.5895(d).

      iii. Compliance with the work practice standards in Table 4 of Subpart WWWW is demonstrated by performing the work practice required for the permittee's operation.

   b. The permittee must report each deviation from each standard in §63.5805 that applies to the permittee. The deviations must be reported according to the requirements in §63.5910.

   c. During periods of startup, shutdown or malfunction, the permittee must meet the organic HAP emissions limits and work practice standards that apply to the permittee.

[§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5900(a)(2) through (4), §63.5895(b), and §63.5895(c)]

44. The permittee shall comply with all notifications requirements as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5905. These requirements include the following:

   a. The permittee must submit all of the notifications in Table 13 of Subpart WWWW that apply to the facility by the dates specified in Table 13. The notifications are described more fully in 40 CFR part 63, subpart A.
b. If the permittee changes any information submitted in any notification, the permittee must submit the changes in writing to the Administrator within 15 calendar days after the change.

[§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5905(a) and (b)]

45. The permittee shall comply with all reporting requirements as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5910. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the following:

a. The permittee must submit each report in Table 14 of Subpart WWWW that applies to the facility.

b. Unless the Administrator has approved a different schedule for submission of reports under §63.10(a), the permittee must submit each report by the date specified in Table 14 of Subpart WWWW and according to paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section.

i. The first compliance report must cover the period beginning on the compliance date that is specified for each affected source in §63.5800 and ending on June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for each source in §63.5800.

ii. The first compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date follows the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for each affected source in §63.5800.

iii. Each subsequent compliance report must cover the semiannual reporting period from January 1 through June 30 or the semiannual reporting period from July 1 through December 31.

iv. Each subsequent compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the semiannual reporting period.

v. For each affected source that is subject to permitting requirements pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 71, and if the permitting authority has established dates for submitting semiannual reports pursuant to §70.6 (a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), the permittee may submit the first and subsequent compliance reports according to the dates the permitting authority has established instead of according to the dates in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.
c. The compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (6) of this section:

i. Company name and address.

ii. Statement by a responsible official with that official's name, title, and signature, certifying the truth, accuracy, and completeness of the content of the report.

iii. Date of the report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.

iv. If the permittee had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period and the facility took actions consistent with its startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the compliance report must include the information in §63.10(d)(5)(i).

v. If there are no deviations from any organic HAP emissions limitations (emissions limit and operating limit) that apply to the permittee, and there are no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in Table 4 of Subpart WWWW, a statement that there were no deviations from the organic HAP emissions limitations or work practice standards during the reporting period.

vi. If there were no periods during which the continuous monitoring system (CMS), including a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) and an operating parameter monitoring system were out of control, as specified in §63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the CMS was out of control during the reporting period.

d. For each deviation from an organic HAP emissions limitation (i.e., emissions limit and operating limit) and for each deviation from the requirements for work practice standards that occurs at an affected source where the permittee is not using a CMS to comply with the organic HAP emissions limitations or work practice standards in Subpart WWWW, the compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section and in paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

i. The total operating time of each affected source during the reporting period.

ii. Information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations (including unknown cause, if applicable), as applicable, and the corrective action taken.
e. The permittee must report if the facility exceeds the 100 tpy organic HAP emissions threshold if that exceedance would make the facility subject to §63.5805(a)(1) or (d). Include with this report any request for an exemption under §63.5805(e). If the permittee receives an exemption under §63.5805(e) and subsequently exceeds the 100 tpy organic HAP emissions threshold, the permittee must report this exceedance as required in §63.5805(f).

f. Each affected source that has obtained a title V operating permit pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 71 must report all deviations as defined in Subpart WWWW in the semiannual monitoring report required by §70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A). If an affected source submits a compliance report pursuant to Table 14 of Subpart WWWW along with, or as part of, the semiannual monitoring report required by §70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), and the compliance report includes all required information concerning deviations from any organic HAP emissions limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice requirement in Subpart WWWW, submission of the compliance report shall be deemed to satisfy any obligation to report the same deviations in the semiannual monitoring report. However, submission of a compliance report shall not otherwise affect any obligation the affected source may have to report deviations from permit requirements to the permitting authority.

g. Submit compliance reports and startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports based on the requirements in Table 14 of Subpart WWWW, and not based on the requirements in §63.999.

h. Where multiple compliance options are available, the permittee must state in the next compliance report if the facility has changed compliance options since the last compliance report.

[§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5910(a), §63.5910(b)(1) through (5), §63.5910(c)(1) through (6), §63.5910(d)(1) and (2), §63.5910(f), §63.5910(g), §63.5910(h), and §63.5910(i)]

46. The permittee shall comply with the requirements for record keeping as outlined in 40 CFR 63.5915 and 63.5920. These requirements include, but are not limited to, the following:

   a. The permittee must keep the records listed in paragraphs (a)(i) through (iii) of this section.

      i. A copy of each notification and report that the facility submitted to comply with Subpart WWWW, including all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status that the permittee submitted, according to the requirements in §63.10(b)(2)(xiv).
ii. The records in §63.6(e)(3)(iii) through (v) related to startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

iii. Records of performance tests, design, and performance evaluations as required in §63.10(b)(2).

b. The permittee must keep all data, assumptions, and calculations used to determine organic HAP emissions factors or average organic HAP contents for operations listed in Tables 3, 5, and 7 of Subpart WWWW.

c. The permittee must keep a certified statement that the facility is in compliance with the work practice requirements in Table 4 of Subpart WWWW, as applicable.

d. The permittee must maintain all applicable records in such a manner that they can be readily accessed and are suitable for inspection according to §63.10(b)(1).

e. As specified in §63.10(b)(1), the permittee must keep each record for 5 years following the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record.

f. The permittee must keep each record onsite for at least 2 years after the date of each occurrence, measurement, maintenance, corrective action, report, or record, according to §63.10(b)(1). The permittee can keep the records offsite for the remaining 3 years.

g. The permittee may keep records in hard copy or computer readable form including, but not limited to, paper, microfilm, computer floppy disk, magnetic tape, or microfiche.

[§19.304 of Regulation 19 and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by §8-4-304 and §8-4-311, and 40 CFR Part 63 Subpart WWWW §63.5915(a)(1) through (3), §63.5915(c), §63.5915(d), and §63.5920(a) through (d)]
47. Compliance with the conditions of this permit shall be deemed compliance with all applicable requirements, as of the date of permit issuance, included in and specifically identified in the following table of this condition. The permit specifically identifies the following as applicable requirements based upon the information submitted by the permittee in an application dated August 23, 2007.

### Applicable Regulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source No.</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Regulations of the Arkansas Plan of Implementation for Air Pollution Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Regulations of the Arkansas Operating Air Permit Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>40 CFR 60 – Subpart Dc</td>
<td>Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The permit specifically identifies the following as inapplicable based upon information submitted by the permittee in an application dated August 23, 2007.

### Inapplicable Regulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source No.</th>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Regulation 19.801</td>
<td>The facility is not identified in the list of regulated sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN-03 thru SN-05</td>
<td>40 CFR Part 64</td>
<td>Sources are not subject to CAM requirements at this time, but will be upon renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>40 CFR Part 72, 73, &amp; 75</td>
<td>Acid Rain Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>40 CFR 52.21</td>
<td>Prevention of Significant Deterioration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION VII: INSIGNIFICANT ACTIVITIES

The following sources are insignificant activities. Any activity that has a state or federal applicable requirement shall be considered a significant activity even if this activity meets the criteria of §26.304 of Regulation 26 or listed in the table below. Insignificant activity determinations rely upon the information submitted by the permittee in an application dated August 27, 2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION VIII: GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Any terms or conditions included in this permit which specify and reference Arkansas Pollution Control & Ecology Commission Regulation 18 or the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (A.C.A. §8-4-101 et seq.) as the sole origin of and authority for the terms or conditions are not required under the Clean Air Act or any of its applicable requirements, and are not federally enforceable under the Clean Air Act. Arkansas Pollution Control & Ecology Commission Regulation 18 was adopted pursuant to the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (A.C.A. §8-4-101 et seq.). Any terms or conditions included in this permit which specify and reference Arkansas Pollution Control & Ecology Commission Regulation 18 or the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act (A.C.A. §8-4-101 et seq.) as the origin of and authority for the terms or conditions are enforceable under this Arkansas statute. [40 CFR 70.6(b)(2)]

2. This permit shall be valid for a period of five (5) years beginning on the date this permit becomes effective and ending five (5) years later. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(2) and §26.701(B) of the Regulations of the Arkansas Operating Air Permit Program (Regulation 26)]

3. The permittee must submit a complete application for permit renewal at least six (6) months before permit expiration. Permit expiration terminates the permittee’s right to operate unless the permittee submitted a complete renewal application at least six (6) months before permit expiration. If the permittee submits a complete application, the existing permit will remain in effect until the Department takes final action on the renewal application. The Department will not necessarily notify the permittee when the permit renewal application is due. [Regulation 26, §26.406]

4. Where an applicable requirement of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq. (Act) is more stringent than an applicable requirement of regulations promulgated under Title IV of the Act, the permit incorporates both provisions into the permit, and the Director or the Administrator can enforce both provisions. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(1)(ii) and Regulation 26, §26.701(A)(2)]

5. The permittee must maintain the following records of monitoring information as required by this permit.
   a. The date, place as defined in this permit, and time of sampling or measurements;
   b. The date(s) analyses performed;
   c. The company or entity performing the analyses;
   d. The analytical techniques or methods used;
   e. The results of such analyses; and
   f. The operating conditions existing at the time of sampling or measurement.

[40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(ii)(A) and Regulation 26, §26.701(C)(2)]
6. The permittee must retain the records of all required monitoring data and support information for at least five (5) years from the date of the monitoring sample, measurement, report, or application. Support information includes all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, and copies of all reports required by this permit. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(ii)(B) and Regulation 26, §26.701(C)(2)(b)]

7. The permittee must submit reports of all required monitoring every six (6) months. If permit establishes no other reporting period, the reporting period shall end on the last day of the anniversary month of the initial Title V permit. The report is due within thirty (30) days of the end of the reporting period. Although the reports are due every six months, each report shall contain a full year of data. The report must clearly identify all instances of deviations from permit requirements. A responsible official as defined in Regulation No. 26, §26.2 must certify all required reports. The permittee will send the reports to the address below:

Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality  
Air Division  
ATTN: Compliance Inspector Supervisor  
5301 Northshore Drive  
North Little Rock, AR  72118-5317

[40 C.F.R. 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) and Regulation 26, §26.701(C)(3)(a)]

8. The permittee shall report to the Department all deviations from permit requirements, including those attributable to upset conditions as defined in the permit.

a. For all upset conditions (as defined in Regulation 19, § 19.601), the permittee will make an initial report to the Department by the next business day after the discovery of the occurrence. The initial report may be made by telephone and shall include:

i. The facility name and location;
ii. The process unit or emission source deviating from the permit limit;
iii. The permit limit, including the identification of pollutants, from which deviation occurs;
iv. The date and time the deviation started;
v. The duration of the deviation;
vi. The average emissions during the deviation;
vii. The probable cause of such deviations;
viii. Any corrective actions or preventive measures taken or being taken to prevent such deviations in the future; and
ix. The name of the person submitting the report.
The permittee shall make a full report in writing to the Department within five (5) business days of discovery of the occurrence. The report must include, in addition to the information required by the initial report, a schedule of actions taken or planned to eliminate future occurrences and/or to minimize the amount the permit's limits were exceeded and to reduce the length of time the limits were exceeded. The permittee may submit a full report in writing (by facsimile, overnight courier, or other means) by the next business day after discovery of the occurrence, and the report will serve as both the initial report and full report.

b. For all deviations, the permittee shall report such events in semi-annual reporting and annual certifications required in this permit. This includes all upset conditions reported in 8a above. The semi-annual report must include all the information as required by the initial and full reports required in 8a.

[Regulation 19, §19.601 and §19.602, Regulation 26, §26.701(C)(3)(b), and 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(B)]

9. If any provision of the permit or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, such invalidity will not affect other provisions or applications hereof which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end, provisions of this Regulation are declared to be separable and severable. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(5), Regulation 26, §26.701(E), and A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

10. The permittee must comply with all conditions of this Part 70 permit. Any permit noncompliance with applicable requirements as defined in Regulation 26 constitutes a violation of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §7401, et seq. and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, for permit modification; or for denial of a permit renewal application. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(i) and Regulation 26, §26.701(F)(1)]

11. It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(ii) and Regulation 26, §26.701(F)(2)]

12. The Department may modify, revoke, reopen and reissue the permit or terminate the permit for cause. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, termination, or of a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(iii) and Regulation 26, §26.701(F)(3)]

13. This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(iv) and Regulation 26, §26.701(F)(4)]
14. The permittee must furnish to the Director, within the time specified by the Director, any information that the Director may request in writing to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating the permit or to determine compliance with the permit. Upon request, the permittee must also furnish to the Director copies of records required by the permit. For information the permittee claims confidentiality, the Department may require the permittee to furnish such records directly to the Director along with a claim of confidentiality. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(6)(v) and Regulation 26, §26.701(F)(5)]

15. The permittee must pay all permit fees in accordance with the procedures established in Regulation 9. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(7) and Regulation 26, §26.701(G)]

16. No permit revision shall be required, under any approved economic incentives, marketable permits, emissions trading and other similar programs or processes for changes provided for elsewhere in this permit. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(8) and Regulation 26, §26.701(H)]

17. If the permit allows different operating scenarios, the permittee shall, contemporaneously with making a change from one operating scenario to another, record in a log at the permitted facility a record of the operational scenario. [40 CFR 70.6(a)(9)(i) and Regulation 26, §26.701(I)(1)]

18. The Administrator and citizens may enforce under the Act all terms and conditions in this permit, including any provisions designed to limit a source’s potential to emit, unless the Department specifically designates terms and conditions of the permit as being federally unenforceable under the Act or under any of its applicable requirements. [40 CFR 70.6(b) and Regulation 26, §26.702(A) and (B)]

19. Any document (including reports) required by this permit must contain a certification by a responsible official as defined in Regulation 26, §26.2. [40 CFR 70.6(c)(1) and Regulation 26, §26.703(A)]

20. The permittee must allow an authorized representative of the Department, upon presentation of credentials, to perform the following: [40 CFR 70.6(c)(2) and Regulation 26, §26.703(B)]

a. Enter upon the permittee’s premises where the permitted source is located or emissions related activity is conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of this permit;

b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records required under the conditions of this permit;

c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and air pollution control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
d. As authorized by the Act, sample or monitor at reasonable times substances or parameters for assuring compliance with this permit or applicable requirements.

21. The permittee shall submit a compliance certification with the terms and conditions contained in the permit, including emission limitations, standards, or work practices. The permittee must submit the compliance certification annually within 30 days following the last day of the anniversary month of the initial Title V permit. The permittee must also submit the compliance certification to the Administrator as well as to the Department. All compliance certifications required by this permit must include the following: [40 CFR 70.6(c)(5) and Regulation 26, §26.703(E)(3)]

a. The identification of each term or condition of the permit that is the basis of the certification;
b. The compliance status;
c. Whether compliance was continuous or intermittent;
d. The method(s) used for determining the compliance status of the source, currently and over the reporting period established by the monitoring requirements of this permit; and
e. Such other facts as the Department may require elsewhere in this permit or by §114(a)(3) and §504(b) of the Act.

22. Nothing in this permit will alter or affect the following: [Regulation 26, §26.704(C)]

a. The provisions of Section 303 of the Act (emergency orders), including the authority of the Administrator under that section;
b. The liability of the permittee for any violation of applicable requirements prior to or at the time of permit issuance;
c. The applicable requirements of the acid rain program, consistent with §408(a) of the Act; or
d. The ability of EPA to obtain information from a source pursuant to §114 of the Act.

23. This permit authorizes only those pollutant emitting activities addressed in this permit. [A.C.A. §8-4-203 as referenced by A.C.A. §8-4-304 and §8-4-311]

24. The permittee may request in writing and at least 15 days in advance of the deadline, an extension to any testing, compliance or other dates in this permit. No such extensions are authorized until the permittee receives written Department approval. The Department may grant such a request, at its discretion in the following circumstances:

a. Such an extension does not violate a federal requirement;
b. The permittee demonstrates the need for the extension; and
c. The permittee documents that all reasonable measures have been taken to meet the current deadline and documents reasons it cannot be met.
25. The permittee may request in writing and at least 30 days in advance, temporary emissions and/or testing that would otherwise exceed an emission rate, throughput requirement, or other limit in this permit. No such activities are authorized until the permittee receives written Department approval. Any such emissions shall be included in the facility’s total emissions and reported as such. The Department may grant such a request, at its discretion under the following conditions:

a. Such a request does not violate a federal requirement;
b. Such a request is temporary in nature;
c. Such a request will not result in a condition of air pollution;
d. The request contains such information necessary for the Department to evaluate the request, including but not limited to, quantification of such emissions and the date/time such emission will occur;
e. Such a request will result in increased emissions less than five tons of any individual criteria pollutant, one ton of any single HAP and 2.5 tons of total HAPs; and
f. The permittee maintains records of the dates and results of such temporary emissions/testing.

26. The permittee may request in writing and at least 30 days in advance, an alternative to the specified monitoring in this permit. No such alternatives are authorized until the permittee receives written Department approval. The Department may grant such a request, at its discretion under the following conditions:

a. The request does not violate a federal requirement;
b. The request provides an equivalent or greater degree of actual monitoring to the current requirements; and
c. Any such request, if approved, is incorporated in the next permit modification application by the permittee.
APPENDIX A

40 CFR 63, Subpart WWWW
National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Reinforced Plastic Composites Production

Source: 68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, unless otherwise noted.

What This Subpart Covers

§ 63.5780 What is the purpose of this subpart?

This subpart establishes national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAP) for reinforced plastic composites production. This subpart also establishes requirements to demonstrate initial and continuous compliance with the hazardous air pollutants (HAP) emissions standards.

§ 63.5785 Am I subject to this subpart?

(a) You are subject to this subpart if you own or operate a reinforced plastic composites production facility that is located at a major source of HAP emissions. Reinforced plastic composites production is limited to operations in which reinforced and/or nonreinforced plastic composites or plastic molding compounds are manufactured using thermoset resins and/or gel coats that contain styrene to produce plastic composites. The resins and gel coats may also contain materials designed to enhance the chemical, physical, and/or thermal properties of the product. Reinforced plastic composites production also includes cleaning, mixing, HAP-containing materials storage, and repair operations associated with the production of plastic composites.

(b) You are not subject to this subpart if your facility only repairs reinforced plastic composites. Repair includes the non-routine manufacture of individual components or parts intended to repair a larger item as defined in §63.5935.

(c) You are not subject to this subpart if your facility is a research and development facility as defined in section 112(c)(7) of the Clean Air Act (CAA).

(d) You are not subject to this subpart if your reinforced plastic composites operations use less than 1.2 tons per year (tpy) of thermoset resins and gel coats that contain styrene combined.

§ 63.5787 What if I also manufacture fiberglass boats or boat parts?

(a) If your source meets the applicability criteria in §63.5785, and is not subject to the Boat Manufacturing NESHAP (40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV), you are subject to this subpart regardless of the final use of the parts you manufacture.

(b) If your source is subject to 40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV, and all the reinforced plastic composites you manufacture are used in manufacturing your boats, you are not subject to this subpart.

(c) If you are subject to 40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV, and meet the applicability criteria in §63.5785, and produce reinforced plastic composites that are not used in fiberglass boat manufacture at your facility, all operations associated with the manufacture of the reinforced plastic composites parts that are not used in fiberglass boat manufacture at your facility are subject to this subpart, except as noted in paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) Facilities potentially subject to both this subpart and 40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV may elect to have the operations in paragraph (c) of this section covered by 40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV, in lieu of this subpart, if they can demonstrate that this will not result in any organic HAP emissions increase compared to complying with this subpart.

§ 63.5790 What parts of my plant does this subpart cover?
(a) This subpart applies to each new or existing affected source at reinforced plastic composites production facilities.

(b) The affected source consists of all parts of your facility engaged in the following operations: Open molding, closed molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination, continuous casting, polymer casting, pultrusion, sheet molding compound (SMC) manufacturing, bulk molding compound (BMC) manufacturing, mixing, cleaning of equipment used in reinforced plastic composites manufacture, HAP-containing materials storage, and repair operations on parts you also manufacture.

(c) The following operations are specifically excluded from any requirements in this subpart: application of mold sealing and release agents; mold stripping and cleaning; repair of parts that you did not manufacture, including non-routine manufacturing of parts; personal activities that are not part of the manufacturing operations (such as hobby shops on military bases); prepreg materials as defined in §63.5935; non-gel coat surface coatings; application of putties, polyputties, and adhesives; repair or production materials that do not contain resin or gel coat; research and development operations as defined in section 112(c)(7) of the CAA; polymer casting; and closed molding operations (except for compression/injection molding). Note that the exclusion of certain operations from any requirements applies only to operations specifically listed in this paragraph. The requirements for any co-located operations still apply.

(d) Production resins that must meet military specifications are allowed to meet the organic HAP limit contained in that specification. In order for this exemption to be used, you must supply to the permitting authority the specifications certified as accurate by the military procurement officer, and those specifications must state a requirement for a specific resin, or a specific resin HAP content. Production resins for which this exemption is used must be applied with nonatomizing resin application equipment unless you can demonstrate this is infeasible. You must keep a record of the resins for which you are using this exemption.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 70 FR 50124, Aug. 25, 2005]

§ 63.5795 How do I know if my reinforced plastic composites production facility is a new affected source or an existing affected source?

(a) A reinforced plastic composites production facility is a new affected source if it meets all the criteria in paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) of this section.

(1) You commence construction of the source after August 2, 2001.

(2) You commence construction, and no other reinforced plastic composites production source exists at that site.

(b) For the purposes of this subpart, an existing affected source is any affected source that is not a new affected source.

[70 FR 50124, Aug. 25, 2005]

Calculating Organic HAP Emissions Factors for Open Molding and Centrifugal Casting

§ 63.5796 What are the organic HAP emissions factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart, and how are they used in this subpart?

Emissions factors are used in this subpart to determine compliance with certain organic HAP emissions limits in Tables 3 and 5 to this subpart. You may use the equations in Table 1 to this subpart to calculate your emissions factors. Equations are available for each open molding operation and centrifugal casting operation and have units of pounds of organic HAP emitted per ton (lb/ton) of resin or gel coat applied. These equations are intended to provide a method for you to demonstrate compliance without the need to conduct for a HAP emissions test. In lieu of these equations, you can elect to use site-specific organic HAP emissions factors to demonstrate compliance provided your site-specific organic HAP emissions factors are incorporated in the facility's air emissions permit and are based on actual facility HAP emissions test data.
You may also use the organic HAP emissions factors calculated using the equations in Table 1 to this subpart, combined with resin and gel coat use data, to calculate your organic HAP emissions.

§ 63.5797 How do I determine the organic HAP content of my resins and gel coats?

In order to determine the organic HAP content of resins and gel coats, you may rely on information provided by the material manufacturer, such as manufacturer's formulation data and material safety data sheets (MSDS), using the procedures specified in paragraphs (a) through (c) of this section, as applicable.

(a) Include in the organic HAP total each organic HAP that is present at 0.1 percent by mass or more for Occupational Safety and Health Administration-defined carcinogens, as specified in 29 CFR 1910.1200(d)(4) and at 1.0 percent by mass or more for other organic HAP compounds.

(b) If the organic HAP content is provided by the material supplier or manufacturer as a range, you must use the upper limit of the range for determining compliance. If a separate measurement of the total organic HAP content, such as an analysis of the material by EPA Method 311 of appendix A to 40 CFR part 63, exceeds the upper limit of the range of the total organic HAP content provided by the material supplier or manufacturer, then you must use the measured organic HAP content to determine compliance.

(c) If the organic HAP content is provided as a single value, you may use that value to determine compliance. If a separate measurement of the total organic HAP content is made and is less than 2 percentage points higher than the value for total organic HAP content provided by the material supplier or manufacturer, then you may use the provided value to demonstrate compliance. If the measured total organic HAP content exceeds the provided value by 2 percentage points or more, then you must use the measured organic HAP content to determine compliance.

§ 63.5798 What if I want to use, or I manufacture, an application technology (new or existing) whose organic HAP emissions characteristics are not represented by the equations in Table 1 to this subpart?

If you wish to use a resin or gel coat application technology (new or existing), whose emission characteristics are not represented by the equations in Table 1 to this subpart, you may use the procedures in paragraphs (a) or (b) of this section to establish an organic HAP emissions factor. This organic HAP emissions factor may then be used to determine compliance with the emission limits in this subpart, and to calculate facility organic HAP emissions.

(a) Perform an organic HAP emissions test to determine a site-specific organic HAP emissions factor using the test procedures in §63.5850.

(b) Submit a petition to the Administrator for administrative review of this subpart. This petition must contain a description of the resin or gel coat application technology and supporting organic HAP emissions test data obtained using EPA test methods or their equivalent. The emission test data should be obtained using a range of resin or gel coat HAP contents to demonstrate the effectiveness of the technology under the different conditions, and to demonstrate that the technology will be effective at different sites. We will review the submitted data, and, if appropriate, update the equations in Table 1 to this subpart.

§ 63.5799 How do I calculate my facility's organic HAP emissions on a tpy basis for purposes of determining which paragraphs of §63.5805 apply?

To calculate your facility's organic HAP emissions in tpy for purposes of determining which paragraphs in §63.5805 apply to you, you must use the procedures in either paragraph (a) of this section for new facilities prior to startup, or paragraph (b) of this section for existing facilities and new facilities after startup. You are not required to calculate or report emissions under this section if you are an existing facility that does not have centrifugal casting or continuous lamination/casting operations, or a new facility that does not have any of the following operations: Open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC and BMC manufacturing, and mixing. Emissions calculation and emission reporting procedures in other sections of this subpart still apply. Calculate organic HAP emissions prior to any add-on control device, and do not include organic HAP emissions from any resin or gel coat used in operations subject to the Boat
Manufacturing NESHAP, 40 CFR part 63, subpart VWV, or from the manufacture of large parts as defined in §63.5805(d)(2). For centrifugal casting operations at existing facilities, do not include any organic HAP emissions where resin or gel coat is applied to an open centrifugal mold using open molding application techniques. Table 1 and the Table 1 footnotes to this subpart present more information on calculating centrifugal casting organic HAP emissions. The timing and reporting of these calculations is discussed in paragraph (c) of this section.

(a) For new facilities prior to startup, calculate a weighted average organic HAP emissions factor for the operations specified in §63.5805(c) and (d) on a lbs/ton of resin and gel coat basis. Base the weighted average on your projected operation for the 12 months subsequent to facility startup. Multiply the weighted average organic HAP emissions factor by projected resin use over the same period. You may calculate your organic HAP emissions factor based on the factors in Table 1 to this subpart, or you may use any HAP emissions factor approved by us, such as factors from the "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors, Volume I: Stationary Point and Area Sources (AP-42)," or organic HAP emissions test data from similar facilities.

(b) For existing facilities and new facilities after startup, you may use the procedures in either paragraph (b)(1) or (2) of this section. If the emission factors for an existing facility have changed over the period of time prior to their initial compliance date due to incorporation of pollution-prevention control techniques, existing facilities may base the average emission factor on their operations as they exist on the compliance date. If an existing facility has accepted an enforceable permit limit that would result in less than 100 tpy of HAP measured prior to any add-on controls, and can demonstrate that they will operate at that level subsequent to the compliance date, they can be deemed to be below the 100 tpy threshold.

1) Use a calculated emission factor. Calculate a weighted average organic HAP emissions factor on a lbs/ton of resin and gel coat basis. Base the weighted average on the prior 12 months of operation. Multiply the weighted average organic HAP emissions factor by resin and gel coat use over the same period. You may calculate this organic HAP emissions factor based on the equations in Table 1 to this subpart, or you may use any organic HAP emissions factor approved by us, such as factors from AP-42, or site-specific organic HAP emissions factors if they are supported by HAP emissions test data.

2) Conduct performance testing. Conduct performance testing using the test procedures in §63.5850 to determine a site-specific organic HAP emissions factor in units of lbs/ton of resin and gel coat used. Conduct the test under conditions expected to result in the highest possible organic HAP emissions. Multiply this factor by annual resin and gel coat use to determine annual organic HAP emissions. This calculation must be repeated and reported annually.

(c) Existing facilities must initially perform this calculation based on their 12 months of operation prior to April 21, 2003, and include this information with their initial notification report. Existing facilities must repeat the calculation based on their resin and gel coat use in the 12 months prior to their initial compliance date, and submit this information with their initial compliance report. After their initial compliance date, existing and new facilities must recalculate organic HAP emissions over the 12-month period ending June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date following their compliance date specified in §63.5800. Subsequent calculations should cover the periods in the semiannual compliance reports.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 70 FR 50124, Aug. 25, 2005]

Compliance Dates and Standards

§ 63.5800 When do I have to comply with this subpart?

You must comply with the standards in this subpart by the dates specified in Table 2 to this subpart. Facilities meeting an organic HAP emissions standard based on a 12-month rolling average must begin collecting data on the compliance date in order to demonstrate compliance.

§ 63.5805 What standards must I meet to comply with this subpart?
You must meet the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (h) of this section that apply to you. You may elect to comply using any options to meet the standards described in §§63.5810 through 63.5830. Use the procedures in §63.5799 to determine if you meet or exceed the 100 tpy threshold.

(a) If you have an existing facility that has any centrifugal casting or continuous casting/lamination operations, you must meet the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) or (2) of this section:

(1) If the combination of all centrifugal casting and continuous lamination/casting operations emit 100 tpy or more of HAP, you must reduce the total organic HAP emissions from centrifugal casting and continuous lamination/casting operations by at least 95 percent by weight. As an alternative to meeting the 95 percent by weight requirement, centrifugal casting operations may meet the applicable organic HAP emissions limits in Table 5 to this subpart and continuous lamination/casting operations may meet an organic HAP emissions limit of 1.47 lbs/ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied. For centrifugal casting, the percent reduction requirement does not apply to organic HAP emissions that occur during resin application onto an open centrifugal casting mold using open molding application techniques.

(2) If the combination of all centrifugal casting and continuous lamination/casting operations emit less than 100 tpy of HAP, then centrifugal casting and continuous lamination/casting operations must meet the appropriate requirements in Table 3 to this subpart.

(b) All operations at existing facilities not listed in paragraph (a) of this section must meet the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 to this subpart and the work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart that apply, regardless of the quantity of HAP emitted.

(c) If you have a new facility that emits less than 100 tpy of HAP from the combination of all open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC manufacturing, mixing, and BMC manufacturing, you must meet the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 to this subpart and the work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart that apply to you.

(d)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, if you have a new facility that emits 100 tpy or more of HAP from the combination of all open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC manufacturing, mixing, and BMC manufacturing, you must reduce the total organic HAP emissions from these operations by at least 95 percent by weight and meet any applicable work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart that apply to you. As an alternative to meeting 95 percent by weight, you may meet the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 5 to this subpart. If you have a continuous lamination/casting operation, that operation may alternatively meet an organic HAP emissions limit of 1.47 lbs/ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied.

(2)(i) If your new facility manufactures large reinforced plastic composites parts using open molding or pultrusion operations, the specific open molding and pultrusion operations used to produce large parts are not required to reduce HAP emissions by 95 weight percent, but must meet the emission limits in Table 3 to this subpart.

(ii) A large open molding part is defined as a part that, when the final finished part is enclosed in the smallest rectangular six-sided box into which the part can fit, the total interior volume of the box exceeds 250 cubic feet, or any interior sides of the box exceed 50 square feet.

(iii) A large pultruded part is a part that exceeds an outside perimeter of 24 inches or has more than 350 reinforcements.

(e) If you have a new or existing facility subject to paragraph (a)(2) or (c) of this section at its initial compliance date that subsequently meets or exceeds the 100 tpy threshold in any calendar year, you must notify your permitting authority in your compliance report. You may at the same time request a one-time exemption from the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of this section in your compliance report if you can demonstrate all of the following:

(1) The exceedance of the threshold was due to circumstances that will not be repeated.
(2) The average annual organic HAP emissions from the potentially affected operations for the last 3 years were below 100 tpy.

(3) Projected organic HAP emissions for the next calendar year are below 100 tpy, based on projected resin and gel coat use and the HAP emission factors calculated according to the procedures in §63.5799.

(f) If you apply for an exemption in paragraph (e) of this section and subsequently exceed the HAP emission thresholds specified in paragraph (a)(2) or (c) of this section over the next 12-month period, you must notify the permitting authority in your semiannual report, the exemption is removed, and your facility must comply with paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of this section within 3 years from the time your organic HAP emissions first exceeded the threshold.

(g) If you have repair operations subject to this subpart as defined in §63.5785, these repair operations must meet the requirements in Tables 3 and 4 to this subpart and are not required to meet the 95 percent organic HAP emissions reduction requirements in paragraph (a)(1) or (d) of this section.

(h) If you use an add-on control device to comply with this subpart, you must meet all requirements contained in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS.

[70 FR 50124, Aug. 25, 2005]

Options for Meeting Standards

§ 63.5810 What are my options for meeting the standards for open molding and centrifugal casting operations at new and existing sources?

You must use one of the following methods in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section to meet the standards for open molding or centrifugal casting operations in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart. You may use any control method that reduces organic HAP emissions, including reducing resin and gel coat organic HAP content, changing to nonatomized mechanical application, using covered curing techniques, and routing part or all of your emissions to an add-on control. You may use different compliance options for the different operations listed in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart. The necessary calculations must be completed within 30 days after the end of each month. You may switch between the compliance options in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section. When you change to an option based on a 12-month rolling average, you must base the average on the previous 12 months of data calculated using the compliance option you are changing to, unless you were previously using an option that did not require you to maintain records of resin and gel coat use. In this case, you must immediately begin collecting resin and gel coat use data and demonstrate compliance 12 months after changing options.

(a) Demonstrate that an individual resin or gel coat, as applied, meets the applicable emission limit in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart. (1) Calculate your actual organic HAP emissions factor for each different process stream within each operation type. A process stream is defined as each individual combination of resin or gel coat, application technique, and control technique. Process streams within operations types are considered different from each other if any of the following four characteristics vary: the neat resin plus or neat gel coat plus organic HAP content, the gel coat type, the application technique, or the control technique. You must calculate organic HAP emissions factors for each different process stream by using the appropriate equations in Table 1 to this subpart for open molding and for centrifugal casting, or site-specific organic HAP emissions factors discussed in §63.5796. The emission factor calculation should include any and all emission reduction techniques used including any add-on controls. If you are using vapor suppressants to reduce HAP emissions, you must determine the vapor suppressant effectiveness (VSE) by conducting testing according to the procedures specified in appendix A to subpart WWWW of 40 CFR part 63. If you are using an add-on control device to reduce HAP emissions, you must determine the add-on control factor by conducting capture and control efficiency testing using the procedures specified in §63.5850. The organic HAP emissions factor calculated from the equations in Table 1 to this subpart, or a site-specific emissions factor, is multiplied by the add-on control factor to calculate the organic HAP emissions factor after control. Use Equation 1 of this section to calculate the add-on control factor used in the organic HAP emissions factor equations.
Add-on Control Factor = 1 - \frac{\% \text{ Control Efficiency}}{100} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})

Where:

Percent Control Efficiency = a value calculated from organic HAP emissions test measurements made according to the requirements of §63.5850 to this subpart.

(2) If the calculated emission factor is less than or equal to the appropriate emission limit, you have demonstrated that this process stream complies with the emission limit in Table 3 to this subpart. It is not necessary that all your process streams, considered individually, demonstrate compliance to use this option for some process streams. However, for any individual resin or gel coat you use, if any of the process streams that include that resin or gel coat are to be used in any averaging calculations described in paragraphs (b) through (d) of this section, then all process streams using that individual resin or gel coat must be included in the averaging calculations.

(b) Demonstrate that, on average, you meet the individual organic HAP emissions limits for each combination of operation type and resin application method or gel coat type. Demonstrate that on average you meet the individual organic HAP emissions limits for each unique combination of operation type and resin application method or gel coat type shown in Table 3 to this subpart that applies to you.

(1)(i) Group the process streams described in paragraph (a) to this section by operation type and resin application method or gel coat type listed in Table 3 to this subpart and then calculate a weighted average emission factor based on the amounts of each individual resin or gel coat used for the last 12 months. To do this, sum the product of each individual organic HAP emissions factor calculated in paragraph (a)(1) of this section and the amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus usage that corresponds to the individual factors and divide the numerator by the total amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus used in that operation type as shown in Equation 2 of this section.

\[
\text{Average organic HAP Emissions Factor} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{Actual Process Stream } \text{EF}_i \times \text{Material}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Material}_i} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})
\]

Where:

Actual Process Stream \text{EF}_i = actual organic HAP emissions factor for process stream \text{i}, lbs/ton;

\text{Material}_i = neat resin plus or neat gel coat plus used during the last 12 calendar months for process stream \text{i}, tons;

\text{n} = number of process streams where you calculated an organic HAP emissions factor.

(ii) You may, but are not required to, include process streams where you have demonstrated compliance as described in paragraph (a) of this section, subject to the limitations described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section, and you are not required to and should not include process streams for which you will demonstrate compliance using the procedures in paragraph (d) of this section.

(2) Compare each organic HAP emissions factor calculated in paragraph (b)(1) of this section with its corresponding organic HAP emissions limit in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart. If all emissions factors are equal to or less than their corresponding emission limits, then you are in compliance.
(c) **Demonstrate compliance with a weighted average emission limit.** Demonstrate each month that you meet each weighted average of the organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart that apply to you. When using this option, you must demonstrate compliance with the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all your open molding operations, and then separately demonstrate compliance with the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all your centrifugal casting operations. Open molding operations and centrifugal casting operations may not be averaged with each other.

(1) Each month calculate the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all open molding operations and the weighted average organic HAP emissions limit for all centrifugal casting operations for your facility for the last 12-month period to determine the organic HAP emissions limit you must meet. To do this, multiply the individual organic HAP emissions limits in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart for each open molding (centrifugal casting) operation type by the amount of neat resin plus or neat gel coat plus used in the last 12 months for each open molding (centrifugal casting) operation type, sum these results, and then divide this sum by the total amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus used in open molding (centrifugal casting) over the last 12 months as shown in Equation 3 of this section.

\[
\text{Weighted Average Emission Limit} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (EL_i \times \text{Material}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Material}_i} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Where:

\( EL_i = \) organic HAP emissions limit for operation type \( i \), lbs/ton from Tables 3 or 5 to this subpart;

\( \text{Material}_i = \) neat resin plus or neat gel coat plus used during the last 12-month period for operation type \( i \), tons;

\( n = \) number of operations.

(2) Each month calculate your weighted average organic HAP emissions factor for open molding and centrifugal casting. To do this, multiply your actual open molding (centrifugal casting) operation organic HAP emissions factors calculated in paragraph (b)(1) of this section and the amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus used in each open molding (centrifugal casting) operation type, sum these results, and divide this sum by the total amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus used in open molding (centrifugal casting) operations as shown in Equation 4 of this section.

\[
\text{Actual Weighted Average organic HAP Emissions Factor} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{Actual Operation } EF_i \times \text{Material}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Material}_i} \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

Where:

\( \text{Actual Individual } EF_i = \) actual organic HAP emissions factor for operation type \( i \), lbs/ton;

\( \text{Material}_i = \) neat resin plus or neat gel coat plus used during the last 12 calendar months for operation type \( i \), tons;

\( n = \) number of operations.
(3) Compare the values calculated in paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section. If each 12-month rolling average organic HAP emissions factor is less than or equal to the corresponding 12-month rolling average organic HAP emissions limit, then you are in compliance.

(d) *Meet the organic HAP emissions limit for one application method and use the same resin(s) for all application methods of that resin type.* This option is limited to resins of the same type. The resin types for which this option may be used are noncorrosion-resistant, corrosion-resistant and/or high strength, and tooling.

(1) For any combination of manual resin application, mechanical resin application, filament application, or centrifugal casting, you may elect to meet the organic HAP emissions limit for any one of these application methods and use the same resin in all of the resin application methods listed in this paragraph (d)(1). Table 7 to this subpart presents the possible combinations based on a facility selecting the application process that results in the highest allowable organic HAP content resin. If the resin organic HAP content is below the applicable value shown in Table 7 to this subpart, the resin is in compliance.

(2) You may also use a weighted average organic HAP content for each application method described in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. Calculate the weighted average organic HAP content monthly. Use Equation 2 in paragraph (b)(1) of this section except substitute organic HAP content for organic HAP emissions factor. You are in compliance if the weighted average organic HAP content based on the last 12 months of resin use is less than or equal to the applicable organic HAP contents in Table 7 to this subpart.

(3) You may simultaneously use the averaging provisions in paragraph (b) or (c) of this section to demonstrate compliance for any operations and/or resins you do not include in your compliance demonstrations in paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section. However, any resins for which you claim compliance under the option in paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section may not be included in any of the averaging calculations described in paragraph (b) or (c) of this section.

(4) You do not have to keep records of resin use for any of the individual resins where you demonstrate compliance under the option in paragraph (d)(1) of this section unless you elect to include that resin in the averaging calculations described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section.

[70 FR 50125, Aug. 25, 2005]

§ 63.5820 What are my options for meeting the standards for continuous lamination/casting operations?

You must use one or more of the options in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section to meet the standards in §63.5805. Use the calculation procedures in §§63.5865 through 63.5890.

(a) **Compliant line option.** Demonstrate that each continuous lamination line and each continuous casting line complies with the applicable standard.

(b) **Averaging option.** Demonstrate that all continuous lamination and continuous casting lines combined, comply with the applicable standard.

(c) **Add-on control device option.** If your operation must meet the 58.5 weight percent organic HAP emissions reduction limit in Table 3 to this subpart, you have the option of demonstrating that you achieve 95 percent reduction of all wet-out area organic HAP emissions.

(d) **Combination option.** Use any combination of options in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section or, for affected sources at existing facilities, any combination of options in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section (in which one or more lines meet the standards on their own, two or more lines averaged together meet the standards, and one or more lines have their wet-out areas controlled to a level of 95 percent).

§ 63.5830 What are my options for meeting the standards for pultrusion operations subject to the 60 weight percent organic HAP emissions reductions requirement?
You must use one or more of the options in paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section to meet the 60 weight percent organic HAP emissions limit in Table 3 to this subpart, as required in §63.5805.

(a) Achieve an overall reduction in organic HAP emissions of 60 weight percent by capturing the organic HAP emissions and venting them to a control device or any combination of control devices. Conduct capture and destruction efficiency testing as specified in 63.5850 to this subpart to determine the percent organic HAP emissions reduction.

(b) Design, install, and operate wet area enclosures and resin drip collection systems on pultrusion machines that meet the criteria in paragraphs (b)(1) through (10) of this section.

(1) The enclosure must cover and enclose the open resin bath and the forming area in which reinforcements are pre-wet or wet-out and moving toward the die(s). The surfaces of the enclosure must be closed except for openings to allow material to enter and exit the enclosure.

(2) For open bath pultrusion machines with a radio frequency pre-heat unit, the enclosure must extend from the beginning of the resin bath to within 12.5 inches or less of the entrance of the radio frequency pre-heat unit. If the stock that is within 12.5 inches or less of the entrance to the radio frequency pre-heat unit has any drip, it must be enclosed. The stock exiting the radio frequency pre-heat unit is not required to be in an enclosure if the stock has no drip between the exit of the radio frequency pre-heat unit to within 0.5 inches of the entrance of the die.

(3) For open bath pultrusion machines without a radio frequency pre-heat unit, the enclosure must extend from the beginning of the resin bath to within 0.5 inches or less of the die entrance.

(4) For pultrusion lines with pre-wet area(s) prior to direct die injection, no more than 12.5 inches of open wet stock is permitted between the entrance of the first pre-wet area and the entrance to the die. If the pre-wet stock has any drip, it must be enclosed.

(5) The total open area of the enclosure must not exceed two times the cross sectional area of the puller window(s) and must comply with the requirements in paragraphs (b)(5)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) All areas that are open need to be included in the total open area calculation with the exception of access panels, doors, and/or hatches that are part of the enclosure.

(ii) The area that is displaced by entering reinforcement or exiting product is considered open.

(iii) Areas that are covered by brush covers are considered closed.

(6) Open areas for level control devices, monitoring devices, agitation shafts, and fill hoses must have no more than 1.0 inch clearance.

(7) The access panels, doors, and/or hatches that are part of the enclosure must close tightly. Damaged access panels, doors, and/or hatches that do not close tightly must be replaced.

(8) The enclosure may not be removed from the pultrusion line, and access panels, doors, and/or hatches that are part of the enclosure must remain closed whenever resin is in the bath, except for the time period discussed in paragraph (b)(9) of this section.

(9) The maximum length of time the enclosure may be removed from the pultrusion line or the access panels, doors, and/or hatches and may be open, is 30 minutes per 8 hour shift, 45 minutes per 12 hour shift, or 90 minutes per day if the machine is operated for 24 hours in a day. The time restrictions do not apply if the open doors or panels do not cause the limit of two times the puller window area to be exceeded. Facilities may average the times that access panels, doors, and/or hatches are open across all operating lines. In that case the average must not exceed the times shown in this paragraph (b)(9). All lines included in the average must have operated the entire time period being averaged.
(10) No fans, blowers, and/or air lines may be allowed within the enclosure. The enclosure must not be ventilated.

(c) Use direct die injection pultrusion machines with resin drip collection systems that meet all the criteria specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) All the resin that is applied to the reinforcement is delivered directly to the die.

(2) No exposed resin is present, except at the face of the die.

(3) Resin drip is captured in a closed system and recycled back to the process.

(d) Use a preform injection system that meets the definition in §63.5935

(e) Use any combination of options in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section in which different pultrusion lines comply with different options described in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section, and

(1) Each individual pultrusion machine meets the 60 percent reduction requirement, or

(2) The weighted average reduction based on resin throughput of all machines combined is 60 percent. For purposes of the average percent reduction calculation, wet area enclosures reduce organic HAP emissions by 60 percent, and direct die injection and preform injection reduce organic HAP emissions by 90 percent.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 70 FR 50127, Aug. 25, 2005]

General Compliance Requirements

§ 63.5835 What are my general requirements for complying with this subpart?

(a) You must be in compliance at all times with the work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart, as well as the organic HAP emissions limits in Tables 3, 5, or 7 to this subpart, as applicable, that you are meeting without the use of add-on controls.

(b) You must be in compliance with all organic HAP emissions limits in this subpart that you meet using add-on controls, except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(c) You must always operate and maintain your affected source, including air pollution control and monitoring equipment, according to the provisions in §63.6(e)(1)(i).

(d) You must develop a written startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan according to the provisions in §63.6(e)(3) for any organic HAP emissions limits you meet using an add-on control.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 71 FR 20466, Apr. 20, 2006]

Testing and Initial Compliance Requirements

§ 63.5840 By what date must I conduct a performance test or other initial compliance demonstration?

You must conduct performance tests, performance evaluations, design evaluations, capture efficiency testing, and other initial compliance demonstrations by the compliance date specified in Table 2 to this subpart, with three exceptions. Open molding and centrifugal casting operations that elect to meet an organic HAP emissions limit on a 12-month rolling average must initiate collection of the required data on the compliance date, and demonstrate compliance 1 year after the compliance date. New sources that use
add-on controls to initially meet compliance must demonstrate compliance within 180 days after their compliance date.

§ 63.5845 When must I conduct subsequent performance tests?

You must conduct a performance test every 5 years following the initial performance test for any standard you meet with an add-on control device.

§ 63.5850 How do I conduct performance tests, performance evaluations, and design evaluations?

(a) If you are using any add-on controls to meet an organic HAP emissions limit in this subpart, you must conduct each performance test, performance evaluation, and design evaluation in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, that applies to you. The basic requirements for performance tests, performance evaluations, and design evaluations are presented in Table 6 to this subpart.

(b) Each performance test must be conducted according to the requirements in §63.7(e)(1) and under the specific conditions that 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, specifies.

(c) Each performance evaluation must be conducted according to the requirements in §63.8(e) as applicable and under the specific conditions that 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, specifies.

(d) You may not conduct performance tests or performance evaluations during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, as specified in §63.7(e)(1).

(e) You must conduct the control device performance test using the emission measurement methods specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) Use either Method 1 or 1A of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60, as appropriate, to select the sampling sites.

(2) Use Method 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F or 2G of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60, as appropriate, to measure gas volumetric flow rate.

(3) Use Method 18 of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60 to measure organic HAP emissions or use Method 25A of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60 to measure total gaseous organic emissions as a surrogate for total organic HAP emissions. If you use Method 25A, you must assume that all gaseous organic emissions measured as carbon are organic HAP emissions. If you use Method 18 and the number of organic HAP in the exhaust stream exceeds five, you must take into account the use of multiple chromatographic columns and analytical techniques to get an accurate measure of at least 90 percent of the total organic HAP mass emissions. Do not use Method 18 to measure organic HAP emissions from a combustion device; use instead Method 25A and assume that all gaseous organic mass emissions measured as carbon are organic HAP emissions.

(4) You may use American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D6420–99 (available for purchase from at least one of the following addresses: 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428–2959; or University Microfilms International, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106.) in lieu of Method 18 of 40 CFR part 60, appendix A, under the conditions specified in paragraphs (c)(4)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) If the target compound(s) is listed in Section 1.1 of ASTM D6420–99 and the target concentration is between 150 parts per billion by volume and 100 parts per million by volume.

(ii) If the target compound(s) is not listed in Section 1.1 of ASTM D6420–99, but is potentially detected by mass spectrometry, an additional system continuing calibration check after each run, as detailed in Section 10.5.3 of ASTM D6420–99, must be followed, met, documented, and submitted with the performance test report even if you do not use a moisture condenser or the compound is not considered soluble.

(iii) If a minimum of one sample/analysis cycle is completed at least every 15 minutes.
(5) Use the procedures in EPA Method 3B of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60 to determine an oxygen correction factor if required by §63.997(e)(2)(iii)(C). You may use American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) PTC 19–10–1981—Part 10 (available for purchase from ASME, P.O. Box 2900, 22 Law Drive, Fairfield, New Jersey, 07007–2900, or online at www.asme.org/catalog) as an alternative to EPA Method 3B of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60.

(f) The control device performance test must consist of three runs and each run must last at least 1 hour. The production conditions during the test runs must represent normal production conditions with respect to the types of parts being made and material application methods. The production conditions during the test must also represent maximum potential emissions with respect to the organic HAP content of the materials being applied and the material application rates.

(g) If you are using a concentrator/oxidizer control device, you must test the combined flow upstream of the concentrator, and the combined outlet flow from both the oxidizer and the concentrator to determine the overall control device efficiency. If the outlet flow from the concentrator and oxidizer are exhausted in separate stacks, you must test both stacks simultaneously with the inlet to the concentrator to determine the overall control device efficiency.

(h) During the test, you must also monitor and record separately the amounts of production resin, tooling resin, pigmented gel coat, clear gel coat, and tooling gel coat applied inside the enclosure that is vented to the control device.

§ 63.5855 What are my monitor installation and operation requirements?

You must monitor and operate all add-on control devices according to the procedures in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS.

§ 63.5860 How do I demonstrate initial compliance with the standards?

(a) You demonstrate initial compliance with each organic HAP emissions standard in paragraphs (a) through (h) of §63.5805 that applies to you by using the procedures shown in Tables 8 and 9 to this subpart.

(b) If using an add-on control device to demonstrate compliance, you must also establish each control device operating limit in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, that applies to you.

Emission Factor, Percent Reduction, and Capture Efficiency Calculation Procedures for Continuous Lamination/Casting Operations

§ 63.5865 What data must I generate to demonstrate compliance with the standards for continuous lamination/casting operations?

(a) For continuous lamination/casting affected sources complying with a percent reduction requirement, you must generate the data identified in Tables 10 and 11 to this subpart for each data requirement that applies to your facility.

(b) For continuous lamination/casting affected sources complying with a lbs/ton limit, you must generate the data identified in Tables 11 and 12 to this subpart for each data requirement that applies to your facility.

§ 63.5870 How do I calculate annual uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions from my wet-out area(s) and from my oven(s) for continuous lamination/casting operations?

To calculate your annual uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions from your wet-out areas and from your ovens, you must develop uncontrolled and controlled wet-out area and uncontrolled and controlled oven organic HAP emissions estimation equations or factors to apply to each formula applied on each line, determine how much of each formula for each end product is applied each year on each line, and assign
uncontrolled and controlled wet-out area and uncontrolled and controlled oven organic HAP emissions estimation equations or factors to each formula. You must determine the overall capture efficiency using the procedures in §63.5850 to this subpart.

(a) To develop uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions estimation equations and factors, you must, at a minimum, do the following, as specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (6) of this section:

(1) Identify each end product and the thickness of each end product produced on the line. Separate end products into the following end product groupings, as applicable: corrosion-resistant gel coated end products, noncorrosion-resistant gel coated end products, corrosion-resistant nongel coated end products, and noncorrosion-resistant nongel coated end products. This step creates end product/thickness combinations.

(2) Identify each formula used on the line to produce each end product/thickness combination. Identify the amount of each such formula applied per year. Rank each formula used to produce each end product/thickness combination according to usage within each end product/thickness combination.

(3) For each end product/thickness combination being produced, select the formula with the highest usage rate for testing.

(4) If not already selected, also select the worst-case formula (likely to be associated with the formula with the highest organic HAP content, type of HAP, application of gel coat, thin product, low line speed, higher resin table temperature) amongst all formulae. (You may use the results of the worst-case formula test for all formulae if desired to limit the amount of testing required.)

(5) For each formula selected for testing, conduct at least one test (consisting of three runs). During the test, track information on organic HAP content and type of HAP, end product thickness, line speed, and resin temperature on the wet-out area table.

(6) Using the test results, develop uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions estimation equations (or factors) or series of equations (or factors) that best fit the results for estimating uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions, taking into account the organic HAP content and type of HAP, end product thickness, line speed, and resin temperature on the wet-out area table.

(b) In lieu of using the method specified in paragraph (a) of this section for developing uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions estimation equations and factors, you may either method specified in paragraphs (b)(1) and (2) of this section, as applicable.

(1) For either uncontrolled or controlled organic HAP emissions estimates, you may use previously established, facility-specific organic HAP emissions equations or factors, provided they allow estimation of both wet-out area and oven organic HAP emissions, where necessary, and have been approved by your permitting authority. If a previously established equation or factor is specific to the wet-out area only, or to the oven only, then you must develop the corresponding uncontrolled or controlled equation or factor for the other organic HAP emissions source.

(2) For uncontrolled (controlled) organic HAP emissions estimates, you may use controlled (uncontrolled) organic HAP emissions estimates and control device destruction efficiency to calculate your uncontrolled (controlled) organic HAP emissions provided the control device destruction efficiency was calculated at the same time you collected the data to develop your facility's controlled (uncontrolled) organic HAP emissions estimation equations and factors.

(c) Assign to each formula an uncontrolled organic HAP emissions estimation equation or factor based on the end product/thickness combination for which that formula is used.

(d)(1) To calculate your annual uncontrolled organic HAP emissions from wet-out areas that do not have any capture and control and from wet-out areas that are captured by an enclosure but are vented to the atmosphere and not to a control device, multiply each formula's annual usage by its appropriate organic HAP emissions estimation equation or factor and sum the individual results.
(2) To calculate your annual uncontrolled organic HAP emissions that escape from the enclosure on the wet-out area, multiply each formula's annual usage by its appropriate uncontrolled organic HAP emissions estimation equation or factor, sum the individual results, and multiply the summation by 1 minus the percent capture (expressed as a fraction).

(3) To calculate your annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions, multiply each formula's annual usage by its appropriate uncontrolled organic HAP emissions estimation equation or factor and sum the individual results.

(4) To calculate your annual controlled organic HAP emissions, multiply each formula's annual usage by its appropriate organic HAP emissions estimation equation or factor and sum the individual results.

(e) Where a facility is calculating both uncontrolled and controlled organic HAP emissions estimation equations and factors, you must test the same formulae. In addition, you must develop both sets of equations and factors from the same tests.

§ 63.5875 How do I determine the capture efficiency of the enclosure on my wet-out area and the capture efficiency of my oven(s) for continuous lamination/casting operations?

(a) The capture efficiency of a wet-out area enclosure is assumed to be 100 percent if it meets the design and operation requirements for a permanent total enclosure (PTE) specified in EPA Method 204 of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51. If a PTE does not exist, then a temporary total enclosure must be constructed and verified using EPA Method 204, and capture efficiency testing must be determined using EPA Methods 2048 through E of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51.

(b) The capture efficiency of an oven is to be considered 100 percent, provided the oven is operated under negative pressure.

§ 63.5880 How do I determine how much neat resin plus is applied to the line and how much neat gel coat plus is applied to the line for continuous lamination/casting operations?

Use the following procedures to determine how much neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus is applied to the line each year.

(a) Track formula usage by end product/thickness combinations.

(b) Use in-house records to show usage. This may be either from automated systems or manual records.

(c) Record daily the usage of each formula/end product combination on each line. This is to be recorded at the end of each run (i.e., when a changeover in formula or product is made) and at the end of each shift.

(d) Sum the amounts from the daily records to calculate annual usage of each formula/end product combination by line.

§ 63.5885 How do I calculate percent reduction to demonstrate compliance for continuous lamination/casting operations?

You may calculate percent reduction using any of the methods in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section.

(a) Compliant line option. If all of your wet-out areas have PTE that meet the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51, and all of your wet-out area organic HAP emissions and oven organic HAP emissions are vented to an add-on control device, use Equation 1 of this section to demonstrate compliance. In all other situations, use Equation 2 of this section to demonstrate compliance.
\[
PR = \frac{(\text{Inlet}) - (\text{Outlet})}{(\text{Inlet})} \times 100 \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

Where:

PR=percent reduction;

Inlet=HAP emissions entering the control device, lbs per year;

Outlet=HAP emissions exiting the control device to the atmosphere, lbs per year.

\[
PR = \frac{(\text{WAE}_{\text{d}} + O_{\text{d}}) - (\text{WAE}_{\text{co}} + O_{\text{co}})}{(\text{WAE}_{\text{d}} + \text{WAE}_{\text{u}} + O_{\text{d}} + O_{\text{u}})} \times 100 \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

Where:

PR=percent reduction;

WAE_{\text{d}}=wet-out area organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, vented to a control device;

WAE_{\text{u}}=wet-out area organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, not vented to a control device;

O_{\text{d}}=oven organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, not vented to a control device;

O_{\text{co}}=oven organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, vented to a control device;

WAE_{\text{co}}=wet-out area organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, from the control device outlet;

O_{\text{co}}=oven organic HAP emissions, lbs per year, from the control device outlet.

\( \text{(b) Averaging option. Use Equation 3 of this section to calculate percent reduction.} \)

\[
PR = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{WAE}_{\text{d}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_{\text{d}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{WAE}_{\text{co}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_{\text{co}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{WAE}_{\text{d}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_{\text{d}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{WAE}_{\text{u}} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_{\text{u}}} \right) \times 100 \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

Where:

PR=percent reduction;

WAE_{\text{d}}=wet-out area organic HAP emissions from wet-out area i, lbs per year, sent to a control device;

WAE_{\text{u}}=wet-out area organic HAP emissions from wet-out area i, lbs per year, not sent to a control device;
WAE_{i_0} = \text{wet-out area organic HAP emissions from wet-out area } i, \text{ lbs per year, at the outlet of a control device;}

O_{j_0} = \text{organic HAP emissions from oven } j, \text{ lbs per year, not sent to a control device;}

O_{j_c} = \text{organic HAP emissions from oven } j, \text{ lbs per year, sent to a control device;}

O_{j_o} = \text{organic HAP emissions from oven } j, \text{ lbs per year, at the outlet of the control device;}

m = \text{number of wet-out areas;}

n = \text{number of ovens.}

(c) \textit{Add-on control device option.} Use Equation 1 of this section to calculate percent reduction.

(d) \textit{Combination option.} Use Equations 1 through 3 of this section, as applicable, to calculate percent reduction.

[70 FR 50127, Aug. 25, 2005]

\section*{§ 63.5890 How do I calculate an organic HAP emissions factor to demonstrate compliance for continuous lamination/casting operations?}

(a) \textit{Compliant line option.} Use Equation 1 of this section to calculate an organic HAP emissions factor in lbs/ton.

\begin{equation}
E = \frac{WAE_{u} + WAE_{c} + O_{u} + O_{c}}{(R + G)} \quad (Eq. 1)
\end{equation}

Where:

\begin{itemize}
  \item E = \text{HAP emissions factor in lbs/ton of resin and gel coat}
  \item WAE_{u} = \text{uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions, lbs per year}
  \item WAE_{c} = \text{controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions, lbs per year}
  \item O_{u} = \text{uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions, lbs per year}
  \item O_{c} = \text{controlled oven organic HAP emissions, lbs per year}
  \item R = \text{total usage of neat resin plus, tpy}
  \item G = \text{total usage of neat gel coat plus, tpy}
\end{itemize}

(b) \textit{Averaging option.} Use Equation 2 of this section to demonstrate compliance.
\begin{equation}
E = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} WAE_{ui} + \sum_{i=1}^{o} WAE_{ci} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} O_{uj} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} O_{cj}}{(R + G)} \tag{Eq. 2}
\end{equation}

Where:

$E$=HAP emissions factor in lbs/ton of resin and gel coat

$WAE_{ui}$=uncontrolled organic HAP emissions from wet-out area i, lbs per year

$WAE_{ci}$=controlled organic HAP emissions from wet-out area i, lbs per year

$O_{uj}$=uncontrolled organic HAP emissions from oven j, lbs per year

$O_{cj}$=controlled organic HAP emissions from oven j, lbs per year

$i=$number of wet-out areas

$j=$number of ovens

$m=$number of wet-out areas uncontrolled

$n=$number of ovens uncontrolled

$o=$number of wet-out areas controlled

$p=$number of ovens controlled

$R=$total usage of neat resin plus, tpy

$G=$total usage of neat gel coat plus, tpy

(c) Combination option. Use Equations 1 and 2 of this section, as applicable, to demonstrate compliance.

Continuous Compliance Requirements

\textbf{§ 63.5895} How do I monitor and collect data to demonstrate continuous compliance?

(a) During production, you must collect and keep a record of data as indicated in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, if you are using an add-on control device.

(b) You must monitor and collect data as specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.

(1) Except for monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities (including, as applicable, calibration checks and required zero and span adjustments), you must conduct all monitoring in continuous operation (or collect data at all required intervals) at all times that the affected source is operating.

(2) You may not use data recorded during monitoring malfunctions, associated repairs, and required quality assurance or control activities for purposes to this subpart, including data averages and calculations, or
fulfilling a minimum data availability requirement, if applicable. You must use all the data collected during all other periods in assessing the operation of the control device and associated control system.

(3) At all times, you must maintain necessary parts for routine repairs of the monitoring equipment.

(4) A monitoring malfunction is any sudden, infrequent, not reasonably preventable failure of the monitoring equipment to provide valid data. Monitoring failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions.

(c) You must collect and keep records of resin and gel coat use, organic HAP content, and operation where the resin is used if you are meeting any organic HAP emissions limits based on an organic HAP emissions limit in Tables 3 or 5 to this subpart. You must collect and keep records of resin and gel coat use, organic HAP content, and operation where the resin is used if you are meeting any organic HAP content limits in Table 7 to this subpart if you are averaging organic HAP contents. Resin use records may be based on purchase records if you can reasonably estimate how the resin is applied. The organic HAP content records may be based on MSDS or on resin specifications supplied by the resin supplier.

(d) Resin and gel coat use records are not required for the individual resins and gel coats that are demonstrated, as applied, to meet their applicable emission as defined in §63.5810(a). However, you must retain the records of resin and gel coat organic HAP content, and you must include the list of these resins and gel coats and identify their application methods in your semiannual compliance reports. If after you have initially demonstrated that a specific combination of an individual resin or gel coat, application method, and controls meets its applicable emission limit, and the resin or gel coat changes or the organic HAP content increases, or you change the application method or controls, then you again must demonstrate that the individual resin or gel coat meets its emission limit as specified in paragraph (a) of §63.5810. If any of the previously mentioned changes results in a situation where an individual resin or gel coat now exceeds its applicable emission limit in Table 3 or 5 of this subpart, you must begin collecting resin and gel coat use records and calculate compliance using one of the averaging options on a 12-month rolling average.

(e) For each of your pultrusion machines, you must record all times that wet area enclosures doors or covers are open and there is resin present in the resin bath.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 70 FR 50128, Aug. 25, 2005]

§ 63.5900 How do I demonstrate continuous compliance with the standards?

(a) You must demonstrate continuous compliance with each standard in §63.5805 that applies to you according to the methods specified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) Compliance with organic HAP emissions limits for sources using add-on control devices is demonstrated following the procedures in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS. Sources using add-on controls may also use continuous emissions monitors to demonstrate continuous compliance as an alternative to control parameter monitoring.

(2) Compliance with organic HAP emissions limits is demonstrated by maintaining an organic HAP emissions factor value less than or equal to the appropriate organic HAP emissions limit listed in Table 3 or 5 to this subpart, on a 12-month rolling average, and/or by including in each compliance report a statement that individual resins and gel coats, as applied, meet the appropriate organic HAP emissions limits, as discussed in §63.5895(d).

(3) Compliance with organic HAP content limits in Table 7 to this subpart is demonstrated by maintaining an average organic HAP content value less than or equal to the appropriate organic HAP contents listed in Table 7 to this subpart, on a 12-month rolling average, and/or by including in each compliance report a statement that resins and gel coats individually meet the appropriate organic HAP content limits in Table 7 to this subpart, as discussed in §63.5895(d).

(4) Compliance with the work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart is demonstrated by performing the work practice required for your operation.
(b) You must report each deviation from each standard in §63.5805 that applies to you. The deviations must be reported according to the requirements in §63.5910.

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, during periods of startup, shutdown or malfunction, you must meet the organic HAP emissions limits and work practice standards that apply to you.

(d) When you use an add-on control device to meet standards in §63.5805, you are not required to meet those standards during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, but you must operate your affected source to minimize emissions in accordance with §63.6(e)(1).

(e) Consistent with §§63.6(e) and 63.7(e)(1), deviations that occur during a period of malfunction for those affected sources and standards specified in paragraph (d) of this section are not violations if you demonstrate to the Administrator's satisfaction that you were operating in accordance with §63.6(e)(1). The Administrator will determine whether deviations that occur during a period of startup, shutdown, and malfunction are violations, according to the provisions in §63.6(e).


Notifications, Reports, and Records

§ 63.5905 What notifications must I submit and when?

(a) You must submit all of the notifications in Table 13 to this subpart that apply to you by the dates specified in Table 13 to this subpart. The notifications are described more fully in 40 CFR part 63, subpart A, referenced in Table 13 to this subpart.

(b) If you change any information submitted in any notification, you must submit the changes in writing to the Administrator within 15 calendar days after the change.

§ 63.5910 What reports must I submit and when?

(a) You must submit each report in Table 14 to this subpart that applies to you.

(b) Unless the Administrator has approved a different schedule for submission of reports under §63.10(a), you must submit each report by the date specified in Table 14 to this subpart and according to paragraphs (b)(1) through (5) of this section.

(1) The first compliance report must cover the period beginning on the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.5800 and ending on June 30 or December 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for your source in §63.5800.

(2) The first compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date follows the end of the first calendar half after the compliance date that is specified for your affected source in §63.5800.

(3) Each subsequent compliance report must cover the semiannual reporting period from January 1 through June 30 or the semiannual reporting period from July 1 through December 31.

(4) Each subsequent compliance report must be postmarked or delivered no later than July 31 or January 31, whichever date is the first date following the end of the semiannual reporting period.

(5) For each affected source that is subject to permitting requirements pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 71, and if the permitting authority has established dates for submitting semiannual reports pursuant to §70.6 (a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), you may submit the first and subsequent compliance reports according to
the dates the permitting authority has established instead of according to the dates in paragraphs (b)(1) through (4) of this section.

(c) The compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (6) of this section:

(1) Company name and address.

(2) Statement by a responsible official with that official's name, title, and signature, certifying the truth, accuracy, and completeness of the content of the report.

(3) Date of the report and beginning and ending dates of the reporting period.

(4) If you had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan, the compliance report must include the information in §63.10(d)(5)(i).

(5) If there are no deviations from any organic HAP emissions limitations (emissions limit and operating limit) that apply to you, and there are no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart, a statement that there were no deviations from the organic HAP emissions limitations or work practice standards during the reporting period.

(6) If there were no periods during which the continuous monitoring system (CMS), including a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) and an operating parameter monitoring system were out of control, as specified in §63.8(c)(7), a statement that there were no periods during which the CMS was out of control during the reporting period.

(d) For each deviation from an organic HAP emissions limitation (i.e., emissions limit and operating limit) and for each deviation from the requirements for work practice standards that occurs at an affected source where you are not using a CMS to comply with the organic HAP emissions limitations or work practice standards in this subpart, the compliance report must contain the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section and in paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(1) The total operating time of each affected source during the reporting period.

(2) Information on the number, duration, and cause of deviations (including unknown cause, if applicable), as applicable, and the corrective action taken.

(e) For each deviation from an organic HAP emissions limitation (i.e., emissions limit and operating limit) occurring at an affected source where you are using a CMS to comply with the organic HAP emissions limitation in this subpart, you must include the information in paragraphs (c)(1) through (4) of this section and in paragraphs (e)(1) through (12) of this section. This includes periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(1) The date and time that each malfunction started and stopped.

(2) The date and time that each CMS was inoperative, except for zero (low-level) and high-level checks.

(3) The date, time, and duration that each CMS was out of control, including the information in §63.8(c)(8).

(4) The date and time that each deviation started and stopped, and whether each deviation occurred during a period of startup, shutdown, or malfunction, or during another period.

(5) A summary of the total duration of the deviation during the reporting period and the total duration as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.
(6) A breakdown of the total duration of the deviations during the reporting period into those that are due to startup, shutdown, control equipment problems, process problems, other known causes, and other unknown causes.

(7) A summary of the total duration of CMS downtime during the reporting period and the total duration of CMS downtime as a percent of the total source operating time during that reporting period.

(8) An identification of each organic HAP that was monitored at the affected source.

(9) A brief description of the process units.

(10) A brief description of the CMS.

(11) The date of the latest CMS certification or audit.

(12) A description of any changes in CMS, processes, or controls since the last reporting period.

(f) You must report if you have exceeded the 100 tpy organic HAP emissions threshold if that exceedance would make your facility subject to §63.5805(a)(1) or (d). Include with this report any request for an exemption under §63.5805(e). If you receive an exemption under §63.5805(e) and subsequently exceed the 100 tpy organic HAP emissions threshold, you must report this exceedance as required in §63.5805(f).

(g) Each affected source that has obtained a title V operating permit pursuant to 40 CFR part 70 or 71 must report all deviations as defined in this subpart in the semiannual monitoring report required by §70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A). If an affected source submits a compliance report pursuant to Table 14 to this subpart along with, or as part of, the semiannual monitoring report required by §70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) or §71.6(a)(3)(iii)(A), and the compliance report includes all required information concerning deviations from any organic HAP emissions limitation (including any operating limit) or work practice requirement in this subpart, submission of the compliance report shall be deemed to satisfy any obligation to report the same deviations in the semiannual monitoring report. However, submission of a compliance report shall not otherwise affect any obligation the affected source may have to report deviations from permit requirements to the permitting authority.

(h) Submit compliance reports and startup, shutdown, and malfunction reports based on the requirements in table 14 to this subpart, and not based on the requirements in §63.999.

(i) Where multiple compliance options are available, you must state in your next compliance report if you have changed compliance options since your last compliance report.
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§ 63.5915 What records must I keep?

(a) You must keep the records listed in paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of this section.

(1) A copy of each notification and report that you submitted to comply with this subpart, including all documentation supporting any Initial Notification or Notification of Compliance Status that you submitted, according to the requirements in §63.10(b)(2)(xiv).

(2) The records in §63.6(e)(3)(iii) through (v) related to startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(3) Records of performance tests, design, and performance evaluations as required in §63.10(b)(2).

(b) If you use an add-on control device, you must keep all records required in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, to show continuous compliance with this subpart.
Compression molding means a closed molding process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are placed inside matched dies that are used to cure the materials under heat and pressure without exposure to the atmosphere. The addition of mold paste or in-mold coating is considered part of the closed molding process. The composite materials used in this process are generally SMC or BMC.

Compression/injection molding means a grouping of processes that involves the use of compression molding and/or injection molding.

Continuous casting means a continuous process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are placed on an in-line conveyor belt to produce cast sheets that are cured in an oven.

Continuous lamination means a continuous process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are typically sandwiched between plastic films, pulled through compaction rollers, and cured in an oven. This process is generally used to produce flat or corrugated products on an in-line conveyor.

Continuous lamination/casting means a grouping of processes that involves the use of continuous lamination and/or continuous casting.

Controlled emissions means those organic HAP emissions that are vented from a control device to the atmosphere.

Corrosion-resistant gel coat means a gel coat used on a product made with a corrosion-resistant resin that has a corrosion-resistant end-use application.

Corrosion-resistant end-use applications means applications where the product is manufactured specifically for an application that requires a level of chemical inertness or resistance to chemical attack above that required for typical reinforced plastic composites products. These applications include, but are not limited to, chemical processing and storage; pulp and paper production; sewer and wastewater treatment; power generation; potable water transfer and storage; food and drug processing; pollution or odor control; metals production and plating; semiconductor manufacturing; petroleum production, refining, and storage; mining; textile production; nuclear materials storage; swimming pools; and cosmetic production, as well as end-use applications that require high strength resins.

Corrosion-resistant industry standard includes the following standards: ASME RTP-1 or Sect. X; ASTM D5364, D3299, D4097, D2996, D2997, D3262, D3517, D3754, D3840, D4024, D4160, D4161, D4162, D4184, D3982, or D3839; ANSI/AWWA C950; UL 215, 1316 or 1746, IAPMO PS-199, or written customer requirements for resistance to specified chemical environments.

Corrosion-resistant product means a product made with a corrosion-resistant resin and is manufactured to a corrosion-resistant industry standard, or a food contact industry standard, or is manufactured for corrosion-resistant end-use applications involving continuous or temporary chemical exposures.

Corrosion-resistant resin means a resin that either:

(1) Displays substantial retention of mechanical properties when undergoing ASTM C-581 coupon testing, where the resin is exposed for 6 months or more to one of the following materials: Material with a pH ≥ 12.0 or ≤ 3.0, oxidizing or reducing agents, organic solvents, or fuels or additives as defined in 40 CFR 79.2. In the coupon testing, the exposed resin needs to demonstrate a minimum of 50 percent retention of the relevant mechanical property compared to the same resin in unexposed condition. In addition, the exposed resin needs to demonstrate an increased retention of the relevant mechanical property of at least 20 percentage points when compared to a similarly exposed general-purpose resin. For example, if the general-purpose resin retains 45 percent of the relevant property when tested as specified above, then a corrosion-resistant resin needs to retain at least 65 percent (45 percent plus 20 percent) of its property. The general-purpose resin used in the test needs to have an average molecular weight of greater than 1,000, be formulated with a 1:2 ratio of maleic anhydride to phthalic anhydride and 100 percent diethylene glycol, and a styrene content between 43 to 48 percent; or
(2) Complies with industry standards that require specific exposure testing to corrosive media, such as UL 1316, UL 1746, or ASTM F-1216.

**Doctor box** means the box or trough on an SMC machine into which the liquid resin paste is delivered before it is metered onto the carrier film.

**Filament application** means an open molding process for fabricating composites in which reinforcements are fed through a resin bath and wound onto a rotating mandrel. The materials on the mandrel may be rolled out or worked by using nonmechanical tools prior to curing. Resin application to the reinforcement on the mandrel by means other than the resin bath, such as spray guns, pressure-fed rollers, flow coaters, or brushes is not considered filament application.

**Filled Resin** means that fillers have been added to a resin such that the amount of inert substances is at least 10 percent by weight of the total resin plus filler mixture. Filler putty made from a resin is considered a filled resin.

**Fillers** means inert substances dispersed throughout a resin, such as calcium carbonate, alumina trihydrate, hydrous aluminum silicate, mica, feldspar, wollastonite, silica, and talc. Materials that are not considered to be fillers are glass fibers or any type of reinforcement and microspheres.

**Fire retardant gel coat** means a gel coat used for products for which low-flame spread/low-smoke resin is used.

**Fluid impingement technology** means a spray gun that produces an expanding non-misting curtain of liquid by the impingement of low-pressure uninterrupted liquid streams.

**Food contact industry standard** means a standard related to food contact application contained in Food and Drug Administration's regulations at 21 CFR 177.2420.

**Gel Coat** means a quick-setting resin used to improve surface appearance and/or performance of composites. It can be used to form the surface layer of any composites other than those used for molds in tooling operations.

**Gel coat application** means a process where either clear production, pigmented production, white/off-white or tooling gel coat is applied.

**HAP-containing materials storage** means an ancillary process which involves keeping HAP-containing materials, such as resins, gel coats, catalysts, monomers, and cleaners, in containers or bulk storage tanks for any length of time. Containers may include small tanks, totes, vessels, and buckets.

**High Performance gel coat** means a gel coat used on products for which National Sanitation Foundation, United States Department of Agriculture, ASTM, durability, or other property testing is required.

**High strength gel coat** means a gel coat applied to a product that requires high strength resin.

**High strength resins** means polyester resins which have a casting tensile strength of 10,000 pounds per square inch or more and which are used for manufacturing products that have high strength requirements such as structural members and utility poles.

**Injection molding** means a closed molding process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are injected under pressure into a heated mold cavity that represents the exact shape of the product. The composite materials are cured in the heated mold cavity.

**Low Flame Spread/Low Smoke Products** means products that meet the following requirements. The products must meet both the applicable flame spread requirements and the applicable smoke requirements. Interior or exterior building application products must meet an ASTM E-84 Flame Spread Index of less than or equal to 25, and Smoke Developed Index of less than or equal to 450, or pass National Fire Protection...
Association 286 Room Corner Burn Test with no flash over and total smoke released not exceeding 1000 meters square. Mass transit application products must meet an ASTM E-162 Flame Spread Index of less than or equal to 35 and ASTM E662 Smoke Density Ds @ 1.5 minutes less than or equal to 100 and Ds @ 4 minutes less than to equal to 200. Duct application products must meet ASTM E084 Flame Spread Index less than or equal to 25 and Smoke Developed Index less than or equal to 50 on the interior and/or exterior of the duct.

Manual resin application means an open molding process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are applied to the mold by pouring or by using hands and nonmechanical tools, such as brushes and rollers. Materials are rolled out or worked by using nonmechanical tools prior to curing. The use of pressure-fed rollers and flow coaters to apply resin is not considered manual resin application.

Mechanical resin application means an open molding process for fabricating composites in which composite materials (except gel coat) are applied to the mold by using mechanical tools such as spray guns, pressure-fed rollers, and flow coaters. Materials are rolled out or worked by using nonmechanical tools prior to curing.

Mixing means the blending or agitation of any HAP-containing materials in vessels that are 5.00 gallons (18.9 liters) or larger, and includes the mixing of putties or polyputties. Mixing may involve the blending of resin, gel coat, filler, reinforcement, pigments, catalysts, monomers, and any other additives.

Mold means a cavity or matrix into or onto which the composite materials are placed and from which the product takes its form.

Neat gel coat means the resin as purchased for the supplier, but not including any inert fillers.

Neat gel coat plus means neat gel coat plus any organic HAP-containing materials that are added to the gel coat by the supplier or the facility, excluding catalysts and promoters. Neat gel coat plus does include any additions of styrene or methyl methacrylate monomer in any form, including in catalysts and promoters.

Neat resin means the resin as purchased from the supplier, but not including any inert fillers.

Neat resin plus means neat resin plus any organic HAP-containing materials that are added to the resin by the supplier or the facility. Neat resin plus does not include any added filler, reinforcements, catalysts, or promoters. Neat resin plus does include any additions of styrene or methyl methacrylate monomer in any form, including in catalysts and promoters.

Nonatomized mechanical application means the use of application tools other than brushes to apply resin and gel coat where the application tool has documentation provided by its manufacturer or user that this design of the application tool has been organic HAP emissions tested, and the test results showed that use of this application tool results in organic HAP emissions that are no greater than the organic HAP emissions predicted by the applicable nonatomized application equation(s) in Table 1 to this subpart. In addition, the device must be operated according to the manufacturer's directions, including instructions to prevent the operation of the device at excessive spray pressures. Examples of nonatomized application include flow coaters, pressure fed rollers, and fluid impingement spray guns.

Noncorrosion-resistant resin means any resin other than a corrosion-resistant resin or a tooling resin.

Noncorrosion-resistant product means any product other than a corrosion-resistant product or a mold.

Non-routine manufacture means that you manufacture parts to replace worn or damaged parts of a reinforced plastic composites product, or a product containing reinforced plastic composite parts, that was originally manufactured in another facility. For a part to qualify as non-routine manufacture, it must be used for repair or replacement, and the manufacturing schedule must be based on the current or anticipated repair needs of the reinforced plastic composites product, or a product containing reinforced plastic composite parts.
Operation means a specific process typically found at a reinforced plastic composites facility. Examples of operations are noncorrosion-resistant manual resin application, corrosion-resistant mechanical resin application, pigmented gel coat application, mixing and HAP-containing materials storage.

Operation group means a grouping of individual operations based primarily on mold type. Examples are open molding, closed molding, and centrifugal casting.

Open molding means a process for fabricating composites in a way that HAP-containing materials are exposed to the atmosphere. Open molding includes processes such as manual resin application, mechanical resin application, filament application, and gel coat application. Open molding also includes application of resins and gel coats to parts that have been removed from the open mold.

Pigmented gel coat means a gel coat that has a color, but does not contain 10 percent of more titanium dioxide by weight. It can be used to form the surface layer of any composites other than those used for molds in tooling operations.

Polymer casting means a process for fabricating composites in which composite materials are ejected from a casting machine or poured into an open, partially open, or closed mold and cured. After the composite materials are poured into the mold, they are not rolled out or worked while the mold is open, except for smoothing the material and/or vibrating the mold to remove bubbles. The composite materials may or may not include reinforcements. Products produced by the polymer casting process include cultured marble products and polymer concrete.

Preform Injection means a form of pultrusion where liquid resin is injected to saturate reinforcements in an enclosed system containing one or more chambers with openings only large enough to admit reinforcements. Resin, which drips out of the chamber(s) during the process, is collected in closed piping or covered troughs and then into a covered reservoir for recycle. Resin storage vessels, reservoirs, transfer systems, and collection systems are covered or shielded from the ambient air. Preform injection differs from direct die injection in that the injection chambers are not directly attached to the die.

Prepreg materials means reinforcing fabric received precoated with resin which is usually cured through the addition of heat.

Pultrusion means a continuous process for manufacturing composites that have a uniform cross-sectional shape. The process consists of pulling a fiber-reinforcing material through a resin impregnation chamber or bath and through a shaping die, where the resin is subsequently cured. There are several types of pultrusion equipment, such as open bath, resin injection, and direct die injection equipment.

Repair means application of resin or gel coat to a part to correct a defect, where the resin or gel coat application occurs after the part has gone through all the steps of its typical production process, or the application occurs outside the normal production area. For purposes of this subpart, rerouting a part back through the normal production line, or part of the normal production line, is not considered repair.

Resin transfer molding means a process for manufacturing composites whereby catalyzed resin is transferred or injected into a closed mold in which fiberglass reinforcement has been placed.

Sheet molding compound (SMC) means a ready-to-mold putty-like molding compound that contains resin(s) processed into sheet form. The molding compound is sandwiched between a top and a bottom film. In addition to resin(s), it may also contain catalysts, fillers, chemical thickeners, mold release agents, reinforcements, and other ingredients. Sheet molding compound can be used in compression molding to manufacture reinforced plastic composites products.

Shrinkage controlled resin means a resin that when promoted, catalyzed, and filled according to the resin manufacturer's recommendations demonstrates less than 0.3 percent linear shrinkage when tested according to ASTM D2566.

SMC manufacturing means a process which involves the preparation of SMC.
Tooling gel coat means a gel coat that is used to form the surface layer of molds. Tooling gel coats generally have high heat distortion temperatures, low shrinkage, high Barcol hardness, and high dimensional stability.

Tooling resin means a resin that is used to produce molds. Tooling resins generally have high heat distortion temperatures, low shrinkage, high Barcol hardness, and high dimensional stability.

Uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions means those organic HAP emissions emitted from the oven through closed vent systems to the atmosphere and not to a control device. These organic HAP emissions do not include organic HAP emissions that may escape into the workplace through the opening of panels or doors on the oven or other similar fugitive organic HAP emissions in the workplace.

Uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions means any or all of the following: Organic HAP emissions from wet-out areas that do not have any capture and control, organic HAP emissions that escape from wet-out area enclosures, and organic HAP emissions from wet-out areas that are captured by an enclosure but are vented to the atmosphere and not to an add-on control device.

Unfilled means that there has been no addition of fillers to a resin or that less than 10 percent of fillers by weight of the total resin plus filler mixture has been added.

Vapor suppressant means an additive, typically a wax, that migrates to the surface of the resin during curing and forms a barrier to seal in the styrene and reduce styrene emissions.

Vapor-suppressed resin means a resin containing a vapor suppressant added for the purpose of reducing styrene emissions during curing.

White and off-white gel coat means a gel coat that contains 10 percent or more titanium dioxide by weight.
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Table 1 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Equations To Calculate Organic HAP Emissions Factors for Specific Open Molding and Centrifugal Casting Process Streams
Table 1 to Subpart NOON of Part 63—Equations to Calculate Organic HAP Emissions Factors for Specific Open Molding and Centrifugal Casting Process Streams

As specified in §63.3810, use the equations in the following table to calculate organic HAP emissions factors for specific open molding and centrifugal casting process streams:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Operation</th>
<th>Type of Application</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Manual resin application</td>
<td>i. Nonvapor-suppressed resin</td>
<td>( EF = 0.126 \times \text{HAP} \times 2000 )</td>
<td>Use this organic HAP emissions factor (( EF )) equation for materials with an organic HAP content of 33 percent or more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Atomized mechanical resin application</td>
<td>i. Nonvapor-suppressed resin</td>
<td>( EF = 0.169 \times \text{HAP} \times 2000 \times (1 - (0.45 \times \text{VFE factor})) )</td>
<td>Use this organic HAP emissions factor (( EF )) equation for materials with an organic HAP content of less than 33 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Atomized mechanical resin application with robotic or automated spray control</td>
<td>i. Nonvapor-suppressed resin</td>
<td>( EF = 0.126 \times \text{HAP} \times 2000 \times (1 - (0.45 \times \text{VFE factor})) )</td>
<td>Use this organic HAP emissions factor (( EF )) equation for materials with an organic HAP content of less than 33 percent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: VFE refers to the volume fraction of the mold filled with resin.
## Table 2 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Compliance Dates for New and Existing Reinforced Plastic Composites Facilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation Type</th>
<th>EF Formula</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Nonatomized spray gel coat application</td>
<td>$EF = 0.185 \times (\text{NAP} \times 2000)$</td>
<td>These equations may not be the most appropriate method to calculate emission estimates for other purposes. However, this does not preclude a facility from using the equations in this table to calculate emission factors for purposes other than rule compliance if these equations are the most accurate available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Atomized spray gel coat application using robotic or automated spray</td>
<td>$EF = 0.465 \times (\text{NAP} \times 2000)$</td>
<td>These equations may not be the most appropriate method to calculate emission estimates for other purposes. However, this does not preclude a facility from using the equations in this table to calculate emission factors for purposes other than rule compliance if these equations are the most accurate available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Centrifugal casting operations</td>
<td>$EF = 0.558 \times (\text{NAP} \times 2000)$</td>
<td>These equations may not be the most appropriate method to calculate emission estimates for other purposes. However, this does not preclude a facility from using the equations in this table to calculate emission factors for purposes other than rule compliance if these equations are the most accurate available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Vented molds, but air vents not used</td>
<td>$EF = 0.026 \times (\text{NAP} \times 2000)$</td>
<td>These equations may not be the most appropriate method to calculate emission estimates for other purposes. However, this does not preclude a facility from using the equations in this table to calculate emission factors for purposes other than rule compliance if these equations are the most accurate available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Footnotes to Table 2**

1. The equations in this table are intended for use in calculating emission factors to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits in subpart WWWW. These equations may not be the most appropriate method to calculate emission estimates for other purposes. However, this does not preclude a facility from using the equations in this table to calculate emission factors for purposes other than rule compliance if these equations are the most accurate available.

2. To obtain the organic HAP emissions factor value for an operation with an add-on control device multiply the EF above by the add-on control factor calculated using Equation 1 of §63.1910. The organic HAP emissions factors have units of lb/ton of resin or gel coat applied.

3. Percent HAP means total weight percent of organic HAP (styrene, methyl methacrylate, and any other organic HAP) in the resin or gel coat prior to the addition of fillers, catalyst, and promoters. Input the percent HAP as a decimal, i.e., 33 percent HAP should be input as 0.33, not 33.

4. The VES factor means the percent reduction in organic HAP emissions expressed as a decimal measured by the VES test method of appendix A to this subpart.

5. This equation is based on a organic HAP emissions factor equation developed for mechanical atomized controlled spray. This equation may only be used for automated or robotic spray systems with atomized spray. All spray operations using hand held spray guns must use the appropriate mechanical atomized or mechanical nonatomized organic HAP emissions factor equation. Automated or robotic spray systems using nonatomized spray should use the appropriate nonatomized mechanical resin application equation.

6. Applies only to filament winding application using an open resin bath. If resin is applied manually or with a spray gun, use the appropriate manual or mechanical application organic HAP emissions factor equation.

7. These equations are for centrifugal casting operations where the mold is vented during spinning. Centrifugal casting operations where the mold is completely sealed after resin injection are considered to be closed molding operations.

8. If a centrifugal casting operation uses mechanical or manual resin application techniques to apply resin to an open centrifugal casting mold, use the appropriate open molding with covered cure and no coolant to determine an emission factor for operations prior to the closing of the centrifugal casting mold. If the closed centrifugal casting mold is vented during spinning, use the appropriate centrifugal casting equation to calculate an emission factor for the portion of the process where spinning and cure occur. If a centrifugal casting operation uses mechanical or manual resin application techniques to apply resin to an open centrifugal casting mold, and the mold is then closed and is not vented, treat the entire operation as open molding with covered cure and no coolant to determine emission factors.
As required in §§63.5800 and 63.5840 you must demonstrate compliance with the standards by the dates in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If your facility is . . .</th>
<th>And . . .</th>
<th>Then you must comply by this date . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. An existing source</td>
<td>a. Is a major source on or before the publication date of this subpart</td>
<td>i. April 21, 2006, or ii. You must accept and meet an enforceable HAP emissions limit below the major source threshold prior to April 21, 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. An existing source that is an area source</td>
<td>Becomes a major source after the publication date of this subpart</td>
<td>3 years after becoming a major source or April 21, 2006, whichever is later.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. An existing source, and emits less than 100 tpy of organic HAP from the combination of all centrifugal casting and continuous lamination/casting operations at the time of initial compliance with this subpart</td>
<td>Subsequently increases its actual organic HAP emissions to 100 tpy or more from these operations, which requires that the facility must now comply with the standards in §63.5805(b)</td>
<td>3 years of the date your semi-annual compliance report indicates your facility meets or exceeds the 100 tpy threshold.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. A new source</td>
<td>Is a major source at startup</td>
<td>Upon startup or April 21, 2003, whichever is later.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. A new source</td>
<td>Is an area source at startup and becomes a major source</td>
<td>Immediately upon becoming a major source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. A new source, and emits less than 100 tpy of organic HAP from the combination of all open molding, centrifugal casting, continuous lamination/casting, pultrusion, SMC and BMC manufacturing, and mixing operations at the time of initial compliance with this subpart</td>
<td>Subsequently increases its actual organic HAP emissions to 100 tpy or more from the combination of these operations, which requires that the facility must now meet the standards in §63.5805(d)</td>
<td>3 years from the date that your semi-annual compliance report indicates your facility meets or exceeds the 100 tpy threshold.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Organic HAP Emissions Limits for Existing Open Molding Sources, New Open Molding Sources Emitting Less Than 100 TPY of HAP, and New and Existing Centrifugal Casting and Continuous Lamination/Casting Sources that Emit Less Than 100 TPY of HAP

As specified in §63.5805, you must meet the following organic HAP emissions limits that apply to you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If your operation type is</th>
<th>And you use ...</th>
<th>Your organic HAP emissions limit is ...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. open molding—corrosion-resistant and/or high strength (CR/HS)</td>
<td>a. mechanical resin application b. filament application c. manual resin application</td>
<td>113 lb/ton. 171 lb/ton. 123 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. open molding—non-CR/HS</td>
<td>a. mechanical resin application b. filament application c. manual resin application</td>
<td>88 lb/ton. 188 lb/ton. 87 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. open molding—shrinkage controlled resins&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>a. mechanical resin application b. filament application c. manual resin application</td>
<td>354 lb/ton. 215 lb/ton. 180 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. open molding—gel coat&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>a. tooling gel coating b. white/off white pigmented gel coating c. all other pigmented gel coating d. CR/HS or high performance gel coat e. fire retardant gel coat f. clear production gel coat</td>
<td>440 lb/ton. 267 lb/ton. 377 lb/ton. 605 lb/ton. 854 lb/ton. 522 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. centrifugal casting—CR/HS</td>
<td>a. resin application with the mold closed, and the mold is vented during spinning and cure</td>
<td>25 lb/ton.(^4) NA—this is considered to be a closed molding operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. resin application with the mold closed, and the mold is not vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td>25 lb/ton.(^4) Use the appropriate open molding emission limit.(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. resin application with the mold open, and the mold is vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. resin application with the mold open, and the mold is not vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. centrifugal casting—non-CR/HS</td>
<td>a. resin application with the mold closed, and the mold is vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td>20 lb/ton.(^4) NA—this is considered to be a closed molding operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. resin application with the mold closed, and the mold is not vented during the spinning and cure.</td>
<td>20 lb/ton.(^4) Use the appropriate open molding emission limit.(^5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. resin application with the mold open, and the mold is vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. resin application with the mold open, and the mold is not vented during spinning and cure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. pultrusion(^6)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 60 weight percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. continuous lamination/casting</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 58.5 weight percent or not exceed an organic HAP emissions limit of 15.7 lbs of organic HAP per ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Organic HAP emissions limits for open molding and centrifugal casting are expressed as lb/ton. You must be at or below these values based on a 12-month rolling average.
This emission limit applies regardless of whether the shrinkage controlled resin is used as a production resin or a tooling resin.

If you only apply gel coat with manual application, for compliance purposes treat the gel coat as if it were applied using atomized spray guns to determine both emission limits and emission factors. If you use multiple application methods and any portion of a specific gel coat is applied using nonatomized spray, you may use the nonatomized spray gel coat equation to calculate an emission factor for the manually applied portion of that gel coat. Otherwise, use the atomized spray gel coat application equation to calculate emission factors.

For compliance purposes, calculate your emission factor using only the appropriate centrifugal casting equation in item 2 of Table 1 to this subpart, or a site specific emission factor for after the mold is closed as discussed in §63.5796.

Calculate your emission factor using the appropriate open molding covered cure emission factor in item 1 of Table 1 to this subpart, or a site specific emission factor as discussed in §63.5796.

Pultrusion machines that produce parts that meet the following criteria: 1,000 or more reinforcements or the glass equivalent of 1,000 ends of 113 yield roving or more; and have a cross sectional area of 60 square inches or more are not subject to this requirement. Their requirement is the work practice of air flow management which is described in Table 4 to this subpart.

[70 FR 50131, Aug. 25, 2005]

Table 4 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Work Practice Standards

As specified in §63.5805, you must meet the work practice standards in the following table that apply to you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For ...</th>
<th>You must ...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. a new or existing closed molding operation using compression/injection molding</td>
<td>uncover, unwrap or expose only one charge per mold cycle per compression/injection molding machine. For machines with multiple molds, one charge means sufficient material to fill all molds for one cycle. For machines with robotic loaders, no more than one charge may be exposed prior to the loader. For machines fed by hoppers, sufficient material may be uncovered to fill the hopper. Hoppers must be closed when not adding materials. Materials may be uncovered to feed to slitting machines. Materials must be recovered after slitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. a new or existing cleaning operation</td>
<td>not use cleaning solvents that contain HAP, except that styrene may be used as a cleaner in closed systems, and organic HAP containing cleaners may be used to clean cured resin from application equipment. Application equipment includes any equipment that directly contacts resin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. New or existing materials handling operation: keep containers that store HAP-containing materials closed or covered except during the addition of materials or the opening of covers for safety. Venting is allowed, except that ventilating openings in covers are exempt from the 95 percent organic HAP emission reduction requirement.

4. Existing or new SMC manufacturing operation: close or cover the resin delivery system to the doctor box on each SMC manufacturing machine. The doctor box itself may be open.

5. Existing or new SMC manufacturing operation: use a nylone-containing film to enclose SMC.

6. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: keep the mixer covers closed while actual mixing is occurring except when adding materials or changing covers to the mixing vessels. Use mixer covers with no visible gaps present in the mixer covers, except that gaps of up to 1 inch are permissible around mixer shafts and any required instrumentation.

7. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: keep any mixer vents closed during actual mixing, except that venting is allowed during addition of materials or opening the cover for safety. Vents routed to a 95 percent efficient control device are exempt from this requirement.

8. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: keep the mixer covers closed while actual mixing is occurring except when adding materials or changing covers to the mixing vessels.

9. New or existing pultrusion operation: keep the resin collected from drip-off pans or other devices to reservoirs, tanks, or sumps via covered troughs, pipes, or other covered conveyance that shields the resin from the ambient air, and convey resin collected from drip-off pans or other devices to reservoirs, tanks, or sumps via covered troughs, pipes, or other covered conveyance that shields the resin from the ambient air.

10. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: ensure that glass fiber or roving ends or the equivalent of 1,000 ends of 113 yield area(s), and have a cross-sectional area of 60 square inches or more that is not subject to the 95 percent organic HAP emission reduction requirement.

11. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: may use devices such as deflectors, baffles, and curtains when practical to reduce air flow velocity across the wet-out area(s).

12. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: direct any compressed air exhausts away from resin and wet-out area(s).

13. All mixing or BMC manufacturing operations: convey resin collected from drip-off pans or other devices to reservoirs, tanks, or sumps via covered troughs, pipes, or other covered conveyance that shields the resin from the ambient air.
vi. cover all reservoirs, tanks, sumps, or HAP-containing materials storage vessels except when they are being charged or filled, and
vii. cover or shield from ambient air resin delivery systems to the wet-out area(s) from reservoirs, tanks, or sumps where practical.

Containers of 5 gallons or less may be open when active mixing is taking place, or during periods when they are in process (i.e., they are actively being used to apply resin). For polymer casting mixing operations, containers with a surface area of 500 square inches or less may be open while active mixing is taking place.

[70 FR 50133, Aug. 25, 2005]

Alternative Organic HAP Emissions Limits for Open Molding, Centrifugal Casting, and SMC Manufacturing Operations Where the Standards Are Based on a 95 Percent Reduction Requirement

As specified in §63.5805, as an alternative to the 95 percent organic HAP emissions reductions requirement, you may meet the appropriate organic HAP emissions limits in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If your operation type is . . .</th>
<th>And you use . . .</th>
<th>Your organic HAP emissions limit is a' . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Open molding—corrosion-resistant and/or high strength (CR/HS)</td>
<td>a. Mechanical resin application</td>
<td>6 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Filament application</td>
<td>9 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Filament application</td>
<td>10 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Filament application</td>
<td>14 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Open molding—shrinkage controlled resins</td>
<td>a. Mechanical resin application</td>
<td>18 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Emissions Limit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. White/off white pigmented gel coating</td>
<td>22 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. All other pigmented gel coating</td>
<td>19 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. CR/HS or high performance gel coat</td>
<td>31 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Fire retardant gel coat</td>
<td>43 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Clear production gel coat</td>
<td>27 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Centrifugal casting—CR/HS&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A vent system that moves heated air through the mold</td>
<td>27 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Centrifugal casting—non-CR/HS&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A vent system that moves heated air through the mold</td>
<td>21 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Centrifugal casting—CR/HS&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A vent system that moves ambient air through the mold</td>
<td>2 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Centrifugal casting—non-CR/HS&lt;sup&gt;3,4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>A vent system that moves ambient air through the mold</td>
<td>1 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. SMC Manufacturing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.4 lb/ton.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Organic HAP emissions limits for open molding and centrifugal casting expressed as lb/ton are calculated using the equations shown in Table 1 to this subpart. You must be at or below these values based on a 12-month rolling average.

<sup>2</sup>These limits are for spray application of gel coat. Manual gel coat application must be included as part of spray gel coat application for compliance purposes using the same organic HAP emissions factor equation and organic HAP emissions limit. If you only apply gel coat with manual application, treat the manually applied gel coat as if it were applied with atomized spray for compliance determinations.

<sup>3</sup>Centrifugal casting operations where the mold is not vented during spinning and cure are considered to be closed molding and are not subject to any emissions limit. Centrifugal casting operations where the mold is not vented during spinning and cure, and the resin is applied to the open centrifugal casting mold using mechanical or manual open molding resin application techniques are considered to be open molding operations and the appropriate open molding emission limits apply.

<sup>4</sup>Centrifugal casting operations where the mold is vented during spinning and the resin is applied to the open centrifugal casting mold using mechanical or manual open molding resin application techniques, use the appropriate centrifugal casting emission limit to determine compliance. Calculate your emission factor using the appropriate centrifugal casting emission factor in Table 1 to this subpart, or a site specific emission factor as discussed in §63.5796.

[68 FR 19402, Apr. 21, 2003, as amended at 70 FR 50133, Aug. 25, 2005]
Table 6 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Basic Requirements for Performance Tests, Performance Evaluations, and Design Evaluations for New and Existing Sources Using Add-On Control Devices

As required in §63.5850 you must conduct performance tests, performance evaluations, and design evaluation according to the requirements in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For . . .</th>
<th>You must . . .</th>
<th>Using . . .</th>
<th>According to the following requirements . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Each enclosure used to collect and route organic HAP emissions to an add-on control device that is a PTE</td>
<td>Meet the requirements for a PTE</td>
<td>EPA method 204 of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51</td>
<td>Enclosures that meet the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51 for a PTE are assumed to have a capture efficiency of 100%. Note that the criteria that all access doors and windows that are not treated as natural draft openings shall be closed during routine operation of the process is not intended to require that these doors and windows be closed at all times. It means that doors and windows must be closed any time that you are not actually moving parts or equipment through them. Also, any styrene retained in hollow parts and liberated outside the PTE is not considered to be a violation of the EPA Method 204 criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Each enclosure used to collect and route organic HAP emissions to an add-on control device that is not a PTE</td>
<td>a. Determine the capture efficiency of each enclosure used to capture organic HAP emissions sent to an add-on control device</td>
<td>i. EPA methods 204B through E of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51, or (1) Enclosures that do not meet the requirements for a PTE must determine the capture efficiency by constructing a temporary total enclosure according to the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51 and measuring the mass flow rates of the organic HAP in the exhaust streams going to the atmosphere and to the control device. Test runs for EPA Methods 204B through E of appendix M of 40 CFR part 51 must be at least 3 hours.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ii. An alternative test method that must meet the requirements in 40 CFR part 51, appendix M.

(1) The alternative test method must meet the data quality objectives and lower confidence limit approaches for alternative capture efficiency protocols requirements contained in 40 CFR part 63 subpart KK, appendix A.

| 3. Each control device used to comply with a percent reduction requirement, or an organic HAP emissions limit | Determine the control efficiency of each control device used to control organic HAP emissions | The test methods specified in §63.5850 to this subpart | Testing and evaluation requirements are contained in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, and §63.5850 to this subpart. |
|——|——|——|——|
| 4. Determining organic HAP emission factors for any operation | Determine the mass organic HAP emissions rate | The test methods specified in §63.5850 to this subpart | Testing and evaluation requirements are contained in 40 CFR part 63, subpart SS, and §63.5850 to this subpart. |

Table 7 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Options Allowing Use of the Same Resin Across Different Operations That Use the Same Resin Type

As specified in §63.5810(d), when electing to use the same resin(s) for multiple resin application methods, you may use any resin(s) with an organic HAP content less than or equal to the values shown in the following table, or any combination of resins whose weighted average organic HAP content based on a 12-month rolling average is less than or equal to the values shown the following table:

| If your facility has the following resin type and application method . . . | The highest resin weight is* * * percent organic HAP content, or weighted average weight percent organic HAP content, you can use for . . . | is . . . |
|——|——|——|
| 1. CR/HS resins, centrifugal casting$^1$,$^2$ | a. CR/HS mechanical | 348.0 |
|  | b. CR/HS filament application | 48.0 |
|  | c. CR/HS manual | 48.0 |
| 2. CR/HS resins, nonatomized mechanical | a. CR/HS filament application | 46.4 |
|  | b. CR/HS manual | 46.4 |
| 3. CR/HS resins, filament application | CR/HS manual | 42.0 |
| 4. non-CR/HS resins, filament application | a. non-CR/HS mechanical | 345.0 |
As specified in §63.5860(a), you must demonstrate initial compliance with organic HAP emissions limits as specified in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For . . .</th>
<th>That must meet the following organic HAP emissions limit . . .</th>
<th>You have demonstrated initial compliance if . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. open molding and centrifugal casting operations | a. an organic HAP emissions limit shown in Tables 3 or 5 to this subpart, or an organic HAP content limit shown in Table 7 to this subpart | i. you have met the appropriate organic HAP emissions limits for these operations as calculated using the procedures in §63.5810 on a 12-month rolling average 1 year after the appropriate compliance date, and/or  
   ii. you demonstrate that any individual resins or gel coats not included in (i) above, as applied, meet their applicable emission limits, or  
   iii. you demonstrate using the appropriate values in Table 7 to this subpart that the weighted average of all . . . |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Description</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. open molding</td>
<td>a. reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 95 percent by weight</td>
<td>total organic HAP emissions, based on the results of the capture efficiency and destruction efficiency testing specified in Table 6 to this subpart, are reduced by at least 95 percent by weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centrifugal casting, continuous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lamination/casting, SMC and BMC manufacturing, and mixing operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. continuous lamination/casting operations</td>
<td>a. reduce total organic HAP emissions, by at least 58.5 weight percent, or</td>
<td>total organic HAP emissions, based on the results of the capture efficiency and destruction efficiency in Table 6 to this subpart and the calculation procedures specified in §§63.5865 through 63.5890, are reduced by at least 58.5 percent by weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. not exceed an organic HAP emissions limit of 15.7 lbs of organic HAP per ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. continuous lamination/casting operations</td>
<td>a. reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 95 weight percent or</td>
<td>total organic HAP emissions, based on the results of the capture efficiency and destruction efficiency testing specified in Table 6 to this subpart and the calculation procedures specified in §§63.5865 through 63.5890, are reduced by at least 95 percent by weight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. not exceed an organic HAP emissions limit of 1.47 lbs of organic HAP per ton of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. pultrusion operations</td>
<td>a. reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 60 percent by weight</td>
<td>total organic HAP emissions, based on the results of the capture efficiency and add-on control device destruction efficiency testing specified in Table 6 to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
this subpart, are reduced by at least 60 percent by weight, and/or
ii. as part of the notification of initial compliance status, the owner/operator submits a certified statement that all pultrusion lines not controlled with an add-on control device, but for which an emission reduction is being claimed, are using direct die injection, and/or wet-area enclosures that meet the criteria of §63.5830.

| 6. pultrusion operations | a. reduce total organic HAP emissions by at least 95 percent by weight. | i. total organic HAP emissions, based on the results of the capture efficiency and add-on control device destruction efficiency testing specified in Table 6 to this subpart, are reduced by at least 95 percent by weight. |

[70 FR 50134, Aug. 25, 2005]

Table 9 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Initial Compliance With Work Practice Standards

As specified in §63.5860(a), you must demonstrate initial compliance with work practice standards as specified in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For . . .</th>
<th>That must meet the following standards . . .</th>
<th>You have demonstrated initial compliance if . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. a new or existing closed molding operation using compression/injection molding</td>
<td>uncover, unwrap or expose only one charge per mold cycle per compression/injection molding machine. For machines with multiple molds, one charge means sufficient material to fill all molds for one cycle. For machines with robotic loaders, no more than one charge may be exposed prior to the loader. For machines fed by hoppers, sufficient material may be uncovered to fill the hopper. Hoppers must be closed when not adding materials. Materials may be recovered after slitting.</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that only one charge is uncovered, unwrapped, or exposed per mold cycle per compression/injection molding machine, or prior to the loader, hoppers are closed except when adding materials, and materials are recovered after slitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. a new or existing cleaning operation</td>
<td>not use cleaning solvents that contain HAP, except that styrene may be used in closed systems, and organic HAP containing materials may be used to clean cured resin from application equipment. Application equipment includes any equipment that directly contacts resin between storage and applying resin to the mold or reinforcement</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that all cleaning materials, except styrene contained in closed systems, or materials used to clean cured resin from application equipment, contain no HAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. a new or existing materials HAP-containing materials storage operation</td>
<td>keep containers that store HAP-containing materials closed or covered except during the addition or removal of materials. Bulk HAP-containing materials storage tanks may be vented as necessary for safety</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that all HAP-containing storage containers are kept closed or covered except when adding or removing materials, and that any bulk storage tanks are vented only as necessary for safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. an existing or new SMC manufacturing operation</td>
<td>close or cover the resin delivery system to the doctor box on each SMC manufacturing machine. The doctor box itself may be open</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that the resin delivery system is closed or covered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. an existing or new SMC manufacturing operation</td>
<td>use a nylon containing film to enclose SMC</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that a nylon-containing film is used to enclose SMC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. an existing or new mixing or BMC manufacturing operation</td>
<td>use mixer covers with no visible gaps present in the mixer covers, except that gaps of up to 1 inch are permissible around mixer shafts and any required</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that mixer covers are closed during mixing except when adding materials to the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7. an existing mixing or BMC manufacturing operation | instrumentation mixers, and that gaps around mixer shafts and required instrumentation are less than 1 inch. | not actively vent mixers to the atmosphere while the mixing agitator is turning, except that venting is allowed during addition of materials, or as necessary prior to adding materials for safety.
the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that mixers are not actively vented to the atmosphere when the agitator is turning except when adding materials or as necessary for safety. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. a new or existing mixing or BMC manufacturing operation</td>
<td>keep the mixer covers closed during mixing except when adding materials to the mixing vessels</td>
<td>the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that mixers closed except when adding materials to the mixing vessels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9. a new or existing pultrusion operation manufacturing parts that meet the following criteria: 1,000 or more reinforcements or the glass equivalent of 1,000 ends of 113 yield roving or more; and have a cross sectional area of 60 square inches or more that is not subject to the 95 percent organic HAP emission reduction requirement | i. Not allow vents from the building ventilation system, or local or portable fans to blow directly on or across the wet-out area(s),
ii. not permit point suction of ambient air in the wet-out area(s) unless that air is directed to a control device,
iii. use devices such as deflectors, baffles, and curtains when practical to reduce air flow velocity across the wet-out area(s),
iv. direct any compressed air exhausts away from resin and wet-out area(s),
v. convey resin collected from drip-off pans or other devices to reservoirs, tanks, or sumps via covered troughs, pipes, or other covered conveyance that shields the resin from the | the owner or operator submits a certified statement in the notice of compliance status that they have complied with all the requirements listed in 9.i through 9.vii. |
ambient air,
vi. cover all reservoirs,
tanks, sumps, or HAP-containing materials storage vessels except when they are being charged or filled, and
vii. cover or shield from ambient air resin delivery systems to the wet-out area(s) from reservoirs, tanks, or sumps where practical.

[70 FR 50135, Aug. 25, 2005]

Table 10 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Data Requirements for New and Existing Continuous Lamination Lines and Continuous Casting Lines Complying With a Percent Reduction Limit on a Per Line Basis

As required in §63.5865(a), in order to comply with a percent reduction limit for continuous lamination lines and continuous casting lines you must determine the data in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For each line where the wet-out area . . .</th>
<th>And the oven . . .</th>
<th>You must determine . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Has an enclosure that is not a permanent total enclosure (PTE) and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device | a. Is uncontrolled | i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,  
ii. Annual controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,  
iii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,  
iv. The capture efficiency of the wet-out area enclosure, |
|  
| 2. Has an enclosure that is a PTE and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device | a. Is uncontrolled | i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,  
ii. Annual controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,  
iii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,  
iv. That the wet-out area enclosure meets the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51 for a PTE,  
v. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device, and  
vii. The amount of neat resin plus neat gel coat plus applied. |
| 3. Is uncontrolled | a. Is controlled by an add-on control device | v. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device, and vi. The amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied. |
| 4. Has an enclosure that is not a PTE and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device | a. Is controlled by an add-on control device | i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions, ii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions, iii. Annual controlled oven organic HAP emissions, iv. The capture efficiency of the oven, v. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device, and vi. The amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied. |
| 5. Has an enclosure that is a PTE and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device | a. Is controlled by an add-on control device | i. That the wet-out area enclosure meets the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51 for a PTE, ii. The capture efficiency of the oven, and iii. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device. |
Table 11 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Data Requirements for New and Existing Continuous Lamination and Continuous Casting Lines Complying With a Percent Reduction Limit or a Lbs/Ton Limit on an Averaging Basis

As required in §63.5865, in order to comply with a percent reduction limit or a lbs/ton limit on an averaging basis for continuous lamination lines and continuous casting lines you must determine the data in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For each . . .</th>
<th>That . . .</th>
<th>You must determine . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Wet-out area</td>
<td>Is uncontrolled</td>
<td>Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wet-out area</td>
<td>a. Has an enclosure that is not a PTE</td>
<td>i. The capture efficiency of the enclosure, and ii. Annual organic HAP emissions that escape the enclosure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Wet-out area</td>
<td>Has an enclosure that is a PTE</td>
<td>That the enclosure meets the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51 for a PTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Oven</td>
<td>Is uncontrolled</td>
<td>Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Line</td>
<td>a. Is controlled or uncontrolled</td>
<td>i. The amount of neat resin plus applied, and ii. The amount of neat gel coat plus applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Add-on control device</td>
<td></td>
<td>i. Total annual inlet organic HAP emissions, and total annual outlet organic HAP emissions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Data Requirements for New and Existing Continuous Lamination Lines and Continuous Casting Lines Complying With a Lbs/Ton Organic HAP Emissions Limit on a Per Line Basis

As required in §63.5865(b), in order to comply with a lbs/ton organic HAP emissions limit for continuous lamination lines and continuous casting lines you must determine the data in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For each line where the wet-out area . . .</th>
<th>And the oven . . .</th>
<th>You must determine . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Has an enclosure that is not a PTE and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device</td>
<td>a. Is uncontrolled</td>
<td>i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions, ii. Annual controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions, and iii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Has an enclosure that is a PTE, and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device</td>
<td>a. Is uncontrolled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Annual controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. That the wet-out area enclosure meets the requirements of EPA Method 204 of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51 for a PTE,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device, and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. The amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Is uncontrolled</th>
<th>a. Is controlled by an add-on control device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Annual controlled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. The capture efficiency of the oven,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. The destruction efficiency of the add-on control device, and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. The amount of neat resin plus and neat gel coat plus applied.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Has an enclosure that is not a PTE and the captured organic HAP emissions are controlled by an add-on control device</th>
<th>a. Is controlled by an add-on control device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Annual uncontrolled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Annual controlled wet-out area organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Annual uncontrolled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Annual controlled oven organic HAP emissions,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. The capture efficiency of the oven,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If your facility . . .</td>
<td>You must submit . . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Is an existing source subject to this subpart</td>
<td>An Initial Notification containing the information specified in §63.9(b)(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is a new source subject to this subpart</td>
<td>The notifications specified in §63.9(b)(4) and (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Qualifies for a compliance extension as specified in §63.9(c)</td>
<td>A request for a compliance extension as specified in §63.9(c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is complying with organic HAP emissions limit averaging provisions</td>
<td>A Notification of Compliance Status as specified in §63.9(h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Is complying with organic HAP content limits, application equipment requirements, or organic HAP emissions limit other than organic HAP emissions limit</td>
<td>A Notification of Compliance Status as specified in §63.9(h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Applicability and Timing of Notifications

As required in §63.5905(a), you must determine the applicable notifications and submit them by the dates shown in the following table:
6. Is complying by using an add-on control device

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. A notification of intent to conduct a performance test as specified in §63.9(e)</td>
<td>No later than the date specified in §63.9(e).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. A notification of the date for the CMS performance evaluation as specified in §63.9(g)</td>
<td>The date of submission of notification of intent to conduct a performance test.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. A Notification of Compliance Status as specified in §63.9(h)</td>
<td>No later than 60 calendar days after the completion of the add-on control device performance test and CMS performance evaluation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 14 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Requirements for Reports

As required in §63.5910(a), (b), (g), and (h), you must submit reports on the schedule shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>You must submit a(n)</th>
<th>The report must contain . . .</th>
<th>You must submit the report . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Compliance report</td>
<td>a. A statement that there were no deviations during that reporting period if there were no deviations from any emission limitations (emission limit, operating limit, opacity limit, and visible emission limit) that apply to you and there were no deviations from the requirements for work practice standards in Table 4 to this subpart that apply to you. If there were no periods during which the CMS, including CEMS, and operating parameter monitoring systems, was out of control as specified in §63.8(c)(7), the report must also contain a statement that there were no periods during which the CMS was out of control during the reporting period.</td>
<td>Semianually according to the requirements in §63.5910(b).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The information in §63.5910(d) if you have a deviation from any emission limitation (emission limit, operating limit, or work practice standard) during the reporting period. If there were periods during which the CMS, including CEMS, and operating parameter monitoring systems, was out of control, as specified in §63.8(c)(7), the report must contain the information in §63.5910(e).

The information in §63.10(d)(5)(i) if you had a startup, shutdown or malfunction during the reporting period, and you took actions consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan.

2. An immediate startup, shutdown, and malfunction report if you had a startup, shutdown, or malfunction during the reporting period that is not consistent with your startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The general provisions</th>
<th>That addresses...</th>
<th>And applies to</th>
<th>Subject to the following additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Table 15 to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Applicability of General Provisions (Subpart A) to Subpart WWWW of Part 63

As specified in §63.5925, the parts of the General Provisions which apply to you are shown in the following table:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Subpart WWWW of Part 63</th>
<th>Additional terms defined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(1)</td>
<td>General applicability of the general provisions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(2) through (4)</td>
<td>General applicability of the general provisions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(5)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(6)</td>
<td>General applicability of the general provisions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(7) through (9)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(a)(10) through (14)</td>
<td>General applicability of the general provisions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(b)(1)</td>
<td>Initial applicability determination</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(b)(2)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(b)(3)</td>
<td>Record of the applicability determination</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(c)(1)</td>
<td>Applicability of this part after a relevant standard has been set under this part</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(c)(2)</td>
<td>Title V operating permit requirement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Applicability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(c)(3) and (4)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(c)(5)</td>
<td>Notification requirements for an area source that increases HAP emissions to major source levels</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(d)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.1(e)</td>
<td>Applicability of permit program before a relevant standard has been set under this part</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.2</td>
<td>Definitions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.3</td>
<td>Units and abbreviations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.4</td>
<td>Prohibited activities and circumvention</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(a)(1) and (2)</td>
<td>Applicability of construction and reconstruction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(1)</td>
<td>Relevant standards for new sources upon construction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(2)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(3)</td>
<td>New construction/reconstruction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(4)</td>
<td>Construction/reconstruction notification</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(5)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(b)(6)</td>
<td>Equipment addition or process change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(c)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(d)(1)</td>
<td>General application for approval of construction or reconstruction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(d)(2)</td>
<td>Application for approval of construction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(d)(3)</td>
<td>Application for approval of reconstruction</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(d)(4)</td>
<td>Additional information</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(e)(1)</td>
<td>Approval of construction or reconstruction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>through (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.5(f)(1)</td>
<td>Approval of construction or reconstruction based on prior State preconstruction review</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>through (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(a)(1)</td>
<td>Applicability of compliance with standards and maintenance requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(a)(2)</td>
<td>Applicability of area sources that increase HAP emissions to become major sources</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(b)(1)</td>
<td>Compliance dates for new and reconstructed sources</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>through (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(b)(6)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(b)(7)</td>
<td>Compliance dates for new operations or equipment that</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(c)(1) and (2)</td>
<td>Compliance dates for existing sources</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(c)(3) and (4)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(c)(5)</td>
<td>Compliance dates for existing area sources that become major</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(d)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(e)(1) and (2)</td>
<td>Operation &amp; maintenance requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(e)(3)</td>
<td>Startup, shutdown, and malfunction plan and recordkeeping</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(f)(1)</td>
<td>Compliance except during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(f)(2) and (3)</td>
<td>Methods for determining compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(g)(1) through (3)</td>
<td>Alternative standard</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(h)</td>
<td>Opacity and visible emission Standards</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(i)(1) through (14)</td>
<td>Compliance extensions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(i)(15)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(i)(16)</td>
<td>Compliance extensions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.6(j)</td>
<td>Presidential compliance exemption</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(a)(1)</td>
<td>Applicability of performance testing requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(a)(2)</td>
<td>Performance test dates</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(a)(3)</td>
<td>CAA Section 114 authority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(b)(1)</td>
<td>Notification of performance test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(b)(2)</td>
<td>Notification rescheduled performance test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(c)</td>
<td>Quality assurance program, including test plan</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(d)</td>
<td>Performance testing facilities</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(e)</td>
<td>Conditions for conducting performance tests</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(f)</td>
<td>Use of alternative test method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(g)</td>
<td>Performance test data analysis, recordkeeping, and reporting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.7(h)</td>
<td>Waiver of performance tests</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(a)(1) and (2)</td>
<td>Applicability of monitoring requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(a)(3)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(a)(4)</td>
<td>Monitoring requirements when using flares</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(b)(1)</td>
<td>Conduct of monitoring exceptions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Section | Description | Applicable?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(b)(2) and (3)</td>
<td>Multiple effluents and multiple monitoring systems</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(c)(1)</td>
<td>Compliance with CMS operation and maintenance requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(c)(2) and (3)</td>
<td>Monitoring system installation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(c)(4)</td>
<td>CMS requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(c)(5)</td>
<td>Continuous Opacity Monitoring System (COMS) minimum procedures</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(c)(6) through (8)</td>
<td>CMS calibration and periods CMS is out of control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(d)</td>
<td>CMS quality control program, including test plan and all previous versions</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(e)(1)</td>
<td>Performance evaluation of CMS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(e)(2)</td>
<td>Notification of performance evaluation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(e)(3) and</td>
<td>CMS requirements/alternatives</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.

Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity standards.

This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(e)(5)(i)</td>
<td>Reporting performance evaluation results</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(e)(5)(ii)</td>
<td>Results of COMS performance evaluation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(f)(1) through (3)</td>
<td>Use of an alternative monitoring method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(f)(4)</td>
<td>Request to use an alternative monitoring method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(f)(5)</td>
<td>Approval of request to use an alternative monitoring method</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(f)(6)</td>
<td>Request for alternative to relative accuracy test and associated records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.8(g)(1) through (5)</td>
<td>Data reduction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(a)(1) through (4)</td>
<td>Notification requirements and general information</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(1)</td>
<td>Initial notification applicability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(2)</td>
<td>Notification for affected source with initial startup before effective date of standard</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(3)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(4)(i)</td>
<td>Notification for a new or reconstructed major affected source with initial startup after effective date for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is required</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(4)(ii) through (iv)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(4)(v)</td>
<td>Notification for a new or reconstructed major affected source with initial startup after effective date for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is required</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Existing facilities do not become reconstructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(b)(5)</td>
<td>Notification that you are subject to this subpart for new or reconstructed affected source with initial startup after effective date and for which an application for approval of construction or reconstruction is not required</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Existing facilities do not become reconstructed under subpart WWWW of Part 63.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(c)</td>
<td>Request for compliance extension</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(d)</td>
<td>Notification of special compliance requirements for new source</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(e)</td>
<td>Notification of performance test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(f)</td>
<td>Notification of opacity and visible emissions observations</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity or visible emission standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(g)(1)</td>
<td>Additional notification requirements for sources using CMS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(g)(2)</td>
<td>Notification of compliance with opacity emission standard</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Subpart WWWW of Part 63 does not contain opacity emission standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(g)(3)</td>
<td>Notification that criterion to continue use of alternative to relative accuracy testing has been exceeded</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>This section applies if you elect to use a CMS to demonstrate continuous compliance with an emission limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(h)(1) through (3)</td>
<td>Notification of compliance status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(h)(4)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(h)(5) and (6)</td>
<td>Notification of compliance status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(i)</td>
<td>Adjustment of submittal deadlines</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.9(j)</td>
<td>Change in information provided</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(a)</td>
<td>Applicability of recordkeeping and reporting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(1)</td>
<td>Records retention</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(2)(i) through (v)</td>
<td>Records related to startup, shutdown, and malfunction</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(2)(vi) through (xi)</td>
<td>CMS records, data on performance tests, CMS performance evaluations, measurements necessary to determine conditions of performance tests, and performance evaluations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(2)(xii)</td>
<td>Record of waiver of recordkeeping and reporting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(2)(xiii)</td>
<td>Record for alternative to the relative accuracy test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(2)(xiv)</td>
<td>Records supporting initial notification and notification of compliance status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(b)(3)</td>
<td>Records for applicability determinations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(c)(1)</td>
<td>CMS records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(c)(2) through (4)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§63.10(c)(5)</td>
<td>CMS records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through (8)</td>
<td>General Reporting Requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(c)(9)</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(c)(10) through (15)</td>
<td>CMS Records</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(d)(1)</td>
<td>General Reporting Requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(d)(2)</td>
<td>Report of Performance Test Results</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(d)(3)</td>
<td>Reporting Results of Opacity or Visible Emission Observations</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(d)(4)</td>
<td>Progress Reports as Part of Extension of Compliance</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(d)(5)</td>
<td>Startup, Shutdown, and Malfunction Reports</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(e)(1) through (3)</td>
<td>Additional Reporting Requirements for CMS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(e)(4)</td>
<td>Reporting COMS Data</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.10(f)</td>
<td>Waiver for Recordkeeping or Reporting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.11</td>
<td>Control Device Requirements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.12</td>
<td>State Authority and Delegations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$63.13</td>
<td>Addresses of State Air Pollution Control Agencies and EPA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A to Subpart WWWW of Part 63—Test Method for Determining Vapor Suppressant Effectiveness

1. Scope and Application

1.1 Applicability. If a facility is using vapor suppressants to reduce hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions, the organic HAP emission factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart require that the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor be determined. The vapor suppressant effectiveness factor is then used as one of the inputs into the appropriate organic HAP emission factor equation. The vapor suppressant effectiveness factor test is not intended to quantify overall volatile emissions from a resin, nor to be used as a stand-alone test for emissions determination. This test is designed to evaluate the performance of film forming vapor suppressant resin additives. The results of this test are used only in combination with the organic HAP emissions factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart to generate emission factors.

1.1.1 The open molding process consists of application of resin and reinforcements to the mold surface, followed by a manual rollout process to consolidate the laminate, and the curing stage where the laminate surface is not disturbed. Emission studies have shown that approximately 50 percent to 55 percent of the emissions occur while the resin is being applied to the mold. Vapor suppressants have little effect during this portion of the lamination process, but can have a significant effect during the curing stage. Therefore, if a suppressant is 100 percent effective, the overall emissions from the process would be reduced by 45 percent to 50 percent, representing the emissions generated during the curing stage. In actual practice, vapor suppressant effectiveness will be less than 100 percent and the test results determine the specific effectiveness in terms of the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor. This factor represents the effectiveness of a specific combination of suppressant additive and resin formulation.

1.1.2 A resin manufacturer may supply a molder with a vapor-suppressed resin, and employ this test to provide the molder with the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor for that combination of resin and vapor suppressant. The factor qualifies the effectiveness of the vapor suppressant when the resin is tested in the specific formulation supplied to the molder. The addition of fillers or other diluents by the molder may impact the effectiveness of the vapor suppressant. The formulation, including resin/glass ratio and filler content, used in the test should be similar to the formulation to be used in production. The premise of this method is to compare laminate samples made with vapor suppressant additive and made without the additive. The difference in emissions between the two yields the vapor suppressant effectiveness factor.

1.1.3 The method uses a mass balance determination to establish the relative loss of the volatile component from unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resins, with and without vapor suppressant additives. The effectiveness of a specific vapor suppressant and resin mixture is determined by comparing the relative volatile weight losses from vapor suppressed and non-suppressed resins. The volatile species are not separately analyzed. While the species contained in the volatile component are not determined, an extended listing of potential monomer that may be contained in unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resins is provided in Table 1.1. However, most polyester and vinyl ester resin formulations presently used by the composites industry only contain styrene monomer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>CAS No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Styrene</td>
<td>100–42–5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vinyl toluene & 25013-15-4. \\
Methyl methacrylate & 80-62-6. \\
Alpha methyl styrene & 98-83-9. \\
Para methyl styrene & Vinyl toluene isomer. \\
Chlorostyrene & 1331-28-8. \\
Diallyl phthalate & 131-17-9. \\
Other volatile monomers & Various. \\

2. Summary of Method

2.1 Differences in specific resin and suppressant additive chemistry affect the performance of a vapor suppressant. The purpose of this method is to quantify the effectiveness of a specific combination of vapor suppressant and unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resin as they are to be used in production. This comparative test quantifies the loss of volatiles from a fiberglass reinforced laminate during the roll-out and curing emission phases, for resins formulated with and without a suppressant additive. A criterion for this method is the testing of a non-vapor suppressed resin system and testing the same resin with a vapor suppressant. The two resins are as identical as possible with the exception of the addition of the suppressant to one. The exact formulation used for the test will be determined by the in-use production requirements. Each formulation of resin, glass, fillers, and additives is developed to meet particular customer and or performance specifications.

2.2 The result of this test is used as an input factor in the organic HAP emissions factor equations in Table 1 to this subpart, which allows these equations to predict emissions from a specific combination of resin and suppressant. This test does not provide an emission rate for the entire lamination process.

3. Definitions and Acronyms

3.1 Definitions

3.1.1 **Vapor suppressant.** An additive that inhibits the evaporation of volatile components in unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resins.

3.1.2 **Unsaturated polyester resin.** A thermosetting resin commonly used in composites molding.

3.1.3 **Unsaturated vinyl ester resin.** A thermosetting resin used in composites molding for corrosion resistant and high performance applications.

3.1.4 **Laminate.** A combination of fiber reinforcement and a thermoset resin.

3.1.5 **Chopped strand mat.** Glass fiber reinforcement with random fiber orientation.

3.1.6 **Initiator.** A curing agent added to an unsaturated polyester or vinyl ester resin.

3.1.7 **Resin application roller.** A tool used to saturate and compact a wet laminate.

3.1.8 **Gel time.** The time from the addition of initiator to a resin to the state of resin gelation.

3.1.9 **Filled resin system.** A resin, which includes the addition of inert organic or inorganic materials to modify the resin properties, extend the volume and to lower the cost. Fillers include, but are not limited to;
mineral particulates; microspheres; or organic particulates. This test is not intended to be used to determine the vapor suppressant effectiveness of a filler.

3.1.10 Material safety data sheet. Data supplied by the manufacturer of a chemical product, listing hazardous chemical components, safety precautions, and required personal protection equipment for a specific product.

3.1.11 Tare(ed). Reset a balance to zero after a container or object is placed on the balance; that is to subtract the weight of a container or object from the balance reading so as to weigh only the material placed in the container or on the object.

3.1.12 Percent glass. The specified glass fiber weight content in a laminate. It is usually determined by engineering requirements for the laminate.

3.2 Acronyms:

3.2.1 VS — vapor suppressed or vapor suppressant.

3.2.2 NVS — non-vapor suppressed.

3.2.3 VSE — vapor suppressant effectiveness.

3.2.4 VSE Factor — vapor suppressant effectiveness, factor used in the equations in Table 1 to this subpart.

3.2.5 CSM — chopped strand mat.

3.2.6 MSDS — material safety data sheet.

4. Interferences

There are no identified interferences which affect the results of this test.

5. Safety

Standard laboratory safety procedures should be used when conducting this test. Refer to specific MSDS for handling precautions.

6. Equipment and Supplies

Note: Mention of trade names or specific products or suppliers does not constitute an endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

6.1 Required Equipment.

6.1.1 Balance enclosure.¹

6.1.2 Two (2) laboratory balances—accurate to ±0.01 g.²

6.1.3 Stop watch or balance data recording output to data logger with accuracy ±1 second.³

6.1.4 Thermometer—accurate to ±2.0 °F(±1.0 °C).⁴
6.1.5 A lipped pan large enough to hold the cut glass without coming into contact with the vertical sides, e.g. a pizza pan. 

6.1.6 Mylar film sufficient to cover the bottom of the pan.

6.1.7 Tape to keep the Mylar from shifting in the bottom of the pan.

6.1.8 Plastic tri-corner beakers of equivalent—250 ml to 400 ml capacity.

6.1.9 Eye dropper or pipette.

6.1.10 Disposable resin application roller, 3/16 inch; – 3/4 inch; diameter × 3 inch; – 6 inch; roller length.

6.1.11 Hygrometer or psychrometer accurate to ± 5 percent

6.1.12 Insulating board, (Teflon, cardboard, foam board etc.) to prevent the balance from becoming a heat sink.

6.2 Optional Equipment.

6.2.1 Laboratory balance—accurate to ±.01 g with digital output, such as an RS-232 bi-directional interface for use with automatic data recording devices.

6.2.2 Computer with recording software configured to link to balance digital output. Must be programmed to record data at the minimum intervals required for manual data acquisition.

6.3 Supplies.

6.3.1 Chopped strand mat—1.5 oz/ft.²

7. Reagents and Standards

7.1 Initiator. The initiator type, brand, and concentration will be specified by resin manufacturer, or as required by production operation.

7.2 Polyester or vinyl ester resin.

7.3 Vapor suppressant additive.

8. Sample Collection, Preservation, and Storage

This test method involves the immediate recording of data during the roll out and curing phases of the lamination process during each test run. Samples are neither collected, preserved, nor stored.

9. Quality Control

Careful attention to the prescribed test procedure, routing equipment calibration, and replicate testing are the quality control activities for this test method. Refer to the procedures in section 11. A minimum of six test runs of a resin system without a suppressant and six test runs of the same resin with a suppressant shall be performed for each resin and suppressant test combination.

10. Calibration and Standardization
10.1 The laboratory balances, stopwatch, hygrometer and thermometer shall be maintained in a state of calibration prior to testing and thereafter on a scheduled basis as determined by the testing laboratory. This shall be accomplished by using certified calibration standards.

10.2 Calibration records shall be maintained for a period of 3 years.

11. Test Procedure

11.1 Test Set-up.

11.1.1 The laboratory balance is located in an enclosure to prevent fluctuations in balance readings due to localized air movement. The front of enclosure is open to permit work activity, but positioned so that local airflow will not effect balance readings. The ambient temperature is determined by suspending the thermometer at a point inside the enclosure.

11.1.2 The bottom of the aluminum pan is covered with the Mylar film. The film is held in position with tape or by friction between the pan and the film.

11.1.3 The resin and pan are brought to room temperature. This test temperature must be between 70 °F and 80 °F. The testing temperature cannot vary more than ±2 °F during the measurement of test runs. Temperature shall be recorded at the same time weight is recorded on suppressed and non-suppressed test data sheets, shown in Table 17.1.

11.1.4 The relative humidity may not change more than ±15 percent during the test runs. This is determined by recording the relative humidity in the vicinity of the test chamber at the beginning and end of an individual test run. This data is recorded on the test data sheets shown in Table 17.1.

11.1.5 Two plies of nominal 1.5 oz/ft² chopped strand mat (CSM) are cut into a square or rectangle with the minimum surface area of 60 square inches (i.e. a square with a side dimension of 7.75 inches).

11.1.6 The appropriate resin application roller is readily available.

11.2 Resin Gel Time/Initiator Percentage

11.2.1 Previous testing has indicated that resin gel time influences the emissions from composite production. The testing indicated that longer the gel times led to higher emissions. There are a number of factors that influence gel time including initiator type, initiator brand, initiator level, temperature and resin additives. Under actual usage conditions a molder will adjust the initiator to meet a gel time requirement. In this test procedure, the vapor suppressed and non-vapor suppressed resin systems will be adjusted to the same gel time by selecting the appropriate initiator level for each.

11.2.2 All test runs within a test will be processed in a manner that produces the same resin gel time ±2 minutes. To facilitate the resin mixing procedure, master batches of resin and resin plus vapor suppressant of resin are prepared. These resin master batches will have all of the required ingredients except initiator; this includes filler for filled systems. The gel times for the tests are conducted using the master batch and adjustments to meet gel time requirements shall be made to the master batch before emission testing is conducted. Test temperatures must be maintained within the required range, during gel time testing. Further gel time testing is not required after the non-vapor suppressed and vapor suppressed master batches are established with gel times within ±2 minutes. A sufficient quantity of each resin should be prepared to allow for additional test specimens in the event one or more test fails to meet the data acceptance criteria discussed in Section 11.5 and shown in Table 17.2.

11.2.3 The specific brand of initiator and the nominal percentage level recommended by the resin manufacturer will be indicated on the resin certificate of analysis; or, if a unique gel time is required in a production laminate, initiator brand and percentage will be determined by that specific requirement.

11.2.4 Examples:
11.2.4.1 The resin for a test run is specified as having a 15-minute cup gel time at 77 °F using Brand X initiator at 1.5 percent by weight. The non-suppressed control resin has a 15-minute gel time. The suppressed resin has a gel time of 17-minutes. An initiator level of 1.5 percent would be selected for the both the non-suppressed and the suppressed test samples.

11.2.4.2 Based on a specific production requirement, a resin is processed in production using 2.25 percent of Brand Y initiator, which produces a 20-minute gel time. This initiator at level of 2.25 percent produces a 20 minute gel time for the non-suppressed control resin, but yields a 25-minute gel time for the suppressed resin sample. The suppressed resin is retested at 2.50 percent initiator and produces a 21-minute gel time. The initiator levels of 2.25 percent and 2.50 percent respectively would yield gel times within ±2 minutes.

11.3 Test Run Procedure for Unfilled Resin (see the data sheet shown in Table 17.1).

11.3.1 The insulating board is placed on the balance.

11.3.2 The aluminum pan with attached Mylar film is placed on the balance, and the balance is tared (weight reading set to zero with the plate on the balance.)

11.3.3 Place two plies of 1.5 oz. CSM on the balance and record the weight (glass weight).

11.3.4 The resin beaker and stirring rod are put on the second balance and tared.

11.3.5 The required resin weight and initiator weight are calculated (refer to calculation formulas in 12.2).

11.3.6 The disposable resin application roller is placed on the edge of the plate.

11.3.7 The balance is tared, with the aluminum pan, Mylar film, glass mat, and resin application roller on the balance pan.

11.3.8 Resin is weighed into a beaker, as calculated, using the second balance. The mixing stick should be tared with the beaker weight.

11.3.9 Initiator is weighed into the resin, as calculated, using an eyedropper or a pipette, and the combination is mixed.

11.3.10 Initiated resin is poured on chopped strand mat in a pe-determined pattern (see Figure 11.6).

11.3.11 A stopwatch is started from zero.

11.3.12 The initial laminate weight is recorded.

11.3.13 The plate is removed from balance to enable roll-out of the laminate.

11.3.14 The wet laminate is rolled with the resin application roller to completely distribute the resin, saturate the chopped strand mat, and eliminate air voids. Roll-out time should be in the range of 2 to 3\textsuperscript{16} minutes and vary less than ±10 percent of the average time required for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs.

11.3.15 Record the rollout end time (time from start to completion of rollout).

11.3.16 Place the resin application roller on the edge of the plate when rollout is completed.

11.3.17 Place the plate back on the balance pan. Immediately record the weight.
11.3.18 For the first test in a series of six tests, weight is recorded every 5-minute interval (suppressed and non-suppressed). The end of the test occurs when three consecutive equal weights are recorded or a weight gain is observed (the last weight before the increased weight is the end of test weight). For the remaining five tests in the series, after the initial weights are taken, the next weight is recorded 30 minutes before the end of the test, as suggested by the results from the first test. It is likely that the time to reach the end point of a suppressed resin test will be shorter than the time required to complete a non-suppressed test. Therefore, the time to start taking data manually may be different for suppressed and non-suppressed resins.

11.4 Test Run Procedures for Filled Resin Systems

Note that the procedure for filled systems differs from the procedure for unfilled systems. With filled systems, resin is applied to one ply of the CSM and the second ply is placed on top of the resin.

11.4.1 The insulating board is placed on the balance.

11.4.2 The aluminum pan with attached Mylar film is placed on the balance, and the balance is tared (weight reading set to zero with the plate on the balance.)

11.4.3 Place two plies of 1.5 oz. CSM on the balance and record the weight (glass weight).

11.4.4 Remove the top ply of fiberglass and record its weight (weight of 1st layer of glass).

11.4.5 The required resin weight and initiator weight are calculated (refer to calculation formulas in 12.2). Calculate the weight of filled resin and initiator based on the 2 layers of fiberglass.

11.4.6 The resin beaker and stirring rod are put on the second balance and tared.

11.4.7 A disposable resin application roller is placed on the edge of the plate.

11.4.8 The balance is tared, with the aluminum pan, Mylar film, glass mat, and resin application roller on the balance pan.

11.4.9 Resin is weighed into the beaker, as calculated, using the second balance. The mixing stick should be tared with the beaker weight.

11.4.10 Initiator is weighed into the resin, as calculated, using an eyedropper or a pipette, and the combination is mixed.

11.4.11 Initiated resin is poured on the single ply of CSM in a pre-determined pattern. Refer to Figure 11.6.

11.4.12 A stopwatch is started from zero.

11.4.13 Record the weight of the resin ans single ply of CSM (L_1). The initial laminate weight equals L_1 plus the weight of second glass layer.

11.4.14 Replace the second layer of fiberglass.

11.4.15 Remove the plate from the balance to allow roll-out of the laminate.

11.4.16 Roll the wet laminate with the resin application roller to completely distribute the resin, saturate the chopped strand mat, and eliminate air voids. Roll-out time should be in the range of 2 to 3^{16} minutes and vary less than ±10 percent of the average time required for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs.

11.4.17 Record the roll-out end time (time from start to completion of rollout).
11.4.18 Place the resin application roller on the edge of the plate when rollout is completed.

11.4.19 Place the plate back on the balance pan. The initial weight is recorded immediately.

11.4.20 For the first test run in a series of six, weight is recorded at every 5-minute interval (suppressed and non-suppressed). The end of the test occurs when three consecutive equal weights are recorded or a weight gain is observed (the last weight before the increased weight is the end of test weight). For the remaining five tests in the series, after the initial weights are taken, the next weight is recorded 30 minutes before the end of the test, as suggested by the results from the first test. It is likely that the time to reach the end point of a suppressed resin test will be shorter than the time required to complete a non-suppressed test. Therefore, the time to start taking data manually may be different for suppressed and non-suppressed resins.

11.5 Data Acceptance Criteria:

11.5.1 A test set is designed as twelve individual test runs using the same resin, initiator, and gel time, six of the test runs use the resin non-vapor suppressed and the other six use it vapor suppressed.

11.5.2 If a test run falls outside any of the time, temperature, weight or humidity variation requirements, it must be discarded and run again.

11.5.3 The laminate roll out time for each individual test run must vary less than ±10 percent of the average time required for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs.

11.5.4 Test temperature for each test run must be maintained within ±2 °F and the average must be between 70° and 80 °F. Refer to 11.1.3.

11.5.5 The difference in the amount of resin for each run must be within ±10 percent of the average weight for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs.

11.5.6 The relative humidity from each test run must be within ±15 percent of the average humidity for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed tests. Refer to 11.1.4.

11.5.7 The glass content for each test set must be within ±10 percent of the average resin-to-/glass ratio for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs. Refer to 12.2.

11.5.8 The filler content for each test of a test set must be within ±5 percent of the average filler content for the complete set of six suppressed and six non-suppressed runs. Refer to 12.2.

11.6 Resin Application Pour Pattern:

11.6.1 To facilitate the distribution of resin across the chopped strand mat, and to provide consistency from test to test, a uniform pour pattern should be used. A typical pour pattern is shown below:
11.6.2 The resin is to be evenly distributed across the entire surface of the chopped strand mat using the resin application roller to achieve a wet look across the surface of the laminate. Pushing excess resin off the reinforcement and onto the Mylar sheet should be avoided. No resin is to be pushed more than 1/2 inch beyond the edge of the glass mat. If excess resin is pushed further from the glass mat, it will void the test run. As part of this process, typical visible air voids are to be eliminated by the rollout process. If the pour pattern is different from the above, it must be recorded and attached to test data sheet 17.1.

12. Data Analysis and Calculations

12.1 Data Analysis:

This test method requires a simple mass balance calculation, no special data analysis is necessary.

12.2 Calculations:

12.2.1 The target glass content (percent) for unfilled resin systems is determined from the specific production parameters being evaluated. In absence of any specific production requirements the target may be set at the tester's discretion.

12.2.2 Glass content determination (expressed as a per cent):

\[
\% \text{ Glass} = \frac{\text{Glass wt (g)}}{\text{Glass wt (g)} + \text{Resin weight (g)}}
\]

12.2.3 Weight of resin required:

\[
\text{Resin weight required} = \text{Glass wt (g)} - \% \text{ glass} \times \text{Glass wt (g)}
\]

12.2.4 Filled resin formulation determination for filled resin systems (e.g. >30 percent filler by weight for a particulate filler, or >1 percent by weight for a lightweight filler, such as hollow microspheres):

\[
\% \text{ Resin content} = \frac{\text{weight (g)}}{\text{weight (g)} + \text{glass weight (g)} + \text{filler weight (g)}}
\]

\[
\% \text{ Glass content} = \frac{\text{glass weight (g)} + \text{filler weight (g)}}{\text{weight (g)} + \text{glass weight (g)} + \text{filler weight (g)}}
\]
weight(g)/(resin weight(g) + glass weight(g) + filler weight(g))

Filler content = filler weight(g)/(resin weight(g) + glass weight(g) + filler weight(g))

12.2.5 Initiator weight determination:
Initiator weight (g) = Resin weight(g) × Initiator %

12.2.6 Emission weight loss determination:
Emissions weight loss (g) = Initial resin weight (g)−Final resin weight (g)

12.2.7 % Emission weight loss:
% Emission Weight Loss = (Emission weight loss (g) Initial resin weight (g)) × 100

12.2.8 Average % Emission Weight Loss (assuming six test runs):
\[
\text{Average % Emission Weight Loss} = \frac{\sum_{i}^{N} \left( \% \text{ Emission Weight Loss}_i \right)}{6}
\]

12.2.9 VSE Factor calculation:
VSE Factor = 1 −(Average % VS Emission Weight Loss/Average NVS Emission Weight Loss)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test #</th>
<th>% VS weight loss</th>
<th>% NVS weight loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>11.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>12.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>11.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>11.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.61</td>
<td>10.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Weight Loss</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>11.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSE Factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VSE Factor = 0.45

VSE Factor is used as input into the appropriate equation in Table 1 to this subpart.

Example from Table 1 to this subpart:

Manual Resin Application, 35 percent HAP resin, VSE Factor of 0.45

HAP Emissions with vapor suppressants = \((0.286 \times \% \text{HAP}) - 0.0529\) \times 2000 \times (1 - (0.5 \times \text{VSE factor}))

HAP Emissions with vapor suppressants = \((0.286 \times 0.35) - 0.0529\) \times 2000 \times (1 - (0.5 \times 0.45))

HAP Emissions with vapor suppressants = 73 pounds of HAP emissions per ton of resin.

13. Method Performance

13.1 Bias:

The bias of this test method has not been determined.

13.2 Precision Testing

13.2.1 Subsequent to the initial development of this test protocol by the Composites Fabricators Association, a series of tests were conducted in three different laboratory facilities. The purpose of this round robin testing was to verify the precision of the test method in various laboratories. Each laboratory received a sample of an orthophthalic polyester resin from the same production batch, containing 48 percent styrene by weight. Each testing site was also provided with the same vapor suppressant additive. The suppressant manufacturer specified the percentage level of suppressant additive. The resin manufacturer specified the type and level of initiator required to produce a 20 minute gel time. The target glass content was 30 percent by weight.

13.2.2 Each laboratory independently conducted the VSE test according to this method. A summary of the results is included in Table 13.1.

Table 13.1—Round Robin Testing Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test Lab 1</th>
<th>Test Lab 2</th>
<th>Test Lab 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NVS VS</td>
<td>NVS S</td>
<td>NVS VS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average percent WT Loss</td>
<td>4.24 1.15</td>
<td>4.69 1.84</td>
<td>5.73 1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>0.095 0.060</td>
<td>0.002 0.002</td>
<td>0.020 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSE Factor</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>0.607</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13.3 Comparison to EPA Reference Methods This test has no corresponding EPA reference method.

14. Pollution Prevention

The sample size used in this method produces a negligible emission of HAP, and has an insignificant impact upon the atmosphere.

15. Waste Management
The spent and waste materials generated during this test are disposed according to required facility procedures, and waste management recommendations on the corresponding material safety data sheets.

16. References and footnotes

16.1 Footnotes:

1 Balance Enclosure—The purpose of the balance enclosure is to prevent localized airflow from adversely affecting the laboratory balance. The enclosure may be a simple three-sided box with a top and an open face. The configuration of the enclosure is secondary to the purpose of providing a stable and steady balance reading, free from the effects of airflow, for accurate measurements. The enclosure can be fabricated locally. A typical enclosure is shown in Figure 17.1.

2 Laboratory Balance—Ohaus Precision Standard Series P/N TS400D or equivalent—Paul N. Gardner Co. 316 NE 1st St. Pompano Beach, FL 33060 or other suppliers.

3 Stop Watch—Local supply.

4 Thermometer—Mercury thermometer—ASTM No. 21C or equivalent; Digital thermometer—P/N TH–33033 or equivalent—Paul N. Gardner Co. 316 NE 1st St. Pompano Beach, FL 33060 or other suppliers.

5 Aluminum Pan—Local supply.

6 Mylar—Local supply.

7 Double Sided Tape—3M Double Stick Tape or equivalent, local supply.

8 Laboratory Beakers—250 to 400ml capacity—Local laboratory supply.

9 Eye Dropper or Pipette—Local laboratory supply.

10 Disposable Resin Application Roller Source—Wire Handle Roller P/N 205–050–300 or Plastic Handle Roller P/N 215–050–300 or equivalent; ES Manufacturing Inc., 2500 26st Ave. North, St. Petersburg, FL 33713, www.esmfg.com, or other source. Refer to Figure 17.3.

11 Hygrometer or Psychrometer—Model# THWD–1, or equivalent—Part # 975765 by Amprobe Instrument, 630 Merrick Road, P.O. Box 329, Lynbrook, NY 11563, 516–593–5600

12 Insulating Board (Teflon, cardboard, foam board etc.)—Local supply.

13 Laboratory Balance With Digital Output—Ohaus Precision Standard Series P/N TS120S or equivalent—Paul N. Gardner Co. 316 NE 1st St. Pompano Beach, FL 33060 or other suppliers.

14 Chopped Strand Mat—1.5 oz/ft² Sources: Owens Corning Fiberglas—Fiberglas M–723; PPG Industries—ABM HTX; Vetrotex America—M–127 or equivalent.

15 Certificate of Analysis: Resin gel time, as recorded on the resin certificate of analysis, is measured using a laboratory standard gel time procedure. This procedure typically uses a 100 gram cup sample at 77 °F (25 °C), a specific type of initiator and a specified percentage.

16 Roll-out times may vary with resin viscosity or resin additive. The important aspect of this step is to produce the same roll-out time for both the suppressed and non-suppressed samples.

17 While this test can be used with filled resin systems, the test is not designed to determine the effect of the filler on emissions, but rather to measure the effect of the suppressant additive in the resin system. When
evaluating a filled system both the non-vapor suppressed and vapor suppressed samples should be formulated with the same type and level of filler.

16.2 References

1. Phase 1—Baseline Study Hand Lay-up, CFA, 1996

2. CFA Vapor Suppressant Effectiveness Test Development, 4/3/98, correspondence with Dr. Madeleine Strum, EPA, OAQPS

3. CFA Vapor Suppressant Effectiveness Screening Tests, 4/4/98


17. Data Sheets and Figures

17.1 This data sheet, or a similar data sheet, is used to record the test data for filled, unfilled, suppressed and non-suppressed tests. If additional time is required, the data sheet may be extended.
Table 19.1 Test Data Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Number</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>VS (___)</th>
<th>NYS (___)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>Filled</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unfilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiator</td>
<td>Initiator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor Supressant</td>
<td>VS, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight of 2 layers of glass, g</th>
<th>Weight of 1&quot; glass layer, g</th>
<th>Weight of 2&quot; glass layer, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Resin Weight, g</td>
<td>Time (Min.)</td>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass content, (%)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Temperature F</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Humidity %</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin Initiator Level</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin gel time, Min.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resin filler content, %</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roll out time, Min.</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time, Min.</td>
<td>Weight, g</td>
<td>Temp, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

View or download PDF
17.2 Data Acceptance Criteria Worksheet:

The following worksheet is used to determine the quality of collected data (i.e. insure the data collected all meets acceptance criteria)

**Table 17.2—Data Acceptance Criteria Worksheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test No.</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Laminate roll out time, min</th>
<th>Relative humidity, %</th>
<th>Resin weight, (g)</th>
<th>Glass content, %</th>
<th>Resin distribution</th>
<th>Meets criteria Y/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 17.3 VSE Factor Calculation

**Table 17.3—Calculations Worksheet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vapor suppressed</th>
<th>Non-vapor suppressed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test #</td>
<td>% Weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Weight Loss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSE Factor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VSE Factor = $1 - (\% \text{ Average Weight Loss}_{\text{VSB}} / \% \text{ Average Weight Loss}_{\text{NVS}})$

### 17.4 Figures
Figure 17.1. Typical Balance Enclosure
Figure 17.2. Scale, Plate, Insulating Board, Mylar, Laminate Cidul
FRP Rollers
APPENDIX B

40 CFR 60, Subpart Dc
Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units
Subpart Dc—Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units

Source: 72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, unless otherwise noted.

§ 60.40c Applicability and delegation of authority.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d), (e), (f), and (g) of this section, the affected facility to which this subpart applies is each steam generating unit for which construction, modification, or reconstruction is commenced after June 9, 1989 and that has a maximum design heat input capacity of 29 megawatts (MW) (100 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr)) or less, but greater than or equal to 2.9 MW (10 MMBtu/hr).

(b) In delegating implementation and enforcement authority to a State under section 111(c) of the Clean Air Act, §60.48c(a)(4) shall be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to a State.

(c) Steam generating units that meet the applicability requirements in paragraph (a) of this section are not subject to the sulfur dioxide (SO₂) or particulate matter (PM) emission limits, performance testing requirements, or monitoring requirements under this subpart (§§60.42c, 60.43c, 60.44c, 60.45c, 60.46c, or 60.47c) during periods of combustion research, as defined in §60.41c.

(d) Any temporary change to an existing steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting combustion research is not considered a modification under §60.14.

(e) Heat recovery steam generators that are associated with combined cycle gas turbines and meet the applicability requirements of subpart KKKK of this part are not subject to this subpart. This subpart will continue to apply to all other heat recovery steam generators that are capable of combusting more than or equal to 2.9 MW (10 MMBtu/hr) heat input of fossil fuel but less than or equal to 29 MW (100 MMBtu/hr) heat input of fossil fuel. If the heat recovery steam generator is subject to this subpart, only emissions resulting from combustion of fuels in the steam generating unit are subject to this subpart. (The gas turbine emissions are subject to subpart GG or KKKK, as applicable, of this part).

(f) Any facility covered by subpart AAAA of this part is not subject by this subpart.

(g) Any facility covered by an EPA approved State or Federal section 111(d)/129 plan implementing subpart BBBB of this part is not subject by this subpart.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5090, Jan. 28, 2009]

§ 60.41c Definitions.

As used in this subpart, all terms not defined herein shall have the meaning given them in the Clean Air Act and in subpart A of this part.

Annual capacity factor means the ratio between the actual heat input to a steam generating unit from an individual fuel or combination of fuels during a period of 12 consecutive calendar months and the potential heat input to the steam generating unit from all fuels had the steam generating unit been operated for 8,760 hours during that 12-month period at the maximum design heat input capacity. In the case of steam generating units that are rented or leased, the actual heat input shall be determined based on the combined heat input from all operations of the affected facility during a period of 12 consecutive calendar months.

Coal means all solid fuels classified as anthracite, bituminous, subbituminous, or lignite by the American Society of Testing and Materials in ASTM D388 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17), coal refuse, and petroleum coke. Coal-derived synthetic fuels derived from coal for the purposes of creating useful heat, including but not limited to solvent refined coal, gasified coal not meeting the definition of natural gas, coal-oil mixtures, and coal-water mixtures, are also included in this definition for the purposes of this subpart.
Coal refuse means any by-product of coal mining or coal cleaning operations with an ash content greater than 50 percent (by weight) and a heating value less than 13,900 kilojoules per kilogram (kJ/kg) (6,000 Btu per pound (Btu/lb) on a dry basis.

Cogeneration steam generating unit means a steam generating unit that simultaneously produces both electrical (or mechanical) and thermal energy from the same primary energy source.

Combined cycle system means a system in which a separate source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, or kiln) provides exhaust gas to a steam generating unit.

Combustion research means the experimental firing of any fuel or combination of fuels in a steam generating unit for the purpose of conducting research and development of more efficient combustion or more effective prevention or control of air pollutant emissions from combustion, provided that, during these periods of research and development, the heat generated is not used for any purpose other than preheating combustion air for use by that steam generating unit (i.e., the heat generated is released to the atmosphere without being used for space heating, process heating, driving pumps, preheating combustion air for other units, generating electricity, or any other purpose).

Conventional technology means wet flue gas desulfurization technology, dry flue gas desulfurization technology, atmospheric fluidized bed combustion technology, and oil hydrodesulfurization technology.

Distillate oil means fuel oil that complies with the specifications for fuel oil numbers 1 or 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17) or diesel fuel oil numbers 1 or 2, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D975 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17).

Dry flue gas desulfurization technology means a SO₂ control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline reagent and water, whether introduced separately or as a premixed slurry or solution and forming a dry powder material. This definition includes devices where the dry powder material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in dry flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime and sodium compounds.

Duct burner means a device that combusts fuel and that is placed in the exhaust duct from another source (such as a stationary gas turbine, internal combustion engine, kiln, etc.) to allow the firing of additional fuel to heat the exhaust gases before the exhaust gases enter a steam generating unit.

Emerging technology means any SO₂ control system that is not defined as a conventional technology under this section, and for which the owner or operator of the affected facility has received approval from the Administrator to operate as an emerging technology under §60.48c(a)(4).

Federally enforceable means all limitations and conditions that are enforceable by the Administrator, including the requirements of 40 CFR parts 60 and 61, requirements within any applicable State implementation plan, and any permit requirements established under 40 CFR 52.21 or under 40 CFR 51.18 and 51.24.

Fluidized bed combustion technology means a device wherein fuel is distributed onto a bed (or series of beds) of limestone aggregate (or other sorbent materials) for combustion; and these materials are forced upward in the device by the flow of combustion air and the gaseous products of combustion. Fluidized bed combustion technology includes, but is not limited to, bubbling bed units and circulating bed units.

Fuel pretreatment means a process that removes a portion of the sulfur in a fuel before combustion of the fuel in a steam generating unit.

Heat input means heat derived from combustion of fuel in a steam generating unit and does not include the heat derived from preheated combustion air, recirculated flue gases, or exhaust gases from other sources (such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns).
Heat transfer medium means any material that is used to transfer heat from one point to another point.

Maximum design heat input capacity means the ability of a steam generating unit to combust a stated maximum amount of fuel (or combination of fuels) on a steady state basis as determined by the physical design and characteristics of the steam generating unit.

Natural gas means:

(1) A naturally occurring mixture of hydrocarbon and nonhydrocarbon gases found in geologic formations beneath the earth's surface, of which the principal constituent is methane; or

(2) Liquefied petroleum (LP) gas, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D1835 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17); or

(3) A mixture of hydrocarbons that maintains a gaseous state at ISO conditions. Additionally, natural gas must either be composed of at least 70 percent methane by volume or have a gross calorific value between 34 and 43 megajoules (MJ) per dry standard cubic meter (910 and 1,150 Btu per dry standard cubic foot).

Noncontinental area means the State of Hawaii, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the Northern Mariana Islands.

Oil means crude oil or petroleum, or a liquid fuel derived from crude oil or petroleum, including distillate oil and residual oil.

Potential sulfur dioxide emission rate means the theoretical SO₂ emissions (nanograms per joule (ng/J) or lb/MMBtu heat input) that would result from combusting fuel in an uncleaned state and without using emission control systems.

Process heater means a device that is primarily used to heat a material to initiate or promote a chemical reaction in which the material participates as a reactant or catalyst.

Residual oil means crude oil, fuel oil that does not comply with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil, and all fuel oil numbers 4, 5, and 6, as defined by the American Society for Testing and Materials in ASTM D396 (incorporated by reference, see §60.17).

Steam generating unit means a device that combusts any fuel and produces steam or heats water or heats any heat transfer medium. This term includes any duct burner that combusts fuel and is part of a combined cycle system. This term does not include process heaters as defined in this subpart.

Steam generating unit operating day means a 24-hour period between 12:00 midnight and the following midnight during which any fuel is combusted at any time in the steam generating unit. It is not necessary for fuel to be combusted continuously for the entire 24-hour period.

Wet flue gas desulfurization technology means an SO₂ control system that is located between the steam generating unit and the exhaust vent or stack, and that removes sulfur oxides from the combustion gases of the steam generating unit by contacting the combustion gases with an alkaline slurry or solution and forming a liquid material. This definition includes devices where the liquid material is subsequently converted to another form. Alkaline reagents used in wet flue gas desulfurization systems include, but are not limited to, lime, limestone, and sodium compounds.

Wet scrubber system means any emission control device that mixes an aqueous stream or slurry with the exhaust gases from a steam generating unit to control emissions of PM or SO₂.

Wood means wood, wood residue, bark, or any derivative fuel or residue thereof, in any form, including but not limited to sawdust, sanderdust, wood chips, scraps, slabs, millings, shavings, and processed pellets made from wood or other forest residues.
§ 60.42c Standard for sulfur dioxide (SO₂).

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (b), (c), and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, the owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts only coal shall neither: cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 10 percent (0.10) of the potential SO₂ emission rate (50 percent reduction), nor cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/MMBtu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels, the affected facility shall neither: cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 10 percent (0.10) of the potential SO₂ emission rate (90 percent reduction), nor cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from the affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(b) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (e) of this section, on and after the date on which the performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, the owner or operator of an affected facility that:

(1) Combusts only coal refuse alone in a fluidized bed combustion steam generating unit shall neither:

(i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input or 20 percent (0.20) of the potential SO₂ emission rate (80 percent reduction); nor

(ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 520 ng/J (1.2 lb/MMBtu) heat input. If coal is fired with coal refuse, the affected facility subject to paragraph (a) of this section. If oil or any other fuel (except coal) is fired with coal refuse, the affected facility is subject to the 87 ng/J (0.20 lb/MMBtu) heat input SO₂ emissions limit or the 90 percent SO₂ reduction requirement specified in paragraph (a) of this section and the emission limit is determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(2) Combusts only coal and that uses an emerging technology for the control of SO₂ emissions shall neither:

(i) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 50 percent (0.50) of the potential SO₂ emission rate (50 percent reduction); nor

(ii) Cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 260 ng/J (0.60 lb/MMBtu) heat input. If coal is combusted with other fuels, the affected facility is subject to the 50 percent SO₂ reduction requirement specified in this paragraph and the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, alone or in combination with any other fuel, and is listed in paragraphs (c)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of the emission limit determined pursuant to paragraph (e)(2) of this section. Percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under paragraphs (c)(1), (2), (3), or (4).

(1) Affected facilities that have a heat input capacity of 22 MW (75 MMBtu/hr) or less.

(2) Affected facilities that have an annual capacity for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less and are subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for coal of 55 percent (0.55) or less.

(3) Affected facilities located in a noncontinental area.
(4) Affected facilities that combust coal in a duct burner as part of a combined cycle system where 30 percent (0.30) or less of the heat entering the steam generating unit is from combustion of coal in the duct burner and 70 percent (0.70) or more of the heat entering the steam generating unit is from exhaust gases entering the duct burner.

(d) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of 215 ng/J (0.50 lb/MMBtu) heat input; or, as an alternative, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts oil shall combust oil in the affected facility that contains greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur. The percent reduction requirements are not applicable to affected facilities under this paragraph.

(e) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain SO₂ in excess of the following:

(1) The percent of potential SO₂ emission rate or numerical SO₂ emission rate required under paragraph (a) or (b)(2) of this section, as applicable, for any affected facility that

(i) Combusts coal in combination with any other fuel;

(ii) Has a heat input capacity greater than 22 MW (75 MMBtu/hr); and

(iii) Has an annual capacity factor for coal greater than 55 percent (0.55); and

(2) The emission limit determined according to the following formula for any affected facility that combusts coal, oil, or coal and oil with any other fuel:

\[ E_t = \frac{(K_a H_a + K_b H_b + K_c H_c)}{(H_a + H_b + H_c)} \]

Where:

\[ E_t = \text{SO}_2\text{ emission limit, expressed in ng/J or lb/MMBtu heat input;} \]

\[ K_a = 520 \text{ ng/J (1.2 lb/MMBtu);} \]

\[ K_b = 260 \text{ ng/J (0.60 lb/MMBtu);} \]

\[ K_c = 215 \text{ ng/J (0.50 lb/MMBtu);} \]

\[ H_a = \text{Heat input from the combustion of coal, except coal combusted in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in Joules (J) [MMBtu];} \]

\[ H_b = \text{Heat input from the combustion of coal in an affected facility subject to paragraph (b)(2) of this section, in J (MMBtu); and} \]

\[ H_c = \text{Heat input from the combustion of oil, in J (MMBtu).} \]

(f) Reduction in the potential SO₂ emission rate through fuel pretreatment is not credited toward the percent reduction requirement under paragraph (b)(2) of this section unless:
(1) Fuel pretreatment results in a 50 percent (0.50) or greater reduction in the potential SO₂ emission rate; and

(2) Emissions from the pretreated fuel (without either combustion or post-combustion SO₂ control) are equal to or less than the emission limits specified under paragraph (b)(2) of this section.

(g) Except as provided in paragraph (h) of this section, compliance with the percent reduction requirements, fuel oil sulfur limits, and emission limits of this section shall be determined on a 30-day rolling average basis.

(h) For affected facilities listed under paragraphs (h)(1), (2), or (3) of this section, compliance with the emission limits or fuel oil sulfur limits under this section may be determined based on a certification from the fuel supplier, as described under §60.48c(f), as applicable.

(1) Distillate oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 29 MW (10 and 100 MMBtu/hr).

(2) Residual oil-fired affected facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 MMBtu/hr).

(3) Coal-fired facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 and 30 MMBtu/hr).

(i) The SO₂ emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, and percent reduction requirements under this section apply at all times, including periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction.

(j) For affected facilities located in noncontinental areas and affected facilities complying with the percent reduction standard, only the heat input supplied to the affected facility from the combustion of coal and oil is counted under this section. No credit is provided for the heat input to the affected facility from wood or other fuels or for heat derived from exhaust gases from other sources, such as stationary gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and kilns.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5090, Jan. 28, 2009]

§ 60.43c Standard for particulate matter (PM).

(a) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification on or before February 28, 2005, that combusts coal or combusts mixtures of coal with other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of the following emission limits:

(1) 22 ng/J (0.051 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility combusts only coal, or combusts coal with other fuels and has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels of 10 percent (0.10) or less.

(2) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility combusts coal with other fuels, has an annual capacity factor for the other fuels greater than 10 percent (0.10), and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor greater than 10 percent (0.10) for fuels other than coal.

(b) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification on or before February 28, 2005, that combusts wood or combusts mixtures of wood with other fuels (except coal) and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater, shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of the following emissions limits:
(1) 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood greater than 30 percent (0.30); or

(2) 130 ng/J (0.30 lb/MMBtu) heat input if the affected facility has an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less and is subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting operation of the affected facility to an annual capacity factor for wood of 30 percent (0.30) or less.

(c) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that can combust coal, wood, or oil and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that exhibit greater than 20 percent opacity (6-minute average), except for one 6-minute period per hour of not more than 27 percent opacity. Owners and operators of an affected facility that elect to install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for measuring PM emissions according to the requirements of this subpart and are subject to a federally enforceable PM limit of 0.030 lb/MMBtu or less are exempt from the opacity standard specified in this paragraph.

(d) The PM and opacity standards under this section apply at all times, except during periods of startup, shutdown, or malfunction.

(e)(1) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that commences construction, reconstruction, or modification after February 28, 2005, and that combusts coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of 13 ng/J (0.030 lb/MMBtu) heat input, except as provided in paragraphs (e)(2), (e)(3), and (e)(4) of this section.

(2) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (e)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility for which modification commenced after February 28, 2005, may elect to meet the requirements of this paragraph. On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of both:

(i) 22 ng/J (0.051 lb/MMBtu) heat input derived from the combustion of coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels; and

(ii) 0.2 percent of the combustion concentration (99.8 percent reduction) when combusting coal, oil, wood, a mixture of these fuels, or a mixture of these fuels with any other fuels.

(3) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts over 30 percent wood (by heat input) on an annual basis and has a heat input capacity of 8.7 MW (30 MMBtu/hr) or greater shall cause to be discharged into the atmosphere from that affected facility any gases that contain PM in excess of 43 ng/J (0.10 lb/MMBtu) heat input.

(4) On and after the date on which the initial performance test is completed or is required to be completed under §60.8, whichever date comes first, no owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts only oil that contains no more than 0.50 weight percent sulfur or a mixture of 0.50 weight percent sulfur oil with other fuels not subject to a PM standard under §60.43c and not using a post-combustion technology (except a wet scrubber) to reduce PM or SO2 emissions is not subject to the PM limit in this section.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

§ 60.44c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for sulfur dioxide.
(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (g) and (h) of this section and §60.8(b), performance tests required under §60.8 shall be conducted following the procedures specified in paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section, as applicable. Section 60.8(f) does not apply to this section. The 30-day notice required in §60.8(d) applies only to the initial performance test unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

(b) The initial performance test required under §60.8 shall be conducted over 30 consecutive operating days of the steam generating unit. Compliance with the percent reduction requirements and SO₂ emission limits under §60.42c shall be determined using a 30-day average. The first operating day included in the initial performance test shall be scheduled within 30 days after achieving the maximum production rate at which the affected facility will be operated, but not later than 180 days after the initial startup of the facility. The steam generating unit load during the 30-day period does not have to be the maximum design heat input capacity, but must be representative of future operating conditions.

(c) After the initial performance test required under paragraph (b) of this section and §60.8, compliance with the percent reduction requirements and SO₂ emission limits under §60.42c is based on the average percent reduction and the average SO₂ emission rates for 30 consecutive steam generating unit operating days. A separate performance test is completed at the end of each steam generating unit operating day, and a new 30-day average percent reduction and SO₂ emission rate are calculated to show compliance with the standard.

(d) If only coal, only oil, or a mixture of coal and oil is combusted in an affected facility, the procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part are used to determine the hourly SO₂ emission rate (Eₜₒ) and the 30-day average SO₂ emission rate (Eₐₒ). The hourly averages used to compute the 30-day averages are obtained from the CEMS. Method 19 of appendix A of this part shall be used to calculate Eₐₒ when using daily fuel sampling or Method 6B of appendix A of this part.

(e) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels:

(1) An adjusted Eₜₒ (Eₜₒ) is used in Equation 19–19 of Method 19 of appendix A of this part to compute the adjusted Eₐₒ (Eₐₒ). The Eₜₒ is computed using the following formula:

\[
Eₜₒ = \frac{Eₜₒ - E_w (1 - X_k)}{X_k}
\]

Where:

\(Eₜₒ\) = Adjusted Eₜₒ, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);

\(Eₜₒ\) = Hourly SO₂ emission rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);

\(E_w\) = SO₂ concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 9 of appendix A of this part, ng/J (lb/MMBtu). The value \(E_w\) for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure \(E_w\) if the owner or operator elects to assume \(E_w = 0\).

\(X_k\) = Fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.

(2) The owner or operator of an affected facility that qualifies under the provisions of §60.42c(c) or (d) (where percent reduction is not required) does not have to measure the parameters \(E_w\) or \(X_k\) if the owner or operator of the affected facility elects to measure emission rates of the coal or oil using the fuel sampling and analysis procedures under Method 19 of appendix A of this part.
(f) Affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c(a) or (b) shall determine compliance with the SO₂ emission limits under §60.42c pursuant to paragraphs (d) or (e) of this section, and shall determine compliance with the percent reduction requirements using the following procedures:

(1) If only coal is combusted, the percent of potential SO₂ emission rate is computed using the following formula:

\[
\%P_s = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{\%R_g}{100} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\%R_f}{100} \right)
\]

Where:

\( \%P_s \) = Potential SO₂ emission rate, in percent;

\( \%R_g \) = SO₂ removal efficiency of the control device as determined by Method 19 of appendix A of this part, in percent; and

\( \%R_f \) = SO₂ removal efficiency of fuel pretreatment as determined by Method 19 of appendix A of this part, in percent.

(2) If coal, oil, or coal and oil are combusted with other fuels, the same procedures required in paragraph (f)(1) of this section are used, except as provided for in the following:

(i) To compute the \( \%P_s \), an adjusted \( \%R_g(\%R_{yo}) \) is computed from \( E_{ao} \) from paragraph (e)(1) of this section and an adjusted average SO₂ inlet rate (\( E_{a0} \)) using the following formula:

\[
\%R_{yo} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{E_{ao}^*}{E_{a0}} \right)
\]

Where:

\( \%R_{yo} \) = Adjusted \( \%R_g \), in percent;

\( E_{ao} \) = Adjusted \( E_{ao} \), ng/J (lb/MMBtu); and

\( E_{a0} \) = Adjusted average SO₂ inlet rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu).

(ii) To compute \( E_{ao} \), an adjusted hourly SO₂ inlet rate (\( E_{h0} \)) is used. The \( E_{h0} \) is computed using the following formula:

\[
E_{h0}^* = \frac{E_{h} - E_{wo}(1 - X_3)}{X_3}
\]

Where:

\( E_{h0} \) = Adjusted \( E_{h} \), ng/J (lb/MMBtu);

\( E_{h} \) = Hourly SO₂ inlet rate, ng/J (lb/MMBtu);
\( E_w \) = \( SO_2 \) concentration in fuels other than coal and oil combusted in the affected facility, as determined by fuel sampling and analysis procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part, ng/J (lb/MMBtu). The value \( E_w \) for each fuel lot is used for each hourly average during the time that the lot is being combusted. The owner or operator does not have to measure \( E_w \) if the owner or operator elects to assume \( E_w = 0 \); and

\( X_k \) = Fraction of the total heat input from fuel combustion derived from coal and oil, as determined by applicable procedures in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.

(g) For oil-fired affected facilities where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the fuel oil sulfur limits under \$60.42c based on shipment fuel sampling, the initial performance test shall consist of sampling and analyzing the oil in the initial tank of oil to be fired in the steam generating unit to demonstrate that the oil contains 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less. Thereafter, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall sample the oil in the fuel tank after each new shipment of oil is received, as described under \$60.46c(d)(2).

(h) For affected facilities subject to \$60.42c(h)(1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator seeks to demonstrate compliance with the \( SO_2 \) standards based on fuel supplier certification, the performance test shall consist of the certification from the fuel supplier, as described in \$60.48c(f), as applicable.

(i) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the \( SO_2 \) standards under \$60.42c(c)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.

(j) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall use all valid \( SO_2 \) emissions data in calculating \%P\(_d\) and \( E_{\text{no}} \) under paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable, whether or not the minimum emissions data requirements under \$60.46c(f) are achieved. All valid emissions data, including valid data collected during periods of startup, shutdown, and malfunction, shall be used in calculating \%P\(_d\) or \( E_{\text{no}} \) pursuant to paragraphs (d), (e), or (f) of this section, as applicable.

[72 FR 32759, June 13, 2007, as amended at 74 FR 5091, Jan. 28, 2009]

\section*{§ 60.45c Compliance and performance test methods and procedures for particulate matter.}

(a) The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the PM and/or opacity standards under \$60.43c shall conduct an initial performance test as required under \$60.8, and shall conduct subsequent performance tests as requested by the Administrator, to determine compliance with the standards using the following procedures and reference methods, except as specified in paragraph (c) of this section.

(1) Method 1 of appendix A of this part shall be used to select the sampling site and the number of traverse sampling points.

(2) Method 3A or 3B of appendix A–2 of this part shall be used for gas analysis when applying Method 5 or 5B of appendix A–3 of this part or 17 of appendix A–6 of this part.

(3) Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part shall be used to measure the concentration of PM as follows:

(i) Method 5 of appendix A of this part may be used only at affected facilities without wet scrubber systems.
(ii) Method 17 of appendix A of this part may be used at affected facilities with or without wet scrubber systems provided the stack gas temperature does not exceed a temperature of 160 °C (320 °F). The procedures of Sections 8.1 and 11.1 of Method 5B of appendix A of this part may be used in Method 17 of appendix A of this part only if Method 17 of appendix A of this part is used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system. Method 17 of appendix A of this part shall not be used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system if the effluent is saturated or laden with water droplets.

(iii) Method 5B of appendix A of this part may be used in conjunction with a wet scrubber system.

(4) The sampling time for each run shall be at least 120 minutes and the minimum sampling volume shall be 1.7 dry standard cubic meters (dscm) [60 dry standard cubic feet (dscf)] except that smaller sampling times or volumes may be approved by the Administrator when necessitated by process variables or other factors.

(5) For Method 5 or 5B of appendix A of this part, the temperature of the sample gas in the probe and filter holder shall be monitored and maintained at 160 ±14 °C (320±25 °F).

(6) For determination of PM emissions, an oxygen (O₂) or carbon dioxide (CO₂) measurement shall be obtained simultaneously with each run of Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part by traversing the duct at the same sampling location.

(7) For each run using Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part, the emission rates expressed in $\text{ng/J (lb/MM\text{\textdegree}tu)}$ heat input shall be determined using:

(i) The $O_2$ or $CO_2$ measurements and PM measurements obtained under this section, (ii) The dry basis $F$ factor, and

(iii) The dry basis emission rate calculation procedure contained in Method 19 of appendix A of this part.

(8) Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part shall be used for determining the opacity of stack emissions.

(b) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance with the PM standards under §60.43c(b)(2) shall demonstrate the maximum design heat input capacity of the steam generating unit by operating the steam generating unit at this capacity for 24 hours. This demonstration shall be made during the initial performance test, and a subsequent demonstration may be requested at any other time. If the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate for the affected facility is less than the maximum design heat input capacity stated by the manufacturer of the affected facility, the demonstrated 24-hour average firing rate shall be used to determine the annual capacity factor for the affected facility; otherwise, the maximum design heat input capacity provided by the manufacturer shall be used.

(c) In place of PM testing with Method 5 or 5B of appendix A-3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A-6 of this part, an owner or operator may elect to install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for monitoring PM emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility who elects to continuously monitor PM emissions instead of conducting performance testing using Method 5 or 5B of appendix A-3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A-6 of this part shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS and shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(14) of this section.

(1) Notify the Administrator 1 month before starting use of the system.

(2) Notify the Administrator 1 month before stopping use of the system.

(3) The monitor shall be installed, evaluated, and operated in accordance with §60.13 of subpart A of this part.

(4) The initial performance evaluation shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of initial startup of the affected facility, as specified under §60.8 of subpart A of this part or within 180 days of
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notification to the Administrator of use of CEMS if the owner or operator was previously determining compliance by Method 5, 5B, or 17 of appendix A of this part performance tests, whichever is later.

(5) The owner or operator of an affected facility shall conduct an initial performance test for PM emissions as required under §60.8 of subpart A of this part. Compliance with the PM emission limit shall be determined by using the CEMS specified in paragraph (d) of this section to measure PM and calculating a 24-hour block arithmetic average emission concentration using EPA Reference Method 19 of appendix A of this part, section 4.1.

(6) Compliance with the PM emission limit shall be determined based on the 24-hour daily (block) average of the hourly arithmetic average emission concentrations using CEMS outlet data.

(7) At a minimum, valid CEMS hourly averages shall be obtained as specified in paragraph (c)(7)(i) of this section for 75 percent of the total operating hours per 30-day rolling average.

(i) At least two data points per hour shall be used to calculate each 1-hour arithmetic average.

(ii) [Reserved]

(8) The 1-hour arithmetic averages required under paragraph (c)(7) of this section shall be expressed in ng/J or lb/MMBtu heat input and shall be used to calculate the boiler operating day daily arithmetic average emission concentrations. The 1-hour arithmetic averages shall be calculated using the data points required under §60.13(e)(2) of subpart A of this part.

(9) All valid CEMS data shall be used in calculating average emission concentrations even if the minimum CEMS data requirements of paragraph (c)(7) of this section are not met.

(10) The CEMS shall be operated according to Performance Specification 11 in appendix B of this part.

(11) During the correlation testing runs of the CEMS required by Performance Specification 11 in appendix B of this part, PM and O2(or CO2) data shall be collected concurrently (or within a 30- to 60-minute period) by both the continuous emission monitors and performance tests conducted using the following test methods.

(i) For PM, Method 5 or 5B of appendix A–3 of this part or Method 17 of appendix A–6 of this part shall be used; and

(ii) For O2 (or CO2), Method 3A or 3B of appendix A–2 of this part, as applicable shall be used.

(12) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with procedure 2 in appendix F of this part. Relative Response Audit's must be performed annually and Response Correlation Audit's must be performed every 3 years.

(13) When PM emissions data are not obtained because of CEMS breakdowns, repairs, calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments, emissions data shall be obtained by using other monitoring systems as approved by the Administrator or EPA Reference Method 19 of appendix A of this part to provide, as necessary, valid emissions data for a minimum of 75 percent of total operating hours on a 30-day rolling average.

(14) After July 1, 2011, within 90 days after the date of completing each performance evaluation required by paragraph (c)(11) of this section, the owner or operator of the affected facility must either submit the test data to EPA by successfully entering the data electronically into EPA's WebFIRE database available at http://cfpub.epa.gov/oarweb/index.cfm?action=fire.main or mail a copy to: United States Environmental Protection Agency; Energy Strategies Group; 109 TW Alexander DR; Mail Code: D243–01; RTP, NC 27711.

(d) The owner or operator of an affected facility seeking to demonstrate compliance under §60.43c(e)(4) shall follow the applicable procedures under §60.48c(f). For residual oil-fired affected facilities, fuel supplier
certifications are only allowed for facilities with heat input capacities between 2.9 and 8.7 MW (10 to 30 MMBtu/hr).


§ 60.46c Emission monitoring for sulfur dioxide.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission limits under §60.42c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a CEMS for measuring \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentrations and either \( \text{O}_2 \) or \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations at the outlet of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device (or the outlet of the steam generating unit if no \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device is used), and shall record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall measure \( \text{SO}_2 \) concentrations and either \( \text{O}_2 \) or \( \text{CO}_2 \) concentrations at both the inlet and outlet of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device.

(b) The 1-hour average \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rates measured by a CEMS shall be expressed in \( \text{ng/J} \) or \( \text{lb/MMBtu} \) heat input and shall be used to calculate the average emission rates under §60.42c. Each 1-hour average \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate must be based on at least 30 minutes of operation, and shall be calculated using the data points required under §60.13(h)(2). Hourly \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rates are not calculated if the affected facility is operated less than 30 minutes in a 1-hour period and are not counted toward determination of a steam generating unit operating day.

(c) The procedures under §60.13 shall be followed for installation, evaluation, and operation of the CEMS.

(1) All CEMS shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specifications 1, 2, and 3 of appendix B of this part.

(2) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests shall be performed in accordance with Procedure 1 of appendix F of this part.

(3) For affected facilities subject to the percent reduction requirements under §60.42c, the span value of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) CEMS at the inlet to the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate of the fuel combusted, and the span value of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) CEMS at the outlet from the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device shall be 50 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate of the fuel combusted.

(4) For affected facilities that are not subject to the percent reduction requirements of §60.42c, the span value of the \( \text{SO}_2 \) CEMS at the outlet from the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device is used) shall be 125 percent of the maximum estimated hourly potential \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate of the fuel combusted.

(d) As an alternative to operating a CEMS at the inlet to the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate by sampling the fuel prior to combustion. As an alternative to operating a CEMS at the outlet from the \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device (or outlet of the steam generating unit if no \( \text{SO}_2 \) control device is used) as required under paragraph (a) of this section, an owner or operator may elect to determine the average \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate by using Method 6B of appendix A of this part. Fuel sampling shall be conducted pursuant to either paragraph (d)(1) or (d)(2) of this section. Method 6B of appendix A of this part shall be conducted pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(1) For affected facilities combusting coal or oil, coal or oil samples shall be collected daily in an as-fired condition at the inlet to the steam generating unit and analyzed for sulfur content and heat content according the Method 19 of appendix A of this part. Method 19 of appendix A of this part provides procedures for converting these measurements into the format to be used in calculating the average \( \text{SO}_2 \) input rate.

(2) As an alternative fuel sampling procedure for affected facilities combusting oil, oil samples may be collected from the fuel tank for each steam generating unit immediately after the fuel tank is filled and before any oil is combusted. The owner or operator of the affected facility shall analyze the oil sample to determine
the sulfur content of the oil. If a partially empty fuel tank is refilled, a new sample and analysis of the fuel in the tank would be required upon filling. Results of the fuel analysis taken after each new shipment of oil is received shall be used as the daily value when calculating the 30-day rolling average until the next shipment is received. If the fuel analysis shows that the sulfur content in the fuel tank is greater than 0.5 weight percent sulfur, the owner or operator shall ensure that the sulfur content of subsequent oil shipments is low enough to cause the 30-day rolling average sulfur content to be 0.5 weight percent sulfur or less.

(3) Method 6B of appendix A of this part may be used in lieu of CEMS to measure SO\textsubscript{2} at the inlet or outlet of the SO\textsubscript{2} control system. An initial stratification test is required to verify the adequacy of the Method 6B of appendix A of this part sampling location. The stratification test shall consist of three paired runs of a suitable SO\textsubscript{2} and CO\textsubscript{2} measurement train operated at the candidate location and a second similar train operated according to the procedures in §3.2 and the applicable procedures in section 7 of Performance Specification 2 of appendix B of this part. Method 6B of appendix A of this part, Method 6A of appendix A of this part, or a combination of Methods 6 and 3 of appendix A of this part or Methods 6C and 3A of appendix A of this part are suitable measurement techniques. If Method 6B of appendix A of this part is used for the second train, sampling time and timer operation may be adjusted for the stratification test as long as an adequate sample volume is collected; however, both sampling trains are to be operated similarly. For the location to be adequate for Method 6B of appendix A of this part 24-hour tests, the mean of the absolute difference between the three paired runs must be less than 10 percent (0.10).

(e) The monitoring requirements of paragraphs (a) and (d) of this section shall not apply to affected facilities subject to §60.42c(h) (1), (2), or (3) where the owner or operator of the affected facility seeks to demonstrate compliance with the SO\textsubscript{2} standards based on fuel supplier certification, as described under §60.48c(f), as applicable.

(f) The owner or operator of an affected facility operating a CEMS pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, or conducting as-fired fuel sampling pursuant to paragraph (d)(1) of this section, shall obtain emission data for at least 75 percent of the operating hours in at least 22 out of 30 successive steam generating unit operating days. If this minimum data requirement is not met with a single monitoring system, the owner or operator of the affected facility shall supplement the emission data with data collected with other monitoring systems as approved by the Administrator.

§ 60.47c Emission monitoring for particulate matter.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility combusting coal, oil, or wood that is subject to the opacity standards under §60.43c shall install, calibrate, maintain, and operate a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) for measuring the opacity of the emissions discharged to the atmosphere and record the output of the system. The owner or operator of an affected facility subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) that is not required to use a COMS due to paragraphs (c), (d), (e), or (f) of this section that elects not to use a COMS shall conduct a performance test using Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part and the procedures in §60.11 to demonstrate compliance with the applicable limit in §60.43c by April 29, 2011, within 45 days of stopping use of an existing COMS, or 180 days after initial startup of the facility, whichever is later, and shall comply with either paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this section. The observation period for Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance tests may be reduced from 3 hours to 60 minutes if all 6-minute averages are less than 10 percent and all individual 15-second observations are less than or equal to 20 percent during the initial 60 minutes of observation.

(1) Except as provided in paragraph (a)(2) and (a)(3) of this section, the owner or operator shall conduct subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance tests using the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section according to the applicable schedule in paragraphs (a)(1)(i) through (a)(1)(iv) of this section, as determined by the most recent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test results.

(i) If no visible emissions are observed, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part performance test must be completed within 12 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted;
(ii) If visible emissions are observed but the maximum 6-minute average opacity is less than or equal to 5 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test must be completed within 6 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted; 

(iii) If the maximum 6-minute average opacity is greater than 5 percent but less than or equal to 10 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test must be completed within 3 calendar months from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted; or 

(iv) If the maximum 6-minute average opacity is greater than 10 percent, a subsequent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test must be completed within 45 calendar days from the date that the most recent performance test was conducted. 

(2) If the maximum 6-minute opacity is less than 10 percent during the most recent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to performing subsequent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance tests, elect to perform subsequent monitoring using Method 22 of appendix A-7 of this part according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (a)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section. 

(i) The owner or operator shall conduct 10 minute observations (during normal operation) each operating day the affected facility fires fuel for which an opacity standard is applicable using Method 22 of appendix A-7 of this part and demonstrate that the sum of the occurrences of any visible emissions is not in excess of 5 percent of the observation period (i.e., 30 seconds per 10 minute period). If the sum of the occurrence of any visible emissions is greater than 30 seconds during the initial 10 minute observation, immediately conduct a 30 minute observation. If the sum of the occurrence of visible emissions is greater than 5 percent of the observation period (i.e., 90 seconds per 30 minute period), the owner or operator shall either document and adjust the operation of the facility and demonstrate within 24 hours that the sum of the occurrence of visible emissions is equal to or less than 5 percent during a 30 minute observation (i.e., 90 seconds) or conduct a new Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test using the procedures in paragraph (a) of this section within 45 calendar days according to the requirements in §60.45c(a)(8). 

(ii) If no visible emissions are observed for 30 operating days during which an opacity standard is applicable, observations can be reduced to once every 7 operating days during which an opacity standard is applicable. If any visible emissions are observed, daily observations shall be resumed. 

(3) If the maximum 6-minute opacity is less than 10 percent during the most recent Method 9 of appendix A-4 of this part performance test, the owner or operator may, as an alternative to performing subsequent Method 9 of appendix A-4 performance tests, elect to perform subsequent monitoring using a digital opacity compliance system according to a site-specific monitoring plan approved by the Administrator. The observations shall be similar, but not necessarily identical, to the requirements in paragraph (a)(2) of this section. For reference purposes in preparing the monitoring plan, see OAQPS "Determination of Visible Emission Opacity from Stationary Sources Using Computer-Based Photographic Analysis Systems." This document is available from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA); Office of Air Quality and Planning Standards; Sector Policies and Programs Division; Measurement Policy Group (D243-02), Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. This document is also available on the Technology Transfer Network (TTN) under Emission Measurement Center Preliminary Methods. 

(b) All COMS shall be operated in accordance with the applicable procedures under Performance Specification 1 of appendix B of this part. The span value of the opacity COMS shall be between 60 and 80 percent. 

(c) Owners and operators of an affected facilities that burn only distillate oil that contains no more than 0.5 weight percent sulfur and/or liquid or gaseous fuels with potential sulfur dioxide emission rates of 26 ng/J (0.060 lb/MMBtu) heat input or less and that do not use a post-combustion technology to reduce SO2 or PM emissions and that are subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) are not required to operate a COMS if they follow the applicable procedures in §60.48c(f). 

(d) Owners or operators complying with the PM emission limit by using a PM CEMS must calibrate, maintain, operate, and record the output of the system for PM emissions discharged to the atmosphere as specified in §60.45c(c). The CEMS specified in paragraph §60.45c(c) shall be operated and data recorded
during all periods of operation of the affected facility except for CEMS breakdowns and repairs. Data is recorded during calibration checks, and zero and span adjustments.

(e) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that does not use post-combustion technology (except a wet scrubber) for reducing PM, SO₂, or carbon monoxide (CO) emissions, burns only gaseous fuels or fuel oils that contain less than or equal to 0.5 weight percent sulfur, and is operated such that emissions of CO discharged to the atmosphere from the affected facility are maintained at levels less than or equal to 0.15 lb/MMBtu on a boiler operating day average basis is not required to operate a COMS. Owners and operators of affected facilities electing to comply with this paragraph must demonstrate compliance according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (e)(1) through (4) of this section; or

(1) You must monitor CO emissions using a CEMS according to the procedures specified in paragraphs (e)(1)(i) through (iv) of this section.

(i) The CO CEMS must be installed, certified, maintained, and operated according to the provisions in §60.58b(i)(3) of subpart Eb of this part.

(ii) Each 1-hour CO emissions average is calculated using the data points generated by the CO CEMS expressed in parts per million by volume corrected to 3 percent oxygen (dry basis).

(iii) At a minimum, valid 1-hour CO emissions averages must be obtained for at least 90 percent of the operating hours on a 30-day rolling average basis. The 1-hour averages are calculated using the data points required in §60.13(h)(2).

(iv) Quarterly accuracy determinations and daily calibration drift tests for the CO CEMS must be performed in accordance with procedure 1 in appendix F of this part.

(2) You must calculate the 1-hour average CO emissions levels for each steam generating unit operating day by multiplying the average hourly CO output concentration measured by the CO CEMS times the corresponding average hourly flue gas flow rate and divided by the corresponding average hourly heat input to the affected source. The 24-hour average CO emission level is determined by calculating the arithmetic average of the hourly CO emission levels computed for each steam generating unit operating day.

(3) You must evaluate the preceding 24-hour average CO emission level each steam generating unit operating day excluding periods of affected source startup, shutdown, or malfunction. If the 24-hour average CO emission level is greater than 0.15 lb/MMBtu, you must initiate investigation of the relevant equipment and control systems within 24 hours of the first discovery of the high emission incident and, take the appropriate corrective action as soon as practicable to adjust control settings or repair equipment to reduce the 24-hour average CO emission level to 0.15 lb/MMBtu or less.

(4) You must record the CO measurements and calculations performed according to paragraph (e) of this section and any corrective actions taken. The record of corrective action taken must include the date and time during which the 24-hour average CO emission level was greater than 0.15 lb/MMBtu, and the date, time, and description of the corrective action.

(f) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that uses a bag leak detection system to monitor the performance of a fabric filter (baghouse) according to the most recent requirements in section §60.48Da of this part is not required to operate a COMS.

(g) Owners and operators of an affected facility that is subject to an opacity standard in §60.43c(c) and that burns only gaseous fuels or fuel oils that contain less than or equal to 0.5 weight percent sulfur and operates according to a written site-specific monitoring plan approved by the permitting authority is not required to operate a COMS. This monitoring plan must include procedures and criteria for establishing and monitoring specific parameters for the affected facility indicative of compliance with the opacity standard.

§ 60.48c Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

(a) The owner or operator of each affected facility shall submit notification of the date of construction or reconstruction and actual startup, as provided by §60.7 of this part. This notification shall include:

(1) The design heat input capacity of the affected facility and identification of fuels to be combusted in the affected facility.

(2) If applicable, a copy of any federally enforceable requirement that limits the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under §60.42c, or §60.43c.

(3) The annual capacity factor at which the owner or operator anticipates operating the affected facility based on all fuels fired and based on each individual fuel fired.

(4) Notification if an emerging technology will be used for controlling SO2 emissions. The Administrator will examine the description of the control device and will determine whether the technology qualifies as an emerging technology. In making this determination, the Administrator may require the owner or operator of the affected facility to submit additional information concerning the control device. The affected facility is subject to the provisions of §60.42c(a) or (b)(1), unless and until this determination is made by the Administrator.

(b) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO2 emission limits of §60.42c, or the PM or opacity limits of §60.43c, shall submit to the Administrator the performance test data from the initial and any subsequent performance tests and, if applicable, the performance evaluation of the CEMS and/or COMS using the applicable performance specifications in appendix B of this part.

(c) In addition to the applicable requirements in §60.7, the owner or operator of an affected facility subject to the opacity limits in §60.43c(c) shall submit excess emission reports for any excess emissions from the affected facility that occur during the reporting period and maintain records according to the requirements specified in paragraphs (c)(1) through (3) of this section, as applicable to the visible emissions monitoring method used.

(1) For each performance test conducted using Method 9 of appendix A–4 of this part, the owner or operator shall keep the records including the information specified in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(i) Dates and time intervals of all opacity observation periods;

(ii) Name, affiliation, and copy of current visible emission reading certification for each visible emission observer participating in the performance test; and

(iii) Copies of all visible emission observer opacity field data sheets;

(2) For each performance test conducted using Method 22 of appendix A–4 of this part, the owner or operator shall keep the records including the information specified in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section.

(i) Dates and time intervals of all visible emissions observation periods;

(ii) Name and affiliation for each visible emission observer participating in the performance test;

(iii) Copies of all visible emission observer opacity field data sheets; and

(iv) Documentation of any adjustments made and the time the adjustments were completed to the affected facility operation by the owner or operator to demonstrate compliance with the applicable monitoring requirements.
(3) For each digital opacity compliance system, the owner or operator shall maintain records and submit reports according to the requirements specified in the site-specific monitoring plan approved by the Administrator.

(d) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO₂ emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall submit reports to the Administrator.

(e) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to the SO₂ emission limits, fuel oil sulfur limits, or percent reduction requirements under §60.42c shall keep records and submit reports as required under paragraph (d) of this section, including the following information, as applicable.

1. Calendar dates covered in the reporting period.

2. Each 30-day average SO₂ emission rate (ng/J or lb/MMBtu), or 30-day average sulfur content (weight percent), calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of corrective actions taken.

3. Each 30-day average percent of potential SO₂ emission rate calculated during the reporting period, ending with the last 30-day period; reasons for any noncompliance with the emission standards; and a description of the corrective actions taken.

4. Identification of any steam generating unit operating days for which SO₂ or diluent (O₂ or CO₂) data have not been obtained by an approved method for at least 75 percent of the operating hours; justification for not obtaining sufficient data; and a description of corrective actions taken.

5. Identification of any times when emissions data have been excluded from the calculation of average emission rates; justification for excluding data; and a description of corrective actions taken if data have been excluded for periods other than those during which coal or oil were not combusted in the steam generating unit.

6. Identification of the F factor used in calculations, method of determination, and type of fuel combusted.

7. Identification of whether averages have been obtained based on CEMS rather than manual sampling methods.

8. If a CEMS is used, identification of any times when the pollutant concentration exceeded the full span of the CEMS.

9. If a CEMS is used, description of any modifications to the CEMS that could affect the ability of the CEMS to comply with Performance Specifications 2 or 3 of appendix B of this part.

10. If a CEMS is used, results of daily CEMS drift tests and quarterly accuracy assessments as required under appendix F, Procedure 1 of this part.

11. If fuel supplier certification is used to demonstrate compliance, records of fuel supplier certification as described under paragraph (f)(1), (2), (3), or (4) of this section, as applicable. In addition to records of fuel supplier certifications, the report shall include a certified statement signed by the owner or operator of the affected facility that the records of fuel supplier certifications submitted represent all of the fuel combusted during the reporting period.

(f) Fuel supplier certification shall include the following information:

1. For distillate oil:

   (i) The name of the oil supplier;
(ii) A statement from the oil supplier that the oil complies with the specifications under the definition of distillate oil in §60.41c; and

(iii) The sulfur content or maximum sulfur content of the oil.

(2) For residual oil:

(i) The name of the oil supplier;

(ii) The location of the oil when the sample was drawn for analysis to determine the sulfur content of the oil, specifically including whether the oil was sampled as delivered to the affected facility, or whether the sample was drawn from oil in storage at the oil supplier's or oil refiner's facility, or other location;

(iii) The sulfur content of the oil from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself); and

(iv) The method used to determine the sulfur content of the oil.

(3) For coal:

(i) The name of the coal supplier;

(ii) The location of the coal when the sample was collected for analysis to determine the properties of the coal, specifically including whether the coal was sampled as delivered to the affected facility or whether the sample was collected from coal in storage at the mine, at a coal preparation plant, at a coal supplier's facility, or at another location. The certification shall include the name of the coal mine (and coal seam), coal storage facility, or coal preparation plant (where the sample was collected);

(iii) The results of the analysis of the coal from which the shipment came (or of the shipment itself) including the sulfur content, moisture content, ash content, and heat content; and

(iv) The methods used to determine the properties of the coal.

(4) For other fuels:

(i) The name of the supplier of the fuel;

(ii) The potential sulfur emissions rate or maximum potential sulfur emissions rate of the fuel in ng/J heat input; and

(iii) The method used to determine the potential sulfur emissions rate of the fuel.

(g)(1) Except as provided under paragraphs (g)(2) and (g)(3) of this section, the owner or operator of each affected facility shall record and maintain records of the amount of each fuel combusted during each operating day.

(2) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility that combusts only natural gas, wood, fuels using fuel certification in §60.48c(f) to demonstrate compliance with the SO_2 standard, fuels not subject to an emissions standard (excluding opacity), or a mixture of these fuels may elect to record and maintain records of the amount of each fuel combusted during each calendar month.

(3) As an alternative to meeting the requirements of paragraph (g)(1) of this section, the owner or operator of an affected facility or multiple affected facilities located on a contiguous property unit where the only fuels combusted in any steam generating unit (including steam generating units not subject to this subpart) at that property are natural gas, wood, distillate oil meeting the most current requirements in §60.42C to use fuel certification to demonstrate compliance with the SO_2 standard, and/or fuels, excluding coal and residual oil,
not subject to an emissions standard (excluding opacity) may elect to record and maintain records of the total amount of each steam generating unit fuel delivered to that property during each calendar month.

(h) The owner or operator of each affected facility subject to a federally enforceable requirement limiting the annual capacity factor for any fuel or mixture of fuels under §60.42c or §60.43c shall calculate the annual capacity factor individually for each fuel combusted. The annual capacity factor is determined on a 12-month rolling average basis with a new annual capacity factor calculated at the end of the calendar month.

(i) All records required under this section shall be maintained by the owner or operator of the affected facility for a period of two years following the date of such record.

(j) The reporting period for the reports required under this subpart is each six-month period. All reports shall be submitted to the Administrator and shall be postmarked by the 30th day following the end of the reporting period.
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CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Cynthia Hook, hereby certify that a copy of this permit has been mailed by first class mail to LM Wind Power Blades (Arkansas) Inc., 7400 Scott Hamilton, Little Rock, AR, 72209, on this 24th day of April, 2012.

Cynthia Hook, ASIII, Air Division